Course-Section: PUBL 600 01

Title: Research Methodology

Instructor: Kirk,Adele M.

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 13

	-			Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	3	4	4	4.09	1053/1449	4.09	4.47	4.33	4.41	4.09
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	3	4	4	4.09	1011/1446	4.09	4.24	4.29	4.30	4.09
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	1	6	4	4.27	770/1256	4.27	4.21	4.34	4.30	4.27
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	1	2	6	2	3.82	1143/1402	3.82	4.19	4.27	4.26	3.82
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	3	3	5	4.18	698/1358	4.18	4.12	4.13	4.18	4.18
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	1	3	4	3	3.82	986/1327	3.82	4.37	4.16	4.29	3.82
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	2	0	5	4	4.00	970/1435	4.00	4.09	4.20	4.23	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	4	6	4.60	949/1446	4.60	4.66	4.67	4.81	4.60
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	3	5	1	3.78	1103/1437	3.78	4.34	4.12	4.17	3.78
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	1	6	4	4.27	1037/1386	4.27	4.40	4.48	4.47	4.27
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	3	8	4.73	923/1390	4.73	4.76	4.74	4.77	4.73
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	1	2	2	3	3	3.45	1278/1379	3.45	4.15	4.34	4.34	3.45
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	1	1	4	4	3.82	1159/1379	3.82	4.25	4.36	4.35	3.82
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	3	3	3	1	0	0	1.71	1230/1236	1.71	3.29	4.08	3.94	1.71
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	1	3	2	3	3.78	846/1121	3.78	4.16	4.18	4.29	3.78
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	1	1	3	4	4.11	827/1122	4.11	4.51	4.36	4.44	4.11
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	1	3	5	4.44	652/1121	4.44	4.62	4.40	4.52	4.44
4. Were special techniques successful	3	3	0	1	2	2	1	3.50	643/790	3.50	3.90	4.06	4.08	3.50

					_					_							
Course-S	Section:	PUBL 600 0	1				Term	- Spring	2011						Enro	Ilment:	13
	Title:	Research Me	ethod	ology						_				Q	uestion	naires:	12
Ins	tructor:	Kirk, Adele N	/ 1.														
								Frequer	ncies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fr	eque	ncy Dist	ributi	ion							
Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grade	es		Reaso	ns			Туре)		Ma	jors	
00-27	4	0.00-0.99	1	Α	6		Requ	ired for Ma	ors	8	1	Graduate	4		Major		6
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	3												
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0		Gene	ral		1		Under-grad	8		Non-ma	ajor	6
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0												
Grad.	4	3.50-4.00	2	F	0		Electi	ves		0)	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other			1							
				2	3												

Course-Section: PUBL 601 01 Title: Political/Social Context Instructor: Meyers, Roy T

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 18

						In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect		
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	1	1	2	6	8	4.06	1077/1449	4.06	4.47	4.33	4.41	4.06
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	1	2	2	7	6	3.83	1191/1446	3.83	4.24	4.29	4.30	3.83
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	13	2	0	0	0	3	3.40	1190/1256	3.40	4.21	4.34	4.30	3.40
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	2	0	2	6	7	3.94	1065/1402	3.94	4.19	4.27	4.26	3.94
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	1	2	5	9	4.11	766/1358	4.11	4.12	4.13	4.18	4.11
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	0	5	2	10	4.11	783/1327	4.11	4.37	4.16	4.29	4.11
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	3	0	1	6	8	3.89	1076/1435	3.89	4.09	4.20	4.23	3.89
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	2	16	4.89	566/1446	4.89	4.66	4.67	4.81	4.89
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	1	1	0	7	5	4.00	868/1437	4.00	4.34	4.12	4.17	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	2	1	0	6	9	4.06	1161/1386	4.06	4.40	4.48	4.47	4.06
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	1	0	1	0	16	4.67	1002/1390	4.67	4.76	4.74	4.77	4.67
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	3	0	2	6	7	3.78	1183/1379	3.78	4.15	4.34	4.34	3.78
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	3	1	2	3	9	3.78	1174/1379	3.78	4.25	4.36	4.35	3.78
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	6	2	2	2	2	4	3.33	1078/1236	3.33	3.29	4.08	3.94	3.33
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	1	1	2	6	5	3.87	816/1121	3.87	4.16	4.18	4.29	3.87
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	1	0	3	3	8	4.13	815/1122	4.13	4.51	4.36	4.44	4.13
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	1	0	3	2	9	4.20	793/1121	4.20	4.62	4.40	4.52	4.20
4. Were special techniques successful	3	3	2	1	3	2	4	3.42	663/790	3.42	3.90	4.06	4.08	3.42

Course-Section: PUBL 601 01

Title: Political/Social Context

Instructor: Meyers,Roy T

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 18

Questionnaires: 18

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	16	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/67	****	****	4.58	4.67	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	16	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	****/66	****	****	4.36	4.36	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	16	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/64	****	****	4.25	4.32	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	16	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	****/75	****	****	4.32	4.37	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	16	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	****/73	****	****	4.00	4.02	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	5	0.00-0.99	0	Α	13	Required for Majors	15	Graduate	4	Major	13
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	3						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	14	Non-major	5
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	4	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	2						

Course-Section: PUBL 603 01

Title: Policy Analysis

Instructor: Mandell, Marvin

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 20

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	6	11	4.65	404/1449	4.65	4.47	4.33	4.41	4.65
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	5	2	9	4.12	997/1446	4.12	4.24	4.29	4.30	4.12
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	12	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	215/1256	4.80	4.21	4.34	4.30	4.80
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	2	1	2	12	4.41	655/1402	4.41	4.19	4.27	4.26	4.41
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	2	2	5	4	4	3.35	1224/1358	3.35	4.12	4.13	4.18	3.35
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	2	0	4	11	4.41	512/1327	4.41	4.37	4.16	4.29	4.41
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	2	2	4	8	4.13	898/1435	4.13	4.09	4.20	4.23	4.13
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	6	11	4.65	908/1446	4.65	4.66	4.67	4.81	4.65
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	1	10	6	4.29	595/1437	4.29	4.34	4.12	4.17	4.29
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	2	0	3	12	4.47	840/1386	4.47	4.40	4.48	4.47	4.47
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	3	13	4.81	761/1390	4.81	4.76	4.74	4.77	4.81
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	1	1	2	4	9	4.12	1010/1379	4.12	4.15	4.34	4.34	4.12
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	2	2	5	7	4.06	1027/1379	4.06	4.25	4.36	4.35	4.06
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	2	0	1	1	8	5	4.13	649/1236	4.13	3.29	4.08	3.94	4.13
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	3	5	5	4.15	668/1121	4.15	4.16	4.18	4.29	4.15
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	5	0	1	0	0	3	8	4.42	621/1122	4.42	4.51	4.36	4.44	4.42
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	0	1	0	12	4.85	281/1121	4.85	4.62	4.40	4.52	4.85
4. Were special techniques successful	4	1	1	1	4	4	2	3.42	663/790	3.42	3.90	4.06	4.08	3.42

Course-	Section:	PUBL 603 0	1				Term	<mark>ո - Spring</mark>	2011						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	20
	Title:	Policy Analy	sis .							_				Q	uestion	naires:	17
Ins	structor:	Mandell, Mar	rvin														
								Freque	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fre	eque	ncy Dis	ribut	ion							
Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	Ą	Expected	Grade	es		Reas	ons			Туре)		Ma	jors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	10		Requ	ired for Ma	ijors	16	3	Graduate	11		Major		15
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	6												
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0		Gene	eral		C)	Under-grad	6		Non-ma	ajor	2
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	2	D	0												
Grad.	11	3.50-4.00	8	F	0		Elect	ives		C)	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Othe	r		C)						

Course-Section: PUBL 604 01

Title: Statistical Analysis

Instructor: Marcotte, Dave E

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 13

'	_			Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	2	9	4.82	209/1449	4.82	4.47	4.33	4.41	4.82
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	9	4.82	176/1446	4.82	4.24	4.29	4.30	4.82
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	3	8	4.73	302/1256	4.73	4.21	4.34	4.30	4.73
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	0	3	6	4.67	339/1402	4.67	4.19	4.27	4.26	4.67
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	3	0	0	1	0	6	4.71	191/1358	4.71	4.12	4.13	4.18	4.71
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	2	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	106/1327	4.88	4.37	4.16	4.29	4.88
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	0	2	8	4.80	163/1435	4.80	4.09	4.20	4.23	4.80
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	5.00	1/1446	5.00	4.66	4.67	4.81	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	155/1437	4.75	4.34	4.12	4.17	4.75
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	2	9	4.82	354/1386	4.82	4.40	4.48	4.47	4.82
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	1	10	4.91	531/1390	4.91	4.76	4.74	4.77	4.91
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	2	1	8	4.55	588/1379	4.55	4.15	4.34	4.34	4.55
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	2	0	9	4.64	543/1379	4.64	4.25	4.36	4.35	4.64
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	2	0	1	3	2	2	3.63	971/1236	3.63	3.29	4.08	3.94	3.63
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	2	3	6	4.36	520/1121	4.36	4.16	4.18	4.29	4.36
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	2	9	4.82	264/1122	4.82	4.51	4.36	4.44	4.82
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	1	10	4.91	211/1121	4.91	4.62	4.40	4.52	4.91
4. Were special techniques successful	0	6	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/790	5.00	3.90	4.06	4.08	5.00

Course-S	Section:	PUBL 604 0	1				Term	ı - Spring 2	2011						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	13
	Title:	Statistical A	nalysi	S										Q	uestion	naires:	11
Ins	tructor:	Marcotte, Da	ave E														
								Frequer	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fre	eque	ncy Disti	ibuti	on							
Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grade	es		Reasc	ns			Туре	!		Maj	jors	
00-27	7	0.00-0.99	0	Α	3		Requ	ired for Maj	ors	10)	Graduate	4		Major		6
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	6												
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0		Gene	ral		1		Under-grad	7		Non-ma	ajor	5
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	2	D	0												
Grad.	4	3.50-4.00	5	F	0		Electi	ves		0)	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				I	1		Other			0)						
				?	1												

Course-Section: PUBL 607 01

Title: Stat Appl In Eval Resrch
Instructor: Mandell, Marvin

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 11

Questionnaires: 10

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	2	8	4.80	218/1449	4.80	4.47	4.33	4.41	4.80
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	6	4	4.40	704/1446	4.40	4.24	4.29	4.30	4.40
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	7	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/1256	***	4.21	4.34	4.30	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	4	5	4.40	670/1402	4.40	4.19	4.27	4.26	4.40
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	1	1	7	4.40	483/1358	4.40	4.12	4.13	4.18	4.40
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	1	1	7	4.67	253/1327	4.67	4.37	4.16	4.29	4.67
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	1	0	3	3	3	3.70	1187/1435	3.70	4.09	4.20	4.23	3.70
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1446	5.00	4.66	4.67	4.81	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	3	7	4.70	192/1437	4.70	4.34	4.12	4.17	4.70
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	1	4	5	4.40	929/1386	4.40	4.40	4.48	4.47	4.40
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	2	8	4.80	787/1390	4.80	4.76	4.74	4.77	4.80
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	2	5	3	4.10	1018/1379	4.10	4.15	4.34	4.34	4.10
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	3	1	6	4.30	858/1379	4.30	4.25	4.36	4.35	4.30
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	1	0	1	1	3	4	4.11	666/1236	4.11	3.29	4.08	3.94	4.11
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	1	1	3	3	3.67	882/1121	3.67	4.16	4.18	4.29	3.67
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	1	3	5	4.44	593/1122	4.44	4.51	4.36	4.44	4.44
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	1	3	5	4.44	652/1121	4.44	4.62	4.40	4.52	4.44
4. Were special techniques successful	1	8	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/790	***	3.90	4.06	4.08	****

Course-S	Section:	PUBL 607 0	1				Term	n - Spring	2011						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	11
	Title:	Stat Appl In	Eval	Resrch						_				Q	uestion	naires:	10
Ins	tructor:	Mandell, Mar	vin														
								Freque	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fre	eque	ncy Dist	ributi	ion							
Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	Ą	Expected	Grade	es		Reaso	ons			Туре	;		Maj	jors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1		Requ	ired for Ma	jors	4	ļ	Graduate	6		Major		10
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	8												
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0		Gene	ral		2	2	Under-grad	4		Non-ma	ajor	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0												
Grad.	6	3.50-4.00	7	F	0		Electi	ves		2	2	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other			0)						
				?	1												

Course-Section: PUBL 610 02

Title: Special Topics In Publ
Instructor: Salkever, David

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 5

	Frequencies					Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect		
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	3	2	0	3.40	1377/1449	3.40	4.47	4.33	4.41	3.40
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	1	1	1	2	0	2.80	1426/1446	2.80	4.24	4.29	4.30	2.80
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	1	2	1	0	1	2.60	1253/1256	2.60	4.21	4.34	4.30	2.60
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	1	1	3	0	0	2.40	1397/1402	2.40	4.19	4.27	4.26	2.40
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	1	0	3	0	3.50	1174/1358	3.50	4.12	4.13	4.18	3.50
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	1	3	0	0	2.75	1301/1327	2.75	4.37	4.16	4.29	2.75
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	3	0	0	1	2.75	1401/1435	2.75	4.09	4.20	4.23	2.75
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	3	1	4.25	1212/1446	4.25	4.66	4.67	4.81	4.25
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	1	0	1	0	2	0	3.33	1311/1437	3.33	4.34	4.12	4.17	3.33
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	3	0	2	0	2.80	1376/1386	2.80	4.40	4.48	4.47	2.80
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	2	1	2	4.00	1331/1390	4.00	4.76	4.74	4.77	4.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	1	2	1	1	0	2.40	1374/1379	2.40	4.15	4.34	4.34	2.40
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	0	3	1	0	2.80	1352/1379	2.80	4.25	4.36	4.35	2.80
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	2	2	1	0	0	0	1.33	1233/1236	1.33	3.29	4.08	3.94	1.33
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	3	1	0	3.00	1052/1121	3.00	4.16	4.18	4.29	3.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	1	0	2	2	4.00	857/1122	4.00	4.51	4.36	4.44	4.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	2	1	2	4.00	855/1121	4.00	4.62	4.40	4.52	4.00
4. Were special techniques successful	0	4	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/790	***	3.90	4.06	4.08	****

Course-S	Section:				Term	- Spring	2011						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	5		
	Title:	Special Topi	cs In	Publ						_				Q	uestion	naires:	5
Inst	tructor:	Salkever, Da	vid														
								Freque	encies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fre	eque	ncy Dis	tribut	tion							
Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	Ą	Expected	Grade	es		Reas	sons			Туре	:		Ma	jors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	0		Requ	ired for M	ajors	3	3	Graduate	1		Major		4
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	5												
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0		Gene	ral		()	Under-grad	4		Non-ma	ajor	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0												
Grad.	1	3.50-4.00	1	F	0		Electi	ves		2	2	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other			()						
				?	0												

Course-Section: PUBL 611 01

Title: Causal Inf In Prog Eval
Instructor: Kirk,Adele M.

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 7

									structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	1	5	4.57	500/1449	4.57	4.47	4.33	4.41	4.57
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	4.57	479/1446	4.57	4.24	4.29	4.30	4.57
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	3	3	4.29	763/1256	4.29	4.21	4.34	4.30	4.29
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	2	4	4.43	641/1402	4.43	4.19	4.27	4.26	4.43
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	4	2	4.14	737/1358	4.14	4.12	4.13	4.18	4.14
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	4.57	337/1327	4.57	4.37	4.16	4.29	4.57
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	4	2	4.14	878/1435	4.14	4.09	4.20	4.23	4.14
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1446	5.00	4.66	4.67	4.81	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1437	5.00	4.34	4.12	4.17	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	4	3	4.43	903/1386	4.43	4.40	4.48	4.47	4.43
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1390	5.00	4.76	4.74	4.77	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	5	2	4.29	876/1379	4.29	4.15	4.34	4.34	4.29
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	2	4	4.43	766/1379	4.43	4.25	4.36	4.35	4.43
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	3	1	0	1	0	1	3.00	1144/1236	3.00	3.29	4.08	3.94	3.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	2	3	4.33	547/1121	4.33	4.16	4.18	4.29	4.33
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	404/1122	4.67	4.51	4.36	4.44	4.67
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	292/1121	4.83	4.62	4.40	4.52	4.83
4. Were special techniques successful	1	4	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	643/790	3.50	3.90	4.06	4.08	3.50

					_					_							
Course-S	Section:	PUBL 611 0	1				Term	n - Spring	2011						Enro	Ilment:	7
	Title:	Causal Inf I	n Prog	g Eval										Q	uestion	naires:	7
Ins	tructor:	Kirk, Adele N	/ 1.														
					_			Frequer	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
					_	Fr	eque	ncy Dist	ributi	on							
Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grade	es		Reaso	ns			Туре)		Ma	jors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1		Requ	ired for Ma	ors	3	;	Graduate	2		Major		7
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	4												
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0		Gene	ral		0)	Under-grad	5		Non-ma	ajor	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0												
Grad.	2	3.50-4.00	2	F	0		Electi	ves		1		**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				I	0		Other	•		1							
				2	2												

Course-Section: PUBL 613 01

Title: Managing Public Org

Instructor: Gieszl,Louis G

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 19

									structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	1	3	11	4.67	376/1449	4.67	4.47	4.33	4.41	4.67
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	1	2	12	4.73	263/1446	4.73	4.24	4.29	4.30	4.73
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	8	0	0	0	3	4	4.57	458/1256	4.57	4.21	4.34	4.30	4.57
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	1	2	2	10	4.40	670/1402	4.40	4.19	4.27	4.26	4.40
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	1	0	4	9	4.27	618/1358	4.27	4.12	4.13	4.18	4.27
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	2	1	11	4.64	272/1327	4.64	4.37	4.16	4.29	4.64
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	0	4	11	4.73	236/1435	4.73	4.09	4.20	4.23	4.73
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	1	0	0	0	1	13	4.93	421/1446	4.93	4.66	4.67	4.81	4.93
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	5	0	1	0	0	1	9	4.55	330/1437	4.55	4.34	4.12	4.17	4.55
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	1	1	13	4.80	371/1386	4.80	4.40	4.48	4.47	4.80
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	2	13	4.87	633/1390	4.87	4.76	4.74	4.77	4.87
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	1	2	12	4.73	343/1379	4.73	4.15	4.34	4.34	4.73
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	3	11	4.67	508/1379	4.67	4.25	4.36	4.35	4.67
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	1	1	0	3	2	8	4.14	641/1236	4.14	3.29	4.08	3.94	4.14
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	1	1	12	4.79	181/1121	4.79	4.16	4.18	4.29	4.79
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	0	0	14	5.00	1/1122	5.00	4.51	4.36	4.44	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	0	0	14	5.00	1/1121	5.00	4.62	4.40	4.52	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	2	0	0	0	1	1	12	4.79	93/790	4.79	3.90	4.06	4.08	4.79

Course-S	Section:	PUBL 613 01				Term	n - Spring	2011						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	19	
	Title:	Managing Po	ublic (Org										Q	uestion	naires:	16
Ins	tructor:	Gieszl,Louis	G														
								Frequer	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fre	eque	ncy Dist	ributi	on							
Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	Ą	Expected	Grade	es		Reasc	ns			Туре	:		Maj	jors	
00-27	4	0.00-0.99	1	Α	12		Requ	ired for Maj	ors	11		Graduate	7		Major		14
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	2												
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0		Gene	ral		0)	Under-grad	9		Non-ma	ajor	2
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	4	D	0												
Grad.	7	3.50-4.00	6	F	0		Electi	ves		2	2	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other			0)						
				?	2												

Course-Section: PUBL 618 01

Title: HIth Care Fin & Serv Del

Instructor: Miller, Nancy

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 9

	_	Frequencies							structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	3	6	4.67	376/1449	4.67	4.47	4.33	4.41	4.67
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	3	6	4.67	354/1446	4.67	4.24	4.29	4.30	4.67
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	7	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1256	****	4.21	4.34	4.30	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	129/1402	4.88	4.19	4.27	4.26	4.88
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	88/1358	4.89	4.12	4.13	4.18	4.89
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	165/1327	4.78	4.37	4.16	4.29	4.78
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	194/1435	4.78	4.09	4.20	4.23	4.78
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1446	5.00	4.66	4.67	4.81	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	105/1437	4.83	4.34	4.12	4.17	4.83
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1386	5.00	4.40	4.48	4.47	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1390	5.00	4.76	4.74	4.77	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	151/1379	4.89	4.15	4.34	4.34	4.89
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	197/1379	4.89	4.25	4.36	4.35	4.89
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	4	0	0	2	0	3	4.20	591/1236	4.20	3.29	4.08	3.94	4.20
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	0	8	4.78	190/1121	4.78	4.16	4.18	4.29	4.78
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1122	5.00	4.51	4.36	4.44	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	234/1121	4.89	4.62	4.40	4.52	4.89
4. Were special techniques successful	0	5	0	1	0	1	2	4.00	425/790	4.00	3.90	4.06	4.08	4.00

										_							
Course-	Section:	PUBL 618 0	1				Term	ı - Spring	2011						Enro	Ilment:	9
	Title:	HIth Care Fi	n & Se	erv Del										Q	uestion	naires:	9
Ins	structor:	Miller, Nancy	У														
								Freque	ncies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fr	eque	ncy Dist	ributi	ion							
Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grade	es		Reaso	ns			Турє)		Ma	jors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	4		Requ	ired for Ma	jors	6	;	Graduate	6		Major		9
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	3												
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0		Gene	ral		1		Under-grad	3		Non-ma	ajor	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0												
Grad.	6	3.50-4.00	3	F	0		Electi	ves		1		**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				I	0		Other			0)						
				2	2												

Course-Section: PUBL 645 01

Title: The US City

Instructor: Short, John

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 5

	Frequencies								structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1449	5.00	4.47	4.33	4.41	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	4.60	440/1446	4.60	4.24	4.29	4.30	4.60
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1256	5.00	4.21	4.34	4.30	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	4.60	408/1402	4.60	4.19	4.27	4.26	4.60
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	3	2	4.40	483/1358	4.40	4.12	4.13	4.18	4.40
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1327	5.00	4.37	4.16	4.29	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	1	0	0	2	2	3.80	1143/1435	3.80	4.09	4.20	4.23	3.80
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	4.00	1354/1446	4.00	4.66	4.67	4.81	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	1	0	0	3	4.25	638/1437	4.25	4.34	4.12	4.17	4.25
Lecture														
Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	371/1386	4.80	4.40	4.48	4.47	4.80
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1390	5.00	4.76	4.74	4.77	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1379	5.00	4.15	4.34	4.34	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1379	5.00	4.25	4.36	4.35	5.00
Discussion														
Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	1	3	4.40	484/1121	4.40	4.16	4.18	4.29	4.40
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	4.60	455/1122	4.60	4.51	4.36	4.44	4.60
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	328/1121	4.80	4.62	4.40	4.52	4.80
4. Were special techniques successful	0	1	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	200/790	4.50	3.90	4.06	4.08	4.50
Seminar														
Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/67	***	****	4.58	4.67	****

Course-Section: PUBL 645 01

Title: The US City

Instructor: Short, John

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 5

Questionnaires: 5

	Frequencies								structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	4	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/66	****	***	4.36	4.36	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/64	****	***	4.25	4.32	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/75	****	***	4.32	4.37	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	4	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/73	****	***	4.00	4.02	***

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	1	Major	5
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	2						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	4	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	1	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	4	**** - Means the	re are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: PUBL 646 01

Title: The Global City

Instructor: Short, John

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 10

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	1	0	3	6	4.40	733/1449	4.40	4.47	4.33	4.41	4.40
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	3	4	3	4.00	1061/1446	4.00	4.24	4.29	4.30	4.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	8	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	****/1256	***	4.21	4.34	4.30	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	1	0	0	2	3	3	4.13	937/1402	4.13	4.19	4.27	4.26	4.13
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	4	1	0	2	2	1	3.33	1232/1358	3.33	4.12	4.13	4.18	3.33
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	3	6	4.50	404/1327	4.50	4.37	4.16	4.29	4.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	5	4	4.30	720/1435	4.30	4.09	4.20	4.23	4.30
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	1	9	0	3.90	1399/1446	3.90	4.66	4.67	4.81	3.90
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	1	0	0	1	4	3	4.25	638/1437	4.25	4.34	4.12	4.17	4.25
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	2	1	7	4.50	803/1386	4.50	4.40	4.48	4.47	4.50
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	1	0	1	8	4.60	1070/1390	4.60	4.76	4.74	4.77	4.60
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	1	0	3	6	4.40	770/1379	4.40	4.15	4.34	4.34	4.40
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	2	2	6	4.40	786/1379	4.40	4.25	4.36	4.35	4.40
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	9	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/1236	***	3.29	4.08	3.94	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	3	6	4.67	283/1121	4.67	4.16	4.18	4.29	4.67
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	1	3	5	4.44	593/1122	4.44	4.51	4.36	4.44	4.44
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	5	4	4.44	652/1121	4.44	4.62	4.40	4.52	4.44
4. Were special techniques successful	1	6	1	0	0	2	0	3.00	731/790	3.00	3.90	4.06	4.08	3.00

Course-S	Section:	PUBL 646 0	1				Term	- Spring	2011						Enro	Ilment:	10
	Title:	The Global (City											Q	uestion	naires:	10
Ins	tructor:	Short,John															
								Frequer	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fr	eque	ncy Dist	ributi	on							
Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grade	es	·	Reasc	ns			Туре	<u>}</u>		Ma	jors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	7		Requ	ired for Maj	ors	7	•	Graduate	8		Major		6
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	2												
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	1		Gene	ral		1		Under-grad	2		Non-ma	ajor	4
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0												
Grad.	8	3.50-4.00	4	F	0		Electi	ves		1		**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				I	0		Other			1							
				2	0												