Course-Section: PSYC 100 01

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 142

Instructor: O'Brien, Eileen

Title: Intro To Psychology

	_			Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	6	0	3	2	16	17	33	4.06	1138/1542	4.04	4.26	4.33	4.18	4.06
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	6	0	3	6	19	26	17	3.68	1338/1542	3.82	4.19	4.29	4.23	3.68
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	8	0	4	10	25	14	16	3.41	1239/1339	3.45	4.20	4.32	4.14	3.41
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	7	21	3	6	9	18	13	3.65	1285/1498	3.66	4.20	4.26	4.08	3.65
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	8	3	2	1	11	20	32	4.20	692/1428	4.07	4.09	4.12	3.98	4.20
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	9	41	2	3	6	12	4	3.48	1219/1407	3.42	4.04	4.15	3.92	3.48
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	9	0	2	7	10	20	29	3.99	1064/1521	4.01	4.22	4.20	4.09	3.99
8. How many times was class cancelled	9	1	1	0	2	2	62	4.85	771/1541	4.85	4.78	4.70	4.66	4.85
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	21	4	0	1	18	26	7	3.75	1160/1518	3.83	4.02	4.11	4.00	3.75
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	8	0	1	1	10	17	40	4.36	993/1472	4.21	4.40	4.46	4.38	4.36
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	8	0	1	1	7	16	44	4.46	1226/1475	4.48	4.74	4.72	4.63	4.46
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	8	0	2	3	14	24	26	4.00	1104/1471	4.07	4.29	4.32	4.23	4.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	7	0	4	6	13	17	30	3.90	1182/1470	3.96	4.28	4.33	4.21	3.90
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	10	0	2	1	15	18	31	4.12	698/1310	4.18	4.08	4.06	3.93	4.12
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	39	0	5	4	8	12	9	3.42	1037/1210	3.75	4.08	4.18	3.91	3.42
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	39	0	3	1	8	7	19	4.00	918/1211	4.01	4.31	4.37	4.15	4.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	39	0	1	2	4	13	18	4.18	850/1207	4.22	4.36	4.41	4.12	4.18
4. Were special techniques successful	39	4	3	1	6	11	13	3.88	555/859	3.83	3.91	4.08	3.95	3.88

**Course-Section: PSYC 100 01** Title: Intro To Psychology Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 142** 

**Instructor:** O'Brien, Eileen

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	70	1	2	1	1	0	2	2.83	****/207	****	4.44	4.12	3.92	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	71	0	0	0	1	3	2	4.17	****/210	****	4.31	4.17	4.14	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	71	1	0	1	1	1	2	3.80	****/202	****	4.38	4.50	4.49	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	71	2	0	1	1	1	1	3.50	****/202	****	4.51	4.32	4.22	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	71	2	0	1	1	0	2	3.75	****/199	****	4.45	4.15	4.14	****
Seminar														
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	73	3	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/68	****	4.67	4.50	4.15	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	73	2	1	1	0	0	0	1.50	****/73	****	4.64	4.54	4.22	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	72	3	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/67	****	4.52	4.17	3.14	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	75	0	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	****/32	****	****	4.20	5.00	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	75	0	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	****/35	****	****	4.36	5.00	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	71	0	2	0	0	0	4	3.67	****/30	4.02	4.02	4.27	4.84	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	71	1	0	1	1	1	2	3.80	****/19	3.89	3.89	4.57	4.84	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	71	3	1	0	0	0	2	3.67	****/29	3.56	3.56	4.29	4.82	****
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	71	0	0	0	2	1	3	4.17	****/18	3.58	3.58	4.25	4.80	****

Course-Section: PSYC 100 01

Title: Intro To Psychology

Instructor: O'Brien, Eileen

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 142
Questionnaires: 77

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	71	0	1	0	2	1	2	3.50	****/13	3.85	3.85	4.14	4.77	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP.	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	16	0.00-0.99	2	Α	19	Required for Majors	16	Graduate	0	Major	8
28-55	9	1.00-1.99	1	В	32						
56-83	5	2.00-2.99	5	С	11	General	30	Under-grad	77	Non-major	69
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	5	D	1						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	11	F	0	Electives	12	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	5				
				?	14						

**Course-Section: PSYC 100 02** 

Term - Spring 2012 Title: Intro To Psychology

**Enrollment: 173 Questionnaires: 102** 

**Instructor:** Garrett, Adia J

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	5	0	2	6	18	32	39	4.03	1152/1542	4.04	4.26	4.33	4.18	4.03
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	6	0	4	8	19	22	43	3.96	1165/1542	3.82	4.19	4.29	4.23	3.96
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	7	0	6	15	27	20	27	3.49	1214/1339	3.45	4.20	4.32	4.14	3.49
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	6	20	4	9	18	22	23	3.67	1276/1498	3.66	4.20	4.26	4.08	3.67
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	5	2	4	9	19	19	44	3.95	931/1428	4.07	4.09	4.12	3.98	3.95
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	5	39	5	10	16	13	14	3.36	1268/1407	3.42	4.04	4.15	3.92	3.36
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	6	0	5	8	14	21	48	4.03	1031/1521	4.01	4.22	4.20	4.09	4.03
8. How many times was class cancelled	7	0	0	3	0	6	86	4.84	787/1541	4.85	4.78	4.70	4.66	4.84
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	26	3	1	1	16	40	15	3.92	1043/1518	3.83	4.02	4.11	4.00	3.92
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	12	0	3	5	14	30	38	4.06	1206/1472	4.21	4.40	4.46	4.38	4.06
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	10	0	2	1	8	19	62	4.50	1197/1475	4.48	4.74	4.72	4.63	4.50
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	11	0	2	6	17	19	47	4.13	1038/1471	4.07	4.29	4.32	4.23	4.13
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	10	0	8	4	15	17	48	4.01	1104/1470	3.96	4.28	4.33	4.21	4.01
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	11	0	4	0	15	24	48	4.23	596/1310	4.18	4.08	4.06	3.93	4.23
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	50	0	2	2	12	10	26	4.08	750/1210	3.75	4.08	4.18	3.91	4.08
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	50	0	1	4	12	11	24	4.02	914/1211	4.01	4.31	4.37	4.15	4.02
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	50	0	1	4	9	5	33	4.25	815/1207	4.22	4.36	4.41	4.12	4.25
4. Were special techniques successful	50	10	4	1	11	10	16	3.79	596/859	3.83	3.91	4.08	3.95	3.79

Course-Section: PSYC 100 02

Title: Intro To Psychology

Instructor: Garrett, Adia J

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 173

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	87	1	3	1	4	3	3	3.14	****/207	****	4.44	4.12	3.92	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	88	0	1	0	1	5	7	4.21	****/210	****	4.31	4.17	4.14	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	87	2	0	0	2	2	9	4.54	****/202	****	4.38	4.50	4.49	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	87	6	1	2	1	1	4	3.56	****/202	****	4.51	4.32	4.22	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	87	4	1	1	2	4	3	3.64	****/199	****	4.45	4.15	4.14	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	93	3	0	0	1	2	3	4.33	****/69	****	4.53	4.56	4.27	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	94	5	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	****/69	****	4.47	4.60	4.28	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	94	5	0	1	0	1	1	3.67	****/68	****	4.67	4.50	4.15	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	94	3	0	1	1	1	2	3.80	****/73	****	4.64	4.54	4.22	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	94	3	0	1	0	1	3	4.20	****/67	****	4.52	4.17	3.14	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	95	0	1	0	2	2	2	3.57	****/32	****	****	4.20	5.00	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	95	0	1	0	2	1	3	3.71	****/35	****	****	4.36	5.00	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	95	2	0	1	0	2	2	4.00	****/25	****	****	4.59	5.00	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	94	2	0	2	0	2	2	3.67	****/23	****	****	4.41	5.00	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	95	2	0	1	1	2	1	3.60	****/17	****	****	4.62	5.00	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	57	0	2	1	12	9	21	4.02	20/30	4.02	4.02	4.27	4.84	4.02
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	58	0	1	4	10	13	16	3.89	18/19	3.89	3.89	4.57	4.84	3.89
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	57	9	2	4	12	8	10	3.56	25/29	3.56	3.56	4.29	4.82	3.56

Course-Section: PSYC 100 02

Title: Intro To Psychology

Instructor: Garrett, Adia J

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 173

Questionnaires: 102

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	57	5	2	4	12	13	9	3.58	15/18	3.58	3.58	4.25	4.80	3.58
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	58	4	2	4	10	6	18	3.85	9/13	3.85	3.85	4.14	4.77	3.85

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	32	0.00-0.99	3	Α	26	Required for Majors	28	Graduate	0	Major	3
28-55	12	1.00-1.99	2	В	48						
56-83	11	2.00-2.99	17	С	11	General	39	Under-grad	102	Non-major	99
84-150	4	3.00-3.49	24	D	5						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	19	F	0	Electives	18	**** - Means the	ere are not e	nough responses	
				Р	1			to be significan	t		
				1	0	Other	2				
				?	11						

Course-Section: PSYC 200 01

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 91** 

Title:

**Title: Child Developmental Psyc** 

**Questionnaires: 74** 

**Instructor:** Schultz, David A

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	4	0	0	1	9	20	40	4.41	765/1542	4.56	4.26	4.33	4.35	4.41
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	4	0	0	0	6	15	49	4.61	479/1542	4.60	4.19	4.29	4.29	4.61
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	4	0	0	2	3	13	52	4.64	434/1339	4.63	4.20	4.32	4.40	4.64
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	5	22	1	0	8	20	18	4.15	966/1498	4.39	4.20	4.26	4.31	4.15
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	1	5	17	47	4.57	327/1428	4.72	4.09	4.12	4.17	4.57
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	4	35	3	1	10	12	9	3.66	1130/1407	4.11	4.04	4.15	4.14	3.66
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	5	0	0	0	7	9	53	4.67	330/1521	4.66	4.22	4.20	4.22	4.67
8. How many times was class cancelled	4	1	0	0	0	0	69	5.00	1/1541	4.96	4.78	4.70	4.68	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	16	1	0	0	5	28	24	4.33	588/1518	4.29	4.02	4.11	4.12	4.33
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	4	0	0	0	1	9	60	4.84	303/1472	4.69	4.40	4.46	4.53	4.84
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	5	0	0	0	1	10	58	4.83	754/1475	4.87	4.74	4.72	4.79	4.83
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	5	0	0	0	3	13	53	4.72	386/1471	4.66	4.29	4.32	4.37	4.72
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	3	17	50	4.67	483/1470	4.51	4.28	4.33	4.40	4.67
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	4	0	0	0	7	13	50	4.61	239/1310	4.36	4.08	4.06	4.19	4.61
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	26	0	1	1	11	15	20	4.08	747/1210	4.33	4.08	4.18	4.18	4.08
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	26	0	1	2	7	15	23	4.19	840/1211	4.38	4.31	4.37	4.34	4.19
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	26	0	2	0	6	8	32	4.42	712/1207	4.58	4.36	4.41	4.40	4.42
4. Were special techniques successful	26	10	4	4	9	10	11	3.53	706/859	3.99	3.91	4.08	4.07	3.53

Course-Section: PSYC 200 01

**Title: Child Developmental Psyc** 

Instructor: Schultz, David A

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 91

**Questionnaires: 74** 

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	70	3	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/207	****	4.44	4.12	4.26	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	71	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/210	****	4.31	4.17	4.32	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	71	1	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	****/202	****	4.38	4.50	4.62	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	73	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/32	****	****	4.20	4.55	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	73	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/35	****	****	4.36	4.10	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	73	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/30	****	4.02	4.27	3.95	****

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	8	0.00-0.99	2	Α	23	Required for Majors	38	Graduate	1	Major	39
28-55	7	1.00-1.99	0	В	30						
56-83	9	2.00-2.99	7	С	9	General	6	Under-grad	73	Non-major	35
84-150	9	3.00-3.49	12	D	0						
Grad.	1	3.50-4.00	9	F	0	Electives	9	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	6				
				?	12						

**Course-Section: PSYC 200 02** 

Title: Child Developmental Psyc

Instructor: Garrett,Adia J

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 80** 

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	3	0	0	1	0	8	28	4.70	385/1542	4.56	4.26	4.33	4.35	4.70
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	4	0	0	1	2	8	25	4.58	516/1542	4.60	4.19	4.29	4.29	4.58
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	3	3	0	0	2	9	23	4.62	465/1339	4.63	4.20	4.32	4.40	4.62
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	3	1	0	0	1	11	24	4.64	392/1498	4.39	4.20	4.26	4.31	4.64
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	1	3	33	4.86	112/1428	4.72	4.09	4.12	4.17	4.86
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	3	1	0	0	1	14	21	4.56	355/1407	4.11	4.04	4.15	4.14	4.56
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	3	0	0	0	2	9	26	4.65	356/1521	4.66	4.22	4.20	4.22	4.65
8. How many times was class cancelled	3	0	0	0	1	1	35	4.92	620/1541	4.96	4.78	4.70	4.68	4.92
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	10	2	0	1	3	12	12	4.25	686/1518	4.29	4.02	4.11	4.12	4.25
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	6	0	0	1	4	5	24	4.53	791/1472	4.69	4.40	4.46	4.53	4.53
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	6	0	0	0	0	3	31	4.91	484/1475	4.87	4.74	4.72	4.79	4.91
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	6	0	0	1	2	7	24	4.59	557/1471	4.66	4.29	4.32	4.37	4.59
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	6	0	2	1	2	7	22	4.35	865/1470	4.51	4.28	4.33	4.40	4.35
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	8	1	2	1	5	7	16	4.10	711/1310	4.36	4.08	4.06	4.19	4.10
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	21	0	0	1	1	3	14	4.58	390/1210	4.33	4.08	4.18	4.18	4.58
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	21	0	0	1	0	5	13	4.58	528/1211	4.38	4.31	4.37	4.34	4.58
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	21	0	0	0	0	5	14	4.74	425/1207	4.58	4.36	4.41	4.40	4.74
4. Were special techniques successful	21	1	0	1	1	5	11	4.44	250/859	3.99	3.91	4.08	4.07	4.44

Course-Section: PSYC 200 02

Title: Child Developmental Psyc

Instructor: Garrett, Adia J

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 80** 

							Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect	
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	38	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/207	****	4.44	4.12	4.26	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	39	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/210	****	4.31	4.17	4.32	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	38	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/202	****	4.38	4.50	4.62	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	38	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/202	****	4.51	4.32	4.20	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	38	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/199	****	4.45	4.15	4.32	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	37	1	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/69	****	4.53	4.56	4.68	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	37	1	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/69	****	4.47	4.60	4.52	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	38	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/68	****	4.67	4.50	4.34	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	37	1	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	****/73	****	4.64	4.54	4.63	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	37	1	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/67	****	4.52	4.17	3.72	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	39	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/32	****	****	4.20	4.55	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	39	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/35	****	****	4.36	4.10	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	39	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/25	****	****	4.59	4.70	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	39	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/23	****	****	4.41	4.50	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	39	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/17	****	****	4.62	4.50	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	39	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	****	4.02	4.27	3.95	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	39	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/19	****	3.89	4.57	4.50	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	39	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/29	****	3.56	4.29	4.50	****

Course-Section: PSYC 200 02

Title: Child Developmental Psyc

**Instructor:** Garrett, Adia J

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 80

Questionnaires: 40

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	39	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/18	****	3.58	4.25	4.50	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	39	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/13	****	3.85	4.14	4.50	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	8	0.00-0.99	0	Α	20	Required for Majors	21	Graduate	0	Major	19
28-55	8	1.00-1.99	0	В	10						
56-83	3	2.00-2.99	2	С	2	General	3	Under-grad	40	Non-major	21
84-150	5	3.00-3.49	9	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	10	F	0	Electives	8	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	8						

Course-Section: PSYC 205 01

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 73

Instructor: Freiberg, Karen

Title: Before We Were Born

			Frequencies				Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect	
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	3	0	0	1	3	8	33	4.62	486/1542	4.62	4.26	4.33	4.35	4.62
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	3	0	1	2	2	7	33	4.53	578/1542	4.53	4.19	4.29	4.29	4.53
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	3	0	2	2	1	8	32	4.47	626/1339	4.47	4.20	4.32	4.40	4.47
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	3	25	1	1	0	4	14	4.45	618/1498	4.45	4.20	4.26	4.31	4.45
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	4	1	2	2	5	12	22	4.16	725/1428	4.16	4.09	4.12	4.17	4.16
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	4	29	1	0	0	6	8	4.33	599/1407	4.33	4.04	4.15	4.14	4.33
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	4	1	0	2	0	9	32	4.65	343/1521	4.65	4.22	4.20	4.22	4.65
8. How many times was class cancelled	3	0	0	0	0	8	37	4.82	820/1541	4.82	4.78	4.70	4.68	4.82
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	12	0	0	0	3	19	14	4.31	629/1518	4.31	4.02	4.11	4.12	4.31
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	4	11	30	4.58	728/1472	4.58	4.40	4.46	4.53	4.58
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	0	4	41	4.91	484/1475	4.91	4.74	4.72	4.79	4.91
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	2	5	10	28	4.42	755/1471	4.42	4.29	4.32	4.37	4.42
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	1	1	2	6	35	4.62	558/1470	4.62	4.28	4.33	4.40	4.62
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	4	2	0	1	4	10	27	4.50	324/1310	4.50	4.08	4.06	4.19	4.50
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	26	0	1	2	3	7	9	3.95	814/1210	3.95	4.08	4.18	4.18	3.95
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	26	0	0	1	3	7	11	4.27	783/1211	4.27	4.31	4.37	4.34	4.27
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	26	0	0	2	2	4	14	4.36	749/1207	4.36	4.36	4.41	4.40	4.36
4. Were special techniques successful	26	13	1	1	1	1	5	3.89	****/859	****	3.91	4.08	4.07	****

Course-Section: PSYC 205 01

Title: Before We Were Born

Instructor: Freiberg, Karen

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 73

Questionnaires: 48

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	47	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/210	****	4.31	4.17	4.32	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	47	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/32	****	****	4.20	4.55	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	47	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/35	****	****	4.36	4.10	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	47	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/30	****	4.02	4.27	3.95	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	47	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/19	****	3.89	4.57	4.50	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	47	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/29	****	3.56	4.29	4.50	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	4	0.00-0.99	0	Α	16	Required for Majors	5	Graduate	0	Major	16
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	15						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	5	С	6	General	5	Under-grad	48	Non-major	32
84-150	11	3.00-3.49	9	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	7	F	0	Electives	25	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	1			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	4				
				?	9						

Course-Section: PSYC 210 01

Title: Psychology Of Learning

**Instructor:** Borrero, John C

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 100

						In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect		
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	2	0	0	2	3	12	61	4.69	397/1542	4.25	4.26	4.33	4.35	4.69
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	0	1	3	3	71	4.85	195/1542	4.54	4.19	4.29	4.29	4.85
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	0	0	0	4	8	66	4.79	265/1339	4.57	4.20	4.32	4.40	4.79
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	31	1	1	3	9	33	4.53	512/1498	4.39	4.20	4.26	4.31	4.53
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	3	5	11	12	14	33	3.79	1075/1428	3.82	4.09	4.12	4.17	3.79
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	54	0	0	3	2	19	4.67	252/1407	4.33	4.04	4.15	4.14	4.67
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	3	0	0	0	1	5	71	4.91	99/1521	4.63	4.22	4.20	4.22	4.91
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	0	78	5.00	1/1541	4.96	4.78	4.70	4.68	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	11	0	1	0	1	17	50	4.67	244/1518	4.23	4.02	4.11	4.12	4.67
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	1	4	72	4.92	167/1472	4.78	4.40	4.46	4.53	4.92
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	1	2	74	4.95	323/1475	4.90	4.74	4.72	4.79	4.95
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	0	2	5	70	4.88	186/1471	4.69	4.29	4.32	4.37	4.88
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	1	0	7	68	4.87	230/1470	4.61	4.28	4.33	4.40	4.87
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	4	1	0	1	2	15	57	4.71	171/1310	4.49	4.08	4.06	4.19	4.71
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	49	0	1	3	6	5	16	4.03	764/1210	3.69	4.08	4.18	4.18	4.03
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	49	0	0	1	3	6	21	4.52	572/1211	4.23	4.31	4.37	4.34	4.52
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	49	0	0	0	1	9	21	4.65	518/1207	4.39	4.36	4.41	4.40	4.65
4. Were special techniques successful	49	21	1	0	3	1	5	3.90	****/859	****	3.91	4.08	4.07	****

Course-Section: PSYC 210 01

Title: Psychology Of Learning
Instructor: Borrero, John C

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 100

Questionnaires: 80

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	79	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/210	****	4.31	4.17	4.32	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	79	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/32	****	****	4.20	4.55	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	79	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/35	****	****	4.36	4.10	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	79	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/30	****	4.02	4.27	3.95	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	79	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/19	****	3.89	4.57	4.50	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP.	A	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	38	Required for Majors	52	Graduate	0	Major	33
28-55	9	1.00-1.99	0	В	25						
56-83	11	2.00-2.99	7	С	5	General	6	Under-grad	80	Non-major	47
84-150	13	3.00-3.49	15	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	21	F	0	Electives	6	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	4				
				?	9						

Course-Section: PSYC 210 02

Term - Spring 2012

Fnrollment: 99

Instruc

CIOII. P31C 210 02	Term - Spring 2012	Linoinnent. 33
Title: Psychology Of Learning		Questionnaires: 53
ıctor: Donaldson,Jeann		

			Frequencies					In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	4	0	1	3	9	15	21	4.06	1131/1542	4.25	4.26	4.33	4.35	4.06
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	4	0	0	1	4	17	27	4.43	726/1542	4.54	4.19	4.29	4.29	4.43
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	4	0	0	1	7	7	34	4.51	571/1339	4.57	4.20	4.32	4.40	4.51
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	4	17	1	2	5	8	16	4.13	986/1498	4.39	4.20	4.26	4.31	4.13
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	4	0	6	9	13	11	10	3.20	1325/1428	3.82	4.09	4.12	4.17	3.20
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	4	35	1	2	2	0	9	4.00	874/1407	4.33	4.04	4.15	4.14	4.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	4	0	0	0	4	5	40	4.73	250/1521	4.63	4.22	4.20	4.22	4.73
8. How many times was class cancelled	5	0	0	1	0	3	44	4.88	738/1541	4.96	4.78	4.70	4.68	4.88
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	15	0	0	1	9	21	7	3.89	1064/1518	4.23	4.02	4.11	4.12	3.89
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	5	0	0	0	3	8	37	4.71	538/1472	4.78	4.40	4.46	4.53	4.71
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	5	0	0	0	1	3	44	4.90	565/1475	4.90	4.74	4.72	4.79	4.90
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	5	0	0	2	6	11	29	4.40	797/1471	4.69	4.29	4.32	4.37	4.40
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	5	1	0	1	5	6	35	4.60	598/1470	4.61	4.28	4.33	4.40	4.60
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	5	0	0	1	1	8	38	4.73	158/1310	4.49	4.08	4.06	4.19	4.73
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	20	0	5	5	7	3	13	3.42	1037/1210	3.69	4.08	4.18	4.18	3.42
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	20	0	1	4	7	6	15	3.91	992/1211	4.23	4.31	4.37	4.34	3.91
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	19	0	1	3	3	4	23	4.32	776/1207	4.39	4.36	4.41	4.40	4.32
4. Were special techniques successful	19	25	2	2	0	1	4	3.33	****/859	****	3.91	4.08	4.07	****

Run Date: 6/29/2012 9:57:40 AM

**Course-Section: PSYC 210 02** 

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 99** 

\*\*\*\*

4.14

3.85

4.50

**Instructor: Donaldson,Jeann** 

Title: Psychology Of Learning

**Questionnaires:** 53

'			Frequencies A 1 2 3 4			cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	52	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/210	****	4.31	4.17	4.32	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	50	2	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/69	****	4.53	4.56	4.68	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	52	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/73	****	4.64	4.54	4.63	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	50	0	3	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/32	****	****	4.20	4.55	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	51	0	0	1	1	0	0	2.50	****/35	****	****	4.36	4.10	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	50	0	2	0	0	0	1	2.33	****/30	****	4.02	4.27	3.95	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	51	0	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	****/19	****	3.89	4.57	4.50	****
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	51	1	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/18	****	3.58	4.25	4.50	****

### **Frequency Distribution**

51

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	8	0.00-0.99	0	Α	14	Required for Majors	34	Graduate	0	Major	12
28-55	6	1.00-1.99	0	В	21						
56-83	8	2.00-2.99	8	С	8	General	2	Under-grad	53	Non-major	41
84-150	5	3.00-3.49	11	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	6	F	0	Electives	5	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	2				
				?	10						

5. Were there enough proctors for all the students

\*\*\*\*

\*\*\*\*/13

5.00

**Course-Section: PSYC 210 03** 

Title: Psychology Of Learning

Instructor: Schnur, Paul

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment:** 40

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	12	0	2	2	1	12	11	4.00	1173/1542	4.25	4.26	4.33	4.35	4.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	11	0	0	1	3	10	15	4.34	821/1542	4.54	4.19	4.29	4.29	4.34
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	11	0	0	3	1	6	19	4.41	682/1339	4.57	4.20	4.32	4.40	4.41
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	11	13	0	1	1	3	11	4.50	549/1498	4.39	4.20	4.26	4.31	4.50
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	11	1	1	0	2	7	18	4.46	431/1428	3.82	4.09	4.12	4.17	4.46
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	11	21	0	0	1	3	4	4.38	****/1407	4.33	4.04	4.15	4.14	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	11	0	0	2	4	8	15	4.24	849/1521	4.63	4.22	4.20	4.22	4.24
8. How many times was class cancelled	11	0	0	0	0	0	29	5.00	1/1541	4.96	4.78	4.70	4.68	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	15	1	0	1	4	10	9	4.13	822/1518	4.23	4.02	4.11	4.12	4.13
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	13	0	0	0	1	6	20	4.70	538/1472	4.78	4.40	4.46	4.53	4.70
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	13	0	0	0	0	4	23	4.85	673/1475	4.90	4.74	4.72	4.79	4.85
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	13	0	0	0	0	6	21	4.78	319/1471	4.69	4.29	4.32	4.37	4.78
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	13	0	2	1	1	4	19	4.37	844/1470	4.61	4.28	4.33	4.40	4.37
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	14	0	3	0	3	7	13	4.04	744/1310	4.49	4.08	4.06	4.19	4.04
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	14	0	2	2	7	8	7	3.62	985/1210	3.69	4.08	4.18	4.18	3.62
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	14	0	1	0	5	5	15	4.27	790/1211	4.23	4.31	4.37	4.34	4.27
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	14	0	2	0	5	3	16	4.19	845/1207	4.39	4.36	4.41	4.40	4.19
4. Were special techniques successful	14	18	1	1	1	1	4	3.75	****/859	****	3.91	4.08	4.07	****

Course-Section: PSYC 210 03 **Title: Psychology Of Learning**  Term - Spring 2012

Instructor: Schnur, Paul

**Enrollment: 40 Questionnaires:** 40

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	39	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/210	****	4.31	4.17	4.32	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	38	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/69	****	4.53	4.56	4.68	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	38	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/69	****	4.47	4.60	4.52	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	38	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/68	****	4.67	4.50	4.34	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	38	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/73	****	4.64	4.54	4.63	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	38	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/67	****	4.52	4.17	3.72	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	39	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/32	****	****	4.20	4.55	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	39	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/35	****	****	4.36	4.10	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	39	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/25	****	****	4.59	4.70	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	39	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/23	****	****	4.41	4.50	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	39	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/17	****	****	4.62	4.50	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	37	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/30	****	4.02	4.27	3.95	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	37	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/19	****	3.89	4.57	4.50	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	37	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	3.56	4.29	4.50	****
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	37	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/18	****	3.58	4.25	4.50	****

Run Date: 6/29/2012 9:57:40 AM

Course-Section: PSYC 210 03

Title: Psychology Of Learning

Instructor: Schnur, Paul

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 40
Questionnaires: 40

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	37	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/13	****	3.85	4.14	4.50	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	9	Required for Majors	12	Graduate	0	Major	19
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	11						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	1	С	3	General	5	Under-grad	40	Non-major	21
84-150	5	3.00-3.49	1	D	1						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	10	F	0	Electives	5	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	1				
				?	16						

Course-Section: PSYC 285 01

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 94** 

**Title: Abnormal Psychology** 

**Instructor:** Resta,S P

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	4	0	0	0	2	8	34	4.73	360/1542	4.74	4.26	4.33	4.35	4.73
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	4	0	0	3	1	7	33	4.59	504/1542	4.61	4.19	4.29	4.29	4.59
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	4	0	0	0	2	6	36	4.77	289/1339	4.66	4.20	4.32	4.40	4.77
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	4	6	0	4	2	8	24	4.37	733/1498	4.42	4.20	4.26	4.31	4.37
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	7	3	3	1	5	7	22	4.16	736/1428	4.27	4.09	4.12	4.17	4.16
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	7	3	3	4	4	10	17	3.89	981/1407	4.07	4.04	4.15	4.14	3.89
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	6	0	0	1	2	5	34	4.71	268/1521	4.48	4.22	4.20	4.22	4.71
8. How many times was class cancelled	6	0	0	0	0	0	42	5.00	1/1541	4.99	4.78	4.70	4.68	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	14	1	0	0	4	13	16	4.36	548/1518	4.47	4.02	4.11	4.12	4.36
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	5	0	0	0	0	7	36	4.84	319/1472	4.74	4.40	4.46	4.53	4.84
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	5	0	0	0	0	1	42	4.98	162/1475	4.96	4.74	4.72	4.79	4.98
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	5	0	0	0	1	6	36	4.81	268/1471	4.74	4.29	4.32	4.37	4.81
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	0	5	38	4.88	203/1470	4.80	4.28	4.33	4.40	4.88
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	6	0	0	1	2	4	35	4.74	152/1310	4.56	4.08	4.06	4.19	4.74
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	26	0	5	1	1	2	13	3.77	913/1210	4.22	4.08	4.18	4.18	3.77
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	26	0	4	3	6	1	8	3.27	1141/1211	4.13	4.31	4.37	4.34	3.27
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	24	0	0	4	3	2	15	4.17	860/1207	4.52	4.36	4.41	4.40	4.17
4. Were special techniques successful	24	14	1	1	1	1	6	4.00	****/859	3.33	3.91	4.08	4.07	****

Course-Section: PSYC 285 01

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 94

Questionnaires: 48

4.50

4.57

\*\*\*\*

Title: Abnormal Psychology
Instructor: Resta,S P

2. Did study guestions make clear the expected goal

**Frequencies Instructor** Course Ora UMBC Level Sect **Ouestions** NA 5 NR 3 Mean Rank Mean Mean Mean Mean Mean Laboratory 2. Were you provided with adequate background information \*\*\* \*\*\*\* 46 0 0 0 1 0 1 4.00 \*\*\*\*/210 4.31 4.17 4.32 Seminar \*\*\*\*/69 1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme 46 \*\*\*\* 4.53 4.56 \*\*\*\* 1 0 0 0 5.00 4.68 1 2. Was the instructor available for individual attention \*\*\*\*/69 \*\*\*\* \*\*\*\* 46 1 0 0 0 0 1 5.00 4.47 4.60 4.52 3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned \*\*\*\*/68 \*\*\*\* \*\*\*\* 46 1 0 0 0 0 1 5.00 4.67 4.50 4.34 \*\*\*\* 4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned 46 1 0 0 0 0 1 5.00 \*\*\*\*/73 4.64 4.54 4.63 \*\*\*\* 46 \*\*\*\*/67 \*\*\*\* 4.52 \*\*\*\* 5. Were criteria for grading made clear 0 0 1 0 0 1 5.00 4.17 3.72 **Field Work** \*\*\*\*/32 1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned 0 0 \*\*\*\* \*\*\*\* 4.20 4.55 \*\*\*\* 47 1 0 0 0 1.00 2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria 47 5.00 \*\*\*\*/35 \*\*\*\* \*\*\*\* 4.36 \*\*\*\* 0 0 0 0 0 1 4.10 \*\*\*\*/25 3. Was the instructor available for consultation 47 0 1 0 0 0 0 1.00 \*\*\*\* \*\*\*\* 4.59 4.70 \*\*\*\* \*\*\*\*/23 \*\*\* \*\*\*\* 4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations 0 \*\*\*\* 47 1 0 0 0 0 1.00 4.41 4.50 **Self Paced** \*\*\*\* 1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned 0 \*\*\*\*/30 \*\*\*\* 47 1 0 0 0 0 1.00 4.02 4.27 3.95

47

0

0

0

0

0

1

5.00

\*\*\*\*

3.89

\*\*\*\*/19

Course-Section: PSYC 285 01 **Title: Abnormal Psychology Instructor:** Resta,S P

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 94 Questionnaires:** 48

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	47	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	3.56	4.29	4.50	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	4	0.00-0.99	2	Α	29	Required for Majors	21	Graduate	0	Major	4
28-55	7	1.00-1.99	0	В	6						
56-83	5	2.00-2.99	3	С	1	General	6	Under-grad	48	Non-major	44
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	7	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	8	F	0	Electives	4	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	4				
				?	12						

Course-Section: PSYC 285 02

Title: Abnormal Psychology

**Instructor:** Anderson, Robert

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 120

	_			Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	4	0	0	1	1	17	53	4.69	397/1542	4.74	4.26	4.33	4.35	4.69
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	5	0	0	4	4	24	39	4.38	776/1542	4.61	4.19	4.29	4.29	4.38
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	4	0	0	1	7	23	41	4.44	649/1339	4.66	4.20	4.32	4.40	4.44
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	4	28	1	0	8	12	23	4.27	833/1498	4.42	4.20	4.26	4.31	4.27
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	5	3	1	3	11	18	35	4.22	660/1428	4.27	4.09	4.12	4.17	4.22
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	5	39	1	3	7	7	14	3.94	943/1407	4.07	4.04	4.15	4.14	3.94
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	5	0	3	8	10	16	34	3.99	1064/1521	4.48	4.22	4.20	4.22	3.99
8. How many times was class cancelled	5	0	0	0	0	3	68	4.96	345/1541	4.99	4.78	4.70	4.68	4.96
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	13	0	0	1	6	22	34	4.41	481/1518	4.47	4.02	4.11	4.12	4.41
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	7	0	0	0	15	10	44	4.42	926/1472	4.74	4.40	4.46	4.53	4.42
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	8	0	0	0	2	2	64	4.91	484/1475	4.96	4.74	4.72	4.79	4.91
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	7	0	0	1	7	15	46	4.54	607/1471	4.74	4.29	4.32	4.37	4.54
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	1	9	8	51	4.58	619/1470	4.80	4.28	4.33	4.40	4.58
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	6	4	1	4	10	15	36	4.23	606/1310	4.56	4.08	4.06	4.19	4.23
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	43	0	1	2	3	6	21	4.33	578/1210	4.22	4.08	4.18	4.18	4.33
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	43	0	1	1	2	6	23	4.48	600/1211	4.13	4.31	4.37	4.34	4.48
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	43	0	0	1	1	7	24	4.64	527/1207	4.52	4.36	4.41	4.40	4.64
4. Were special techniques successful	43	12	5	3	2	2	9	3.33	770/859	3.33	3.91	4.08	4.07	3.33

Course-Section: PSYC 285 02

Title: Abnormal Psychology

**Instructor:** Anderson, Robert

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 120** 

·				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	74	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/207	****	4.44	4.12	4.26	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	74	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/210	****	4.31	4.17	4.32	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	74	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/202	****	4.38	4.50	4.62	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	74	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/202	****	4.51	4.32	4.20	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	74	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/199	****	4.45	4.15	4.32	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	74	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/69	****	4.53	4.56	4.68	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	74	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/69	****	4.47	4.60	4.52	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	74	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/68	****	4.67	4.50	4.34	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	74	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/73	****	4.64	4.54	4.63	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	74	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/67	****	4.52	4.17	3.72	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	74	0	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	****/32	****	****	4.20	4.55	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	74	0	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	****/35	****	****	4.36	4.10	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	74	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/25	****	****	4.59	4.70	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	74	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/23	****	****	4.41	4.50	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	74	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/17	****	****	4.62	4.50	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	74	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/30	****	4.02	4.27	3.95	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	74	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/19	****	3.89	4.57	4.50	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	74	0	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	****/29	****	3.56	4.29	4.50	****

Course-Section: PSYC 285 02

Title: Abnormal Psychology

Instructor: Anderson, Robert

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 120
Questionnaires: 76

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	74	0	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	****/18	****	3.58	4.25	4.50	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	74	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/13	****	3.85	4.14	4.50	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	A	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	16	0.00-0.99	1	Α	30	Required for Majors	36	Graduate	0	Major	29
28-55	8	1.00-1.99	0	В	26						
56-83	6	2.00-2.99	8	С	6	General	13	Under-grad	76	Non-major	47
84-150	7	3.00-3.49	8	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	18	F	0	Electives	9	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	2				
				?	14						

**Course-Section: PSYC 285 03** 

Title: Abnormal Psychology

Instructor: Dahlquist,Lynnd

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 88** 

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	4	0	1	0	1	5	51	4.81	250/1542	4.74	4.26	4.33	4.35	4.81
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	4	0	0	1	0	6	51	4.84	195/1542	4.61	4.19	4.29	4.29	4.84
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	5	0	0	0	1	11	45	4.77	289/1339	4.66	4.20	4.32	4.40	4.77
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	3	30	0	0	2	7	20	4.62	404/1498	4.42	4.20	4.26	4.31	4.62
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	3	4	1	0	9	10	35	4.42	483/1428	4.27	4.09	4.12	4.17	4.42
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	4	35	0	0	4	6	13	4.39	539/1407	4.07	4.04	4.15	4.14	4.39
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	3	0	0	0	5	5	49	4.75	240/1521	4.48	4.22	4.20	4.22	4.75
8. How many times was class cancelled	4	1	0	0	0	0	57	5.00	1/1541	4.99	4.78	4.70	4.68	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	9	0	0	0	0	20	33	4.62	278/1518	4.47	4.02	4.11	4.12	4.62
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	0	3	56	4.95	125/1472	4.74	4.40	4.46	4.53	4.95
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	0	0	59	5.00	1/1475	4.96	4.74	4.72	4.79	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	1	0	4	54	4.88	186/1471	4.74	4.29	4.32	4.37	4.88
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	1	2	56	4.93	124/1470	4.80	4.28	4.33	4.40	4.93
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	4	0	0	1	3	7	47	4.72	158/1310	4.56	4.08	4.06	4.19	4.72
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	42	0	1	0	1	3	15	4.55	401/1210	4.22	4.08	4.18	4.18	4.55
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	43	0	0	0	0	7	12	4.63	479/1211	4.13	4.31	4.37	4.34	4.63
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	42	0	0	0	0	5	15	4.75	402/1207	4.52	4.36	4.41	4.40	4.75
4. Were special techniques successful	43	11	0	0	2	2	4	4.25	****/859	3.33	3.91	4.08	4.07	****

Course-Section: PSYC 285 03

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 88** 

\*\*\*\*

\*\*\*\*

**Questionnaires: 62** 

**Title: Abnormal Psychology** 

Instructor: Dahlquist,Lynnd

**Self Paced** 

4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations

5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mear
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	61	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/207	****	4.44	4.12	4.26	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	61	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/210	****	4.31	4.17	4.32	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	61	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/69	****	4.53	4.56	4.68	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	61	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/69	****	4.47	4.60	4.52	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	61	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/68	****	4.67	4.50	4.34	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	61	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/73	****	4.64	4.54	4.63	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	61	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/67	****	4.52	4.17	3.72	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	61	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/32	****	****	4.20	4.55	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	61	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/35	****	****	4.36	4.10	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	61	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/25	****	****	4.59	4.70	****

3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful

\*\*\*\*/29 61 0 0 0 0 1 0 4.00 \*\*\*\* 3.56 4.29 4.50 \*\*\*\* \*\*\*\*/18 4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful 61 0 0 0 0 0 5.00 \*\*\*\* 3.58 4.25 4.50 \*\*\*\* 1

61

61

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

1

0

0

1

4.00 5.00 \*\*\*\*

\*\*\*\*

\*\*\*\*/23

\*\*\*\*/17

\*\*\*\*

\*\*\*\*

4.41

4.62

4.50

4.50

Course-Section: PSYC 285 03

Title: Abnormal Psychology

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 88

Questionnaires: 62

Instructor: Dahlquist,Lynnd

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	61	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/13	****	3.85	4.14	4.50	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP.	A	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	7	0.00-0.99	0	Α	23	Required for Majors	34	Graduate	0	Major	22
28-55	15	1.00-1.99	2	В	23						
56-83	3	2.00-2.99	7	С	6	General	8	Under-grad	62	Non-major	40
84-150	4	3.00-3.49	8	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	15	F	0	Electives	8	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	4				
				?	9						

**Course-Section: PSYC 292 01** 

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 16 Questionnaires: 15** 

**Title: Special Topics In Psych** 

**Instructor:** Forsyth, Zoe War

	_			Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	6	0	0	0	2	5	2	4.00	1173/1542	4.00	4.26	4.33	4.35	4.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	6	0	0	0	1	5	3	4.22	967/1542	4.22	4.19	4.29	4.29	4.22
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	6	4	0	0	0	2	3	4.60	476/1339	4.60	4.20	4.32	4.40	4.60
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	6	4	0	0	0	2	3	4.60	428/1498	4.60	4.20	4.26	4.31	4.60
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	6	0	2	0	2	2	3	3.44	1250/1428	3.44	4.09	4.12	4.17	3.44
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	6	5	0	0	1	2	1	4.00	874/1407	4.00	4.04	4.15	4.14	4.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	6	2	0	2	0	2	3	3.86	1170/1521	3.86	4.22	4.20	4.22	3.86
8. How many times was class cancelled	6	0	0	0	0	5	4	4.44	1174/1541	4.44	4.78	4.70	4.68	4.44
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	10	1	0	0	3	0	1	3.50	1283/1518	3.50	4.02	4.11	4.12	3.50
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	7	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	256/1472	4.88	4.40	4.46	4.53	4.88
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	7	0	0	0	1	0	7	4.75	897/1475	4.75	4.74	4.72	4.79	4.75
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	7	0	0	0	0	4	4	4.50	637/1471	4.50	4.29	4.32	4.37	4.50
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	374/1470	4.75	4.28	4.33	4.40	4.75
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	7	0	0	0	2	3	3	4.13	690/1310	4.13	4.08	4.06	4.19	4.13
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	9	0	2	0	1	2	1	3.00	1123/1210	3.00	4.08	4.18	4.18	3.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	9	0	0	0	3	2	1	3.67	1066/1211	3.67	4.31	4.37	4.34	3.67
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	9	0	0	0	4	1	1	3.50	1097/1207	3.50	4.36	4.41	4.40	3.50
4. Were special techniques successful	9	4	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/859	****	3.91	4.08	4.07	****

Course-Section: PSYC 292 01

Title: Special Topics In Psych

**Instructor:** Forsyth, Zoe War

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 16** 

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	14	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/207	****	4.44	4.12	4.26	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	14	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/210	****	4.31	4.17	4.32	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	14	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/202	****	4.38	4.50	4.62	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	14	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/202	****	4.51	4.32	4.20	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	14	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/199	****	4.45	4.15	4.32	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	14	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/69	****	4.53	4.56	4.68	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	14	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/69	****	4.47	4.60	4.52	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	14	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/68	****	4.67	4.50	4.34	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	14	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/73	****	4.64	4.54	4.63	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	14	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/67	****	4.52	4.17	3.72	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	14	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/32	****	****	4.20	4.55	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	14	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/35	****	****	4.36	4.10	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	14	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/25	****	****	4.59	4.70	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	14	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/23	****	****	4.41	4.50	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	14	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/17	****	****	4.62	4.50	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	14	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	****	4.02	4.27	3.95	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	14	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/19	****	3.89	4.57	4.50	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	14	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	3.56	4.29	4.50	****

Course-Section: PSYC 292 01

Title: Special Topics In Psych

Instructor: Forsyth, Zoe War

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 16

Questionnaires: 15

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	14	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/18	****	3.58	4.25	4.50	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	14	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/13	****	3.85	4.14	4.50	****

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	1	Α	1	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	6
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	3	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	15	Non-major	9
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	3	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	8			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	6				
				?	6						

**Course-Section: PSYC 304 01** 

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 38** 

**Title: Adolescent Psychology** Instructor: Goldstein, Robin

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	7	0	2	0	2	4	21	4.45	720/1542	4.45	4.26	4.33	4.37	4.45
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	8	0	1	1	1	7	18	4.43	726/1542	4.43	4.19	4.29	4.31	4.43
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	7	4	1	0	3	3	18	4.48	604/1339	4.48	4.20	4.32	4.36	4.48
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	7	1	1	0	1	6	20	4.57	464/1498	4.57	4.20	4.26	4.32	4.57
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	8	3	1	0	1	8	15	4.44	452/1428	4.44	4.09	4.12	4.15	4.44
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	9	5	2	0	2	4	14	4.27	662/1407	4.27	4.04	4.15	4.20	4.27
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	8	0	3	2	1	4	18	4.14	955/1521	4.14	4.22	4.20	4.23	4.14
8. How many times was class cancelled	8	0	0	0	0	13	15	4.54	1100/1541	4.54	4.78	4.70	4.71	4.54
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	11	0	0	0	2	13	10	4.32	602/1518	4.32	4.02	4.11	4.13	4.32
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	8	0	2	1	3	8	14	4.11	1190/1472	4.11	4.40	4.46	4.46	4.11
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	8	0	0	0	1	2	25	4.86	673/1475	4.86	4.74	4.72	4.74	4.86
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	8	0	1	0	3	5	19	4.46	696/1471	4.46	4.29	4.32	4.33	4.46
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	9	0	1	1	3	3	19	4.41	813/1470	4.41	4.28	4.33	4.35	4.41
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	9	0	2	0	2	7	16	4.30	536/1310	4.30	4.08	4.06	4.11	4.30
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	12	0	2	0	0	3	19	4.54	407/1210	4.54	4.08	4.18	4.27	4.54
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	12	0	0	0	0	4	20	4.83	261/1211	4.83	4.31	4.37	4.45	4.83
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	12	0	0	0	0	3	21	4.88	267/1207	4.88	4.36	4.41	4.51	4.88

Course-Section: PSYC 304 01

Title: Adolescent Psychology

Instructor: Goldstein,Robin

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 38

Questionnaires: 36

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	12	1	0	1	0	3	19	4.74	129/859	4.74	3.91	4.08	4.13	4.74

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	A	Expected	d Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	21	Required for Majors	12	Graduate	0	Major	21
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	4						
56-83	5	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	7	Under-grad	36	Non-major	15
84-150	5	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	12	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	11						

**Course-Section: PSYC 305 01** 

YC 305 01

Title: The Exceptional Child

Instructor: Freiberg, Karen

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 73

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	2	0	0	2	2	5	33	4.64	461/1542	4.64	4.26	4.33	4.37	4.64
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	0	2	2	7	31	4.60	504/1542	4.60	4.19	4.29	4.31	4.60
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	0	0	1	2	6	33	4.69	383/1339	4.69	4.20	4.32	4.36	4.69
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	3	23	2	0	2	2	12	4.22	885/1498	4.22	4.20	4.26	4.32	4.22
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	2	1	1	7	9	22	4.25	629/1428	4.25	4.09	4.12	4.15	4.25
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	32	1	2	2	1	4	3.50	****/1407	****	4.04	4.15	4.20	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	0	1	1	5	35	4.76	222/1521	4.76	4.22	4.20	4.23	4.76
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	1	0	0	0	27	14	4.34	1260/1541	4.34	4.78	4.70	4.71	4.34
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	10	0	1	0	7	9	17	4.21	744/1518	4.21	4.02	4.11	4.13	4.21
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	1	1	0	4	36	4.74	486/1472	4.74	4.40	4.46	4.46	4.74
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	1	0	1	40	4.90	538/1475	4.90	4.74	4.72	4.74	4.90
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	1	2	7	32	4.67	463/1471	4.67	4.29	4.32	4.33	4.67
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	1	1	3	4	33	4.60	598/1470	4.60	4.28	4.33	4.35	4.60
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	1	2	0	2	7	29	4.53	308/1310	4.53	4.08	4.06	4.11	4.53
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	15	0	3	1	5	4	16	4.00	774/1210	4.00	4.08	4.18	4.27	4.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	14	0	1	2	3	1	23	4.43	651/1211	4.43	4.31	4.37	4.45	4.43
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	14	0	3	2	1	1	23	4.30	790/1207	4.30	4.36	4.41	4.51	4.30
4. Were special techniques successful	16	18	2	1	2	1	4	3.40	****/859	****	3.91	4.08	4.13	****

Course-Section: PSYC 305 01

Title: The Exceptional Child

Instructor: Freiberg, Karen

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 73

Questionnaires: 44

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions		NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	42	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/210	****	4.31	4.17	4.21	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	42	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/202	****	4.38	4.50	4.54	****

#### **Frequency Distribution**

<b>Credits Earned</b>		Cum. GPA		<b>Expected Grades</b>		Reasons		Туре		Majors		
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	Α	20	Required for Majors	24	Graduate	0	Major	25	
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	1	В	15							
56-83	9	2.00-2.99	5	С	3	General	6	Under-grad	44	Non-major	19	
84-150	13	3.00-3.49	8	D	0							
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	11	F	0	Electives 8		**** - Means there are not enough responses				
				Р	0			to be significant				
				1	0	Other	2					
				?	5							

Run Date: 6/29/2012 9:57:41 AM

Course-Section: PSYC 306 01

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 112

Instructor: Murphy,Julie

Title: Lifespan Human Develop

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	7	0	0	0	0	6	26	4.81	250/1542	4.81	4.26	4.33	4.37	4.81
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	6	0	0	0	0	4	29	4.88	169/1542	4.88	4.19	4.29	4.31	4.88
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	6	0	0	1	3	6	23	4.55	539/1339	4.55	4.20	4.32	4.36	4.55
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	7	0	0	1	3	7	21	4.50	549/1498	4.50	4.20	4.26	4.32	4.50
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	6	2	1	0	3	8	19	4.42	483/1428	4.42	4.09	4.12	4.15	4.42
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	6	0	3	0	3	6	21	4.27	662/1407	4.27	4.04	4.15	4.20	4.27
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	7	0	0	0	0	7	25	4.78	203/1521	4.78	4.22	4.20	4.23	4.78
8. How many times was class cancelled	6	0	0	0	0	12	21	4.64	1020/1541	4.64	4.78	4.70	4.71	4.64
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	15	1	0	0	0	6	17	4.74	193/1518	4.74	4.02	4.11	4.13	4.74
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	6	0	0	0	0	6	27	4.82	351/1472	4.82	4.40	4.46	4.46	4.82
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	6	0	0	0	0	3	30	4.91	538/1475	4.91	4.74	4.72	4.74	4.91
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	7	0	0	0	0	4	28	4.88	198/1471	4.88	4.29	4.32	4.33	4.88
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	0	3	29	4.91	177/1470	4.91	4.28	4.33	4.35	4.91
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	8	0	1	0	1	5	24	4.65	216/1310	4.65	4.08	4.06	4.11	4.65
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	15	0	0	0	2	6	16	4.58	384/1210	4.58	4.08	4.18	4.27	4.58
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	15	0	1	0	4	6	13	4.25	796/1211	4.25	4.31	4.37	4.45	4.25
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	15	0	0	0	1	3	20	4.79	355/1207	4.79	4.36	4.41	4.51	4.79
4. Were special techniques successful	15	3	1	1	4	5	10	4.05	468/859	4.05	3.91	4.08	4.13	4.05

Course-Section: PSYC 306 01

Title: Lifespan Human Develop

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 112

Questionnaires: 39

\*\*\*\*

Instructor: Murphy,Julie

3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful

**Frequencies Instructor** Course Ora UMBC Level Sect Mean Mean Mean **Ouestions** NA 5 NR 3 Mean Rank Mean Mean Laboratory 2. Were you provided with adequate background information 37 \*\*\* 4.31 \*\*\*\* 0 0 0 1 0 1 4.00 \*\*\*\*/210 4.17 4.21 Seminar \*\*\*\*/69 1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme 37 0 \*\*\*\* 4.53 4.56 4.70 \*\*\*\* 0 0 0 2 5.00 2. Was the instructor available for individual attention \*\*\*\*/69 \*\*\*\* \*\*\*\* 37 0 0 0 0 0 2 5.00 4.47 4.60 4.68 3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned \*\*\*\*/68 \*\*\*\* \*\*\*\* 37 0 0 0 0 0 2 5.00 4.67 4.50 4.51 \*\*\*\* 4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned 37 0 0 0 0 0 2 5.00 \*\*\*\*/73 4.64 4.54 4.55 \*\*\*\* 37 \*\*\*\*/67 \*\*\*\* 4.52 \*\*\*\* 5. Were criteria for grading made clear 0 0 0 2 0 0 5.00 4.17 4.46 **Field Work** \*\*\*\*/32 1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned 0 0 3.00 \*\*\*\* \*\*\*\* 4.20 \*\*\*\* 37 1 0 0 1 3.88 \*\*\*\*/35 2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria 37 0 0 2 5.00 \*\*\*\* \*\*\*\* 4.36 \*\*\*\* 0 0 0 4.08 **Self Paced** \*\*\*\*/30 \*\*\* 1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned \*\*\*\* 37 0 0 0 0 0 2 5.00 4.02 4.27 3.17 \*\*\*\*/19 2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal 37 0 0 0 0 2 \*\*\*\* 4.57 \*\*\*\* 0 5.00 3.89 4.33

0

0

0

1

1

4.50

Run Date: 6/29/2012 9:57:41 AM

0

37

\*\*\*\*

3.56

4.29

2.17

\*\*\*\*/29

Course-Section: PSYC 306 01

Title: Lifespan Human Develop

Instructor: Murphy,Julie

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 112
Questionnaires: 39

**Frequencies Instructor** Course UMBC Level Sect Org Questions NA 5 Mean Mean Mean NR Mean Rank Mean **Self Paced** \*\*\*\*/18 4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful 37 5.00 \*\*\* 3.58 4.25 1.00 \*\*\*\* 1 0 0 0 0 1

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	4	0.00-0.99	0	Α	20	Required for Majors	19	Graduate	0	Major	7
28-55	3	1.00-1.99	0	В	12						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	4	С	1	General	4	Under-grad	39	Non-major	32
84-150	5	3.00-3.49	6	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	7	F	0	Electives	6	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	4				
				?	6						

Course-Section: PSYC 307 01

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 150

Title: Psychology Of Aging

**Questionnaires: 79** 

**Instructor:** Freiberg, Karen

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	2	1	5	18	53	4.51	632/1542	4.51	4.26	4.33	4.37	4.51
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	2	1	9	11	56	4.49	628/1542	4.49	4.19	4.29	4.31	4.49
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	1	1	0	5	16	55	4.61	465/1339	4.61	4.20	4.32	4.36	4.61
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	35	1	3	2	9	29	4.41	688/1498	4.41	4.20	4.26	4.32	4.41
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	2	0	1	8	17	50	4.53	372/1428	4.53	4.09	4.12	4.15	4.53
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	44	1	0	3	7	24	4.51	395/1407	4.51	4.04	4.15	4.20	4.51
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	2	0	3	4	11	59	4.64	369/1521	4.64	4.22	4.20	4.23	4.64
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	2	0	0	2	47	28	4.34	1268/1541	4.34	4.78	4.70	4.71	4.34
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	11	2	0	1	5	21	39	4.48	397/1518	4.48	4.02	4.11	4.13	4.48
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	1	3	8	18	48	4.40	963/1472	4.40	4.40	4.46	4.46	4.40
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	1	0	0	4	72	4.90	565/1475	4.90	4.74	4.72	4.74	4.90
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	1	1	7	18	51	4.50	637/1471	4.50	4.29	4.32	4.33	4.50
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	1	2	2	3	15	55	4.55	650/1470	4.55	4.28	4.33	4.35	4.55
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	2	3	0	7	13	52	4.48	344/1310	4.48	4.08	4.06	4.11	4.48
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	31	0	1	2	10	6	29	4.25	634/1210	4.25	4.08	4.18	4.27	4.25
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	31	0	1	1	3	15	28	4.42	671/1211	4.42	4.31	4.37	4.45	4.42
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	31	0	1	0	2	15	30	4.52	615/1207	4.52	4.36	4.41	4.51	4.52
4. Were special techniques successful	33	27	1	1	2	4	11	4.21	****/859	****	3.91	4.08	4.13	****

Course-Section: PSYC 307 01

Title: Psychology Of Aging

**Instructor:** Freiberg, Karen

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 150

'				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	76	1	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/207	****	4.44	4.12	4.17	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	77	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/210	****	4.31	4.17	4.21	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	76	1	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	****/202	****	4.38	4.50	4.54	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	76	2	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/202	****	4.51	4.32	4.44	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	76	2	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/199	****	4.45	4.15	4.18	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	76	2	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/69	****	4.53	4.56	4.70	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	76	1	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/69	****	4.47	4.60	4.68	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	76	2	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/68	****	4.67	4.50	4.51	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	76	1	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	****/67	****	4.52	4.17	4.46	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	76	0	0	0	2	0	1	3.67	****/32	****	****	4.20	3.88	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	76	0	0	1	0	1	1	3.67	****/35	****	****	4.36	4.08	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	77	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/25	****	****	4.59	4.24	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	76	1	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/23	****	****	4.41	3.84	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	76	1	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	****/17	****	****	4.62	4.17	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	77	0	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	****/30	****	4.02	4.27	3.17	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	76	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	****/19	****	3.89	4.57	4.33	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	76	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/29	****	3.56	4.29	2.17	****
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	76	0	1	1	0	0	1	2.67	****/18	****	3.58	4.25	1.00	****

Course-Section: PSYC 307 01

Title: Psychology Of Aging

Instructor: Freiberg, Karen

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 150
Questionnaires: 79

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	76	1	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	****/13	****	3.85	4.14	1.00	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	A	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	4	0.00-0.99	0	Α	24	Required for Majors	41	Graduate	0	Major	38
28-55	8	1.00-1.99	0	В	38						
56-83	7	2.00-2.99	11	С	3	General	9	Under-grad	79	Non-major	41
84-150	20	3.00-3.49	12	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	15	F	0	Electives	12	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	3				
				?	13						

Course-Section: PSYC 308 01

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 17** 

**Instructor:** Goldstein, Robin

**Title: Child Maltreatment** 

	_			Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	2	0	0	0	0	2	13	4.87	205/1542	4.87	4.26	4.33	4.37	4.87
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	0	2	1	3	9	4.27	917/1542	4.27	4.19	4.29	4.31	4.27
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	12	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/1339	****	4.20	4.32	4.36	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	3	2	0	1	1	2	8	4.42	674/1498	4.42	4.20	4.26	4.32	4.42
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	4	1	0	1	1	4	6	4.25	629/1428	4.25	4.09	4.12	4.15	4.25
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	1	1	2	10	4.50	405/1407	4.50	4.04	4.15	4.20	4.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	2	0	1	2	2	8	4.31	785/1521	4.31	4.22	4.20	4.23	4.31
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	1	14	4.93	482/1541	4.93	4.78	4.70	4.71	4.93
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	0	0	1	3	9	4.62	286/1518	4.62	4.02	4.11	4.13	4.62
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	2	2	1	2	8	3.80	1327/1472	3.80	4.40	4.46	4.46	3.80
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	15	5.00	1/1475	5.00	4.74	4.72	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	1	2	4	8	4.27	938/1471	4.27	4.29	4.32	4.33	4.27
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	2	1	3	9	4.27	951/1470	4.27	4.28	4.33	4.35	4.27
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	4	1	0	1	5	1	5	3.83	905/1310	3.83	4.08	4.06	4.11	3.83
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	1	14	4.93	90/1210	4.93	4.08	4.18	4.27	4.93
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	0	2	13	4.87	232/1211	4.87	4.31	4.37	4.45	4.87
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	0	3	12	4.80	344/1207	4.80	4.36	4.41	4.51	4.80
4. Were special techniques successful	2	6	0	2	1	1	5	4.00	478/859	4.00	3.91	4.08	4.13	4.00

Course-Section: PSYC 308 01

Title: Child Maltreatment

Instructor: Goldstein,Robin

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 17
Questionnaires: 17

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	16	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/69	****	4.53	4.56	4.70	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	16	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/69	****	4.47	4.60	4.68	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	16	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/68	****	4.67	4.50	4.51	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	16	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/73	****	4.64	4.54	4.55	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	16	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/67	****	4.52	4.17	4.46	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	16	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	****	4.02	4.27	3.17	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	11	Required for Majors	4	Graduate	0	Major	10
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	4	Under-grad	17	Non-major	7
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	4	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	5						

**Course-Section: PSYC 317 01** 

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 43** 

**Title: Cognitive Psychology** 

**Instructor:** Groninger,Lowel

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	3	0	0	1	1	4	7	4.31	908/1542	4.31	4.26	4.33	4.37	4.31
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	3	0	1	2	1	5	4	3.69	1327/1542	3.69	4.19	4.29	4.31	3.69
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	3	0	3	0	0	5	5	3.69	1148/1339	3.69	4.20	4.32	4.36	3.69
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	3	0	1	1	4	1	6	3.77	1234/1498	3.77	4.20	4.26	4.32	3.77
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	2	1	2	8	4.23	650/1428	4.23	4.09	4.12	4.15	4.23
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	3	2	1	1	3	2	4	3.64	1139/1407	3.64	4.04	4.15	4.20	3.64
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	3	0	1	2	1	1	8	4.00	1046/1521	4.00	4.22	4.20	4.23	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	4	0	0	0	0	5	7	4.58	1062/1541	4.58	4.78	4.70	4.71	4.58
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	8	1	1	1	2	3	0	3.00	1425/1518	3.00	4.02	4.11	4.13	3.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	4	0	0	0	1	2	9	4.67	598/1472	4.67	4.40	4.46	4.46	4.67
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	4	0	0	0	2	1	9	4.58	1134/1475	4.58	4.74	4.72	4.74	4.58
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	0	1	2	3	6	4.17	1015/1471	4.17	4.29	4.32	4.33	4.17
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	4	0	1	2	0	1	8	4.08	1079/1470	4.08	4.28	4.33	4.35	4.08
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	4	2	0	1	0	3	6	4.40	425/1310	4.40	4.08	4.06	4.11	4.40
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	9	0	3	0	1	0	3	3.00	1123/1210	3.00	4.08	4.18	4.27	3.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	9	0	3	1	0	0	3	2.86	1192/1211	2.86	4.31	4.37	4.45	2.86
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	9	0	1	1	2	0	3	3.43	1122/1207	3.43	4.36	4.41	4.51	3.43

Course-Section: PSYC 317 01

Title: Cognitive Psychology

Instructor: Groninger, Lowel

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 43

Questionnaires: 16

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	9	5	2	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/859	****	3.91	4.08	4.13	****

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	8	Graduate	0	Major	5
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	3						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	3	С	7	General	2	Under-grad	16	Non-major	11
84-150	4	3.00-3.49	3	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	4						

**Course-Section: PSYC 320 01** 

Title: Psychological Assessment

**Instructor:** Anderson, Robert

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment:** 50

	Questions NR NA 1									Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	3	11	7	12	3.85	1295/1542	3.84	4.26	4.33	4.37	3.85
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	5	6	11	11	3.85	1250/1542	4.04	4.19	4.29	4.31	3.85
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	2	2	11	9	9	3.64	1172/1339	3.76	4.20	4.32	4.36	3.64
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	2	5	7	10	7	3.48	1352/1498	3.58	4.20	4.26	4.32	3.48
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	1	10	8	13	3.94	944/1428	3.97	4.09	4.12	4.15	3.94
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	2	4	3	9	10	5	3.29	1290/1407	3.57	4.04	4.15	4.20	3.29
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	2	3	8	7	12	3.75	1219/1521	4.26	4.22	4.20	4.23	3.75
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	17	16	4.48	1140/1541	4.74	4.78	4.70	4.71	4.48
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	1	7	12	10	4.03	896/1518	4.05	4.02	4.11	4.13	4.03
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	2	10	11	9	3.84	1314/1472	4.01	4.40	4.46	4.46	3.84
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	2	2	28	4.81	781/1475	4.55	4.74	4.72	4.74	4.81
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	1	2	2	14	13	4.13	1046/1471	4.09	4.29	4.32	4.33	4.13
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	7	12	13	4.19	1016/1470	4.15	4.28	4.33	4.35	4.19
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	2	0	2	4	14	10	4.07	728/1310	3.53	4.08	4.06	4.11	4.07
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	14	0	0	0	4	9	6	4.11	741/1210	4.05	4.08	4.18	4.27	4.11
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	14	0	0	0	0	5	14	4.74	377/1211	4.42	4.31	4.37	4.45	4.74
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	14	0	0	0	1	3	15	4.74	425/1207	4.59	4.36	4.41	4.51	4.74

Course-Section: PSYC 320 01

Title: Psychological Assessment

Instructor: Anderson, Robert

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 50

Questionnaires: 33

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	14	12	2	0	3	1	1	2.86	****/859	2.78	3.91	4.08	4.13	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	3	Required for Majors	23	Graduate	0	Major	28
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	17						
56-83	5	2.00-2.99	5	С	11	General	3	Under-grad	33	Non-major	5
84-150	8	3.00-3.49	10	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	4	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	1				
				?	2						

**Course-Section: PSYC 320 02** 

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 25** 

Title:

**Title: Psychological Assessment** 

**Questionnaires: 18** 

**Instructor:** Rudow, Edward

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	1	5	8	4	3.83	1300/1542	3.84	4.26	4.33	4.37	3.83
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	3	8	7	4.22	967/1542	4.04	4.19	4.29	4.31	4.22
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	3	3	5	7	3.89	1065/1339	3.76	4.20	4.32	4.36	3.89
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	5	1	1	3	3	4	3.67	1281/1498	3.58	4.20	4.26	4.32	3.67
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	4	7	6	4.00	851/1428	3.97	4.09	4.12	4.15	4.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	11	1	0	1	2	3	3.86	1013/1407	3.57	4.04	4.15	4.20	3.86
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	4	14	4.78	212/1521	4.26	4.22	4.20	4.23	4.78
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	5.00	1/1541	4.74	4.78	4.70	4.71	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	1	0	0	3	8	4	4.07	873/1518	4.05	4.02	4.11	4.13	4.07
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	1	4	4	9	4.17	1148/1472	4.01	4.40	4.46	4.46	4.17
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	2	2	3	11	4.28	1329/1475	4.55	4.74	4.72	4.74	4.28
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	5	7	6	4.06	1083/1471	4.09	4.29	4.32	4.33	4.06
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	3	7	7	4.11	1065/1470	4.15	4.28	4.33	4.35	4.11
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	4	3	2	2	4	2	3.00	1218/1310	3.53	4.08	4.06	4.11	3.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	0	4	6	7	4.00	774/1210	4.05	4.08	4.18	4.27	4.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	6	4	8	4.11	880/1211	4.42	4.31	4.37	4.45	4.11
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	1	1	5	11	4.44	685/1207	4.59	4.36	4.41	4.51	4.44
4. Were special techniques successful	0	9	3	1	1	3	1	2.78	832/859	2.78	3.91	4.08	4.13	2.78

Course-Section: PSYC 320 02

Title: Psychological Assessment

Instructor: Rudow,Edward

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 25

Questionnaires: 18

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	17	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/32	****	****	4.20	3.88	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	16	0	1	0	1	0	0	2.00	****/30	****	4.02	4.27	3.17	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	15	2	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/19	****	3.89	4.57	4.33	****

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	14	Required for Majors	7	Graduate	0	Major	13
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	2						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	3	Under-grad	18	Non-major	5
84-150	5	3.00-3.49	5	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	5	F	0	Electives	6	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	2						

Course-Section: PSYC 324 01

Title: Intro Interview Techn

Instructor: Glyshaw, Kathy J

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 70

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	3	0	0	3	7	14	18	4.12	1095/1542	4.12	4.26	4.33	4.37	4.12
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	3	0	1	6	12	11	12	3.64	1354/1542	3.64	4.19	4.29	4.31	3.64
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	4	0	0	0	9	9	23	4.34	748/1339	4.34	4.20	4.32	4.36	4.34
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	4	0	0	2	4	14	21	4.32	790/1498	4.32	4.20	4.26	4.32	4.32
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	3	0	1	5	5	8	23	4.12	780/1428	4.12	4.09	4.12	4.15	4.12
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	3	3	14	21	4.29	640/1407	4.29	4.04	4.15	4.20	4.29
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	4	0	3	5	12	9	12	3.54	1317/1521	3.54	4.22	4.20	4.23	3.54
8. How many times was class cancelled	4	0	0	0	0	2	39	4.95	345/1541	4.95	4.78	4.70	4.71	4.95
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	9	0	0	4	15	13	4	3.47	1299/1518	3.47	4.02	4.11	4.13	3.47
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	4	0	0	4	16	12	9	3.63	1377/1472	3.63	4.40	4.46	4.46	3.63
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	5	0	0	0	1	7	32	4.78	861/1475	4.78	4.74	4.72	4.74	4.78
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	1	4	11	14	11	3.73	1254/1471	3.73	4.29	4.32	4.33	3.73
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	4	0	1	4	8	12	16	3.93	1167/1470	3.93	4.28	4.33	4.35	3.93
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	4	0	4	2	11	10	14	3.68	982/1310	3.68	4.08	4.06	4.11	3.68
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	26	0	0	0	4	5	10	4.32	594/1210	4.32	4.08	4.18	4.27	4.32
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	26	0	0	0	0	3	16	4.84	251/1211	4.84	4.31	4.37	4.45	4.84
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	26	0	0	0	0	6	13	4.68	480/1207	4.68	4.36	4.41	4.51	4.68

Course-Section: PSYC 324 01
Title: Intro Interview Techn
Instructor: Glyshaw,Kathy J

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 70

Questionnaires: 45

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	26	0	2	0	1	3	13	4.32	327/859	4.32	3.91	4.08	4.13	4.32

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	A	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	30	Required for Majors	18	Graduate	0	Major	33
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	5						
56-83	5	2.00-2.99	4	С	0	General	1	Under-grad	45	Non-major	12
84-150	17	3.00-3.49	16	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	7	F	0	Electives	10	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	2				
				?	10						

Course-Section: PSYC 330 02

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 17** 

Title.

**Title: Child Devel And Culture** 

**Questionnaires: 16** 

**Instructor:** Watkins-Lewis,K

							structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect		
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	3	0	1	3	1	4	4	3.54	1425/1542	3.54	4.26	4.33	4.37	3.54
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	3	0	2	2	2	2	5	3.46	1415/1542	3.46	4.19	4.29	4.31	3.46
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	3	0	0	3	1	4	5	3.85	1088/1339	3.85	4.20	4.32	4.36	3.85
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	3	0	1	3	2	4	3	3.38	1383/1498	3.38	4.20	4.26	4.32	3.38
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	3	2	5	3	3.62	1185/1428	3.62	4.09	4.12	4.15	3.62
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	3	3	2	0	4	2	2	3.20	1309/1407	3.20	4.04	4.15	4.20	3.20
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	3	0	1	1	2	4	5	3.85	1176/1521	3.85	4.22	4.20	4.23	3.85
8. How many times was class cancelled	4	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	620/1541	4.92	4.78	4.70	4.71	4.92
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	4	3	4	2	3.31	1362/1518	3.31	4.02	4.11	4.13	3.31
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	4	4	5	4.08	1199/1472	4.08	4.40	4.46	4.46	4.08
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	1	1	3	8	4.38	1280/1475	4.38	4.74	4.72	4.74	4.38
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	2	3	3	5	3.85	1205/1471	3.85	4.29	4.32	4.33	3.85
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	1	2	5	4	4.00	1108/1470	4.00	4.28	4.33	4.35	4.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	0	0	0	2	4	7	4.38	445/1310	4.38	4.08	4.06	4.11	4.38
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	2	3	3	5	3.85	879/1210	3.85	4.08	4.18	4.27	3.85
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	2	0	7	4	4.00	918/1211	4.00	4.31	4.37	4.45	4.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	1	0	3	3	6	4.00	918/1207	4.00	4.36	4.41	4.51	4.00

Course-Section: PSYC 330 02

Title: Child Devel And Culture

Instructor: Watkins-Lewis,K

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 17

Questionnaires: 16

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	3	2	1	0	2	5	3	3.82	584/859	3.82	3.91	4.08	4.13	3.82

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	6	Required for Majors	6	Graduate	0	Major	10
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	7						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	2	Under-grad	16	Non-major	6
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	3	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	3	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	3						

Course-Section: PSYC 331 01

Title: Experimental Psych I

Instructor: Barry, Robin A

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 94

	1     0     2     0     4     17     33     4.41     765/       1     0     0     0     5     15     36     4.55     553/       1     0     0     1     5     12     38     4.55     529/       1     14     1     0     4     10     27     4.48     590/       1     3     3     2     3     15     30     4.26     619/       1     26     1     3     2     9     15     4.13     801/       1     1     0     5     3     15     32     4.35     734/       1     1     0     1     0     4     50     4.87     738/       9     1     1     1     9     21     15     4.02     904/       2     0     1     2     2     17     33     4.44     912/       2     0     0     5     9     41     4.65     1053/       2     0     1     2     3     18     31     4.38     809/					structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect			
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	2	0	4	17	33	4.41	765/1542	4.27	4.26	4.33	4.37	4.41
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	5	15	36	4.55	553/1542	4.36	4.19	4.29	4.31	4.55
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	1	5	12	38	4.55	529/1339	4.41	4.20	4.32	4.36	4.55
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	14	1	0	4	10	27	4.48	590/1498	4.31	4.20	4.26	4.32	4.48
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	3	3	2	3	15	30	4.26	619/1428	3.81	4.09	4.12	4.15	4.26
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	26	1	3	2	9	15	4.13	801/1407	4.13	4.04	4.15	4.20	4.13
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	1	0	5	3	15	32	4.35	734/1521	4.35	4.22	4.20	4.23	4.35
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	1	0	1	0	4	50	4.87	738/1541	4.87	4.78	4.70	4.71	4.87
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	9	1	1	1	9	21	15	4.02	904/1518	4.09	4.02	4.11	4.13	4.02
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	1	2	2	17	33	4.44	912/1472	4.38	4.40	4.46	4.46	4.44
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	5	9	41	4.65	1053/1475	4.69	4.74	4.72	4.74	4.65
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	1	2	3	18	31	4.38	809/1471	4.27	4.29	4.32	4.33	4.38
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	1	0	1	5	13	35	4.52	681/1470	4.33	4.28	4.33	4.35	4.52
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	6	2	3	4	12	28	4.24	586/1310	4.00	4.08	4.06	4.11	4.24
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	29	0	0	0	3	4	21	4.64	339/1210	3.95	4.08	4.18	4.27	4.64
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	29	0	0	0	4	3	21	4.61	507/1211	4.18	4.31	4.37	4.45	4.61
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	29	0	0	0	4	4	20	4.57	578/1207	4.14	4.36	4.41	4.51	4.57
4. Were special techniques successful	29	12	0	1	3	1	11	4.38	291/859	3.73	3.91	4.08	4.13	4.38

Course-Section: PSYC 331 01

Title: Experimental Psych I

Instructor: Barry, Robin A

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 94

				Fre	quend	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	43	0	0	0	2	3	9	4.50	****/207	4.38	4.44	4.12	4.17	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	43	0	0	0	3	4	7	4.29	****/210	4.53	4.31	4.17	4.21	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	43	1	0	1	2	4	6	4.15	****/202	4.47	4.38	4.50	4.54	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	43	0	1	0	2	2	9	4.29	****/202	4.18	4.51	4.32	4.44	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	43	4	0	2	0	1	7	4.30	****/199	4.37	4.45	4.15	4.18	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	56	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/69	****	4.53	4.56	4.70	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	56	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/68	****	4.67	4.50	4.51	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	56	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/73	****	4.64	4.54	4.55	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	56	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/67	****	4.52	4.17	4.46	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	56	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/32	****	****	4.20	3.88	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	56	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/35	****	****	4.36	4.08	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	56	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/25	****	****	4.59	4.24	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	56	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/23	****	****	4.41	3.84	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	56	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/17	****	****	4.62	4.17	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	56	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/30	****	4.02	4.27	3.17	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	56	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/19	****	3.89	4.57	4.33	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	56	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/29	****	3.56	4.29	2.17	****

Course-Section: PSYC 331 01

Title: Experimental Psych I

Instructor: Barry,Robin A

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 94

Questionnaires: 57

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	56	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/18	****	3.58	4.25	1.00	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	A	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	1	Α	22	Required for Majors	47	Graduate	1	Major	34
28-55	6	1.00-1.99	0	В	17						
56-83	11	2.00-2.99	9	С	7	General	2	Under-grad	56	Non-major	23
84-150	9	3.00-3.49	11	D	0						
Grad.	1	3.50-4.00	5	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	1				
				?	11						

**Course-Section: PSYC 331 05** 

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 65 Questionnaires: 29** 

**Title: Experimental Psych I** 

**Instructor: Pitts, Steven C** 

	8       0       0       1       2       3       15       4.52       590/1542         8       0       0       1       3       2       15       4.48       615/1339         9       5       0       1       2       2       10       4.40       688/1498         8       0       4       0       6       6       5       3.38       1271/142         9       7       0       2       3       4       4       3.77       1075/140         8       0       0       0       3       2       16       4.62       395/152         8       0       0       0       0       1       20       4.95       345/154         14       0       0       0       2       5       8       4.40       494/1518         10       0       0       0       2       1       16       4.74       486/1472         10       0       0       0       1       18       4.95       323/1479         10       0       0       0       4       3       12       4.42       755/1473         10       0<						structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect		
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	7	0	0	1	4	4	13	4.32	895/1542	4.27	4.26	4.33	4.37	4.32
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	8	0	0	1	2	3	15	4.52	590/1542	4.36	4.19	4.29	4.31	4.52
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	8	0	0	1	3	2	15	4.48	615/1339	4.41	4.20	4.32	4.36	4.48
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	9	5	0	1	2	2	10	4.40	688/1498	4.31	4.20	4.26	4.32	4.40
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	8	0	4	0	6	6	5	3.38	1271/1428	3.81	4.09	4.12	4.15	3.38
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	9	7	0	2	3	4	4	3.77	1075/1407	4.13	4.04	4.15	4.20	3.77
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	8	0	0	0	3	2	16	4.62	395/1521	4.35	4.22	4.20	4.23	4.62
8. How many times was class cancelled	8	0	0	0	0	1	20	4.95	345/1541	4.87	4.78	4.70	4.71	4.95
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	14	0	0	0	2	5	8	4.40	494/1518	4.09	4.02	4.11	4.13	4.40
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	10	0	0	0	2	1	16	4.74	486/1472	4.38	4.40	4.46	4.46	4.74
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	10	0	0	0	0	1	18	4.95	323/1475	4.69	4.74	4.72	4.74	4.95
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	10	0	0	0	4	3	12	4.42	755/1471	4.27	4.29	4.32	4.33	4.42
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	10	0	1	0	0	5	13	4.53	671/1470	4.33	4.28	4.33	4.35	4.53
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	11	0	1	0	3	8	6	4.00	761/1310	4.00	4.08	4.06	4.11	4.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	12	0	2	2	6	1	6	3.41	1041/1210	3.95	4.08	4.18	4.27	3.41
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	12	0	3	1	2	5	6	3.59	1084/1211	4.18	4.31	4.37	4.45	3.59
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	12	0	0	2	2	5	8	4.12	886/1207	4.14	4.36	4.41	4.51	4.12
4. Were special techniques successful	12	10	0	1	2	3	1	3.57	****/859	3.73	3.91	4.08	4.13	****

**Course-Section: PSYC 331 05** 

Term - Spring 2012 Title: Experimental Psych I

**Enrollment: 65 Questionnaires: 29** 

**Instructor: Pitts, Steven C** 

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	25	0	0	1	1	1	1	3.50	****/207	4.38	4.44	4.12	4.17	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	25	0	0	0	1	2	1	4.00	****/210	4.53	4.31	4.17	4.21	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	25	1	0	1	0	2	0	3.33	****/202	4.47	4.38	4.50	4.54	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	25	0	0	1	1	0	2	3.75	****/202	4.18	4.51	4.32	4.44	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	25	2	0	1	0	1	0	3.00	****/199	4.37	4.45	4.15	4.18	****
Seminar												,		
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	27	1	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/69	****	4.53	4.56	4.70	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	28	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/69	****	4.47	4.60	4.68	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	28	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/68	****	4.67	4.50	4.51	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	28	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/73	****	4.64	4.54	4.55	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	28	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/67	****	4.52	4.17	4.46	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	28	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/32	****	****	4.20	3.88	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	28	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/35	****	****	4.36	4.08	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	28	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/25	****	****	4.59	4.24	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	28	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/23	****	****	4.41	3.84	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	28	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/17	****	****	4.62	4.17	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	28	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/30	****	4.02	4.27	3.17	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	28	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/19	****	3.89	4.57	4.33	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	28	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/29	****	3.56	4.29	2.17	****

Run Date: 6/29/2012 9:57:42 AM

Course-Section: PSYC 331 05

Title: Experimental Psych I

Instructor: Pitts, Steven C

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 65
Questionnaires: 29

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	28	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/18	****	3.58	4.25	1.00	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	28	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/13	****	3.85	4.14	1.00	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	6	Required for Majors	18	Graduate	0	Major	17
28-55	3	1.00-1.99	1	В	7						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	1	С	7	General	0	Under-grad	29	Non-major	12
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	7	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	9						

Course-Section: PSYC 331 09

**Title: Experimental Psych I** 

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 24** 

**Instructor:** Rose, Laura E.

	NR         NA         1         2         3         4         5         Mean           0         0         0         1         0         2         13         4.69         4:           0         0         0         0         1         2         13         4.75         29           0         0         0         0         1         4         11         4.63         49           1         2         0         0         0         3         10         4.77         24           0         2         0         3         1         4         6         3.93         99           0         8         0         0         1         2         5         4.50         40           0         0         0         0         0         1         15         4.94         6           0         0         0         0         0         15         4.81         83           1         0         0         0         0         2         14         4.88         29           0         0         0         0         0         2					structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect			
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	1	0	2	13	4.69	410/1542	4.27	4.26	4.33	4.37	4.69
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	2	13	4.75	297/1542	4.36	4.19	4.29	4.31	4.75
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	4	11	4.63	455/1339	4.41	4.20	4.32	4.36	4.63
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	2	0	0	0	3	10	4.77	240/1498	4.31	4.20	4.26	4.32	4.77
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	3	1	4	6	3.93	958/1428	3.81	4.09	4.12	4.15	3.93
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	8	0	0	1	2	5	4.50	405/1407	4.13	4.04	4.15	4.20	4.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	1	15	4.94	69/1521	4.35	4.22	4.20	4.23	4.94
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	1	0	0	15	4.81	836/1541	4.87	4.78	4.70	4.71	4.81
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	6	9	4.60	295/1518	4.09	4.02	4.11	4.13	4.60
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	2	14	4.88	256/1472	4.38	4.40	4.46	4.46	4.88
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	2	14	4.88	619/1475	4.69	4.74	4.72	4.74	4.88
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	3	13	4.81	268/1471	4.27	4.29	4.32	4.33	4.81
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	0	15	4.88	217/1470	4.33	4.28	4.33	4.35	4.88
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	1	0	0	2	3	10	4.53	300/1310	4.00	4.08	4.06	4.11	4.53
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	1	1	1	7	4.40	523/1210	3.95	4.08	4.18	4.27	4.40
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	6	0	0	0	1	0	9	4.80	290/1211	4.18	4.31	4.37	4.45	4.80
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	6	0	0	1	2	0	7	4.30	790/1207	4.14	4.36	4.41	4.51	4.30
4. Were special techniques successful	6	5	1	0	1	0	3	3.80	589/859	3.73	3.91	4.08	4.13	3.80

Course-Section: PSYC 331 09

C 331 09 Term - Spring 2012

Questionnaires: 16

**Enrollment: 24** 

Title: Experimental Psych I
Instructor: Rose,Laura E.

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	4	0	0	0	1	4	7	4.50	60/207	4.38	4.44	4.12	4.17	4.50
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	4	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	14/210	4.53	4.31	4.17	4.21	4.92
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	4	3	1	0	0	1	7	4.44	123/202	4.47	4.38	4.50	4.54	4.44
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	4	0	0	0	3	5	4	4.08	143/202	4.18	4.51	4.32	4.44	4.08
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	5	6	0	0	0	2	3	4.60	51/199	4.37	4.45	4.15	4.18	4.60
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	14	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/69	****	4.53	4.56	4.70	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	14	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/69	****	4.47	4.60	4.68	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	14	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/68	****	4.67	4.50	4.51	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	14	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/73	****	4.64	4.54	4.55	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	14	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/67	****	4.52	4.17	4.46	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	14	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/32	****	****	4.20	3.88	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	14	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/35	****	****	4.36	4.08	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	14	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/25	****	****	4.59	4.24	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	14	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/23	****	****	4.41	3.84	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	14	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/17	****	****	4.62	4.17	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	14	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/30	****	4.02	4.27	3.17	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	14	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/19	****	3.89	4.57	4.33	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	14	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/29	****	3.56	4.29	2.17	****

Course-Section: PSYC 331 09

Title: Experimental Psych I

Instructor: Rose, Laura E.

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 24
Questionnaires: 16

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	14	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/18	****	3.58	4.25	1.00	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	14	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/13	****	3.85	4.14	1.00	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	4	Required for Majors	14	Graduate	0	Major	14
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	8						
56-83	4	2.00-2.99	4	С	2	General	0	Under-grad	16	Non-major	2
84-150	4	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	2						

Course-Section: PSYC 331 13

Title: Experimental Psych I

**Instructor:** Watkins-Lewis,K

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 25

·			IA     1     2     3     4     5     Mean       0     1     1     4     9     3     3.67     13       0     0     4     3     7     4     3.61     13       0     0     4     1     4     9     4.00     9       0     1     3     3     6     5     3.61     13       0     0     4     3     6     5     3.67     13       0     0     1     4     5     8     4.11     8       0     3     1     3     6     5     3.50     13       0     0     0     1     1     16     4.83     8       0     1     1     8     5     2     3.35     13       0     2     3     2     5     5     3.47     14       0     1     2     6     4     4     3.47     13       0     1     5     2     6     4     3.39     13       0     1     5     2     6     4     3.21     11       0     1     2     8     2     4     3.35				structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect		
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	1	1	4	9	3	3.67	1387/1542	4.27	4.26	4.33	4.37	3.67
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	4	3	7	4	3.61	1370/1542	4.36	4.19	4.29	4.31	3.61
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	4	1	4	9	4.00	982/1339	4.41	4.20	4.32	4.36	4.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	1	3	3	6	5	3.61	1303/1498	4.31	4.20	4.26	4.32	3.61
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	4	3	6	5	3.67	1156/1428	3.81	4.09	4.12	4.15	3.67
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	4	5	8	4.11	819/1407	4.13	4.04	4.15	4.20	4.11
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	3	1	3	6	5	3.50	1331/1521	4.35	4.22	4.20	4.23	3.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	1	1	16	4.83	803/1541	4.87	4.78	4.70	4.71	4.83
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	1	1	8	5	2	3.35	1349/1518	4.09	4.02	4.11	4.13	3.35
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	2	3	2	5	5	3.47	1403/1472	4.38	4.40	4.46	4.46	3.47
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	1	0	3	3	11	4.28	1329/1475	4.69	4.74	4.72	4.74	4.28
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	1	2	6	4	4	3.47	1340/1471	4.27	4.29	4.32	4.33	3.47
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	5	2	6	4	3.39	1348/1470	4.33	4.28	4.33	4.35	3.39
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	3	2	1	7	0	4	3.21	1180/1310	4.00	4.08	4.06	4.11	3.21
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	2	8	2	4	3.35	1065/1210	3.95	4.08	4.18	4.27	3.35
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	2	1	4	3	7	3.71	1057/1211	4.18	4.31	4.37	4.45	3.71
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	1	2	4	6	4	3.59	1079/1207	4.14	4.36	4.41	4.51	3.59
4. Were special techniques successful	1	2	1	4	6	2	2	3.00	813/859	3.73	3.91	4.08	4.13	3.00

**Course-Section: PSYC 331 13** 

Term - Spring 2012 Title: Experimental Psych I

**Enrollment: 25 Questionnaires: 18** 

**Instructor:** Watkins-Lewis,K

	10 0 0 0 1 4 3 4.25 96,  11 0 0 0 2 2 3 4.14 127  11 1 0 0 0 1 1 4 4.50 104  11 0 0 0 2 1 4 4.29 116  11 0 0 0 1 4.00 ***  16 0 0 0 0 1 1 4.50 ***  16 0 0 0 0 1 1 4.50 ***  16 0 0 0 0 1 1 4.50 ***  16 0 0 0 0 1 1 4.50 ***  16 0 0 0 0 1 1 4.50 ***  17 0 0 0 0 1 0 4.00 ***  17 0 0 0 0 1 0 4.00 ***  17 0 0 0 0 1 0 4.00 ***					structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect			
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	10	0	0	0	1	4	3	4.25	96/207	4.38	4.44	4.12	4.17	4.25
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	11	0	0	0	2	2	3	4.14	127/210	4.53	4.31	4.17	4.21	4.14
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	11	1	0	0	1	1	4	4.50	104/202	4.47	4.38	4.50	4.54	4.50
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	11	0	0	0	2	1	4	4.29	116/202	4.18	4.51	4.32	4.44	4.29
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	11	0	0	0	1	4	2	4.14	109/199	4.37	4.45	4.15	4.18	4.14
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	16	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/69	****	4.53	4.56	4.70	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	16	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/69	****	4.47	4.60	4.68	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	16	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/68	****	4.67	4.50	4.51	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	16	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/73	****	4.64	4.54	4.55	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	16	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/67	****	4.52	4.17	4.46	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	17	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/32	****	****	4.20	3.88	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	17	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/35	****	****	4.36	4.08	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	17	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/25	****	****	4.59	4.24	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	17	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/30	****	4.02	4.27	3.17	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	17	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/19	****	3.89	4.57	4.33	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	17	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/29	****	3.56	4.29	2.17	****
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	17	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/18	****	3.58	4.25	1.00	****

Run Date: 6/29/2012 9:57:42 AM

Course-Section: PSYC 331 13

Title: Experimental Psych I

Instructor: Watkins-Lewis,K

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 25
Questionnaires: 18

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR NA 1 2 3 4 5				Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean			
Self Paced														
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	17	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/13	****	3.85	4.14	1.00	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	8	Required for Majors	17	Graduate	0	Major	17
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	5						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	2	С	3	General	0	Under-grad	18	Non-major	1
84-150	5	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	8	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	2						

Course-Section: PSYC 332 01

Title: Experimental Psych II

**Instructor:** Blass, Thomas

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 56

			NA         1         2         3         4         5         Mean           0         0         2         9         16         10         3.92           0         0         3         18         8         8         3.57           1         0         4         13         11         8         3.64           0         0         1         8         12         15         4.14           0         0         2         11         13         11         3.89           0         0         3         2         15         17         4.24           0         0         4         10         11         12         3.84           0         0         0         2         2         32         4.83           0         0         4         13         11         1         3.31           0         0         4         8         12         12         3.89           0         0         1         4         5         26         4.56           0         0         5         12         9         9         3.63 <t< th=""><th>structor</th><th>Course</th><th>Org</th><th><b>UMBC</b></th><th>Level</th><th>Sect</th></t<>				structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect		
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	2	0	0	2	9	16	10	3.92	1255/1542	4.20	4.26	4.33	4.37	3.92
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	0	3	18	8	8	3.57	1388/1542	4.09	4.19	4.29	4.31	3.57
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	1	0	4	13	11	8	3.64	1172/1339	4.01	4.20	4.32	4.36	3.64
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	3	0	0	1	8	12	15	4.14	976/1498	4.38	4.20	4.26	4.32	4.14
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	2	11	13	11	3.89	992/1428	3.79	4.09	4.12	4.15	3.89
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	3	2	15	17	4.24	695/1407	4.46	4.04	4.15	4.20	4.24
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	0	4	10	11	12	3.84	1182/1521	4.38	4.22	4.20	4.23	3.84
8. How many times was class cancelled	3	0	0	0	2	2	32	4.83	803/1541	4.83	4.78	4.70	4.71	4.83
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	10	0	0	4	13	11	1	3.31	1359/1518	3.80	4.02	4.11	4.13	3.31
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	4	8	12	12	3.89	1302/1472	4.30	4.40	4.46	4.46	3.89
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	1	4	5	26	4.56	1158/1475	4.56	4.74	4.72	4.74	4.56
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	0	5	12	9	9	3.63	1299/1471	4.05	4.29	4.32	4.33	3.63
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	1	3	10	9	13	3.83	1208/1470	3.98	4.28	4.33	4.35	3.83
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	12	3	6	5	5	5	3.13	1206/1310	3.80	4.08	4.06	4.11	3.13
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	9	0	2	3	5	10	10	3.77	918/1210	3.94	4.08	4.18	4.27	3.77
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	9	0	1	1	7	11	10	3.93	969/1211	4.20	4.31	4.37	4.45	3.93
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	9	0	1	0	7	9	13	4.10	892/1207	4.24	4.36	4.41	4.51	4.10
4. Were special techniques successful	9	15	2	2	7	3	1	2.93	821/859	3.32	3.91	4.08	4.13	2.93

Course-Section: PSYC 332 01

Title: Experimental Psych II

**Instructor:** Blass, Thomas

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment:** 56

•	NR         NA         1         2         3         4         5         Mean         F           36         0         0         0         0         0         3         5.00         ***           36         0         0         0         0         0         3         5.00         ***           36         0         0         0         0         0         3         5.00         ***           36         0         0         0         0         0         3         5.00         ***           38         0         0         0         0         0         1         5.00         **           38         0         0         0         0         1         5.00         **           38         0         0         0         0         1         5.00         **           38         0         0         0         0         1         5.00         **           38         0         0         0         0         1         5.00         **           38         0         0         0         0         0         1         5.00					structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect			
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	36	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/207	4.46	4.44	4.12	4.17	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	36	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/210	4.43	4.31	4.17	4.21	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	36	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/202	4.62	4.38	4.50	4.54	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	36	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/202	4.72	4.51	4.32	4.44	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	36	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/199	4.50	4.45	4.15	4.18	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	38	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/69	4.45	4.53	4.56	4.70	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	38	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/69	4.27	4.47	4.60	4.68	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	38	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/68	4.55	4.67	4.50	4.51	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	38	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/73	4.45	4.64	4.54	4.55	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	38	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/67	4.64	4.52	4.17	4.46	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	38	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/32	****	****	4.20	3.88	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	38	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/35	****	****	4.36	4.08	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	38	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/25	****	****	4.59	4.24	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	38	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/23	****	****	4.41	3.84	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	38	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/17	****	****	4.62	4.17	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	38	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	****	4.02	4.27	3.17	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	38	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/19	****	3.89	4.57	4.33	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	38	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	3.56	4.29	2.17	****

Course-Section: PSYC 332 01

Title: Experimental Psych II

Instructor: Blass,Thomas

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 56
Questionnaires: 39

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	38	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/18	****	3.58	4.25	1.00	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	38	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/13	****	3.85	4.14	1.00	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	1	Α	9	Required for Majors	34	Graduate	0	Major	35
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	17						
56-83	7	2.00-2.99	4	С	7	General	0	Under-grad	39	Non-major	4
84-150	9	3.00-3.49	6	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	13	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	4						

**Course-Section: PSYC 332 05** 

Term - Spring 2012 Title: Experimental Psych II

**Questionnaires: 36** 

**Enrollment: 57** 

**Instructor:** Garrett, Adia J

			IA         1         2         3         4         5         Mean           0         0         1         4         8         17         4.37           0         1         2         4         2         21         4.33           0         3         5         4         4         14         3.70           0         0         1         2         8         18         4.48           0         0         1         2         8         18         4.48           0         0         1         1         6         21         4.62           0         0         1         1         4         23         4.69           0         0         0         1         7         22         4.70           0         1         2         4         6         11         4.00           0         0         4         1         8         17         4.27           0         0         0         5         5         19         4.48           0         1         1         6         7         15         4.13				structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect		
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	6	0	0	1	4	8	17	4.37	831/1542	4.20	4.26	4.33	4.37	4.37
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	6	0	1	2	4	2	21	4.33	833/1542	4.09	4.19	4.29	4.31	4.33
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	6	0	3	5	4	4	14	3.70	1144/1339	4.01	4.20	4.32	4.36	3.70
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	7	0	0	1	2	8	18	4.48	576/1498	4.38	4.20	4.26	4.32	4.48
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	6	2	5	4	5	9	5	3.18	1332/1428	3.79	4.09	4.12	4.15	3.18
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	1	1	6	21	4.62	288/1407	4.46	4.04	4.15	4.20	4.62
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	7	0	0	1	1	4	23	4.69	304/1521	4.38	4.22	4.20	4.23	4.69
8. How many times was class cancelled	6	0	0	0	1	7	22	4.70	959/1541	4.83	4.78	4.70	4.71	4.70
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	12	0	1	2	4	6	11	4.00	920/1518	3.80	4.02	4.11	4.13	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	6	0	0	4	1	8	17	4.27	1079/1472	4.30	4.40	4.46	4.46	4.27
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	7	0	0	0	5	5	19	4.48	1211/1475	4.56	4.74	4.72	4.74	4.48
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	6	0	1	1	6	7	15	4.13	1038/1471	4.05	4.29	4.32	4.33	4.13
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	6	0	4	3	2	7	14	3.80	1220/1470	3.98	4.28	4.33	4.35	3.80
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	8	5	1	2	6	2	12	3.96	812/1310	3.80	4.08	4.06	4.11	3.96
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	23	0	0	1	1	5	6	4.23	647/1210	3.94	4.08	4.18	4.27	4.23
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	23	0	0	0	3	2	8	4.38	698/1211	4.20	4.31	4.37	4.45	4.38
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	23	0	0	2	0	3	8	4.31	790/1207	4.24	4.36	4.41	4.51	4.31
4. Were special techniques successful	23	3	2	0	1	3	4	3.70	626/859	3.32	3.91	4.08	4.13	3.70

Course-Section: PSYC 332 05

Term - Spring 2012

Instructor: Garrett, Adia J

Title: Experimental Psych II

Enrollment: 57

Questionnaires: 36

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	24	0	0	0	1	2	9	4.67	28/207	4.46	4.44	4.12	4.17	4.67
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	24	0	0	0	2	1	9	4.58	40/210	4.43	4.31	4.17	4.21	4.58
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	24	1	0	0	1	1	9	4.73	66/202	4.62	4.38	4.50	4.54	4.73
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	24	0	0	0	0	2	10	4.83	41/202	4.72	4.51	4.32	4.44	4.83
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	24	2	0	0	0	3	7	4.70	31/199	4.50	4.45	4.15	4.18	4.70
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	35	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/69	4.45	4.53	4.56	4.70	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	35	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/69	4.27	4.47	4.60	4.68	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	35	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/68	4.55	4.67	4.50	4.51	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	35	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/73	4.45	4.64	4.54	4.55	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	35	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/67	4.64	4.52	4.17	4.46	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	35	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/32	****	****	4.20	3.88	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	35	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/35	****	****	4.36	4.08	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	35	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/25	****	****	4.59	4.24	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	35	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/23	****	****	4.41	3.84	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	35	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/17	****	****	4.62	4.17	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	35	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/30	****	4.02	4.27	3.17	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	35	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/19	****	3.89	4.57	4.33	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	35	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/29	****	3.56	4.29	2.17	****

Course-Section: PSYC 332 05

Title: Experimental Psych II

Instructor: Garrett, Adia J

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 57
Questionnaires: 36

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	35	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/18	****	3.58	4.25	1.00	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	35	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/13	****	3.85	4.14	1.00	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	12	Required for Majors	27	Graduate	0	Major	26
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	14						
56-83	7	2.00-2.99	3	С	1	General	0	Under-grad	36	Non-major	10
84-150	10	3.00-3.49	8	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	8	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	9						

Course-Section: PSYC 332 09

Title: Experimental Psych II

**Instructor:** Alonso, Diane L

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 45

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	2	0	0	0	6	9	21	4.42	765/1542	4.20	4.26	4.33	4.37	4.42
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	0	1	5	10	20	4.36	799/1542	4.09	4.19	4.29	4.31	4.36
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	3	0	0	3	4	11	17	4.20	865/1339	4.01	4.20	4.32	4.36	4.20
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	1	0	2	5	8	20	4.31	790/1498	4.38	4.20	4.26	4.32	4.31
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	3	1	0	1	3	11	19	4.41	483/1428	3.79	4.09	4.12	4.15	4.41
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	2	5	9	20	4.31	629/1407	4.46	4.04	4.15	4.20	4.31
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	1	0	1	9	25	4.58	430/1521	4.38	4.22	4.20	4.23	4.58
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	7	29	4.81	853/1541	4.83	4.78	4.70	4.71	4.81
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	6	0	0	3	5	13	11	4.00	920/1518	3.80	4.02	4.11	4.13	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	2	4	10	19	4.31	1042/1472	4.30	4.40	4.46	4.46	4.31
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	1	3	7	24	4.54	1165/1475	4.56	4.74	4.72	4.74	4.54
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	0	4	2	15	13	4.09	1070/1471	4.05	4.29	4.32	4.33	4.09
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	3	3	1	11	17	4.03	1100/1470	3.98	4.28	4.33	4.35	4.03
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	3	3	0	4	8	17	4.13	690/1310	3.80	4.08	4.06	4.11	4.13
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	8	0	2	1	6	8	13	3.97	806/1210	3.94	4.08	4.18	4.27	3.97
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	8	0	2	1	5	6	16	4.10	886/1211	4.20	4.31	4.37	4.45	4.10
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	8	0	2	1	3	9	15	4.13	876/1207	4.24	4.36	4.41	4.51	4.13
4. Were special techniques successful	8	8	3	0	10	4	5	3.36	759/859	3.32	3.91	4.08	4.13	3.36

**Course-Section: PSYC 332 09** 

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 45** 

**Title: Experimental Psych II** 

**Instructor:** Alonso, Diane L

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	5	0	2	1	3	12	15	4.12	118/207	4.46	4.44	4.12	4.17	4.12
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	5	0	2	0	3	6	22	4.39	81/210	4.43	4.31	4.17	4.21	4.39
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	5	3	0	1	4	9	16	4.33	147/202	4.62	4.38	4.50	4.54	4.33
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	5	0	1	2	2	5	23	4.42	105/202	4.72	4.51	4.32	4.44	4.42
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	5	3	1	0	4	6	19	4.40	78/199	4.50	4.45	4.15	4.18	4.40
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	27	0	0	0	1	4	6	4.45	47/69	4.45	4.53	4.56	4.70	4.45
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	27	0	0	0	2	4	5	4.27	58/69	4.27	4.47	4.60	4.68	4.27
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	27	0	0	0	0	5	6	4.55	40/68	4.55	4.67	4.50	4.51	4.55
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	27	0	0	0	1	4	6	4.45	48/73	4.45	4.64	4.54	4.55	4.45
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	27	0	0	0	1	2	8	4.64	20/67	4.64	4.52	4.17	4.46	4.64
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	29	0	0	0	2	1	6	4.44	****/32	****	****	4.20	3.88	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	29	0	0	0	2	2	5	4.33	****/35	****	****	4.36	4.08	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	28	2	0	0	1	0	7	4.75	****/25	****	****	4.59	4.24	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	29	0	0	1	1	3	4	4.11	****/23	****	****	4.41	3.84	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	28	3	0	1	1	0	5	4.29	****/17	****	****	4.62	4.17	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	29	0	0	0	2	2	5	4.33	****/30	****	4.02	4.27	3.17	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	29	0	0	1	1	2	5	4.22	****/19	****	3.89	4.57	4.33	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	29	0	0	1	1	1	6	4.33	****/29	****	3.56	4.29	2.17	****

Course-Section: PSYC 332 09

Title: Experimental Psych II

Instructor: Alonso, Diane L

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 45
Questionnaires: 38

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	29	2	0	1	1	1	4	4.14	****/18	****	3.58	4.25	1.00	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	29	5	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	****/13	****	3.85	4.14	1.00	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	A	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	1	Α	19	Required for Majors	31	Graduate	0	Major	34
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	9						
56-83	6	2.00-2.99	0	С	5	General	1	Under-grad	38	Non-major	4
84-150	5	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	14	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	5						

Course-Section: PSYC 332 12

Title: Experimental Psych II

**Instructor:** Sto. Domingo, Ma

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 35** 

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	2	7	3	4.08	1117/1542	4.20	4.26	4.33	4.37	4.08
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	1	6	4	4.08	1086/1542	4.09	4.19	4.29	4.31	4.08
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	1	1	9	4.50	582/1339	4.01	4.20	4.32	4.36	4.50
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	1	0	0	1	10	4.58	452/1498	4.38	4.20	4.26	4.32	4.58
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	0	3	6	2	3.67	1156/1428	3.79	4.09	4.12	4.15	3.67
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	2	9	4.67	252/1407	4.46	4.04	4.15	4.20	4.67
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	1	2	8	4.42	644/1521	4.38	4.22	4.20	4.23	4.42
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	5.00	1/1541	4.83	4.78	4.70	4.71	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	1	0	0	3	4	2	3.89	1071/1518	3.80	4.02	4.11	4.13	3.89
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	1	1	10	4.75	452/1472	4.30	4.40	4.46	4.46	4.75
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	4	8	4.67	1039/1475	4.56	4.74	4.72	4.74	4.67
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	1	0	5	6	4.33	870/1471	4.05	4.29	4.32	4.33	4.33
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	0	1	3	7	4.25	960/1470	3.98	4.28	4.33	4.35	4.25
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	1	1	1	3	6	4.00	761/1310	3.80	4.08	4.06	4.11	4.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	2	2	2	4	3.80	897/1210	3.94	4.08	4.18	4.27	3.80
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	2	2	6	4.40	682/1211	4.20	4.31	4.37	4.45	4.40
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	2	2	6	4.40	722/1207	4.24	4.36	4.41	4.51	4.40
4. Were special techniques successful	2	3	1	2	1	0	3	3.29	784/859	3.32	3.91	4.08	4.13	3.29

Course-Section: PSYC 332 12

**Title: Experimental Psych II** 

**Instructor:** Sto. Domingo, Ma

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 35

Questionnaires: 12

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	2	0	0	0	1	2	7	4.60	38/207	4.46	4.44	4.12	4.17	4.60
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	2	0	0	0	2	3	5	4.30	101/210	4.43	4.31	4.17	4.21	4.30
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	2	0	0	0	0	2	8	4.80	44/202	4.62	4.38	4.50	4.54	4.80
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	2	0	0	0	0	1	9	4.90	33/202	4.72	4.51	4.32	4.44	4.90
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	2	0	0	1	1	1	7	4.40	78/199	4.50	4.45	4.15	4.18	4.40

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	11	Graduate	0	Major	11
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	6						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	4	С	3	General	1	Under-grad	12	Non-major	1
84-150	4	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

**Course-Section: PSYC 335 01** 

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 75** 

Title: Physiological Psychology

**Instructor:** Provine, Robert

	_			Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	15	0	1	5	14	17	21	3.90	1270/1542	4.08	4.26	4.33	4.37	3.90
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	15	0	8	6	14	19	11	3.33	1456/1542	3.75	4.19	4.29	4.31	3.33
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	15	0	4	10	15	15	14	3.43	1230/1339	3.79	4.20	4.32	4.36	3.43
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	16	35	2	3	8	3	6	3.36	1390/1498	3.70	4.20	4.26	4.32	3.36
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	15	3	5	8	14	16	12	3.40	1263/1428	3.89	4.09	4.12	4.15	3.40
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	16	25	11	8	6	4	3	2.38	1396/1407	2.95	4.04	4.15	4.20	2.38
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	16	0	1	1	11	9	35	4.33	746/1521	4.42	4.22	4.20	4.23	4.33
8. How many times was class cancelled	16	0	0	0	0	2	55	4.96	276/1541	4.98	4.78	4.70	4.71	4.96
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	23	0	4	7	14	18	7	3.34	1352/1518	3.56	4.02	4.11	4.13	3.34
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	17	0	2	2	10	18	24	4.07	1199/1472	4.36	4.40	4.46	4.46	4.07
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	16	0	0	0	3	11	43	4.70	987/1475	4.73	4.74	4.72	4.74	4.70
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	17	0	5	9	15	16	11	3.34	1373/1471	3.84	4.29	4.32	4.33	3.34
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	17	0	5	4	12	11	24	3.80	1220/1470	4.08	4.28	4.33	4.35	3.80
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	17	18	7	5	9	11	6	3.11	1212/1310	3.60	4.08	4.06	4.11	3.11
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	34	0	5	5	7	13	9	3.41	1041/1210	3.31	4.08	4.18	4.27	3.41
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	34	0	3	2	8	6	20	3.97	940/1211	3.49	4.31	4.37	4.45	3.97
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	34	0	1	4	7	9	18	4.00	918/1207	3.86	4.36	4.41	4.51	4.00

Course-Section: PSYC 335 01

Title: Physiological Psychology

Instructor: Provine,Robert

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 75
Questionnaires: 73

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	34	36	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	****/859	****	3.91	4.08	4.13	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	A	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	3	0.00-0.99	0	Α	14	Required for Majors	45	Graduate	0	Major	38
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	1	В	23						
56-83	9	2.00-2.99	11	С	12	General	1	Under-grad	73	Non-major	35
84-150	14	3.00-3.49	19	D	3						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	10	F	0	Electives	6	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	20						

Course-Section: PSYC 335 02

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 148** 

.....

Title: Physiological Psychology

Questionnaires: 50

**Instructor:** Forsyth, Zoe War

	_			Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	4	0	0	1	10	11	24	4.26	951/1542	4.08	4.26	4.33	4.37	4.26
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	4	0	1	3	5	15	22	4.17	1017/1542	3.75	4.19	4.29	4.31	4.17
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	4	0	1	4	5	13	23	4.15	904/1339	3.79	4.20	4.32	4.36	4.15
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	4	12	0	1	8	14	11	4.03	1047/1498	3.70	4.20	4.26	4.32	4.03
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	5	0	2	0	5	10	28	4.38	519/1428	3.89	4.09	4.12	4.15	4.38
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	5	12	4	4	5	11	9	3.52	1204/1407	2.95	4.04	4.15	4.20	3.52
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	5	0	0	2	3	10	30	4.51	507/1521	4.42	4.22	4.20	4.23	4.51
8. How many times was class cancelled	5	1	0	0	0	0	44	5.00	1/1541	4.98	4.78	4.70	4.71	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	11	1	1	0	12	18	7	3.79	1141/1518	3.56	4.02	4.11	4.13	3.79
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	5	0	0	1	1	11	32	4.64	629/1472	4.36	4.40	4.46	4.46	4.64
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	6	0	0	1	2	4	37	4.75	897/1475	4.73	4.74	4.72	4.74	4.75
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	6	0	1	0	5	15	23	4.34	858/1471	3.84	4.29	4.32	4.33	4.34
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	6	0	1	1	6	9	27	4.36	855/1470	4.08	4.28	4.33	4.35	4.36
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	7	0	2	3	5	12	21	4.09	711/1310	3.60	4.08	4.06	4.11	4.09
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	35	0	4	0	4	3	4	3.20	1105/1210	3.31	4.08	4.18	4.27	3.20
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	35	0	4	2	2	4	3	3.00	1178/1211	3.49	4.31	4.37	4.45	3.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	36	0	2	1	1	5	5	3.71	1051/1207	3.86	4.36	4.41	4.51	3.71
4. Were special techniques successful	36	11	0	1	2	0	0	2.67	****/859	****	3.91	4.08	4.13	****

Course-Section: PSYC 335 02

335 02 Term - Spring 2012

Title: Physiological Psychology
Instructor: Forsyth,Zoe War

Enrollment: 148

Questionnaires: 50

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	48	1	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/207	****	4.44	4.12	4.17	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	48	0	1	0	1	0	0	2.00	****/210	****	4.31	4.17	4.21	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	48	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/202	****	4.38	4.50	4.54	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	48	1	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/202	****	4.51	4.32	4.44	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	49	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/32	****	****	4.20	3.88	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	49	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/35	****	****	4.36	4.08	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	48	0	1	0	0	1	0	2.50	****/30	****	4.02	4.27	3.17	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	48	0	0	1	1	0	0	2.50	****/19	****	3.89	4.57	4.33	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	48	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/13	****	3.85	4.14	1.00	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	5	0.00-0.99	1	Α	18	Required for Majors	37	Graduate	0	Major	23
28-55	6	1.00-1.99	0	В	15						
56-83	7	2.00-2.99	6	С	13	General	4	Under-grad	50	Non-major	27
84-150	9	3.00-3.49	11	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	11	F	0	Electives	3	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	2				
				?	4						

Course-Section: PSYC 340 01

Title: Social Psychology

**Instructor:** Blass, Thomas

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment:** 60

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	2	7	11	17	4.16	1051/1542	4.16	4.26	4.33	4.37	4.16
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	3	8	10	16	4.05	1100/1542	4.05	4.19	4.29	4.31	4.05
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	1	4	6	10	16	3.97	1003/1339	3.97	4.20	4.32	4.36	3.97
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	2	3	4	9	18	4.06	1032/1498	4.06	4.20	4.26	4.32	4.06
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	2	7	7	20	4.16	725/1428	4.16	4.09	4.12	4.15	4.16
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	26	1	0	2	1	7	4.18	757/1407	4.18	4.04	4.15	4.20	4.18
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	3	2	6	26	4.49	546/1521	4.49	4.22	4.20	4.23	4.49
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	36	4.97	207/1541	4.97	4.78	4.70	4.71	4.97
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	5	0	0	2	9	17	4	3.72	1184/1518	3.72	4.02	4.11	4.13	3.72
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	1	5	8	22	4.42	940/1472	4.42	4.40	4.46	4.46	4.42
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	2	4	30	4.78	861/1475	4.78	4.74	4.72	4.74	4.78
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	1	3	6	11	15	4.00	1104/1471	4.00	4.29	4.32	4.33	4.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	1	9	3	22	4.22	985/1470	4.22	4.28	4.33	4.35	4.22
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	6	1	3	4	9	13	4.00	761/1310	4.00	4.08	4.06	4.11	4.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	20	0	1	0	6	4	6	3.82	888/1210	3.82	4.08	4.18	4.27	3.82
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	20	0	2	1	3	4	7	3.76	1037/1211	3.76	4.31	4.37	4.45	3.76
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	20	0	2	1	3	6	5	3.65	1067/1207	3.65	4.36	4.41	4.51	3.65
4. Were special techniques successful	20	12	2	0	0	0	3	3.40	****/859	****	3.91	4.08	4.13	****

**Course-Section: PSYC 340 01** 

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 60 Questionnaires: 37** 

**Title: Social Psychology Instructor:** Blass, Thomas

'				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	36	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/207	****	4.44	4.12	4.17	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	36	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/210	****	4.31	4.17	4.21	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	36	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/202	****	4.38	4.50	4.54	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	36	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/202	****	4.51	4.32	4.44	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	36	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/199	****	4.45	4.15	4.18	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	36	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/69	****	4.53	4.56	4.70	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	36	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/69	****	4.47	4.60	4.68	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	36	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/68	****	4.67	4.50	4.51	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	36	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/73	****	4.64	4.54	4.55	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	36	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/67	****	4.52	4.17	4.46	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	36	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/32	****	****	4.20	3.88	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	36	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/35	****	****	4.36	4.08	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	36	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/25	****	****	4.59	4.24	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	36	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/23	****	****	4.41	3.84	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	36	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/17	****	****	4.62	4.17	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	36	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	****	4.02	4.27	3.17	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	36	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/19	****	3.89	4.57	4.33	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	36	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	3.56	4.29	2.17	****

Course-Section: PSYC 340 01

Title: Social Psychology

Instructor: Blass,Thomas

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 60

Questionnaires: 37

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	36	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/18	****	3.58	4.25	1.00	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	36	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/13	****	3.85	4.14	1.00	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP.	A	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	13	Required for Majors	30	Graduate	0	Major	26
28-55	3	1.00-1.99	1	В	15						
56-83	8	2.00-2.99	5	С	6	General	1	Under-grad	37	Non-major	11
84-150	9	3.00-3.49	12	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	5	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	2						

**Course-Section: PSYC 342 01** 

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 26** 

**Title: Psych Of Aggression** 

**Instructor:** Watkins-Lewis,K

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	6	0	3	4	10	3.52	1427/1542	3.52	4.26	4.33	4.37	3.52
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	3	3	4	4	9	3.57	1388/1542	3.57	4.19	4.29	4.31	3.57
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	5	0	2	6	10	3.70	1148/1339	3.70	4.20	4.32	4.36	3.70
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	1	4	1	4	3	10	3.64	1294/1498	3.64	4.20	4.26	4.32	3.64
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	4	1	3	4	11	3.74	1112/1428	3.74	4.09	4.12	4.15	3.74
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	1	4	2	3	2	11	3.64	1139/1407	3.64	4.04	4.15	4.20	3.64
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	3	0	2	3	3	3	10	3.76	1215/1521	3.76	4.22	4.20	4.23	3.76
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	1	22	4.96	345/1541	4.96	4.78	4.70	4.71	4.96
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	1	5	3	2	7	2	2.89	1448/1518	2.89	4.02	4.11	4.13	2.89
Lecture														,
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	2	3	2	6	10	3.83	1320/1472	3.83	4.40	4.46	4.46	3.83
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	3	2	7	11	4.13	1375/1475	4.13	4.74	4.72	4.74	4.13
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	3	1	6	2	11	3.74	1254/1471	3.74	4.29	4.32	4.33	3.74
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	4	2	5	1	11	3.57	1300/1470	3.57	4.28	4.33	4.35	3.57
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	3	4	1	4	11	3.70	977/1310	3.70	4.08	4.06	4.11	3.70
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	2	1	6	4	10	3.83	888/1210	3.83	4.08	4.18	4.27	3.83
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	2	2	3	4	12	3.96	955/1211	3.96	4.31	4.37	4.45	3.96
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	2	1	1	7	12	4.13	876/1207	4.13	4.36	4.41	4.51	4.13
4. Were special techniques successful	1	8	5	0	0	3	7	3.47	725/859	3.47	3.91	4.08	4.13	3.47

Course-Section: PSYC 342 01

Title: Psych Of Aggression

Instructor: Watkins-Lewis,K

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 26

Questionnaires: 24

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	23	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/69	****	4.53	4.56	4.70	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	23	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/69	****	4.47	4.60	4.68	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	23	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/68	****	4.67	4.50	4.51	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	23	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/73	****	4.64	4.54	4.55	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	23	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/67	****	4.52	4.17	4.46	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	A	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	16	Required for Majors	4	Graduate	0	Major	18
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	5						
56-83	3	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	6	Under-grad	24	Non-major	6
84-150	6	3.00-3.49	5	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	8	F	0	Electives	10	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	1				
				?	3						

Course-Section: PSYC 345 01

**Title: Intro Clinical Psych** 

**Instructor:** Deluty, Robert H

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 64

	_			Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	1	0	5	13	18	4.27	940/1542	4.52	4.26	4.33	4.37	4.27
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	2	9	6	20	4.19	1009/1542	4.40	4.19	4.29	4.31	4.19
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	2	7	14	14	4.08	950/1339	4.25	4.20	4.32	4.36	4.08
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	20	2	1	5	5	4	3.47	1355/1498	4.07	4.20	4.26	4.32	3.47
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	2	0	7	14	14	4.03	839/1428	3.97	4.09	4.12	4.15	4.03
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	23	1	3	2	7	1	3.29	1292/1407	3.59	4.04	4.15	4.20	3.29
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	1	2	7	8	19	4.14	965/1521	4.52	4.22	4.20	4.23	4.14
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	36	4.97	207/1541	4.94	4.78	4.70	4.71	4.97
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	1	0	8	12	15	4.11	832/1518	4.48	4.02	4.11	4.13	4.11
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	1	8	27	4.72	503/1472	4.72	4.40	4.46	4.46	4.72
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	5	31	4.86	646/1475	4.93	4.74	4.72	4.74	4.86
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	1	3	12	20	4.42	770/1471	4.68	4.29	4.32	4.33	4.42
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	1	4	6	24	4.42	800/1470	4.68	4.28	4.33	4.35	4.42
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	1	0	2	1	17	15	4.29	546/1310	4.49	4.08	4.06	4.11	4.29
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	6	0	1	2	8	13	7	3.74	929/1210	4.32	4.08	4.18	4.27	3.74
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	6	0	1	0	6	2	22	4.42	671/1211	4.71	4.31	4.37	4.45	4.42
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	6	0	0	4	6	7	14	4.00	918/1207	4.45	4.36	4.41	4.51	4.00

Course-Section: PSYC 345 01

Title: Intro Clinical Psych

Instructor: Deluty,Robert H

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 64

Questionnaires: 37

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	6	28	0	1	1	1	0	3.00	****/859	4.62	3.91	4.08	4.13	****

Credits I	Earned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	7	Required for Majors	27	Graduate	0	Major	28
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	21						
56-83	5	2.00-2.99	9	С	7	General	3	Under-grad	37	Non-major	9
84-150	15	3.00-3.49	7	D	1						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	9	F	0	Electives	3	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: PSYC 345 02

Term - Spring 2012 **Title: Intro Clinical Psych** 

**Enrollment: 40** 

**Instructor:** Murphy, Julie

**Questionnaires: 22** 

	_			Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	5	17	4.77	297/1542	4.52	4.26	4.33	4.37	4.77
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	2	4	15	4.62	479/1542	4.40	4.19	4.29	4.31	4.62
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	1	10	10	4.43	671/1339	4.25	4.20	4.32	4.36	4.43
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	9	0	0	0	4	8	4.67	357/1498	4.07	4.20	4.26	4.32	4.67
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	2	2	2	6	10	3.91	985/1428	3.97	4.09	4.12	4.15	3.91
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	12	1	1	1	1	5	3.89	989/1407	3.59	4.04	4.15	4.20	3.89
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	0	2	19	4.90	99/1521	4.52	4.22	4.20	4.23	4.90
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	2	19	4.90	689/1541	4.94	4.78	4.70	4.71	4.90
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	3	18	4.86	130/1518	4.48	4.02	4.11	4.13	4.86
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	1	4	16	4.71	520/1472	4.72	4.40	4.46	4.46	4.71
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	21	5.00	1/1475	4.93	4.74	4.72	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	1	20	4.95	82/1471	4.68	4.29	4.32	4.33	4.95
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	1	20	4.95	89/1470	4.68	4.28	4.33	4.35	4.95
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	0	0	1	4	15	4.70	171/1310	4.49	4.08	4.06	4.11	4.70
Discussion										-				
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	0	20	4.90	129/1210	4.32	4.08	4.18	4.27	4.90
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	0	21	5.00	1/1211	4.71	4.31	4.37	4.45	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	2	19	4.90	234/1207	4.45	4.36	4.41	4.51	4.90

Run Date: 6/29/2012 9:57:43 AM

Course-Section: PSYC 345 02

Title: Intro Clinical Psych

Instructor: Murphy, Julie

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 40
Questionnaires: 22

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	1	0	0	0	1	6	14	4.62	177/859	4.62	3.91	4.08	4.13	4.62

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	9	Required for Majors	15	Graduate	0	Major	17
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	7						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	1	С	2	General	0	Under-grad	22	Non-major	5
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	4						

**Course-Section: PSYC 346 01** 

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 49** 

Title: Industrial Psychology

Instructor: Sigurdsson, Sigu

	_			Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	4	10	15	4.38	818/1542	4.38	4.26	4.33	4.37	4.38
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	5	7	17	4.41	740/1542	4.41	4.19	4.29	4.31	4.41
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	7	21	4.69	393/1339	4.69	4.20	4.32	4.36	4.69
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	4	8	16	4.43	660/1498	4.43	4.20	4.26	4.32	4.43
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	4	8	16	4.34	544/1428	4.34	4.09	4.12	4.15	4.34
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	6	10	12	4.14	801/1407	4.14	4.04	4.15	4.20	4.14
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	2	5	22	4.69	304/1521	4.69	4.22	4.20	4.23	4.69
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	3	26	4.90	705/1541	4.90	4.78	4.70	4.71	4.90
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	1	0	0	5	10	10	4.20	744/1518	4.20	4.02	4.11	4.13	4.20
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	2	3	23	4.75	452/1472	4.75	4.40	4.46	4.46	4.75
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	4	24	4.86	673/1475	4.86	4.74	4.72	4.74	4.86
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	2	5	21	4.68	450/1471	4.68	4.29	4.32	4.33	4.68
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	3	1	6	18	4.39	823/1470	4.39	4.28	4.33	4.35	4.39
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	3	2	2	2	8	11	3.96	801/1310	3.96	4.08	4.06	4.11	3.96
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	14	0	0	0	3	4	8	4.33	578/1210	4.33	4.08	4.18	4.27	4.33
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	14	0	0	0	0	5	10	4.67	451/1211	4.67	4.31	4.37	4.45	4.67
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	14	0	0	0	0	3	12	4.80	344/1207	4.80	4.36	4.41	4.51	4.80
4. Were special techniques successful	14	3	1	0	0	5	6	4.25	361/859	4.25	3.91	4.08	4.13	4.25

Course-Section: PSYC 346 01

Title: Industrial Psychology

Instructor: Sigurdsson, Sigu

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 49

Questionnaires: 29

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	28	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/210	****	4.31	4.17	4.21	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	10	Required for Majors	18	Graduate	0	Major	22
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	15						
56-83	6	2.00-2.99	5	С	0	General	2	Under-grad	29	Non-major	7
84-150	8	3.00-3.49	9	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	8	F	0	Electives	4	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	3						

Course-Section: PSYC 357 01

Title: Psyc Of Women

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 53

Questionnaires: 30

**Instructor:** O'Brien, Eileen

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	3	6	21	4.60	512/1542	4.56	4.26	4.33	4.37	4.60
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	4	5	21	4.57	541/1542	4.59	4.19	4.29	4.31	4.57
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	5	7	17	4.33	757/1339	4.27	4.20	4.32	4.36	4.33
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	1	3	2	22	4.61	428/1498	4.36	4.20	4.26	4.32	4.61
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	1	0	9	19	4.59	318/1428	4.52	4.09	4.12	4.15	4.59
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	8	0	0	2	5	14	4.57	335/1407	4.49	4.04	4.15	4.20	4.57
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	1	5	5	18	4.38	696/1521	4.45	4.22	4.20	4.23	4.38
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	0	28	5.00	1/1541	4.61	4.78	4.70	4.71	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	9	0	1	0	1	10	9	4.24	709/1518	4.32	4.02	4.11	4.13	4.24
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	2	2	23	4.78	418/1472	4.70	4.40	4.46	4.46	4.78
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	0	3	24	4.89	592/1475	4.80	4.74	4.72	4.74	4.89
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	0	0	3	24	4.89	186/1471	4.75	4.29	4.32	4.33	4.89
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	1	1	2	23	4.74	387/1470	4.69	4.28	4.33	4.35	4.74
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	7	0	0	0	1	4	18	4.74	152/1310	4.11	4.08	4.06	4.11	4.74
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	18	0	0	0	0	2	10	4.83	187/1210	4.59	4.08	4.18	4.27	4.83
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	18	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	174/1211	4.81	4.31	4.37	4.45	4.92
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	18	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	210/1207	4.85	4.36	4.41	4.51	4.92

Course-Section: PSYC 357 01

Title: Psyc Of Women

Instructor: O'Brien, Eileen

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 53

Questionnaires: 30

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	18	0	0	0	1	3	8	4.58	188/859	4.01	3.91	4.08	4.13	4.58

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	15	Required for Majors	16	Graduate	0	Major	18
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	8						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	0	С	1	General	1	Under-grad	30	Non-major	12
84-150	5	3.00-3.49	9	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	4	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	6						

Course-Section: PSYC 357 02
Title: Psyc Of Women

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 49

Questionnaires: 47

Instructor: Tarner, Nina L.

'	_			Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	9	0	0	0	5	8	25	4.53	608/1542	4.56	4.26	4.33	4.37	4.53
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	9	0	0	0	5	5	28	4.61	492/1542	4.59	4.19	4.29	4.31	4.61
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	9	0	1	3	5	7	22	4.21	857/1339	4.27	4.20	4.32	4.36	4.21
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	9	4	2	2	6	4	20	4.12	996/1498	4.36	4.20	4.26	4.32	4.12
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	9	1	1	0	5	6	25	4.46	442/1428	4.52	4.09	4.12	4.15	4.46
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	10	10	0	1	5	3	18	4.41	530/1407	4.49	4.04	4.15	4.20	4.41
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	9	0	0	1	6	3	28	4.53	496/1521	4.45	4.22	4.20	4.23	4.53
8. How many times was class cancelled	9	0	0	0	1	28	9	4.21	1353/1541	4.61	4.78	4.70	4.71	4.21
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	19	0	1	0	2	9	16	4.39	507/1518	4.32	4.02	4.11	4.13	4.39
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	9	0	0	0	4	6	28	4.63	644/1472	4.70	4.40	4.46	4.46	4.63
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	9	0	0	0	2	7	29	4.71	969/1475	4.80	4.74	4.72	4.74	4.71
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	9	0	0	0	2	11	25	4.61	538/1471	4.75	4.29	4.32	4.33	4.61
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	3	8	27	4.63	543/1470	4.69	4.28	4.33	4.35	4.63
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	10	10	5	2	3	9	8	3.48	1073/1310	4.11	4.08	4.06	4.11	3.48
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	10	0	1	2	4	6	24	4.35	562/1210	4.59	4.08	4.18	4.27	4.35
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	10	0	0	0	2	7	28	4.70	415/1211	4.81	4.31	4.37	4.45	4.70
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	10	0	0	0	3	2	32	4.78	367/1207	4.85	4.36	4.41	4.51	4.78
4. Were special techniques successful	10	16	5	1	3	4	8	3.43	738/859	4.01	3.91	4.08	4.13	3.43

Course-Section: PSYC 357 02

Title: Psyc Of Women

Instructor: Tarner, Nina L.

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 49

Questionnaires: 47

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	46	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/210	****	4.31	4.17	4.21	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	45	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/69	****	4.53	4.56	4.70	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	45	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/73	****	4.64	4.54	4.55	****
Field Work														
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	46	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/35	****	****	4.36	4.08	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	46	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	****	4.02	4.27	3.17	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	46	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/19	****	3.89	4.57	4.33	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	46	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	3.56	4.29	2.17	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	1	Α	18	Required for Majors	16	Graduate	0	Major	30
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	14						
56-83	4	2.00-2.99	1	С	2	General	12	Under-grad	47	Non-major	17
84-150	9	3.00-3.49	5	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	17	F	0	Electives	3	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	2				
				?	12						

Course-Section: PSYC 360 01

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 136** 

Instructor: Rabin,B M

Title: Psychology Of Motivation

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	2	0	4	3	14	17	17	3.73	1359/1542	3.73	4.26	4.33	4.37	3.73
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	4	3	14	21	13	3.65	1349/1542	3.65	4.19	4.29	4.31	3.65
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	0	4	7	12	14	18	3.64	1172/1339	3.64	4.20	4.32	4.36	3.64
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	43	3	1	3	2	3	3.08	****/1498	****	4.20	4.26	4.32	****
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	3	9	8	12	12	11	3.15	1337/1428	3.15	4.09	4.12	4.15	3.15
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	45	3	1	2	2	2	2.90	****/1407	****	4.04	4.15	4.20	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	0	4	6	13	32	4.33	759/1521	4.33	4.22	4.20	4.23	4.33
8. How many times was class cancelled	3	0	0	0	2	34	18	4.30	1301/1541	4.30	4.78	4.70	4.71	4.30
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	10	0	3	3	16	20	5	3.45	1315/1518	3.45	4.02	4.11	4.13	3.45
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	1	1	5	8	39	4.54	778/1472	4.54	4.40	4.46	4.46	4.54
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	3	5	5	41	4.56	1158/1475	4.56	4.74	4.72	4.74	4.56
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	2	2	13	16	21	3.96	1133/1471	3.96	4.29	4.32	4.33	3.96
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	3	4	3	14	30	4.19	1016/1470	4.19	4.28	4.33	4.35	4.19
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	10	3	3	11	7	21	3.89	875/1310	3.89	4.08	4.06	4.11	3.89
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	24	0	10	3	4	10	6	2.97	1136/1210	2.97	4.08	4.18	4.27	2.97
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	24	0	8	4	8	4	9	3.06	1174/1211	3.06	4.31	4.37	4.45	3.06
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	24	0	5	4	5	11	8	3.39	1130/1207	3.39	4.36	4.41	4.51	3.39
4. Were special techniques successful	24	30	1	0	1	1	0	2.67	****/859	****	3.91	4.08	4.13	****

Course-Section: PSYC 360 01

Title: Psychology Of Motivation

Instructor: Rabin,B M

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 136** 

·				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	56	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/207	****	4.44	4.12	4.17	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	56	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/210	****	4.31	4.17	4.21	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	56	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/202	****	4.38	4.50	4.54	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	56	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/202	****	4.51	4.32	4.44	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	56	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/199	****	4.45	4.15	4.18	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	56	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/69	****	4.53	4.56	4.70	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	56	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/69	****	4.47	4.60	4.68	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	56	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/68	****	4.67	4.50	4.51	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	56	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/73	****	4.64	4.54	4.55	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	56	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/67	****	4.52	4.17	4.46	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	56	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/32	****	****	4.20	3.88	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	56	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/35	****	****	4.36	4.08	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	56	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/25	****	****	4.59	4.24	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	56	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/23	****	****	4.41	3.84	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	56	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/17	****	****	4.62	4.17	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	56	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	****	4.02	4.27	3.17	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	56	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	3.56	4.29	2.17	****
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	56	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/18	****	3.58	4.25	1.00	****

Course-Section: PSYC 360 01

Title: Psychology Of Motivation

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 136
Questionnaires: 57

Instructor: Rabin,B M

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	56	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/13	****	3.85	4.14	1.00	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	7	Required for Majors	35	Graduate	0	Major	30
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	1	В	17						
56-83	6	2.00-2.99	6	С	20	General	1	Under-grad	57	Non-major	27
84-150	10	3.00-3.49	9	D	1						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	7	F	0	Electives	6	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	1			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	3				
				?	8						

Course-Section: PSYC 365 01

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 39

Questionnaires: 33

Title: Children with Disabiliti

Instructor: Hussey-Gardner,

·	_			Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	2	0	0	0	0	2	29	4.94	118/1542	4.94	4.26	4.33	4.37	4.94
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	0	0	0	4	27	4.87	169/1542	4.87	4.19	4.29	4.31	4.87
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	0	0	0	1	3	27	4.84	224/1339	4.84	4.20	4.32	4.36	4.84
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	0	0	1	0	3	27	4.81	194/1498	4.81	4.20	4.26	4.32	4.81
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	1	1	1	3	2	23	4.50	390/1428	4.50	4.09	4.12	4.15	4.50
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	1	0	0	0	6	24	4.80	141/1407	4.80	4.04	4.15	4.20	4.80
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	4	0	0	0	1	3	25	4.83	167/1521	4.83	4.22	4.20	4.23	4.83
8. How many times was class cancelled	3	0	1	1	0	0	28	4.77	895/1541	4.77	4.78	4.70	4.71	4.77
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	13	0	0	0	0	2	18	4.90	110/1518	4.90	4.02	4.11	4.13	4.90
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	6	0	0	0	1	1	25	4.89	240/1472	4.89	4.40	4.46	4.46	4.89
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	7	0	0	0	1	0	25	4.92	430/1475	4.92	4.74	4.72	4.74	4.92
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	7	0	0	0	1	0	25	4.92	130/1471	4.92	4.29	4.32	4.33	4.92
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	1	0	0	25	4.88	203/1470	4.88	4.28	4.33	4.35	4.88
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	10	0	0	0	0	0	23	5.00	1/1310	5.00	4.08	4.06	4.11	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	19	0	0	0	1	1	12	4.79	227/1210	4.79	4.08	4.18	4.27	4.79
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	19	0	0	0	0	3	11	4.79	315/1211	4.79	4.31	4.37	4.45	4.79
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	19	0	0	0	0	1	13	4.93	187/1207	4.93	4.36	4.41	4.51	4.93
4. Were special techniques successful	19	0	0	0	0	0	14	5.00	1/859	5.00	3.91	4.08	4.13	5.00

Course-Section: PSYC 365 01

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 39

1100

**Title: Children with Disabiliti** 

**Instructor:** Hussey-Gardner,

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	32	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/207	****	4.44	4.12	4.17	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	32	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/210	****	4.31	4.17	4.21	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	32	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/202	****	4.38	4.50	4.54	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	32	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/199	****	4.45	4.15	4.18	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	32	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/69	****	4.53	4.56	4.70	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	32	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/69	****	4.47	4.60	4.68	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	32	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/73	****	4.64	4.54	4.55	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	32	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/67	****	4.52	4.17	4.46	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	32	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/32	****	****	4.20	3.88	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	32	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/35	****	****	4.36	4.08	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	32	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/25	****	****	4.59	4.24	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	32	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/23	****	****	4.41	3.84	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	32	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/30	****	4.02	4.27	3.17	****

**Course-Section: PSYC 365 01 Title: Children with Disabiliti Instructor:** Hussey-Gardner,

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 39 Questionnaires: 33** 

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	32	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/29	****	3.56	4.29	2.17	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	1	Α	19	Required for Majors	17	Graduate	0	Major	17
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	7						
56-83	5	2.00-2.99	2	С	0	General	2	Under-grad	33	Non-major	16
84-150	12	3.00-3.49	8	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	5	F	0	Electives	4	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	1				
				?	7						

**Course-Section: PSYC 370 01** 

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 107

Title:

**Title: Sensation And Perception** 

Instructor: Provine, Robert

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	2	0	8	4	11	18	28	3.78	1326/1542	4.04	4.26	4.33	4.37	3.78
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	9	12	18	17	13	3.19	1482/1542	3.75	4.19	4.29	4.31	3.19
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	0	8	10	27	11	13	3.16	1282/1339	3.39	4.20	4.32	4.36	3.16
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	33	10	4	7	3	12	3.08	1449/1498	3.68	4.20	4.26	4.32	3.08
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	3	3	10	10	16	16	13	3.18	1330/1428	3.87	4.09	4.12	4.15	3.18
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	3	25	18	6	7	5	7	2.47	1394/1407	3.25	4.04	4.15	4.20	2.47
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	3	1	3	2	5	15	42	4.36	721/1521	4.35	4.22	4.20	4.23	4.36
8. How many times was class cancelled	3	0	0	0	0	2	66	4.97	207/1541	4.51	4.78	4.70	4.71	4.97
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	14	1	6	9	21	15	5	3.07	1415/1518	3.66	4.02	4.11	4.13	3.07
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	7	7	11	23	21	3.64	1377/1472	4.10	4.40	4.46	4.46	3.64
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	1	0	6	14	47	4.56	1158/1475	4.61	4.74	4.72	4.74	4.56
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	8	15	19	16	10	3.07	1405/1471	3.74	4.29	4.32	4.33	3.07
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	1	6	6	14	13	29	3.78	1230/1470	4.16	4.28	4.33	4.35	3.78
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	7	7	9	10	21	15	3.45	1088/1310	3.89	4.08	4.06	4.11	3.45
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	35	0	10	9	1	7	9	2.89	1159/1210	3.65	4.08	4.18	4.27	2.89
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	35	0	6	3	8	12	7	3.31	1138/1211	4.02	4.31	4.37	4.45	3.31
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	35	0	3	8	12	5	8	3.19	1163/1207	4.01	4.36	4.41	4.51	3.19

Course-Section: PSYC 370 01

Title: Sensation And Perception

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 107
Questionnaires: 71

Instructor: Provine,Robert

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	34	27	3	2	1	1	3	2.90	****/859	3.65	3.91	4.08	4.13	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP.	A	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	1	Α	9	Required for Majors	55	Graduate	0	Major	51
28-55	9	1.00-1.99	0	В	29						
56-83	8	2.00-2.99	10	С	19	General	4	Under-grad	71	Non-major	20
84-150	9	3.00-3.49	9	D	3						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	11	F	0	Electives	3	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	9						

Course-Section: PSYC 370 02

Title: Sensation And Perception

**Instructor:** Tarner, Nina L.

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 44

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	8	0	1	1	4	10	20	4.31	908/1542	4.04	4.26	4.33	4.37	4.31
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	8	0	0	4	5	3	24	4.31	867/1542	3.75	4.19	4.29	4.31	4.31
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	8	0	2	8	6	6	14	3.61	1180/1339	3.39	4.20	4.32	4.36	3.61
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	8	4	0	2	5	7	18	4.28	822/1498	3.68	4.20	4.26	4.32	4.28
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	9	1	0	0	3	9	22	4.56	345/1428	3.87	4.09	4.12	4.15	4.56
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	9	9	1	2	3	9	11	4.04	860/1407	3.25	4.04	4.15	4.20	4.04
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	9	0	1	2	3	7	22	4.34	734/1521	4.35	4.22	4.20	4.23	4.34
8. How many times was class cancelled	9	0	0	0	8	17	10	4.06	1442/1541	4.51	4.78	4.70	4.71	4.06
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	13	0	1	0	2	15	13	4.26	686/1518	3.66	4.02	4.11	4.13	4.26
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	9	0	0	0	3	9	23	4.57	728/1472	4.10	4.40	4.46	4.46	4.57
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	9	0	0	1	2	5	27	4.66	1053/1475	4.61	4.74	4.72	4.74	4.66
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	9	0	1	0	4	9	21	4.40	785/1471	3.74	4.29	4.32	4.33	4.40
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	2	1	8	24	4.54	650/1470	4.16	4.28	4.33	4.35	4.54
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	11	2	2	0	3	7	19	4.32	505/1310	3.89	4.08	4.06	4.11	4.32
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	10	0	1	0	3	10	20	4.41	513/1210	3.65	4.08	4.18	4.27	4.41
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	10	0	0	1	0	6	27	4.74	377/1211	4.02	4.31	4.37	4.45	4.74
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	10	0	0	0	1	4	29	4.82	322/1207	4.01	4.36	4.41	4.51	4.82
4. Were special techniques successful	12	15	3	1	2	4	7	3.65	657/859	3.65	3.91	4.08	4.13	3.65

Course-Section: PSYC 370 02

Title: Sensation And Perception

Instructor: Tarner, Nina L.

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment:** 44

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	42	0	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	****/210	****	4.31	4.17	4.21	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	42	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/202	****	4.51	4.32	4.44	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	40	1	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/69	****	4.53	4.56	4.70	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	41	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/69	****	4.47	4.60	4.68	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	41	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/73	****	4.64	4.54	4.55	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	41	1	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/67	****	4.52	4.17	4.46	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	43	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/32	****	****	4.20	3.88	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	43	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/35	****	****	4.36	4.08	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	43	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/25	****	****	4.59	4.24	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	43	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	****	4.02	4.27	3.17	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	43	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/19	****	3.89	4.57	4.33	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	43	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	3.56	4.29	2.17	****
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	43	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/18	****	3.58	4.25	1.00	****

Course-Section: PSYC 370 02

Title: Sensation And Perception

Instructor: Tarner, Nina L.

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 44

**Questionnaires: 44** 

					quen	ncies Instructor			Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect	
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	43	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/13	****	3.85	4.14	1.00	****

<b>Credits Earned</b>		Cum. GPA		Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors		
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	9	Required for Majors	26	Graduate	0	Major	28	
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	19							
56-83	5	2.00-2.99	2	С	3	General	5	Under-grad	44	Non-major	16	
84-150	5	3.00-3.49	2	D	0							
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	11	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses		
				Р	0			to be significant				
				l	0	Other	1					
				?	13							

Course-Section: PSYC 380 01

Title: Personality

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 64

Instructor: Resta,S P

			Frequencies				Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect	
Questions		NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	9	0	1	0	5	10	28	4.45	706/1542	4.34	4.26	4.33	4.37	4.45
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	9	0	0	0	5	7	32	4.61	479/1542	4.53	4.19	4.29	4.31	4.61
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	9	1	0	0	2	6	35	4.77	301/1339	4.71	4.20	4.32	4.36	4.77
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	9	3	0	2	5	7	27	4.44	646/1498	4.42	4.20	4.26	4.32	4.44
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	9	1	0	4	10	7	22	4.09	797/1428	4.46	4.09	4.12	4.15	4.09
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	9	4	3	2	9	6	20	3.95	923/1407	3.95	4.04	4.15	4.20	3.95
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	9	0	0	0	2	6	36	4.77	212/1521	4.78	4.22	4.20	4.23	4.77
8. How many times was class cancelled	10	0	1	0	0	2	40	4.86	754/1541	4.93	4.78	4.70	4.71	4.86
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	18	1	0	0	4	16	14	4.29	640/1518	4.19	4.02	4.11	4.13	4.29
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	10	0	0	0	2	9	32	4.70	553/1472	4.57	4.40	4.46	4.46	4.70
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	9	0	1	0	0	1	42	4.89	592/1475	4.89	4.74	4.72	4.74	4.89
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	9	0	0	0	4	11	29	4.57	577/1471	4.65	4.29	4.32	4.33	4.57
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	2	5	37	4.80	323/1470	4.73	4.28	4.33	4.35	4.80
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	9	2	2	2	9	7	22	4.07	722/1310	3.82	4.08	4.06	4.11	4.07
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	36	0	2	1	3	0	11	4.00	774/1210	4.15	4.08	4.18	4.27	4.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	36	0	1	4	2	1	9	3.76	1037/1211	4.06	4.31	4.37	4.45	3.76
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	36	0	1	1	3	1	11	4.18	855/1207	4.56	4.36	4.41	4.51	4.18
4. Were special techniques successful	37	11	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	****/859	****	3.91	4.08	4.13	****

Course-Section: PSYC 380 01

Title: Personality

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 64

Questionnaires: 53

Instructor: Resta,S P

	NR NA 1 2 3 4 5 Mean R							structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect	
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	52	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/207	****	4.44	4.12	4.17	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	52	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/210	****	4.31	4.17	4.21	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	52	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/202	****	4.38	4.50	4.54	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	52	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/202	****	4.51	4.32	4.44	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	52	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/199	****	4.45	4.15	4.18	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	52	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/69	****	4.53	4.56	4.70	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	52	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/69	****	4.47	4.60	4.68	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	52	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/68	****	4.67	4.50	4.51	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	52	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/67	****	4.52	4.17	4.46	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	52	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/32	****	****	4.20	3.88	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	52	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/35	****	****	4.36	4.08	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	52	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/25	****	****	4.59	4.24	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	52	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/17	****	****	4.62	4.17	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	52	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/30	****	4.02	4.27	3.17	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	52	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/19	****	3.89	4.57	4.33	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	52	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/29	****	3.56	4.29	2.17	****
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	52	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/18	****	3.58	4.25	1.00	****

Course-Section: PSYC 380 01

Title: Personality

Instructor: Resta,S P

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 64

Questionnaires: 53

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	52	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/13	****	3.85	4.14	1.00	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	Α	34	Required for Majors	26	Graduate	0	Major	13
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	4						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	4	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	53	Non-major	40
84-150	16	3.00-3.49	12	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	9	F	0	Electives	8	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	2				
				?	15						

Course-Section: PSYC 380 02

Title: Personality

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 35

Instructor: Rudow,Edward

- ----

	NR NA 1 2 3 4 5 Mean Ran								structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	16	0	0	1	1	9	7	4.22	995/1542	4.34	4.26	4.33	4.37	4.22
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	16	0	0	1	1	5	11	4.44	698/1542	4.53	4.19	4.29	4.31	4.44
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	17	0	0	0	0	6	11	4.65	434/1339	4.71	4.20	4.32	4.36	4.65
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	16	8	1	0	0	2	7	4.40	688/1498	4.42	4.20	4.26	4.32	4.40
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	16	0	0	0	0	3	15	4.83	127/1428	4.46	4.09	4.12	4.15	4.83
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	16	11	2	0	0	0	5	3.86	****/1407	3.95	4.04	4.15	4.20	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	16	0	0	0	1	2	15	4.78	212/1521	4.78	4.22	4.20	4.23	4.78
8. How many times was class cancelled	16	0	0	0	0	0	18	5.00	1/1541	4.93	4.78	4.70	4.71	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	21	0	0	0	0	12	1	4.08	865/1518	4.19	4.02	4.11	4.13	4.08
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	16	0	1	0	2	2	13	4.44	899/1472	4.57	4.40	4.46	4.46	4.44
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	16	0	0	0	0	2	16	4.89	592/1475	4.89	4.74	4.72	4.74	4.89
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	16	0	0	0	2	1	15	4.72	386/1471	4.65	4.29	4.32	4.33	4.72
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	16	0	0	0	1	4	13	4.67	498/1470	4.73	4.28	4.33	4.35	4.67
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	16	2	1	1	6	4	4	3.56	1037/1310	3.82	4.08	4.06	4.11	3.56
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	17	0	0	2	1	4	10	4.29	608/1210	4.15	4.08	4.18	4.27	4.29
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	17	0	1	0	3	1	12	4.35	723/1211	4.06	4.31	4.37	4.45	4.35
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	17	0	0	0	0	1	16	4.94	140/1207	4.56	4.36	4.41	4.51	4.94
4. Were special techniques successful	17	13	1	1	0	0	2	3.25	****/859	****	3.91	4.08	4.13	****

Course-Section: PSYC 380 02

Title: Personality

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 35
Questionnaires: 34

Instructor: Rudow,Edward														
·				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	31	1	0	1	0	1	0	3.00	****/207	****	4.44	4.12	4.17	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	31	0	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	****/210	****	4.31	4.17	4.21	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	31	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/202	****	4.38	4.50	4.54	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	31	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/202	****	4.51	4.32	4.44	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	31	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/199	****	4.45	4.15	4.18	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	31	1	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/69	****	4.53	4.56	4.70	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	31	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/69	****	4.47	4.60	4.68	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	31	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/68	****	4.67	4.50	4.51	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	31	1	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/73	****	4.64	4.54	4.55	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	31	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/67	****	4.52	4.17	4.46	****
Field Work														

3. Was the instructor available for consultation	31	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/25	****	****	4.59	4.24	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	31	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/23	****	****	4.41	3.84	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	31	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/17	****	****	4.62	4.17	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	31	0	0	0	2	0	1	3.67	****/30	****	4.02	4.27	3.17	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	31	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/19	****	3.89	4.57	4.33	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	31	2	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/29	****	3.56	4.29	2.17	****

31

31

0

0

0

0

0

0

2

1

0

0

Run Date: 6/29/2012 9:57:44 AM

1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned

2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria

\*\*\*\*

\*\*\*\*

\*\*\*\*

\*\*\*\*

4.20

4.36

3.88

4.08

\*\*\*\*

\*\*\*\*

\*\*\*\*/32

\*\*\*\*/35

3.67

4.33

1

2

Course-Section: PSYC 380 02

Title: Personality

Instructor: Rudow,Edward

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 35
Questionnaires: 34

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	31	2	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/18	****	3.58	4.25	1.00	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	31	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/13	****	3.85	4.14	1.00	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	14	Required for Majors	7	Graduate	1	Major	7
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	4	Under-grad	33	Non-major	27
84-150	4	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	1	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	3	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	19						

Course-Section: PSYC 385 01

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 64

Questionnaires: 30

Title: Health Psychology
Instructor: Synowski,Stephe

	Frequencies         Instructor           NR         NA         1         2         3         4         5         Mean         Rank						structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect		
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	1	5	6	17	4.34	856/1542	4.34	4.26	4.33	4.37	4.34
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	2	4	7	16	4.28	904/1542	4.28	4.19	4.29	4.31	4.28
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	2	3	7	17	4.34	748/1339	4.34	4.20	4.32	4.36	4.34
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	2	0	1	10	16	4.31	790/1498	4.31	4.20	4.26	4.32	4.31
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	2	7	20	4.62	284/1428	4.62	4.09	4.12	4.15	4.62
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	2	1	12	14	4.31	619/1407	4.31	4.04	4.15	4.20	4.31
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	0	0	3	8	17	4.50	518/1521	4.50	4.22	4.20	4.23	4.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	1	18	10	4.31	1286/1541	4.31	4.78	4.70	4.71	4.31
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	7	0	0	1	5	14	3	3.83	1114/1518	3.83	4.02	4.11	4.13	3.83
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	2	8	5	14	4.07	1202/1472	4.07	4.40	4.46	4.46	4.07
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	1	7	21	4.69	1013/1475	4.69	4.74	4.72	4.74	4.69
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	1	6	8	14	4.21	985/1471	4.21	4.29	4.32	4.33	4.21
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	2	0	3	4	7	13	4.11	1065/1470	4.11	4.28	4.33	4.35	4.11
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	1	2	3	5	7	11	3.79	933/1310	3.79	4.08	4.06	4.11	3.79
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	17	0	0	0	3	1	9	4.46	467/1210	4.46	4.08	4.18	4.27	4.46
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	17	0	0	0	2	1	10	4.62	497/1211	4.62	4.31	4.37	4.45	4.62
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	17	0	0	0	2	1	10	4.62	546/1207	4.62	4.36	4.41	4.51	4.62
4. Were special techniques successful	17	6	0	2	1	0	4	3.86	****/859	****	3.91	4.08	4.13	****

Course-Section: PSYC 385 01

Title: Health Psychology

Instructor: Synowski, Stephe

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 64

Questionnaires: 30

	NR NA 1 2 3 4 5 Mean Rank							structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect	
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	29	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/210	****	4.31	4.17	4.21	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	29	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/202	****	4.51	4.32	4.44	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	29	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/69	****	4.53	4.56	4.70	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	29	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/69	****	4.47	4.60	4.68	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	29	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/68	****	4.67	4.50	4.51	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	29	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/73	****	4.64	4.54	4.55	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	29	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/67	****	4.52	4.17	4.46	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	29	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/32	****	****	4.20	3.88	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	29	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/35	****	****	4.36	4.08	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	29	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/25	****	****	4.59	4.24	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	29	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	****	4.02	4.27	3.17	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	29	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/19	****	3.89	4.57	4.33	****

Run Date: 6/29/2012 9:57:44 AM Page 115 of 185

Course-Section: PSYC 385 01

Title: Health Psychology

Instructor: Synowski,Stephe

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 64

Questionnaires: 30

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	29	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	3.56	4.29	2.17	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	1	Α	17	Required for Majors	18	Graduate	0	Major	21
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	6						
56-83	4	2.00-2.99	3	С	1	General	5	Under-grad	30	Non-major	9
84-150	8	3.00-3.49	8	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	5						

Course-Section: PSYC 387 01

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 42** 

\_\_\_\_

**Title: Community Psychology** 

Instructor: Scheibler, Jill

	0       0       0       0       3       7       9       4.32       895/19         0       0       0       2       1       5       11       4.32       855/19         0       1       0       0       4       5       9       4.28       809/13         0       0       1       0       2       6       10       4.26       843/14         0       0       0       1       4       3       11       4.26       619/14         0       0       0       1       4       4       10       4.21       728/14         0       0       0       1       4       4       10       4.16       944/15         0       0       0       0       12       7       4.37       1242/15         0       0       0       0       1       1       1       3       3.94       1002/11         1       0       0       0       1       4       13       4.67       598/14         1       0       0       0       2       2       14       4.67       1039/1						structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect		
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	3	7	9	4.32	895/1542	4.32	4.26	4.33	4.37	4.32
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	2	1	5	11	4.32	855/1542	4.32	4.19	4.29	4.31	4.32
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	4	5	9	4.28	809/1339	4.28	4.20	4.32	4.36	4.28
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	1	0	2	6	10	4.26	843/1498	4.26	4.20	4.26	4.32	4.26
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	4	3	11	4.26	619/1428	4.26	4.09	4.12	4.15	4.26
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	4	4	10	4.21	728/1407	4.21	4.04	4.15	4.20	4.21
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	2	3	4	10	4.16	944/1521	4.16	4.22	4.20	4.23	4.16
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	12	7	4.37	1242/1541	4.37	4.78	4.70	4.71	4.37
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	4	10	3	3.94	1002/1518	3.94	4.02	4.11	4.13	3.94
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	1	4	13	4.67	598/1472	4.67	4.40	4.46	4.46	4.67
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	2	2	14	4.67	1039/1475	4.67	4.74	4.72	4.74	4.67
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	1	2	4	10	4.35	846/1471	4.35	4.29	4.32	4.33	4.35
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	1	3	3	11	4.33	886/1470	4.33	4.28	4.33	4.35	4.33
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	1	3	2	12	4.39	445/1310	4.39	4.08	4.06	4.11	4.39
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	3	1	7	4.36	554/1210	4.36	4.08	4.18	4.27	4.36
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	8	0	0	0	1	4	6	4.45	631/1211	4.45	4.31	4.37	4.45	4.45
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	8	0	0	0	1	2	8	4.64	527/1207	4.64	4.36	4.41	4.51	4.64

Course-Section: PSYC 387 01

Title: Community Psychology

Instructor: Scheibler, Jill

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 42

Questionnaires: 19

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	8	0	0	0	3	3	5	4.18	401/859	4.18	3.91	4.08	4.13	4.18

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	8	Required for Majors	9	Graduate	0	Major	15
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	5						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	3	С	2	General	2	Under-grad	19	Non-major	4
84-150	8	3.00-3.49	4	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	3	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	4						

Course-Section: PSYC 390 01

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment:** 6

Title

Title: Neuropsychopharmacology

Instructor: Lynch, Minda R

·	2 0 0 0 2 0 2 4.00 1173/15 2 0 0 0 2 2 0 3.50 1406/15 2 0 0 0 0 1 3 4.75 313/13 2 0 0 0 0 2 2 4.50 549/14 2 0 0 1 0 1 2 4.00 851/14 2 1 0 1 0 1 2 4.00 851/14 2 0 1 1 0 2 0 2.75 1476/15 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 4 5.00 1/154 3 0 0 0 1 1 0 1 1 4.00 920/15  2 0 0 0 0 0 0 4 5.00 1/147 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 4 5.00 1/147 2 0 0 0 1 1 0 2 3.75 1245/14 2 0 0 0 0 0 1 3 4.75 374/14						structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect		
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	2	0	0	0	2	0	2	4.00	1173/1542	4.00	4.26	4.33	4.37	4.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	0	0	2	2	0	3.50	1406/1542	3.50	4.19	4.29	4.31	3.50
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	313/1339	4.75	4.20	4.32	4.36	4.75
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	549/1498	4.50	4.20	4.26	4.32	4.50
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	1	0	1	2	4.00	851/1428	4.00	4.09	4.12	4.15	4.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	1	0	1	0	1	1	3.67	1126/1407	3.67	4.04	4.15	4.20	3.67
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	1	1	0	2	0	2.75	1476/1521	2.75	4.22	4.20	4.23	2.75
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1541	5.00	4.78	4.70	4.71	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	920/1518	4.00	4.02	4.11	4.13	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	3	1	4.25	1086/1472	4.25	4.40	4.46	4.46	4.25
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1475	5.00	4.74	4.72	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	1	1	0	2	3.75	1245/1471	3.75	4.29	4.32	4.33	3.75
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	374/1470	4.75	4.28	4.33	4.35	4.75
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	0	0	0	4	0	4.00	761/1310	4.00	4.08	4.06	4.11	4.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	1	0	1	1	1	3.25	1095/1210	3.25	4.08	4.18	4.27	3.25
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	1	1	1	0	1	2.75	1195/1211	2.75	4.31	4.37	4.45	2.75
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	1	0	1	0	2	3.50	1097/1207	3.50	4.36	4.41	4.51	3.50

Course-Section: PSYC 390 01

Title: Neuropsychopharmacology

Instructor: Lynch,Minda R

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 6
Questionnaires: 6

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	2	3	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/859	****	3.91	4.08	4.13	****

#### **Frequency Distribution**

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	0	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	3
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	1	General	2	Under-grad	6	Non-major	3
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	1	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	2						

Run Date: 6/29/2012 9:57:44 AM

Course-Section: PSYC 393 02

Title: Special Topics In Psych

**Instructor:** Alonso, Diane L

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 14

		R         NA         1         2         3         4         5         Mean         F           L         0         0         1         1         2         8         4.42         76           L         0         0         1         0         2         9         4.58         51						structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect	
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	1	1	2	8	4.42	765/1542	4.71	4.26	4.33	4.37	4.42
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	1	0	2	9	4.58	516/1542	3.96	4.19	4.29	4.31	4.58
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	2	0	1	1	1	7	4.40	694/1339	4.03	4.20	4.32	4.36	4.40
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	2	1	9	4.58	452/1498	4.46	4.20	4.26	4.32	4.58
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	0	2	1	8	4.25	629/1428	4.13	4.09	4.12	4.15	4.25
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	2	9	4.67	252/1407	4.50	4.04	4.15	4.20	4.67
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	0	0	0	3	8	4.73	259/1521	4.03	4.22	4.20	4.23	4.73
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	6	5	4.45	1166/1541	4.73	4.78	4.70	4.71	4.45
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	1	0	0	0	4	6	4.60	295/1518	3.97	4.02	4.11	4.13	4.60
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	5	7	4.58	715/1472	3.96	4.40	4.46	4.46	4.58
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	12	5.00	1/1475	4.83	4.74	4.72	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	1	2	9	4.67	463/1471	4.33	4.29	4.32	4.33	4.67
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	1	0	1	10	4.67	498/1470	4.17	4.28	4.33	4.35	4.67
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	2	1	9	4.58	262/1310	4.63	4.08	4.06	4.11	4.58
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	1	10	4.75	251/1210	4.21	4.08	4.18	4.27	4.75
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	0	12	5.00	1/1211	3.83	4.31	4.37	4.45	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	1	0	11	4.83	311/1207	3.92	4.36	4.41	4.51	4.83
4. Were special techniques successful	1	0	0	0	1	1	10	4.75	120/859	4.75	3.91	4.08	4.13	4.75

Course-Section: PSYC 393 02

Title: Special Topics In Psych

Instructor: Alonso, Diane L

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 14
Questionnaires: 13

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/210	****	4.31	4.17	4.21	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/32	****	****	4.20	3.88	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	7	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	9
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	2	General	0	Under-grad	13	Non-major	4
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	8	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	2				
				?	2						

Course-Section: PSYC 393 03

Title: Special Topics In Psych

**Instructor:** Capo, Thomas J

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 7

·		NR         NA         1         2         3         4         5         Mean         F           4         0         0         0         0         3         5.00         1/4           4         0         0         1         0         2         0         3.33         145           4         0         0         1         0         1         3.67         116           4         0         0         0         1         0         2         4.33         76           4         0         0         1         0         0         2         4.00         85					structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect		
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	4	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1542	4.71	4.26	4.33	4.37	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	4	0	0	1	0	2	0	3.33	1453/1542	3.96	4.19	4.29	4.31	3.33
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	4	0	0	1	0	1	1	3.67	1160/1339	4.03	4.20	4.32	4.36	3.67
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	4	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	767/1498	4.46	4.20	4.26	4.32	4.33
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	1	0	0	2	4.00	851/1428	4.13	4.09	4.12	4.15	4.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	599/1407	4.50	4.04	4.15	4.20	4.33
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	4	0	1	0	0	1	1	3.33	1378/1521	4.03	4.22	4.20	4.23	3.33
8. How many times was class cancelled	4	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1541	4.73	4.78	4.70	4.71	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	1	0	0	1	1	3.33	1354/1518	3.97	4.02	4.11	4.13	3.33
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	4	0	0	1	1	0	1	3.33	1419/1472	3.96	4.40	4.46	4.46	3.33
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	4	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	1039/1475	4.83	4.74	4.72	4.74	4.67
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	1104/1471	4.33	4.29	4.32	4.33	4.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	2	0	1	3.67	1268/1470	4.17	4.28	4.33	4.35	3.67
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	4	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	201/1310	4.63	4.08	4.06	4.11	4.67
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	1	2	0	3.67	966/1210	4.21	4.08	4.18	4.27	3.67
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	1	2	0	0	2.67	1198/1211	3.83	4.31	4.37	4.45	2.67
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	0	3	0	0	3.00	1172/1207	3.92	4.36	4.41	4.51	3.00
4. Were special techniques successful	4	2	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/859	4.75	3.91	4.08	4.13	****

Course-Section: PSYC 393 03

Title: Special Topics In Psych

Instructor: Capo, Thomas J

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 7

Questionnaires: 7

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/30	****	4.02	4.27	3.17	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	6	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/19	****	3.89	4.57	4.33	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	3.56	4.29	2.17	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	0	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	1	General	0	Under-grad	7	Non-major	5
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	5						

Course-Section: PSYC 405 01

**Title: Hist & Systems Of Psyc** 

**Instructor:** Kronheim, Steven

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 8

		NR NA 1 2 3 4 5 Mean							structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	1	2	0	1	4	3.63	1403/1542	3.63	4.26	4.33	4.42	3.63
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	2	0	2	4	4.00	1122/1542	4.00	4.19	4.29	4.33	4.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	1	0	2	1	4	3.88	1071/1339	3.88	4.20	4.32	4.44	3.88
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	2	0	0	2	3	3.57	1319/1498	3.57	4.20	4.26	4.35	3.57
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	2	2	4	4.25	629/1428	4.25	4.09	4.12	4.22	4.25
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	1	3	3	4.00	874/1407	4.00	4.04	4.15	4.30	4.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	1	3	1	0	3	3.13	1416/1521	3.13	4.22	4.20	4.24	3.13
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1541	5.00	4.78	4.70	4.72	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	5	1	1	3.43	1326/1518	3.43	4.02	4.11	4.18	3.43
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	1	1	5	4.57	728/1472	4.57	4.40	4.46	4.50	4.57
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1475	5.00	4.74	4.72	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	1	2	1	3	3.86	1201/1471	3.86	4.29	4.32	4.36	3.86
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	1	0	2	3	3.71	1250/1470	3.71	4.28	4.33	4.38	3.71
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	2	1	4	4.29	546/1310	4.29	4.08	4.06	4.09	4.29
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	2	2	3	4.14	711/1210	4.14	4.08	4.18	4.34	4.14
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	2	1	2	2	3.57	1086/1211	3.57	4.31	4.37	4.47	3.57
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	3	0	1	3	3.57	1081/1207	3.57	4.36	4.41	4.53	3.57

Course-Section: PSYC 405 01

Title: Hist & Systems Of Psyc

Instructor: Kronheim, Steven

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 8

Questionnaires: 8

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	1	1	0	2	0	0	4	4.00	478/859	4.00	3.91	4.08	4.19	4.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	0	Required for Majors	7	Graduate	0	Major	7
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	7						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	1	General	1	Under-grad	8	Non-major	1
84-150	4	3.00-3.49	3	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

**Course-Section: PSYC 406 01** 

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 25** 

Title: Adv Behavior Pathology

**Instructor:** Murphy, Christop

·	0       0       1       0       1       4       15       4.52       608/1         0       0       0       1       3       5       12       4.33       833/1         0       0       0       0       2       4       15       4.62       465/1         1       4       0       0       2       4       10       4.50       549/1         0       0       0       1       0       5       15       4.62       292/1         1       1       1       0       7       4       7       3.84       1021/3         0       0       1       0       4       4       12       4.24       859/1         1       0       0       0       0       16       4       4.20       1360/3         2       0       0       0       2       11       6       4.21       732/1						structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect		
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	1	0	1	4	15	4.52	608/1542	4.52	4.26	4.33	4.42	4.52
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	3	5	12	4.33	833/1542	4.33	4.19	4.29	4.33	4.33
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	4	15	4.62	465/1339	4.62	4.20	4.32	4.44	4.62
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	4	0	0	2	4	10	4.50	549/1498	4.50	4.20	4.26	4.35	4.50
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	0	5	15	4.62	292/1428	4.62	4.09	4.12	4.22	4.62
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	1	1	0	7	4	7	3.84	1021/1407	3.84	4.04	4.15	4.30	3.84
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	1	0	4	4	12	4.24	859/1521	4.24	4.22	4.20	4.24	4.24
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	16	4	4.20	1360/1541	4.20	4.78	4.70	4.72	4.20
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	2	11	6	4.21	732/1518	4.21	4.02	4.11	4.18	4.21
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	2	6	13	4.52	791/1472	4.52	4.40	4.46	4.50	4.52
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	1	20	4.95	269/1475	4.95	4.74	4.72	4.74	4.95
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	4	3	14	4.48	681/1471	4.48	4.29	4.32	4.36	4.48
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	3	2	15	4.48	728/1470	4.48	4.28	4.33	4.38	4.48
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	1	0	0	1	6	13	4.60	247/1310	4.60	4.08	4.06	4.09	4.60
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	1	2	9	4.67	323/1210	4.67	4.08	4.18	4.34	4.67
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	9	0	0	0	0	3	9	4.75	352/1211	4.75	4.31	4.37	4.47	4.75
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	9	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	210/1207	4.92	4.36	4.41	4.53	4.92

Course-Section: PSYC 406 01

Title: Adv Behavior Pathology

Instructor: Murphy, Christop

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 25
Questionnaires: 21

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	10	6	1	1	0	1	2	3.40	****/859	****	3.91	4.08	4.19	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	9	Required for Majors	15	Graduate	0	Major	20
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	6						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	2	С	4	General	1	Under-grad	21	Non-major	1
84-150	9	3.00-3.49	7	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	3	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

**Course-Section: PSYC 407 01** 

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 28** 

Title: Adv Child Psychology **Instructor:** Sonnenschein, Su

		0 0 1 0 9 8 7 3.80 131					structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect		
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	1	0	9	8	7	3.80	1315/1542	3.80	4.26	4.33	4.42	3.80
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	2	5	5	7	6	3.40	1430/1542	3.40	4.19	4.29	4.33	3.40
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	1	1	5	8	10	4.00	982/1339	4.00	4.20	4.32	4.44	4.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	2	2	6	7	8	3.68	1272/1498	3.68	4.20	4.26	4.35	3.68
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	3	2	6	5	8	3.54	1215/1428	3.54	4.09	4.12	4.22	3.54
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	10	6	8	3.84	1021/1407	3.84	4.04	4.15	4.30	3.84
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	2	4	7	7	5	3.36	1371/1521	3.36	4.22	4.20	4.24	3.36
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	2	19	3	4.04	1444/1541	4.04	4.78	4.70	4.72	4.04
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	3	4	7	5	4	3.13	1404/1518	3.13	4.02	4.11	4.18	3.13
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	1	5	10	8	4.04	1209/1472	4.04	4.40	4.46	4.50	4.04
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	1	1	7	15	4.50	1197/1475	4.50	4.74	4.72	4.74	4.50
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	2	0	7	8	6	3.70	1271/1471	3.70	4.29	4.32	4.36	3.70
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	2	1	5	10	6	3.71	1254/1470	3.71	4.28	4.33	4.38	3.71
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	1	2	1	4	9	7	3.78	933/1310	3.78	4.08	4.06	4.09	3.78
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	1	6	10	4.53	418/1210	4.53	4.08	4.18	4.34	4.53
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	8	0	0	0	0	5	12	4.71	415/1211	4.71	4.31	4.37	4.47	4.71
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	8	0	0	0	2	5	10	4.47	657/1207	4.47	4.36	4.41	4.53	4.47

Course-Section: PSYC 407 01

Title: Adv Child Psychology

Instructor: Sonnenschein, Su

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 28

Questionnaires: 25

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	8	1	0	0	4	8	4	4.00	478/859	4.00	3.91	4.08	4.19	4.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	20	Graduate	0	Major	23
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	11						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	2	С	9	General	3	Under-grad	25	Non-major	2
84-150	10	3.00-3.49	6	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	3						

**Course-Section: PSYC 409 01** 

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 25** 

**Title: Development And Educatn** 

**Instructor:** Baker,Linda

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	2	5	9	4.44	735/1542	4.44	4.26	4.33	4.42	4.44
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	4	10	4.50	615/1542	4.50	4.19	4.29	4.33	4.50
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	14	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1339	****	4.20	4.32	4.44	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	4	12	4.75	252/1498	4.75	4.20	4.26	4.35	4.75
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	2	13	4.75	181/1428	4.75	4.09	4.12	4.22	4.75
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	2	13	4.75	178/1407	4.75	4.04	4.15	4.30	4.75
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	5	1	10	4.31	772/1521	4.31	4.22	4.20	4.24	4.31
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	3	13	4.81	836/1541	4.81	4.78	4.70	4.72	4.81
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	1	7	7	4.40	494/1518	4.40	4.02	4.11	4.18	4.40
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	1	2	0	12	4.53	778/1472	4.53	4.40	4.46	4.50	4.53
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	15	5.00	1/1475	5.00	4.74	4.72	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	2	2	10	4.57	567/1471	4.57	4.29	4.32	4.36	4.57
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	1	2	1	11	4.47	740/1470	4.47	4.28	4.33	4.38	4.47
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	2	5	8	4.40	425/1310	4.40	4.08	4.06	4.09	4.40
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	15	4.94	90/1210	4.94	4.08	4.18	4.34	4.94
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	1	15	4.94	136/1211	4.94	4.31	4.37	4.47	4.94
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	5.00	1/1207	5.00	4.36	4.41	4.53	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	0	1	1	0	3	4	7	4.07	463/859	4.07	3.91	4.08	4.19	4.07

Course-Section: PSYC 409 01

Title: Development And Educatn

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 25

Questionnaires: 16

Instructor: Baker,Linda

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	tructor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	1	0	0	0	1	3	11	4.67	38/69	4.67	4.53	4.56	4.62	4.67
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	1	0	0	0	0	6	9	4.60	45/69	4.60	4.47	4.60	4.67	4.60
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	3	12	4.80	28/68	4.80	4.67	4.50	4.65	4.80
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	3	12	4.80	31/73	4.80	4.64	4.54	4.72	4.80
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	1	0	0	0	1	5	9	4.53	23/67	4.53	4.52	4.17	4.37	4.53

#### **Frequency Distribution**

Credits I	Earned	Cum. GP	<b>A</b>	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	8	Required for Majors	13	Graduate	0	Major	15
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	6						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	1	Under-grad	16	Non-major	1
84-150	10	3.00-3.49	6	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	2						

Run Date: 6/29/2012 9:57:45 AM

Course-Section: PSYC 437 01

**Title: Making A Difference** 

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 25

Questionnaires: 24

Instructor: Maton, Kenneth

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	7	0	0	0	2	4	11	4.53	608/1542	4.53	4.26	4.33	4.42	4.53
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	7	0	0	0	1	6	10	4.53	590/1542	4.53	4.19	4.29	4.33	4.53
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	7	14	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/1339	****	4.20	4.32	4.44	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	8	0	0	0	0	3	13	4.81	187/1498	4.81	4.20	4.26	4.35	4.81
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	3	4	10	4.41	483/1428	4.41	4.09	4.12	4.22	4.41
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	0	3	14	4.82	131/1407	4.82	4.04	4.15	4.30	4.82
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	7	0	0	0	1	6	10	4.53	496/1521	4.53	4.22	4.20	4.24	4.53
8. How many times was class cancelled	7	0	0	0	0	1	16	4.94	413/1541	4.94	4.78	4.70	4.72	4.94
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	7	0	0	0	0	8	9	4.53	357/1518	4.53	4.02	4.11	4.18	4.53
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	7	0	0	0	0	5	12	4.71	538/1472	4.71	4.40	4.46	4.50	4.71
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	7	0	0	0	0	0	17	5.00	1/1475	5.00	4.74	4.72	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	7	0	0	0	0	4	13	4.76	333/1471	4.76	4.29	4.32	4.36	4.76
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	1	2	14	4.76	361/1470	4.76	4.28	4.33	4.38	4.76
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	8	9	0	0	4	0	3	3.86	893/1310	3.86	4.08	4.06	4.09	3.86
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	0	0	17	5.00	1/1210	5.00	4.08	4.18	4.34	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	7	0	0	0	0	1	16	4.94	116/1211	4.94	4.31	4.37	4.47	4.94
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	7	0	0	0	0	0	17	5.00	1/1207	5.00	4.36	4.41	4.53	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	7	1	0	0	2	7	7	4.31	327/859	4.31	3.91	4.08	4.19	4.31

Course-Section: PSYC 437 01

Title: Making A Difference

**Instructor:** Maton, Kenneth

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 25
Questionnaires: 24

				Fre	quenc	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	23	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/207	****	4.44	4.12	4.41	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	23	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/210	****	4.31	4.17	4.02	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	23	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/202	****	4.38	4.50	4.42	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	23	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/202	****	4.51	4.32	4.23	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	23	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/69	****	4.53	4.56	4.62	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	23	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/69	****	4.47	4.60	4.67	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	23	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/68	****	4.67	4.50	4.65	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	23	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/73	****	4.64	4.54	4.72	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	23	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/67	****	4.52	4.17	4.37	****

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	7	Required for Majors	14	Graduate	0	Major	16
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	9						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	2	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	24	Non-major	8
84-150	7	3.00-3.49	4	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	8						

Course-Section: PSYC 455 01

Title: Schizophrenia

Instructor: Schiffman, Jason

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 25
Questionnaires: 25

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	2	3	20	4.72	360/1542	4.72	4.26	4.33	4.42	4.72
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	4	20	4.76	283/1542	4.76	4.19	4.29	4.33	4.76
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	12	0	0	1	0	11	4.83	224/1339	4.83	4.20	4.32	4.44	4.83
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	3	20	4.72	286/1498	4.72	4.20	4.26	4.35	4.72
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	3	22	4.88	102/1428	4.88	4.09	4.12	4.22	4.88
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	1	4	19	4.64	270/1407	4.64	4.04	4.15	4.30	4.64
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	2	3	19	4.71	278/1521	4.71	4.22	4.20	4.24	4.71
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	25	5.00	1/1541	5.00	4.78	4.70	4.72	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	2	0	0	2	18	4.55	341/1518	4.55	4.02	4.11	4.18	4.55
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	1	0	0	3	20	4.71	538/1472	4.71	4.40	4.46	4.50	4.71
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	24	5.00	1/1475	5.00	4.74	4.72	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	1	3	20	4.79	293/1471	4.79	4.29	4.32	4.36	4.79
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	0	1	2	20	4.67	498/1470	4.67	4.28	4.33	4.38	4.67
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	1	0	1	3	19	4.63	231/1310	4.63	4.08	4.06	4.09	4.63
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	15	0	0	0	0	2	8	4.80	212/1210	4.80	4.08	4.18	4.34	4.80
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	15	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1211	5.00	4.31	4.37	4.47	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	15	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1207	5.00	4.36	4.41	4.53	5.00

Course-Section: PSYC 455 01

Title: Schizophrenia

Instructor: Schiffman, Jason

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 25
Questionnaires: 25

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	15	1	0	0	2	2	5	4.33	315/859	4.33	3.91	4.08	4.19	4.33

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	11	Required for Majors	17	Graduate	0	Major	17
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	10						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	2	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	25	Non-major	8
84-150	11	3.00-3.49	3	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	10	F	0	Electives	4	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	3						

Course-Section: PSYC 463 01

Title: Eating: Normal/Abnormal

**Instructor:** Tarner, Nina L.

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 18

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	4	0	0	0	1	2	10	4.69	397/1542	4.69	4.26	4.33	4.42	4.69
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	4	0	0	0	0	2	11	4.85	195/1542	4.85	4.19	4.29	4.33	4.85
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	4	0	0	0	1	1	11	4.77	301/1339	4.77	4.20	4.32	4.44	4.77
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	4	0	0	0	0	3	10	4.77	240/1498	4.77	4.20	4.26	4.35	4.77
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	1	3	9	4.62	292/1428	4.62	4.09	4.12	4.22	4.62
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	1	4	8	4.54	375/1407	4.54	4.04	4.15	4.30	4.54
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	4	0	0	0	1	0	12	4.85	150/1521	4.85	4.22	4.20	4.24	4.85
8. How many times was class cancelled	5	0	0	0	0	10	2	4.17	1387/1541	4.17	4.78	4.70	4.72	4.17
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	5	0	0	0	0	4	8	4.67	244/1518	4.67	4.02	4.11	4.18	4.67
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	4	0	0	0	1	1	11	4.77	435/1472	4.77	4.40	4.46	4.50	4.77
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	4	0	0	0	0	1	12	4.92	430/1475	4.92	4.74	4.72	4.74	4.92
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	0	0	1	1	11	4.77	333/1471	4.77	4.29	4.32	4.36	4.77
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	1	1	11	4.77	361/1470	4.77	4.28	4.33	4.38	4.77
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	5	0	0	0	2	3	7	4.42	414/1310	4.42	4.08	4.06	4.09	4.42
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	0	1	10	4.91	129/1210	4.91	4.08	4.18	4.34	4.91
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	6	0	0	0	1	0	10	4.82	280/1211	4.82	4.31	4.37	4.47	4.82
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	6	0	0	0	1	1	9	4.73	437/1207	4.73	4.36	4.41	4.53	4.73

Course-Section: PSYC 463 01

Title: Eating: Normal/Abnormal

Instructor: Tarner,Nina L.

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 18

Questionnaires: 17

				Fre	quend	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	6	6	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	97/859	4.80	3.91	4.08	4.19	4.80

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	Α	7	Required for Majors	9	Graduate	0	Major	12
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	5						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	2	Under-grad	17	Non-major	5
84-150	6	3.00-3.49	4	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	5	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	5						

Course-Section: PSYC 465 01

Title: Family Psychology

Instructor: O'Brien, Eileen

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 29

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	2	0	0	0	1	1	21	4.87	205/1542	4.87	4.26	4.33	4.42	4.87
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	0	0	2	5	16	4.61	492/1542	4.61	4.19	4.29	4.33	4.61
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	0	0	0	2	3	18	4.70	383/1339	4.70	4.20	4.32	4.44	4.70
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	0	0	1	2	4	16	4.52	524/1498	4.52	4.20	4.26	4.35	4.52
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	0	1	0	2	1	19	4.61	301/1428	4.61	4.09	4.12	4.22	4.61
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	1	4	18	4.74	193/1407	4.74	4.04	4.15	4.30	4.74
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	0	0	2	6	15	4.57	452/1521	4.57	4.22	4.20	4.24	4.57
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	0	23	5.00	1/1541	5.00	4.78	4.70	4.72	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	0	0	3	7	11	4.38	521/1518	4.38	4.02	4.11	4.18	4.38
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	4	0	0	1	1	2	17	4.67	598/1472	4.67	4.40	4.46	4.50	4.67
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	4	0	0	0	0	0	21	5.00	1/1475	5.00	4.74	4.72	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	5	0	0	1	0	6	13	4.55	587/1471	4.55	4.29	4.32	4.36	4.55
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	4	0	1	0	2	5	13	4.38	834/1470	4.38	4.28	4.33	4.38	4.38
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	4	2	0	1	1	5	12	4.47	354/1310	4.47	4.08	4.06	4.09	4.47
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	11	0	0	0	0	1	13	4.93	103/1210	4.93	4.08	4.18	4.34	4.93
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	11	0	0	0	0	1	13	4.93	155/1211	4.93	4.31	4.37	4.47	4.93
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	11	0	0	0	0	0	14	5.00	1/1207	5.00	4.36	4.41	4.53	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	11	0	0	0	1	2	11	4.71	139/859	4.71	3.91	4.08	4.19	4.71

Course-Section: PSYC 465 01

Title: Family Psychology

Instructor: O'Brien, Eileen

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 29

Questionnaires: 25

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	24	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/32	****	****	4.20	4.39	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	24	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/30	****	4.02	4.27	3.00	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	14	Required for Majors	14	Graduate	0	Major	21
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	7						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	4	С	1	General	1	Under-grad	25	Non-major	4
84-150	14	3.00-3.49	9	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	5	F	0	Electives	3	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
					0	Other	1				
				?	3						

**Course-Section: PSYC 493 01** 

Title: Advanced Topics In Psyc

Instructor: Dahlquist,Lynnd

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 25

			Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	2	16	4.79	285/1542	4.79	4.26	4.33	4.42	4.79
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	2	16	4.79	256/1542	4.79	4.19	4.29	4.33	4.79
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	11	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	361/1339	4.71	4.20	4.32	4.44	4.71
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	3	16	4.84	167/1498	4.84	4.20	4.26	4.35	4.84
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	18	4.95	56/1428	4.95	4.09	4.12	4.22	4.95
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	2	2	14	4.67	252/1407	4.67	4.04	4.15	4.30	4.67
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	4	2	13	4.47	560/1521	4.47	4.22	4.20	4.24	4.47
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	19	5.00	1/1541	5.00	4.78	4.70	4.72	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	1	0	0	0	1	14	4.93	77/1518	4.93	4.02	4.11	4.18	4.93
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	1	18	4.95	125/1472	4.95	4.40	4.46	4.50	4.95
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	1	18	4.95	323/1475	4.95	4.74	4.72	4.74	4.95
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	1	2	16	4.79	306/1471	4.79	4.29	4.32	4.36	4.79
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	5	14	4.74	399/1470	4.74	4.28	4.33	4.38	4.74
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	2	0	0	2	0	15	4.76	133/1310	4.76	4.08	4.06	4.09	4.76
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	0	0	11	5.00	1/1210	5.00	4.08	4.18	4.34	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	8	0	0	0	0	1	10	4.91	194/1211	4.91	4.31	4.37	4.47	4.91
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	8	0	0	0	0	1	10	4.91	234/1207	4.91	4.36	4.41	4.53	4.91
4. Were special techniques successful	8	3	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	120/859	4.75	3.91	4.08	4.19	4.75

Course-Section: PSYC 493 01 **Title: Advanced Topics In Psyc**  Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 25** 

**Instructor:** Dahlquist,Lynnd

**Questionnaires: 19** 

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/69	****	4.53	4.56	4.62	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	18	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/69	****	4.47	4.60	4.67	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/68	****	4.67	4.50	4.65	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/73	****	4.64	4.54	4.72	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/67	****	4.52	4.17	4.37	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	A	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	10	Required for Majors	12	Graduate	0	Major	16
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	7						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	3	С	0	General	2	Under-grad	19	Non-major	3
84-150	12	3.00-3.49	4	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	6	F	0	Electives 3 **** - Means there are not enough re				nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	1				
				?	1						

**Course-Section: PSYC 601 01** 

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 12** 

**Title: Special Topics In Psych** 

**Instructor:** Diclemente, Carl

	_		Frequencies				Instructor		Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect	
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	4	7	4.50	632/1542	4.11	4.26	4.33	4.39	4.50
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	1	0	1	7	3	3.92	1199/1542	3.89	4.19	4.29	4.31	3.92
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	9	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	414/1339	4.23	4.20	4.32	4.31	4.67
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	1	0	3	6	1	3.55	1330/1498	4.00	4.20	4.26	4.25	3.55
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	5	7	4.58	318/1428	4.08	4.09	4.12	4.13	4.58
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	3	5	3	3.83	1029/1407	3.86	4.04	4.15	4.20	3.83
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	1	1	2	3	2	2	3.20	1400/1521	3.76	4.22	4.20	4.24	3.20
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	620/1541	4.57	4.78	4.70	4.75	4.92
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	11	1	4.08	857/1518	3.70	4.02	4.11	4.15	4.08
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	4	8	4.67	598/1472	4.15	4.40	4.46	4.48	4.67
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	1	3	8	4.58	1134/1475	4.59	4.74	4.72	4.76	4.58
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	5	7	4.58	557/1471	4.11	4.29	4.32	4.36	4.58
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	4	8	4.67	498/1470	4.02	4.28	4.33	4.34	4.67
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	1	0	0	4	4	3	3.91	863/1310	3.87	4.08	4.06	3.99	3.91
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	2	3	7	4.42	513/1210	4.12	4.08	4.18	4.28	4.42
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	1	2	9	4.67	451/1211	4.46	4.31	4.37	4.51	4.67
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	5	7	4.58	570/1207	4.30	4.36	4.41	4.53	4.58

Course-Section: PSYC 601 01

Title: Special Topics In Psych

Instructor: Diclemente, Carl

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 12

Questionnaires: 12

**Frequencies Instructor** Course UMBC Level Sect Org Questions NA 5 Mean Mean Mean NR Mean Rank Mean **Discussion** 4. Were special techniques successful 4.45 244/859 3.44 3.91 4.08 4.08 4.45 0 1 0 0 0 6 5

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	8	Required for Majors	12	Graduate	7	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	5	Non-major	12
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	7	3.50-4.00	5	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	nough responses		
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: PSYC 601 03

**Term - Spring 2012** 

**Enrollment: 9** 

\_\_\_\_

**Title: Special Topics In Psych** 

**Questionnaires:** 9

**Instructor:** Glyshaw, Kathy J

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	1	4	2	1	1	2.67	1530/1542	4.11	4.26	4.33	4.39	2.67
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	1	4	2	1	1	2.67	1524/1542	3.89	4.19	4.29	4.31	2.67
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	8	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/1339	4.23	4.20	4.32	4.31	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	1	3	2	2	1	2.89	1471/1498	4.00	4.20	4.26	4.25	2.89
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	3	3	3	0	3.00	1360/1428	4.08	4.09	4.12	4.13	3.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	4	3	2	0	0	1.78	1403/1407	3.86	4.04	4.15	4.20	1.78
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	4	0	1	2	1	1	3.40	1363/1521	3.76	4.22	4.20	4.24	3.40
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1541	4.57	4.78	4.70	4.75	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	1	5	1	1	0	2.25	1505/1518	3.70	4.02	4.11	4.15	2.25
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	4	2	1	1	1	2.22	1470/1472	4.15	4.40	4.46	4.48	2.22
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	4	5	4.56	1158/1475	4.59	4.74	4.72	4.76	4.56
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	3	2	1	1	2	2.67	1446/1471	4.11	4.29	4.32	4.36	2.67
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	3	3	0	1	2	2.56	1444/1470	4.02	4.28	4.33	4.34	2.56
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	1	1	3	2	2	3.33	1141/1310	3.87	4.08	4.06	3.99	3.33
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	5	0	1	1	1	0	1	2.75	1180/1210	4.12	4.08	4.18	4.28	2.75
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	5	0	0	0	0	3	1	4.25	796/1211	4.46	4.31	4.37	4.51	4.25
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	5	0	1	0	0	2	1	3.50	1097/1207	4.30	4.36	4.41	4.53	3.50

Course-Section: PSYC 601 03

Title: Special Topics In Psych

Instructor: Glyshaw, Kathy J

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 9

Questionnaires: 9

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	5	0	1	2	0	1	0	2.25	851/859	3.44	3.91	4.08	4.08	2.25

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	3	0.00-0.99	0	Α	9	Required for Majors	9	Graduate	6	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	3	Non-major	9
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	6	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

**Course-Section: PSYC 601 04** 

YC 601 04 Te

**Instructor:** Waldstein, Shari

**Title: Special Topics In Psych** 

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 13

**Questionnaires: 11** 

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	5	5	4.36	831/1542	4.11	4.26	4.33	4.39	4.36
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	7	3	4.18	1009/1542	3.89	4.19	4.29	4.31	4.18
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	9	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/1339	4.23	4.20	4.32	4.31	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	8	3	4.27	833/1498	4.00	4.20	4.26	4.25	4.27
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	4	6	4.45	442/1428	4.08	4.09	4.12	4.13	4.45
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	2	8	4.64	279/1407	3.86	4.04	4.15	4.20	4.64
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	2	0	7	2	3.82	1194/1521	3.76	4.22	4.20	4.24	3.82
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	7	4	0	3.36	1531/1541	4.57	4.78	4.70	4.75	3.36
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	1	6	3	4.20	744/1518	3.70	4.02	4.11	4.15	4.20
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	1	6	3	4.20	1120/1472	4.15	4.40	4.46	4.48	4.20
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	1	2	7	4.60	1119/1475	4.59	4.74	4.72	4.76	4.60
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	2	5	3	4.10	1062/1471	4.11	4.29	4.32	4.36	4.10
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	5	1	4	3.90	1182/1470	4.02	4.28	4.33	4.34	3.90
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	9	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1310	3.87	4.08	4.06	3.99	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	1	1	7	4.67	323/1210	4.12	4.08	4.18	4.28	4.67
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	1	1	7	4.67	451/1211	4.46	4.31	4.37	4.51	4.67
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	1	0	8	4.78	379/1207	4.30	4.36	4.41	4.53	4.78

Course-Section: PSYC 601 04

Title: Special Topics In Psych

Instructor: Waldstein, Shari

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 13

Questionnaires: 11

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	2	5	0	0	2	1	1	3.75	607/859	3.44	3.91	4.08	4.08	3.75

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	9	Required for Majors	10	Graduate	3	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	8	Non-major	11
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	3	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: PSYC 601 05

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 6** 

**Questionnaires:** 6

**Title: Special Topics In Psych** 

**Instructor: Beatty, Danielle** 

**Frequencies Instructor** Course Ora UMBC Level Sect **Ouestions** NA 5 NR 1 3 Mean Rank Mean Mean Mean Mean Mean **General** 1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course 0 0 0 0 1 1 4 4.50 632/1542 4.11 4.26 4.33 4.39 4.50 2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals 0 0 0 0 2 2 2 4.29 4.31 4.00 1122/1542 3.89 4.19 4.00 4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals 0 0 0 0 4.50 549/1498 4.00 4.20 4.26 4.25 4.50 1 1 4 725/1428 5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned 0 0 0 0 2 1 3 4.17 4.08 4.09 4.12 4.13 4.17 6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned 0 0 0 1 1 1 3 4.00 874/1407 3.86 4.04 4.15 4.20 4.00 7. Was the grading system clearly explained 0 0 0 1 3 2 0 3.17 1408/1521 3.76 4.22 4.20 4.24 3.17 8. How many times was class cancelled 0 0 0 5 0 0 1 4.17 1387/1541 4.57 4.78 4.70 4.75 4.17 9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness 2 1 0 0 1 2 0 3.67 1213/1518 3.70 4.02 4.11 4.15 3.67 Lecture 1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared 4.50 0 0 0 0 1 1 4.50 817/1472 4.15 4.40 4.46 4.48 4 2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject 0 0 0 0 0 0 6 5.00 1/1475 4.59 4.74 4.72 4.76 5.00 3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly 0 0 0 0 0 2 4 4.67 463/1471 4.11 4.29 4.32 4.36 4.67 4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned 0 0 3 4.33 0 0 0 3 4.50 692/1470 4.02 4.28 4.34 4.50 5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding 0 0 0 2 0 0 4 4.67 201/1310 3.87 4.08 4.06 3.99 4.67 Discussion 1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned 1 0 0 0 0 1 4 4.80 212/1210 4.12 4.08 4.18 4.28 4.80 2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate 1 0 0 0 0 2 3 4.60 507/1211 4.46 4.31 4.37 4.51 4.60 3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion 1 0 0 0 0 3 2 4.40 722/1207 4.30 4.36 4.41 4.53 4.40 4. Were special techniques successful 4 0 0 0 1 \*\*\*\*/859 3.44 4.08 \*\*\*\* 1 0 4.00 3.91 4.08

Run Date: 6/29/2012 9:57:45 AM

1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme

**Seminar** 

4

0

0

0

1

0

1

4.00

4.00

4.53

4.56

4.62

4.00

58/69

Course-Section: PSYC 601 05

Title: Special Topics In Psych

Instructor: Beatty, Danielle

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 6

Questionnaires: 6

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	4	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	60/69	4.00	4.47	4.60	4.71	4.00
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	4	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/68	****	4.67	4.50	4.55	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	46/73	4.50	4.64	4.54	4.54	4.50
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	4	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	24/67	4.50	4.52	4.17	4.35	4.50

#### **Frequency Distribution**

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	Α	4	Required for Majors	4	Graduate	3	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	1	Under-grad	3	Non-major	5
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	3	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Run Date: 6/29/2012 9:57:46 AM

**Course-Section: PSYC 601 07** 

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 17** 

**Title: Special Topics In Psych** 

**Questionnaires: 17** 

Instructor: Lasson, Elliot D

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	2	0	2	2	1	10	0	3.27	1474/1542	4.11	4.26	4.33	4.39	3.27
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	2	4	4	5	0	2.80	1517/1542	3.89	4.19	4.29	4.31	2.80
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	0	6	4	1	3	1	2.27	1336/1339	4.23	4.20	4.32	4.31	2.27
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	0	1	3	5	5	1	3.13	1443/1498	4.00	4.20	4.26	4.25	3.13
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	0	3	3	5	4	0	2.67	1403/1428	4.08	4.09	4.12	4.13	2.67
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	0	2	1	3	7	2	3.40	1256/1407	3.86	4.04	4.15	4.20	3.40
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	3	4	1	3	4	3.07	1425/1521	3.76	4.22	4.20	4.24	3.07
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	3	12	4.80	853/1541	4.57	4.78	4.70	4.75	4.80
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	3	2	8	1	0	2.50	1489/1518	3.70	4.02	4.11	4.15	2.50
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	1	1	3	3	6	3.86	1311/1472	4.15	4.40	4.46	4.48	3.86
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	1	3	1	8	2	3.47	1451/1475	4.59	4.74	4.72	4.76	3.47
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	2	2	5	2	3	3.14	1398/1471	4.11	4.29	4.32	4.36	3.14
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	2	3	5	1	3	3.00	1405/1470	4.02	4.28	4.33	4.34	3.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	2	5	2	3	1	1	2.25	1298/1310	3.87	4.08	4.06	3.99	2.25
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	5	0	2	1	6	2	1	2.92	1152/1210	4.12	4.08	4.18	4.28	2.92
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	5	0	2	1	2	6	1	3.25	1144/1211	4.46	4.31	4.37	4.51	3.25
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	5	0	3	1	2	4	2	3.08	1171/1207	4.30	4.36	4.41	4.53	3.08

Course-Section: PSYC 601 07

Title: Special Topics In Psych

Instructor: Lasson, Elliot D

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 17

Questionnaires: 17

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	5	7	4	1	0	0	0	1.20	859/859	3.44	3.91	4.08	4.08	1.20

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	5	0.00-0.99	0	Α	6	Required for Majors	8	Graduate	7	Major	11
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	6						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	3	Under-grad	10	Non-major	6
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	3	D	0						
Grad.	7	3.50-4.00	6	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	5						

**Course-Section: PSYC 601 08** 

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 16** 

**Questionnaires: 16** 

**Title: Special Topics In Psych** 

**Instructor: Robinson, Denean** 

'	_			Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	1	1	2	12	4.56	560/1542	4.11	4.26	4.33	4.39	4.56
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	1	14	4.81	220/1542	3.89	4.19	4.29	4.31	4.81
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	9	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1339	4.23	4.20	4.32	4.31	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	1	0	14	4.69	333/1498	4.00	4.20	4.26	4.25	4.69
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	2	14	4.88	107/1428	4.08	4.09	4.12	4.13	4.88
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	0	1	0	14	4.63	288/1407	3.86	4.04	4.15	4.20	4.63
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	5.00	1/1521	3.76	4.22	4.20	4.24	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	4	12	4.75	906/1541	4.57	4.78	4.70	4.75	4.75
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	1	4	9	4.57	318/1518	3.70	4.02	4.11	4.15	4.57
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	1	2	13	4.75	452/1472	4.15	4.40	4.46	4.48	4.75
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	1	15	4.94	376/1475	4.59	4.74	4.72	4.76	4.94
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	2	1	13	4.69	438/1471	4.11	4.29	4.32	4.36	4.69
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	2	0	0	14	4.63	558/1470	4.02	4.28	4.33	4.34	4.63
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	2	0	1	0	13	4.38	455/1310	3.87	4.08	4.06	3.99	4.38
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	2	1	13	4.69	306/1210	4.12	4.08	4.18	4.28	4.69
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	2	14	4.88	222/1211	4.46	4.31	4.37	4.51	4.88
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	1	2	13	4.75	402/1207	4.30	4.36	4.41	4.53	4.75
4. Were special techniques successful	0	1	0	0	1	1	13	4.80	97/859	3.44	3.91	4.08	4.08	4.80

Course-Section: PSYC 601 08

Title: Special Topics In Psych

**Instructor:** Robinson, Denean

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 16

Questionnaires: 16

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	15	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/69	4.00	4.53	4.56	4.62	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	15	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/69	4.00	4.47	4.60	4.71	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	15	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/68	****	4.67	4.50	4.55	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	15	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/73	4.50	4.64	4.54	4.54	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	15	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/67	4.50	4.52	4.17	4.35	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	<b>A</b>	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	5	0.00-0.99	2	Α	13	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	7	Major	9
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	1	Under-grad	9	Non-major	7
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	7	3.50-4.00	5	F	0	Electives	7	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	3						

Course-Section: PSYC 601 09

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 14** 

\_\_\_\_

**Title: Special Topics In Psych** 

**Questionnaires: 14** 

Instructor: Milatzo, John P

	_			Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	0	1	12	4.92	135/1542	4.11	4.26	4.33	4.39	4.92
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	1	0	12	4.85	195/1542	3.89	4.19	4.29	4.31	4.85
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	8	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1339	4.23	4.20	4.32	4.31	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	0	13	5.00	1/1498	4.00	4.20	4.26	4.25	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	2	11	4.85	122/1428	4.08	4.09	4.12	4.13	4.85
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	1	11	4.77	171/1407	3.86	4.04	4.15	4.20	4.77
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	1	0	1	11	4.69	291/1521	3.76	4.22	4.20	4.24	4.69
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	0	13	5.00	1/1541	4.57	4.78	4.70	4.75	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	0	4	7	4.64	269/1518	3.70	4.02	4.11	4.15	4.64
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	2	10	4.83	319/1472	4.15	4.40	4.46	4.48	4.83
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	12	5.00	1/1475	4.59	4.74	4.72	4.76	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	146/1471	4.11	4.29	4.32	4.36	4.92
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	159/1470	4.02	4.28	4.33	4.34	4.92
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	0	0	1	2	9	4.67	201/1310	3.87	4.08	4.06	3.99	4.67
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	1	0	0	1	10	4.58	384/1210	4.12	4.08	4.18	4.28	4.58
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	0	0	1	10	4.91	194/1211	4.46	4.31	4.37	4.51	4.91
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	0	0	11	5.00	1/1207	4.30	4.36	4.41	4.53	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	3	1	1	0	2	0	7	4.20	388/859	3.44	3.91	4.08	4.08	4.20

Course-Section: PSYC 601 09

**Title: Special Topics In Psych** 

Instructor: Milatzo, John P

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 14

**Questionnaires: 14** 

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	13	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/69	4.00	4.53	4.56	4.62	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	13	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/69	4.00	4.47	4.60	4.71	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	13	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/68	****	4.67	4.50	4.55	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	13	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/73	4.50	4.64	4.54	4.54	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	13	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/67	4.50	4.52	4.17	4.35	****

#### **Frequency Distribution**

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	4	0.00-0.99	0	Α	12	Required for Majors	5	Graduate	5	Major	10
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	1	Under-grad	9	Non-major	4
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	5	3.50-4.00	5	F	0	Electives	5	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	2						

Run Date: 6/29/2012 9:57:46 AM

Course-Section: PSYC 607 01

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 6

Questionnaires: 6

Title: Dev Psychopathology
Instructor: Schiffman, Jason

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	3	2	4.17	1051/1542	4.17	4.26	4.33	4.39	4.17
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	4	2	4.33	833/1542	4.33	4.19	4.29	4.31	4.33
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	2	3	4.33	757/1339	4.33	4.20	4.32	4.31	4.33
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	2	3	4.33	767/1498	4.33	4.20	4.26	4.25	4.33
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	2	3	4.33	552/1428	4.33	4.09	4.12	4.13	4.33
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	3	2	4.17	775/1407	4.17	4.04	4.15	4.20	4.17
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	5	1	4.17	934/1521	4.17	4.22	4.20	4.24	4.17
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1541	5.00	4.78	4.70	4.75	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	1	2	2	4.20	744/1518	4.20	4.02	4.11	4.15	4.20
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	1	0	1	4	4.33	1022/1472	4.33	4.40	4.46	4.48	4.33
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	727/1475	4.83	4.74	4.72	4.76	4.83
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	1	1	4	4.50	637/1471	4.50	4.29	4.32	4.36	4.50
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	498/1470	4.67	4.28	4.33	4.34	4.67
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	1	0	1	4	4.33	495/1310	4.33	4.08	4.06	3.99	4.33

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

1

5

6

5

1

0

0

4.83

5.00

4.67

187/1210

1/1211

499/1207

Run Date: 6/29/2012 9:57:46 AM

Discussion

1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned

2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate

3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion

4.83

5.00

4.67

4.08

4.31

4.36

4.18

4.37

4.41

4.28

4.51

4.53

4.83

5.00

4.67

Course-Section: PSYC 607 01

Title: Dev Psychopathology

Instructor: Schiffman, Jason

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 6

Questionnaires: 6

					Fre	quenc	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Discussion														
4	4. Were special techniques successful	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	158/859	4.67	3.91	4.08	4.08	4.67

#### **Frequency Distribution**

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	5	Required for Majors	4	Graduate	4	Major	0
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	6
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	4	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Run Date: 6/29/2012 9:57:46 AM

Course-Section: PSYC 616 01

Title: Meas Appl Behav An

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 10

Questionnaires: 8

Instructor: Borrero, John C

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	196/1542	4.88	4.26	4.33	4.39	4.88
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	169/1542	4.88	4.19	4.29	4.31	4.88
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	5	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	414/1339	4.67	4.20	4.32	4.31	4.67
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	147/1498	4.88	4.20	4.26	4.25	4.88
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	3	5	4.63	284/1428	4.63	4.09	4.12	4.13	4.63
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	118/1407	4.86	4.04	4.15	4.20	4.86
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	124/1521	4.88	4.22	4.20	4.24	4.88
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1541	5.00	4.78	4.70	4.75	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	130/1518	4.86	4.02	4.11	4.15	4.86
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	256/1472	4.88	4.40	4.46	4.48	4.88
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	619/1475	4.88	4.74	4.72	4.76	4.88
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	346/1471	4.75	4.29	4.32	4.36	4.75
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	374/1470	4.75	4.28	4.33	4.34	4.75
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	1	0	0	1	2	3	4.33	495/1310	4.33	4.08	4.06	3.99	4.33
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1210	5.00	4.08	4.18	4.28	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1211	5.00	4.31	4.37	4.51	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1207	5.00	4.36	4.41	4.53	5.00

Run Date: 6/29/2012 9:57:46 AM

Course-Section: PSYC 616 01

Title: Meas Appl Behav An

Instructor: Borrero, John C

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 10

Questionnaires: 8

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	1	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	88/859	4.86	3.91	4.08	4.08	4.86

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	7	Graduate	2	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	6						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	1	General	0	Under-grad	6	Non-major	8
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	2	3.50-4.00	5	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: PSYC 622 01

Title: Clinical Interventn I

**Instructor:** Diclemente, Carl

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 10

**Questionnaires: 10** 

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	297/1542	4.78	4.26	4.33	4.39	4.78
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	7	3	4.30	867/1542	4.30	4.19	4.29	4.31	4.30
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	7	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1339	5.00	4.20	4.32	4.31	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	2	6	4.40	688/1498	4.40	4.20	4.26	4.25	4.40
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	1	8	4.70	220/1428	4.70	4.09	4.12	4.13	4.70
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	2	2	5	4.10	828/1407	4.10	4.04	4.15	4.20	4.10
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	2	0	0	3	3	1	3.71	1234/1521	3.71	4.22	4.20	4.24	3.71
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1541	5.00	4.78	4.70	4.75	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	4	5	4.56	334/1518	4.56	4.02	4.11	4.15	4.56
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	418/1472	4.78	4.40	4.46	4.48	4.78
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	1	9	4.90	538/1475	4.90	4.74	4.72	4.76	4.90
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	5	5	4.50	637/1471	4.50	4.29	4.32	4.36	4.50
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	3	6	4.50	692/1470	4.50	4.28	4.33	4.34	4.50
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	1	3	4	2	3.70	973/1310	3.70	4.08	4.06	3.99	3.70
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	1	2	1	4.00	774/1210	4.00	4.08	4.18	4.28	4.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	6	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1211	5.00	4.31	4.37	4.51	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	6	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	630/1207	4.50	4.36	4.41	4.53	4.50

Course-Section: PSYC 622 01

Title: Clinical Interventn I

Instructor: Diclemente, Carl

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 10

Questionnaires: 10

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	6	3	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/859	****	3.91	4.08	4.08	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	3	0.00-0.99	0	Α	9	Required for Majors	10	Graduate	7	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	3	Non-major	10
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	7	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

**Course-Section: PSYC 665 01** 

Title: Advsem:Drugs And Behav

**Instructor:** McClure, Erin A

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 13

**Questionnaires: 13** 

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	1	4	2	3	2	3.08	1495/1542	3.08	4.26	4.33	4.39	3.08
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	2	4	4	1	1	2.58	1527/1542	2.58	4.19	4.29	4.31	2.58
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	2	7	2	0	1	2.25	1337/1339	2.25	4.20	4.32	4.31	2.25
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	2	4	2	2	2	2.83	1474/1498	2.83	4.20	4.26	4.25	2.83
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	3	6	1	2	3.17	1335/1428	3.17	4.09	4.12	4.13	3.17
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	3	4	2	1	2	2.58	1391/1407	2.58	4.04	4.15	4.20	2.58
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	1	5	1	2	0	3	2.55	1494/1521	2.55	4.22	4.20	4.24	2.55
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	0	12	5.00	1/1541	5.00	4.78	4.70	4.75	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	1	1	7	1	1	3.00	1425/1518	3.00	4.02	4.11	4.15	3.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	3	7	0	2	3.08	1433/1472	3.08	4.40	4.46	4.48	3.08
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	4	8	4.67	1039/1475	4.67	4.74	4.72	4.76	4.67
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	3	4	2	2	1	2.50	1454/1471	2.50	4.29	4.32	4.36	2.50
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	4	3	3	1	1	2.33	1449/1470	2.33	4.28	4.33	4.34	2.33
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	2	3	4	1	2	2.83	1254/1310	2.83	4.08	4.06	3.99	2.83
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	2	4	3	1	1	2.55	1190/1210	2.55	4.08	4.18	4.28	2.55
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	0	5	6	4.55	550/1211	4.55	4.31	4.37	4.51	4.55
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	1	2	2	6	4.18	850/1207	4.18	4.36	4.41	4.53	4.18

Course-Section: PSYC 665 01

Title: Advsem:Drugs And Behav

Instructor: McClure,Erin A

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 13

Questionnaires: 13

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	2	1	0	2	3	1	4	3.70	626/859	3.70	3.91	4.08	4.08	3.70

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	Α	4	Required for Majors	6	Graduate	8	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	7						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	5	Non-major	13
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	8	3.50-4.00	7	F	0	Electives	5	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	2						

Course-Section: PSYC 669 01

Title: Organiz Beh Mgmnt

Instructor: Sigurdsson, Sigu

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 16

**Questionnaires: 12** 

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	1	2	5	3	3.91	1265/1542	3.78	4.26	4.33	4.39	3.91
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	2	4	5	4.27	904/1542	3.94	4.19	4.29	4.31	4.27
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	1	2	4	4	4.00	1058/1498	3.73	4.20	4.26	4.25	4.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	6	2	3	3.73	1119/1428	3.74	4.09	4.12	4.13	3.73
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	2	3	6	4.36	569/1407	3.97	4.04	4.15	4.20	4.36
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	2	2	7	4.45	588/1521	4.07	4.22	4.20	4.24	4.45
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	5	6	4.55	1093/1541	4.70	4.78	4.70	4.75	4.55
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	4	4	2	3.80	1129/1518	3.74	4.02	4.11	4.15	3.80
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	2	4	5	4.27	1072/1472	4.06	4.40	4.46	4.48	4.27
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	11	5.00	1/1475	4.83	4.74	4.72	4.76	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	1	4	6	4.45	711/1471	4.23	4.29	4.32	4.36	4.45
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	2	4	2	3	3.55	1306/1470	3.61	4.28	4.33	4.34	3.55
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	3	0	2	3	1	2	3.38	1125/1310	3.63	4.08	4.06	3.99	3.38
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	1	1	3	5	3.91	854/1210	4.03	4.08	4.18	4.28	3.91
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	1	2	8	4.64	479/1211	4.48	4.31	4.37	4.51	4.64
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	1	2	2	6	4.18	850/1207	4.14	4.36	4.41	4.53	4.18

Course-Section: PSYC 669 01

Title: Organiz Beh Mgmnt

Instructor: Sigurdsson, Sigu

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 16

Questionnaires: 12

					Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Discussion														
4. Were	e special techniques successful	1	4	0	0	1	4	2	4.14	427/859	3.85	3.91	4.08	4.08	4.14

#### **Frequency Distribution**

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	A	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	5	0.00-0.99	0	Α	11	Required for Majors	6	Graduate	4	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	8	Non-major	12
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	4	3.50-4.00	6	F	0	Electives	5	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Run Date: 6/29/2012 9:57:46 AM

Course-Section: PSYC 669 02

**Title: Organiz Beh Mgmnt** 

**Instructor:** Rosen, Theodore

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 22

**Questionnaires: 22** 

	_			Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	2	0	2	3	2	6	7	3.65	1391/1542	3.78	4.26	4.33	4.39	3.65
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	3	1	4	5	7	3.60	1376/1542	3.94	4.19	4.29	4.31	3.60
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	14	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	414/1339	4.67	4.20	4.32	4.31	4.67
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	0	3	2	4	5	6	3.45	1361/1498	3.73	4.20	4.26	4.25	3.45
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	0	2	2	3	5	8	3.75	1097/1428	3.74	4.09	4.12	4.13	3.75
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	3	0	3	0	5	5	6	3.58	1170/1407	3.97	4.04	4.15	4.20	3.58
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	1	2	3	3	2	9	3.68	1247/1521	4.07	4.22	4.20	4.24	3.68
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	3	17	4.85	771/1541	4.70	4.78	4.70	4.75	4.85
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	1	0	7	7	4	3.68	1202/1518	3.74	4.02	4.11	4.15	3.68
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	1	1	3	9	5	3.84	1314/1472	4.06	4.40	4.46	4.48	3.84
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	1	0	0	3	16	4.65	1053/1475	4.83	4.74	4.72	4.76	4.65
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	1	0	5	5	8	4.00	1104/1471	4.23	4.29	4.32	4.36	4.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	1	2	6	3	7	3.68	1261/1470	3.61	4.28	4.33	4.34	3.68
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	1	2	0	2	8	6	3.89	875/1310	3.63	4.08	4.06	3.99	3.89
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	1	1	1	7	9	4.16	704/1210	4.03	4.08	4.18	4.28	4.16
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	1	2	0	3	13	4.32	755/1211	4.48	4.31	4.37	4.51	4.32
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	2	2	0	3	12	4.11	892/1207	4.14	4.36	4.41	4.53	4.11
4. Were special techniques successful	3	3	2	1	4	4	5	3.56	692/859	3.85	3.91	4.08	4.08	3.56

Course-Section: PSYC 669 02

Title: Organiz Beh Mgmnt

Instructor: Rosen,Theodore

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 22
Questionnaires: 22

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	19	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/69	****	4.53	4.56	4.62	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	19	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/69	****	4.47	4.60	4.71	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	19	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/68	****	4.67	4.50	4.55	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	19	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	****/73	****	4.64	4.54	4.54	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	19	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	****/67	****	4.52	4.17	4.35	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	5	0.00-0.99	0	Α	18	Required for Majors	5	Graduate	10	Major	17
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	2	Under-grad	12	Non-major	5
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	3	D	0						
Grad.	10	3.50-4.00	6	F	0	Electives	11	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	3						

Course-Section: PSYC 676 01

Title: Human Factors

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 9

Questionnaires: 9

Instructor: Balci-Sinha,Ran

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	196/1542	4.88	4.26	4.33	4.39	4.88
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	297/1542	4.75	4.19	4.29	4.31	4.75
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	186/1339	4.88	4.20	4.32	4.31	4.88
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	147/1498	4.88	4.20	4.26	4.25	4.88
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	4	3	4.43	473/1428	4.43	4.09	4.12	4.13	4.43
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	2	3	2	4.00	874/1407	4.00	4.04	4.15	4.20	4.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	0	1	1	3	2	3.86	1170/1521	3.86	4.22	4.20	4.24	3.86
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	771/1541	4.86	4.78	4.70	4.75	4.86
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	1	1	3	2	3.86	1093/1518	3.86	4.02	4.11	4.15	3.86
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1472	5.00	4.40	4.46	4.48	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	619/1475	4.88	4.74	4.72	4.76	4.88
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	346/1471	4.75	4.29	4.32	4.36	4.75
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	3	5	4.63	558/1470	4.63	4.28	4.33	4.34	4.63
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	1	0	0	1	6	4.38	455/1310	4.38	4.08	4.06	3.99	4.38
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	323/1210	4.67	4.08	4.18	4.28	4.67
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1211	5.00	4.31	4.37	4.51	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1207	5.00	4.36	4.41	4.53	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	3	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	91/859	4.83	3.91	4.08	4.08	4.83

Run Date: 6/29/2012 9:57:46 AM

Course-Section: PSYC 676 01

Title: Human Factors

Instructor: Balci-Sinha,Ran

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 9

Questionnaires: 9

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	8	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/207	****	4.44	4.12	4.20	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/210	****	4.31	4.17	4.12	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/202	****	4.38	4.50	4.23	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/202	****	4.51	4.32	4.24	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	8	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/199	****	4.45	4.15	4.30	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/69	****	4.53	4.56	4.62	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	8	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/69	****	4.47	4.60	4.71	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/68	****	4.67	4.50	4.55	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/73	****	4.64	4.54	4.54	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	8	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/67	****	4.52	4.17	4.35	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/32	****	****	4.20	4.06	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/35	****	****	4.36	4.40	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/25	****	****	4.59	4.53	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/17	****	****	4.62	4.43	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	****	4.02	4.27	4.36	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/19	****	3.89	4.57	4.45	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	3.56	4.29	4.42	****
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	8	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/18	****	3.58	4.25	4.35	****

Course-Section: PSYC 676 01

Title: Human Factors

Instructor: Balci-Sinha,Ran

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 9

Questionnaires: 9

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/13	****	3.85	4.14	4.23	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	<b>A</b>	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	3	0.00-0.99	0	Α	5	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	3	Major	6
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	2						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	1	Under-grad	6	Non-major	3
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	3	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	6	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	2						

Course-Section: PSYC 686 01

**Title: Ethical & Pro Issues** 

Instructor: Simpson, Tara H

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 12

**Questionnaires: 12** 

	NR NA 1 2 3 4 5 Mean Rank						structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect		
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	0	3	8	4.73	360/1542	4.73	4.26	4.33	4.39	4.73
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	0	11	5.00	1/1542	5.00	4.19	4.29	4.31	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	8	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1339	5.00	4.20	4.32	4.31	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	1	0	0	0	1	9	4.90	128/1498	4.90	4.20	4.26	4.25	4.90
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	1	2	4	4	4.00	851/1428	4.00	4.09	4.12	4.13	4.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	4	7	4.64	279/1407	4.64	4.04	4.15	4.20	4.64
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	0	1	10	4.91	99/1521	4.91	4.22	4.20	4.24	4.91
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	0	11	5.00	1/1541	5.00	4.78	4.70	4.75	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	0	5	4	4.44	445/1518	4.44	4.02	4.11	4.15	4.44
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	1	10	4.91	209/1472	4.91	4.40	4.46	4.48	4.91
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	11	5.00	1/1475	5.00	4.74	4.72	4.76	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	0	11	5.00	1/1471	5.00	4.29	4.32	4.36	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	11	5.00	1/1470	5.00	4.28	4.33	4.34	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	2	5	4	4.18	642/1310	4.18	4.08	4.06	3.99	4.18
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	145/1210	4.89	4.08	4.18	4.28	4.89
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1211	5.00	4.31	4.37	4.51	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1207	5.00	4.36	4.41	4.53	5.00

Course-Section: PSYC 686 01

Title: Ethical & Pro Issues

Instructor: Simpson, Tara H

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 12

Questionnaires: 12

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	4	4	0	1	0	1	2	4.00	478/859	4.00	3.91	4.08	4.08	4.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	11	Required for Majors	9	Graduate	8	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	4	Non-major	12
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	8	3.50-4.00	6	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

**Course-Section: PSYC 710 01** 

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 14 Questionnaires: 14** 

**Title: Research Methods Instructor:** Barry, Robin A

	NR NA 1 2 3 4 5 Mean Ra						structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect		
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	2	5	3	4	0	2.64	1531/1542	2.64	4.26	4.33	4.39	2.64
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	1	2	6	3	1	3.08	1495/1542	3.08	4.19	4.29	4.31	3.08
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	9	1	3	1	0	0	2.00	1338/1339	2.00	4.20	4.32	4.31	2.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	2	2	2	8	0	3.14	1442/1498	3.14	4.20	4.26	4.25	3.14
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	4	3	5	1	1	2.43	1416/1428	2.43	4.09	4.12	4.13	2.43
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	3	3	7	0	3.14	1326/1407	3.14	4.04	4.15	4.20	3.14
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	4	3	2	4	3.46	1343/1521	3.46	4.22	4.20	4.24	3.46
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	5.00	1/1541	5.00	4.78	4.70	4.75	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	5	6	3	3.86	1093/1518	3.46	4.02	4.11	4.15	3.46
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	2	1	7	4	3.93	1281/1472	3.70	4.40	4.46	4.48	3.70
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	1	0	7	2	4	3.57	1443/1475	3.55	4.74	4.72	4.76	3.55
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	1	0	7	4	2	3.43	1353/1471	3.33	4.29	4.32	4.36	3.33
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	3	1	5	5	0	2.86	1425/1470	2.85	4.28	4.33	4.34	2.85
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	2	5	3	2	2	2.79	1259/1310	2.78	4.08	4.06	3.99	2.78
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	2	1	3	0	3.17	1110/1210	3.17	4.08	4.18	4.28	3.17
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	8	0	0	0	1	3	2	4.17	851/1211	4.17	4.31	4.37	4.51	4.17
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	8	0	1	0	0	2	3	4.00	918/1207	4.00	4.36	4.41	4.53	4.00

Course-Section: PSYC 710 01

Title: Research Methods

Instructor: Barry,Robin A

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 14

Questionnaires: 14

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	9	1	1	0	3	0	0	2.50	843/859	2.50	3.91	4.08	4.08	2.50

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	3	0.00-0.99	0	Α	13	Required for Majors	14	Graduate	11	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	3	Non-major	14
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	11	3.50-4.00	5	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: PSYC 710 01

10 01 Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 14

Questionnaires: 14

Title: Research Methods
Instructor: Else-Quest,Nico

	NR NA 1 2 3 4 5 Mean R						structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect		
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	2	5	3	4	0	2.64	1531/1542	2.64	4.26	4.33	4.39	2.64
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	1	2	6	3	1	3.08	1495/1542	3.08	4.19	4.29	4.31	3.08
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	9	1	3	1	0	0	2.00	1338/1339	2.00	4.20	4.32	4.31	2.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	2	2	2	8	0	3.14	1442/1498	3.14	4.20	4.26	4.25	3.14
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	4	3	5	1	1	2.43	1416/1428	2.43	4.09	4.12	4.13	2.43
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	3	3	7	0	3.14	1326/1407	3.14	4.04	4.15	4.20	3.14
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	4	3	2	4	3.46	1343/1521	3.46	4.22	4.20	4.24	3.46
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	5.00	1/1541	5.00	4.78	4.70	4.75	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	1	2	6	5	0	3.07	1415/1518	3.46	4.02	4.11	4.15	3.46
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	3	3	5	2	3.46	1405/1472	3.70	4.40	4.46	4.48	3.70
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	1	1	4	4	3	3.54	1445/1475	3.55	4.74	4.72	4.76	3.55
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	1	1	7	2	2	3.23	1387/1471	3.33	4.29	4.32	4.36	3.33
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	3	1	4	5	0	2.85	1426/1470	2.85	4.28	4.33	4.34	2.85
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	2	5	2	2	2	2.77	1261/1310	2.78	4.08	4.06	3.99	2.78
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	2	1	3	0	3.17	1110/1210	3.17	4.08	4.18	4.28	3.17
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	8	0	0	0	1	3	2	4.17	851/1211	4.17	4.31	4.37	4.51	4.17
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	8	0	1	0	0	2	3	4.00	918/1207	4.00	4.36	4.41	4.53	4.00

Course-Section: PSYC 710 01

Title: Research Methods

Instructor: Else-Quest,Nico

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 14

Questionnaires: 14

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	9	1	1	0	3	0	0	2.50	843/859	2.50	3.91	4.08	4.08	2.50

Credits E	Earned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	3	0.00-0.99	0	Α	13	Required for Majors	14	Graduate	11	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	3	Non-major	14
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	11	3.50-4.00	5	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

**Course-Section: PSYC 711 01** 

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 13** 

Title: Data Analytic Proced II

**Instructor: Pitts, Steven C** 

**Questionnaires: 13** 

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	2	0	0	3	8	4.15	1060/1542	4.15	4.26	4.33	4.39	4.15
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	1	0	2	4	6	4.08	1091/1542	4.08	4.19	4.29	4.31	4.08
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	1	1	1	3	7	4.08	954/1339	4.08	4.20	4.32	4.31	4.08
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	2	1	2	6	4.09	1012/1498	4.09	4.20	4.26	4.25	4.09
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	2	3	1	1	1	5	3.36	1279/1428	3.36	4.09	4.12	4.13	3.36
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	2	2	1	0	1	7	3.91	973/1407	3.91	4.04	4.15	4.20	3.91
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	0	3	2	7	4.33	746/1521	4.33	4.22	4.20	4.24	4.33
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	12	4.92	551/1541	4.92	4.78	4.70	4.75	4.92
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	1	1	0	6	5	4.00	920/1518	4.00	4.02	4.11	4.15	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	1	1	1	4	6	4.00	1222/1472	4.00	4.40	4.46	4.48	4.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	2	11	4.85	700/1475	4.85	4.74	4.72	4.76	4.85
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	2	1	2	3	5	3.62	1303/1471	3.62	4.29	4.32	4.36	3.62
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	2	0	1	3	6	3.92	1174/1470	3.92	4.28	4.33	4.34	3.92
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	1	3	5	1	3	3.15	1198/1310	3.15	4.08	4.06	3.99	3.15
Discussion												,		
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	6	0	2	1	3	1	0	2.43	1195/1210	2.43	4.08	4.18	4.28	2.43
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	6	0	3	0	2	1	1	2.57	1200/1211	2.57	4.31	4.37	4.51	2.57
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	6	0	3	0	1	1	2	2.86	1188/1207	2.86	4.36	4.41	4.53	2.86
4. Were special techniques successful	6	6	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/859	****	3.91	4.08	4.08	****

**Course-Section: PSYC 711 01** 

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 13** 

Title: Data Analytic Proced II

**Instructor: Pitts, Steven C** 

**Questionnaires: 13** 

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/207	****	4.44	4.12	4.20	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	12	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/210	****	4.31	4.17	4.12	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	12	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/202	****	4.38	4.50	4.23	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/202	****	4.51	4.32	4.24	****
Field Work														
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	12	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/23	****	****	4.41	4.39	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	****	4.02	4.27	4.36	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	12	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/19	****	3.89	4.57	4.45	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	3.56	4.29	4.42	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	4	0.00-0.99	1	Α	3	Required for Majors	12	Graduate	8	Major	0
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	10						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	5	Non-major	13
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	8	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

**Course-Section: PSYC 711L 01** 

Title: Data Analy. Proced. II

**Instructor: Pitts, Steven C** 

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 13

**Questionnaires: 13** 

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	2	0	2	9	4.38	805/1542	4.38	4.26	4.33	4.39	4.38
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	1	6	5	4.15	1035/1542	4.15	4.19	4.29	4.31	4.15
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	4	1	1	1	0	6	4.00	982/1339	4.00	4.20	4.32	4.31	4.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	1	1	1	3	6	4.00	1058/1498	4.00	4.20	4.26	4.25	4.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	4	2	0	1	1	5	3.78	1082/1428	3.78	4.09	4.12	4.13	3.78
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	2	0	1	3	6	3.92	963/1407	3.92	4.04	4.15	4.20	3.92
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	1	2	3	6	4.17	934/1521	4.17	4.22	4.20	4.24	4.17
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	3	10	4.77	895/1541	4.77	4.78	4.70	4.75	4.77
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	2	6	5	4.23	709/1518	4.23	4.02	4.11	4.15	4.23
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	1	6	6	4.38	973/1472	4.38	4.40	4.46	4.48	4.38
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	4	9	4.69	1000/1475	4.69	4.74	4.72	4.76	4.69
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	1	3	4	5	4.00	1104/1471	4.00	4.29	4.32	4.36	4.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	2	2	2	7	4.08	1082/1470	4.08	4.28	4.33	4.34	4.08
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	1	0	4	1	7	4.00	761/1310	4.00	4.08	4.06	3.99	4.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	7	0	2	0	3	1	0	2.50	1191/1210	2.50	4.08	4.18	4.28	2.50
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	7	0	1	0	1	3	1	3.50	1100/1211	3.50	4.31	4.37	4.51	3.50
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	7	0	0	1	1	2	2	3.83	1010/1207	3.83	4.36	4.41	4.53	3.83
4. Were special techniques successful	7	4	0	0	2	0	0	3.00	****/859	****	3.91	4.08	4.08	****

Course-Section: PSYC 711L 01

Title: Data Analy. Proced. II

**Instructor: Pitts, Steven C** 

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 13

**Questionnaires: 13** 

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	9	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	60/207	4.50	4.44	4.12	4.20	4.50
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	9	0	0	1	1	1	1	3.50	184/210	3.50	4.31	4.17	4.12	3.50
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	9	0	0	1	1	1	1	3.50	198/202	3.50	4.38	4.50	4.23	3.50
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	10	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/202	****	4.51	4.32	4.24	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	10	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/199	****	4.45	4.15	4.30	****
Field Work														
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	12	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/23	****	****	4.41	4.39	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	****	4.02	4.27	4.36	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	12	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/19	****	3.89	4.57	4.45	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	3.56	4.29	4.42	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	3	0.00-0.99	0	Α	4	Required for Majors	12	Graduate	9	Major	0
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	9						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	4	Non-major	13
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	9	3.50-4.00	5	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: PSYC 730 01
Title: Parenting

**Instructor:** Cheah, Charissa

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 7

Questionnaires: 7

		NA 1 2 3 4 5 Mean							structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1542	5.00	4.26	4.33	4.39	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	352/1542	4.71	4.19	4.29	4.31	4.71
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	6	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1339	****	4.20	4.32	4.31	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	0	6	4.71	298/1498	4.71	4.20	4.26	4.25	4.71
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	117/1428	4.86	4.09	4.12	4.13	4.86
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	4.57	335/1407	4.57	4.04	4.15	4.20	4.57
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	3	3	4.29	806/1521	4.29	4.22	4.20	4.24	4.29
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1541	5.00	4.78	4.70	4.75	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	138/1518	4.83	4.02	4.11	4.15	4.83
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	319/1472	4.83	4.40	4.46	4.48	4.83
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1475	5.00	4.74	4.72	4.76	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	244/1471	4.83	4.29	4.32	4.36	4.83
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	270/1470	4.83	4.28	4.33	4.34	4.83
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	92/1310	4.86	4.08	4.06	3.99	4.86
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	170/1210	4.86	4.08	4.18	4.28	4.86
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	242/1211	4.86	4.31	4.37	4.51	4.86
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	289/1207	4.86	4.36	4.41	4.53	4.86
4. Were special techniques successful	0	5	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	216/859	4.50	3.91	4.08	4.08	4.50

Course-Section: PSYC 730 01

Title: Parenting

Instructor: Cheah, Charissa

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 7

Questionnaires: 7

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	2	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/69	5.00	4.53	4.56	4.62	5.00
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	2	1	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/69	5.00	4.47	4.60	4.71	5.00
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	3	1	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	36/68	4.67	4.67	4.50	4.55	4.67
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	31/73	4.80	4.64	4.54	4.54	4.80
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	2	0	0	0	0	3	2	4.40	31/67	4.40	4.52	4.17	4.35	4.40

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	3	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	4	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	3	Non-major	6
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	4	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	3	**** - Means ther	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	1			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	1						

Course-Section: PSYC 781 01

Title: Social Development

Instructor: Schultz, David A

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 7

**Questionnaires:** 7

'	_			Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	5	1	4.00	1173/1542	4.00	4.26	4.33	4.39	4.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	5	2	4.29	892/1542	4.29	4.19	4.29	4.31	4.29
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	1	1	3	1	3.67	1160/1339	3.67	4.20	4.32	4.31	3.67
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	4	2	4.14	966/1498	4.14	4.20	4.26	4.25	4.14
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	3	3	4.29	598/1428	4.29	4.09	4.12	4.13	4.29
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	4	2	4.14	792/1407	4.14	4.04	4.15	4.20	4.14
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	1	0	0	3	3	4.00	1046/1521	4.00	4.22	4.20	4.24	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1541	5.00	4.78	4.70	4.75	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	1	5	1	4.00	920/1518	4.00	4.02	4.11	4.15	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	4.57	728/1472	4.57	4.40	4.46	4.48	4.57
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	673/1475	4.86	4.74	4.72	4.76	4.86
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	1	3	3	4.29	922/1471	4.29	4.29	4.32	4.36	4.29
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	4.57	619/1470	4.57	4.28	4.33	4.34	4.57
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	2	2	3	4.14	674/1310	4.14	4.08	4.06	3.99	4.14
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	3	2	4.17	696/1210	4.17	4.08	4.18	4.28	4.17
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	1	1	4	4.50	580/1211	4.50	4.31	4.37	4.51	4.50
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	3	3	4.50	630/1207	4.50	4.36	4.41	4.53	4.50

Run Date: 6/29/2012 9:57:47 AM

Course-Section: PSYC 781 01

Title: Social Development

Instructor: Schultz, David A

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 7

Questionnaires: 7

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	1	1	0	0	3	1	1	3.60	678/859	3.60	3.91	4.08	4.08	3.60

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	Α	3	Required for Majors	5	Graduate	4	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	2						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	3	Non-major	7
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	4	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	2						