**Course-Section: RUSS 101 01** 

**Term - Fall 2012** 

**Enrollment: 27** 

Title: Basic Russian I

**Questionnaires: 18** 

**Instructor:** Rusinko, Elaine

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	3	15	4.83	223/1589	4.88	4.47	4.32	4.20	4.83
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	4	13	4.67	400/1589	4.71	4.43	4.29	4.28	4.67
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	1	3	13	4.71	350/1391	4.69	4.54	4.34	4.29	4.71
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	3	3	11	4.47	556/1552	4.33	4.42	4.25	4.16	4.47
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	1	2	4	10	4.35	587/1495	4.43	4.40	4.14	4.07	4.35
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	2	0	0	2	2	11	4.60	308/1457	4.45	4.34	4.15	3.99	4.60
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	3	4	10	4.41	631/1572	4.52	4.30	4.21	4.18	4.41
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	2	15	4.88	519/1589	4.80	4.69	4.66	4.59	4.88
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	1	5	10	4.56	320/1569	4.53	4.22	4.13	4.08	4.56
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	2	16	4.89	259/1530	4.78	4.55	4.49	4.45	4.89
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	3	15	4.83	786/1533	4.92	4.81	4.75	4.69	4.83
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	1	4	13	4.67	479/1528	4.67	4.44	4.35	4.31	4.67
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	0	3	14	4.67	530/1529	4.75	4.55	4.36	4.31	4.67
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	3	1	14	4.61	258/1393	3.89	4.21	4.06	3.99	4.61
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	2	7	9	4.39	564/1337	4.32	4.30	4.17	4.01	4.39
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	4	14	4.78	356/1331	4.56	4.58	4.35	4.18	4.78
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	1	0	4	5	8	4.06	992/1333	4.16	4.51	4.40	4.22	4.06
4. Were special techniques successful	0	2	1	1	1	5	8	4.13	491/1014	4.20	4.21	4.05	3.91	4.13

Course-Section: RUSS 101 01

Title: Basic Russian I

Instructor: Rusinko, Elaine

**Term - Fall 2012** 

Enrollment: 27
Questionnaires: 18

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	17	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/39	****	3.20	4.00	3.52	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	17	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/22	****	3.20	4.12	3.23	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	17	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/33	****	3.20	4.42	4.30	****

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP/	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	Α	8	Required for Majors	4	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	9						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	6	Under-grad	18	Non-major	17
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	3	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	3	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	4				
				?	1						

Course-Section: RUSS 101 02

Title: Basic Russian I

**Instructor: Zhdanovych,Vira** 

**Term - Fall 2012** 

Enrollment: 16

	_			Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	140/1589	4.88	4.47	4.32	4.20	4.92
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	3	9	4.75	292/1589	4.71	4.43	4.29	4.28	4.75
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	1	2	9	4.67	402/1391	4.69	4.54	4.34	4.29	4.67
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	1	0	0	3	3	5	4.18	921/1552	4.33	4.42	4.25	4.16	4.18
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	2	2	8	4.50	416/1495	4.43	4.40	4.14	4.07	4.50
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	1	0	0	2	3	5	4.30	629/1457	4.45	4.34	4.15	3.99	4.30
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	0	0	0	4	7	4.64	358/1572	4.52	4.30	4.21	4.18	4.64
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	3	8	4.73	882/1589	4.80	4.69	4.66	4.59	4.73
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	0	5	5	4.50	369/1569	4.53	4.22	4.13	4.08	4.50
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	4	8	4.67	644/1530	4.78	4.55	4.49	4.45	4.67
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	12	5.00	1/1533	4.92	4.81	4.75	4.69	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	4	8	4.67	479/1528	4.67	4.44	4.35	4.31	4.67
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	2	10	4.83	282/1529	4.75	4.55	4.36	4.31	4.83
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	6	2	0	0	3	1	3.17	1280/1393	3.89	4.21	4.06	3.99	3.17
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	3	3	6	4.25	663/1337	4.32	4.30	4.17	4.01	4.25
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	3	2	7	4.33	766/1331	4.56	4.58	4.35	4.18	4.33
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	2	4	5	4.27	872/1333	4.16	4.51	4.40	4.22	4.27
4. Were special techniques successful	1	1	0	0	2	4	5	4.27	382/1014	4.20	4.21	4.05	3.91	4.27

Course-Section: RUSS 101 02

Title: Basic Russian I

Instructor: Zhdanovych, Vira

**Term - Fall 2012** 

Enrollment: 16

Questionnaires: 13

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/194	****	3.40	4.17	4.36	****
Seminar														
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/61	****	4.25	4.47	4.61	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	12	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/61	****	4.00	4.19	3.98	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/40	****	3.20	3.85	3.17	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	12	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/40	****	2.80	3.89	3.11	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/32	****	****	4.30	3.86	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/39	****	3.20	4.00	3.52	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/22	****	3.20	4.12	3.23	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	12	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/33	****	3.20	4.42	4.30	****
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	12	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/19	****	****	4.44	5.00	****

Course-Section: RUSS 101 02

Title: Basic Russian I

Instructor: Zhdanovych,Vira

**Term - Fall 2012** 

Enrollment: 16

**Questionnaires: 13** 

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/16	****	****	4.25	5.00	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	6	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	3	1.00-1.99	0	В	3						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	С	2	General	4	Under-grad	13	Non-major	11
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	4	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	3				
				?	2						

Course-Section: RUSS 201 01

Title: Basic Russian III

**Instructor: Zhdanovych,Vira** 

**Term - Fall 2012** 

Enrollment: 19

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	4	12	4.75	316/1589	4.53	4.47	4.32	4.33	4.75
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	0	15	4.88	163/1589	4.84	4.43	4.29	4.30	4.88
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	5	11	4.69	376/1391	4.62	4.54	4.34	4.36	4.69
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	3	0	0	0	3	10	4.77	225/1552	4.66	4.42	4.25	4.26	4.77
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	1	0	1	12	4.71	211/1495	4.64	4.40	4.14	4.18	4.71
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	3	0	0	1	3	9	4.62	298/1457	4.31	4.34	4.15	4.14	4.62
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	2	4	10	4.50	495/1572	4.75	4.30	4.21	4.19	4.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	5	11	4.69	938/1589	4.84	4.69	4.66	4.63	4.69
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	4	11	4.73	194/1569	4.53	4.22	4.13	4.12	4.73
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	2	14	4.88	276/1530	4.84	4.55	4.49	4.47	4.88
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	15	5.00	1/1533	5.00	4.81	4.75	4.78	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	1	0	2	13	4.69	449/1528	4.59	4.44	4.35	4.35	4.69
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	2	14	4.88	232/1529	4.89	4.55	4.36	4.39	4.88
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	6	0	1	4	1	3	3.67	1057/1393	4.00	4.21	4.06	4.13	3.67
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	2	2	3	7	3.87	951/1337	3.08	4.30	4.17	4.16	3.87
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	1	0	2	2	10	4.33	766/1331	4.02	4.58	4.35	4.32	4.33
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	1	0	2	4	8	4.20	914/1333	4.00	4.51	4.40	4.39	4.20

Course-Section: RUSS 201 01

Title: Basic Russian III

Instructor: Zhdanovych,Vira

**Term - Fall 2012** 

Enrollment: 19
Questionnaires: 16

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	1	5	1	0	3	3	3	3.70	734/1014	3.85	4.21	4.05	4.03	3.70

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	7	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	0	Major	5
28-55	4	1.00-1.99	0	В	9						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	10	Under-grad	16	Non-major	11
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	3	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	7	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	2				
				?	0						

**Course-Section: RUSS 201 04** 

Title: Basic Russian III

**Term - Fall 2012** 

**Enrollment: 14** 

**Instructor: Zhdanovych,Vira** 

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	5	4	4.30	910/1589	4.53	4.47	4.32	4.33	4.30
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	8	4.80	228/1589	4.84	4.43	4.29	4.30	4.80
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	1	2	6	4.56	541/1391	4.62	4.54	4.34	4.36	4.56
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	1	2	6	4.56	457/1552	4.66	4.42	4.25	4.26	4.56
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	3	0	0	0	3	4	4.57	341/1495	4.64	4.40	4.14	4.18	4.57
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	5	0	0	2	1	2	4.00	886/1457	4.31	4.34	4.15	4.14	4.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1572	4.75	4.30	4.21	4.19	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1589	4.84	4.69	4.66	4.63	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	1	4	4	4.33	596/1569	4.53	4.22	4.13	4.12	4.33
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	2	8	4.80	399/1530	4.84	4.55	4.49	4.47	4.80
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1533	5.00	4.81	4.75	4.78	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	1	0	2	7	4.50	695/1528	4.59	4.44	4.35	4.35	4.50
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	9	4.90	194/1529	4.89	4.55	4.36	4.39	4.90
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	7	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	510/1393	4.00	4.21	4.06	4.13	4.33
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	4	2	2	1	1	2.30	1330/1337	3.08	4.30	4.17	4.16	2.30
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	2	3	1	4	3.70	1161/1331	4.02	4.58	4.35	4.32	3.70
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	1	3	3	3	3.80	1124/1333	4.00	4.51	4.40	4.39	3.80
4. Were special techniques successful	0	4	0	1	0	3	2	4.00	554/1014	3.85	4.21	4.05	4.03	4.00

Course-Section: RUSS 201 04

Title: Basic Russian III

Instructor: Zhdanovych,Vira

**Term - Fall 2012** 

Enrollment: 14

Questionnaires: 10

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/40	****	3.20	3.85	3.77	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	<b>A</b>	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	4	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	2						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	0	С	2	General	3	Under-grad	10	Non-major	9
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	2						

**Course-Section: RUSS 301 01** 

**Term - Fall 2012** 

**Enrollment: 10** 

Title: Continuing Russian II

**Instructor: Young, Steven R** 

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	0	5	4.67	435/1589	4.67	4.47	4.32	4.33	4.67
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1589	5.00	4.43	4.29	4.26	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1391	5.00	4.54	4.34	4.30	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1552	5.00	4.42	4.25	4.24	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	135/1495	4.83	4.40	4.14	4.11	4.83
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	115/1457	4.83	4.34	4.15	4.13	4.83
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	152/1572	4.83	4.30	4.21	4.18	4.83
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1589	5.00	4.69	4.66	4.67	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	4	2	4.33	596/1569	4.33	4.22	4.13	4.10	4.33
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	399/1530	4.80	4.55	4.49	4.49	4.80
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1533	5.00	4.81	4.75	4.75	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	281/1528	4.80	4.44	4.35	4.33	4.80
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	321/1529	4.80	4.55	4.36	4.34	4.80
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	2	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	221/1393	4.67	4.21	4.06	4.10	4.67
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	267/1337	4.75	4.30	4.17	4.20	4.75
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	379/1331	4.75	4.58	4.35	4.35	4.75
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1333	5.00	4.51	4.40	4.41	5.00

Course-Section: RUSS 301 01

Title: Continuing Russian II

Instructor: Young, Steven R

**Term - Fall 2012** 

Enrollment: 10

**Questionnaires:** 6

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	2	2	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	823/1014	3.50	4.21	4.05	4.04	3.50

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	3	Required for Majors	5	Graduate	0	Major	3
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	1	General	0	Under-grad	6	Non-major	3
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

**Course-Section: RUSS 303 01** 

Title: Continuing Russ Conv I

**Instructor: Zhdanovych,Vira** 

**Term - Fall 2012** 

**Enrollment:** 5

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1589	5.00	4.47	4.32	4.33	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1589	5.00	4.43	4.29	4.26	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1391	5.00	4.54	4.34	4.30	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1552	5.00	4.42	4.25	4.24	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1495	5.00	4.40	4.14	4.11	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1457	5.00	4.34	4.15	4.13	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1572	5.00	4.30	4.21	4.18	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1589	5.00	4.69	4.66	4.67	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1569	5.00	4.22	4.13	4.10	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1530	5.00	4.55	4.49	4.49	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1533	5.00	4.81	4.75	4.75	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1528	5.00	4.44	4.35	4.33	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1529	5.00	4.55	4.36	4.34	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1393	5.00	4.21	4.06	4.10	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1337	5.00	4.30	4.17	4.20	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1331	5.00	4.58	4.35	4.35	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1333	5.00	4.51	4.40	4.41	5.00

Course-Section: RUSS 303 01

Title: Continuing Russ Conv I

Instructor: Zhdanovych, Vira

**Term - Fall 2012** 

Enrollment: 5

Questionnaires: 4

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	0	1	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1014	5.00	4.21	4.05	4.04	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	3	Required for Majors	4	Graduate	0	Major	3
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	4	Non-major	1
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

**Course-Section: RUSS 315 01** 

**Term - Fall 2012** 

**Enrollment: 3** 

**Title: Studies In Russian Film** 

**Questionnaires: 3** 

**Instructor: Zhdanovych,Vira** 

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1589	5.00	4.47	4.32	4.33	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1589	5.00	4.43	4.29	4.26	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1391	5.00	4.54	4.34	4.30	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1552	5.00	4.42	4.25	4.24	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1495	5.00	4.40	4.14	4.11	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1457	5.00	4.34	4.15	4.13	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1572	5.00	4.30	4.21	4.18	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1589	5.00	4.69	4.66	4.67	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	369/1569	4.50	4.22	4.13	4.10	4.50
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1530	5.00	4.55	4.49	4.49	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1533	5.00	4.81	4.75	4.75	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1528	5.00	4.44	4.35	4.33	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1529	5.00	4.55	4.36	4.34	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1393	5.00	4.21	4.06	4.10	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1337	5.00	4.30	4.17	4.20	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1331	5.00	4.58	4.35	4.35	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1333	5.00	4.51	4.40	4.41	5.00

Course-Section: RUSS 315 01

Title: Studies In Russian Film

Instructor: Zhdanovych, Vira

**Term - Fall 2012** 

Enrollment: 3

**Questionnaires: 3** 

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1014	5.00	4.21	4.05	4.04	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	3	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

**Course-Section: RUSS 350 01** 

350 01 Tern

**Instructor: Zhdanovych,Vira** 

**Title: Russian Complem Reading** 

**Term - Fall 2012** 

Enrollment: 7

·				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	253/1589	4.80	4.47	4.32	4.33	4.80
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1589	5.00	4.43	4.29	4.26	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1391	5.00	4.54	4.34	4.30	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1552	5.00	4.42	4.25	4.24	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1495	5.00	4.40	4.14	4.11	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1457	5.00	4.34	4.15	4.13	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1572	5.00	4.30	4.21	4.18	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1589	5.00	4.69	4.66	4.67	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1569	5.00	4.22	4.13	4.10	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1530	5.00	4.55	4.49	4.49	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1533	5.00	4.81	4.75	4.75	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1528	5.00	4.44	4.35	4.33	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1529	5.00	4.55	4.36	4.34	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1393	5.00	4.21	4.06	4.10	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1337	5.00	4.30	4.17	4.20	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1331	5.00	4.58	4.35	4.35	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1333	5.00	4.51	4.40	4.41	5.00

Course-Section: RUSS 350 01

Title: Russian Complem Reading

Instructor: Zhdanovych, Vira

**Term - Fall 2012** 

Enrollment: 7

**Questionnaires:** 5

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1014	5.00	4.21	4.05	4.04	5.00

Credits E	<b>Credits Earned</b>		Cum. GPA		Grades	Reasons	Туре		Majors		
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	4	Required for Majors	5	Graduate	0	Major	4
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	5	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

**Course-Section: RUSS 401 01** 

Title: Advanced Russian I

**Instructor: Zhdanovych,Vira** 

**Term - Fall 2012** 

Enrollment: 6

			Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1589	5.00	4.47	4.32	4.46	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1589	5.00	4.43	4.29	4.35	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1391	5.00	4.54	4.34	4.46	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	238/1552	4.75	4.42	4.25	4.37	4.75
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	183/1495	4.75	4.40	4.14	4.25	4.75
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	169/1457	4.75	4.34	4.15	4.30	4.75
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	233/1572	4.75	4.30	4.21	4.28	4.75
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	1349/1589	4.25	4.69	4.66	4.68	4.25
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1569	5.00	4.22	4.13	4.22	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1530	5.00	4.55	4.49	4.56	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1533	5.00	4.81	4.75	4.76	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1528	5.00	4.44	4.35	4.41	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1529	5.00	4.55	4.36	4.44	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1393	5.00	4.21	4.06	4.18	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1337	5.00	4.30	4.17	4.36	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1331	5.00	4.58	4.35	4.56	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1333	5.00	4.51	4.40	4.63	5.00

Course-Section: RUSS 401 01

Title: Advanced Russian I

Instructor: Zhdanovych,Vira

**Term - Fall 2012** 

Enrollment: 6

Questionnaires: 4

				Fre	quend	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1014	5.00	4.21	4.05	4.32	5.00

<b>Credits Earned</b>		Cum. GPA		<b>Expected Grades</b>		Reasons	Туре		Majors		
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	4	Graduate	0	Major	3
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	1	General	0	Under-grad	4	Non-major	1
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						