Course-Section: SOCY 101 01

Title: Basic Concepts In Socy

Instructor: Cousin-Gossett,

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 145

		R NA 1 2 3 4 5 Mean 0 3 6 17 45 42 4.04 110 0 2 5 28 35 42 3.98 11 0 5 5 17 39 47 4.04 100 16 5 8 17 25 42 3.94 111 1 2 5 19 23 63 4.25 69 3 12 9 20 30 39 3.68 118 0 1 6 16 30 60 4.26 84 0 0 0 1 33 79 4.69 92 4 2 2 4 19 56 17 3.84 11 0 1 3 14 35 59 4.32 11 0 1 5					structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect		
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	3	6	17	45	42	4.04	1160/1589	3.88	4.42	4.32	4.20	4.04
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	2	5	28	35	42	3.98	1174/1589	3.90	4.38	4.29	4.28	3.98
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	5	5	17	39	47	4.04	1038/1391	3.88	4.41	4.34	4.29	4.04
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	16	5	8	17	25	42	3.94	1154/1552	3.76	4.26	4.25	4.16	3.94
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	1	2	5	19	23	63	4.25	693/1495	4.09	4.34	4.14	4.07	4.25
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	3	12	9	20	30	39	3.68	1183/1457	3.58	4.21	4.15	3.99	3.68
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	1	6	16	30	60	4.26	843/1572	4.12	4.40	4.21	4.18	4.26
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	1	33	79	4.69	929/1589	4.64	4.62	4.66	4.59	4.69
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	14	2	2	4	19	56	17	3.84	1143/1569	3.77	4.22	4.13	4.08	3.84
Lecture												,		
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	1	3	14	35	59	4.32	1107/1530	4.24	4.52	4.49	4.45	4.32
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	6	20	87	4.72	1029/1533	4.69	4.83	4.75	4.69	4.72
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	1	5	19	35	52	4.18	1058/1528	4.04	4.42	4.35	4.31	4.18
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	8	7	13	21	64	4.12	1121/1529	3.98	4.47	4.36	4.31	4.12
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	1	4	6	17	29	56	4.13	708/1393	4.10	4.21	4.06	3.99	4.13
Discussion												,		
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	31	0	7	5	18	24	29	3.76	1021/1337	3.63	4.30	4.17	4.01	3.76
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	32	0	0	7	17	17	41	4.12	938/1331	4.00	4.49	4.35	4.18	4.12
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	32	0	1	2	7	12	60	4.56	649/1333	4.50	4.58	4.40	4.22	4.56
4. Were special techniques successful	32	2	7	8	23	15	27	3.59	797/1014	3.54	3.90	4.05	3.91	3.59

Course-Section: SOCY 101 01

Title: Basic Concepts In Socy

Instructor: Cousin-Gossett,

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 145

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	101	9	1	0	1	1	1	3.25	****/180	****	****	4.20	4.25	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	106	0	5	0	0	2	1	2.25	****/194	****	****	4.17	4.36	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	105	5	1	0	0	1	2	3.75	****/178	****	****	4.47	4.57	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	106	5	1	0	0	0	2	3.67	****/181	****	****	4.40	4.54	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	106	6	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	****/165	****	****	4.12	4.37	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	101	4	1	0	0	4	4	4.11	****/62	****	4.80	4.46	4.33	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	102	6	1	0	2	2	1	3.33	****/65	****	5.00	4.43	4.13	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	102	6	1	0	2	2	1	3.33	****/63	****	5.00	4.29	4.12	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	102	4	1	0	2	3	2	3.63	****/61	****	4.80	4.47	4.61	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	102	4	2	0	2	2	2	3.25	****/61	****	4.20	4.19	3.98	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	106	0	5	0	1	0	2	2.25	****/40	****	****	3.85	3.17	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	106	0	3	0	2	1	2	2.88	****/40	****	****	3.89	3.11	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	105	4	1	0	0	1	3	4.00	****/32	****	****	4.30	3.86	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	106	2	1	0	1	2	2	3.67	****/29	****	****	4.15	4.81	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	105	4	2	0	0	1	2	3.20	****/21	****	****	4.32	4.57	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	105	0	1	1	2	2	3	3.56	****/39	****	****	4.00	3.52	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	103	1	1	0	3	1	5	3.90	****/22	****	****	4.12	3.23	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	103	4	1	0	1	0	5	4.14	****/33	****	****	4.42	4.30	****

Course-Section: SOCY 101 01

Title: Basic Concepts In Socy
Instructor: Cousin-Gossett,

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 145
Questionnaires: 114

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	103	3	1	1	3	0	3	3.38	****/19	****	****	4.44	5.00	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	103	3	1	0	0	4	3	4.00	****/16	****	****	4.25	5.00	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	A	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	38	0.00-0.99	5	Α	46	Required for Majors	21	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	9	1.00-1.99	1	В	52						
56-83	5	2.00-2.99	4	С	5	General	68	Under-grad	114	Non-major	112
84-150	8	3.00-3.49	13	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	12	F	0	Electives	15	**** - Means the	ere are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant	•		
				1	0	Other	3				
				?	9						

Course-Section: SOCY 101 02

Title: Basic Concepts In Socy

Instructor: Damasiewicz, Mer

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 143

		2 0 7 8 21 31 41 3.84 1332 3 0 2 10 24 31 40 3.91 1267 3 0 5 9 20 32 41 3.89 1158 4 18 8 9 14 31 26 3.66 1357 3 2 7 4 12 21 61 4.19 754/ 2 6 10 11 25 33 23 3.47 1281 2 1 4 10 18 26 49 3.99 1104 2 1 0 0 2 20 85 4.78 787/ 19 1 1 9 23 32 25 3.79 1185 3 0 3 9 23 29 43 3.93 1365 2 0 0 0 7 17 84 4.71 1029 3 0 </th <th>structor</th> <th>Course</th> <th>Org</th> <th>UMBC</th> <th>Level</th> <th>Sect</th>						structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect	
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	2	0	7	8	21	31	41	3.84	1332/1589	3.88	4.42	4.32	4.20	3.84
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	3	0	2	10	24	31	40	3.91	1267/1589	3.90	4.38	4.29	4.28	3.91
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	3	0	5	9	20	32	41	3.89	1158/1391	3.88	4.41	4.34	4.29	3.89
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	4	18	8	9	14	31	26	3.66	1357/1552	3.76	4.26	4.25	4.16	3.66
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	3	2	7	4	12	21	61	4.19	754/1495	4.09	4.34	4.14	4.07	4.19
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	6	10	11	25	33	23	3.47	1281/1457	3.58	4.21	4.15	3.99	3.47
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	1	4	10	18	26	49	3.99	1104/1572	4.12	4.40	4.21	4.18	3.99
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	1	0	0	2	20	85	4.78	787/1589	4.64	4.62	4.66	4.59	4.78
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	19	1	1	9	23	32	25	3.79	1185/1569	3.77	4.22	4.13	4.08	3.79
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	3	9	23	29	43	3.93	1365/1530	4.24	4.52	4.49	4.45	3.93
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	7	17	84	4.71	1029/1533	4.69	4.83	4.75	4.69	4.71
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	5	9	22	38	33	3.79	1315/1528	4.04	4.42	4.35	4.31	3.79
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	14	9	18	28	38	3.63	1381/1529	3.98	4.47	4.36	4.31	3.63
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	7	3	7	15	28	47	4.09	748/1393	4.10	4.21	4.06	3.99	4.09
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	28	0	16	3	15	14	34	3.57	1106/1337	3.63	4.30	4.17	4.01	3.57
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	28	0	3	9	19	17	34	3.85	1101/1331	4.00	4.49	4.35	4.18	3.85
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	28	0	1	1	12	17	51	4.41	773/1333	4.50	4.58	4.40	4.22	4.41
4. Were special techniques successful	30	10	9	8	14	19	20	3.47	838/1014	3.54	3.90	4.05	3.91	3.47

Course-Section: SOCY 101 02

Title: Basic Concepts In Socy

Instructor: Damasiewicz, Mer

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 143

		96 5 0 0 2 3 98 6 0 0 1 0 98 6 0 0 1 0 98 6 0 0 2 2 98 6 0 0 2 0 97 2 0 0 3 1 97 5 0 1 3 1 97 7 1 0 1 3 97 2 1 0 3 3						Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	96	5	0	0	2	3	4	4.22	****/180	****	****	4.20	4.25	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	100	0	1	0	2	6	1	3.60	****/194	****	****	4.17	4.36	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	98	6	0	0	1	0	5	4.67	****/178	****	****	4.47	4.57	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	98	6	0	0	2	2	2	4.00	****/181	****	****	4.40	4.54	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	98	6	0	0	2	0	4	4.33	****/165	****	****	4.12	4.37	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	97	2	0	0	3	1	7	4.36	****/62	****	4.80	4.46	4.33	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	97	5	0	1	3	1	3	3.75	****/65	****	5.00	4.43	4.13	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	97	7	1	0	1	3	1	3.50	****/63	****	5.00	4.29	4.12	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	97	2	1	0	3	3	4	3.82	****/61	****	4.80	4.47	4.61	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	97	2	0	0	1	4	6	4.45	****/61	****	4.20	4.19	3.98	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	101	0	2	0	1	4	2	3.44	****/40	****	****	3.85	3.17	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	101	0	2	0	2	4	1	3.22	****/40	****	****	3.89	3.11	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	101	6	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	****/32	****	****	4.30	3.86	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	101	5	0	0	1	2	1	4.00	****/29	****	****	4.15	4.81	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	101	6	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	****/21	****	****	4.32	4.57	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	101	0	0	0	1	4	4	4.33	****/39	****	****	4.00	3.52	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	100	2	0	0	1	4	3	4.25	****/22	****	****	4.12	3.23	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	101	2	0	0	0	4	3	4.43	****/33	****	****	4.42	4.30	****

Course-Section: SOCY 101 02

Title: Basic Concepts In Socy

Instructor: Damasiewicz,Mer

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 143
Questionnaires: 110

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	101	3	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	****/19	****	****	4.44	5.00	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	101	3	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	****/16	****	****	4.25	5.00	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	27	0.00-0.99	11	Α	47	Required for Majors	16	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	9	1.00-1.99	0	В	40						
56-83	4	2.00-2.99	5	С	4	General	59	Under-grad	110	Non-major	110
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	4	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	8	F	0	Electives	11	**** - Means the	ere are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant	t		
				1	0	Other	7				
				?	16						

Course-Section: SOCY 101 03

Title: Basic Concepts In Socy

Instructor: Mood, Mary A

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 149

			1 2 3 4 5 Mean 3 5 21 26 33 3.92 3 6 19 24 36 3.95 3 4 22 29 30 3.90 4 4 11 18 15 3.69 3 9 7 36 33 3.99 3 2 4 8 8 3.64 0 3 16 24 45 4.26 0 0 1 9 77 4.87 1 3 14 45 11 3.84 0 1 8 22 56 4.53 0 2 15 70 4.78 0 2 12 34 39 4.26 3 4 10 15 54 4.31 3 2 17 19 43 4.15				structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect		
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	7	0	3	5	21	26	33	3.92	1271/1589	3.88	4.42	4.32	4.20	3.92
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	7	0	3	6	19	24	36	3.95	1209/1589	3.90	4.38	4.29	4.28	3.95
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	7	0	3	4	22	29	30	3.90	1153/1391	3.88	4.41	4.34	4.29	3.90
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	7	36	4	4	11	18	15	3.69	1338/1552	3.76	4.26	4.25	4.16	3.69
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	7	0	3	9	7	36	33	3.99	923/1495	4.09	4.34	4.14	4.07	3.99
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	8	62	3	2	4	8	8	3.64	1205/1457	3.58	4.21	4.15	3.99	3.64
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	7	0	0	3	16	24	45	4.26	829/1572	4.12	4.40	4.21	4.18	4.26
8. How many times was class cancelled	8	0	0	0	1	9	77	4.87	545/1589	4.64	4.62	4.66	4.59	4.87
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	18	3	1	3	14	45	11	3.84	1143/1569	3.77	4.22	4.13	4.08	3.84
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	8	0	0	1	8	22	56	4.53	858/1530	4.24	4.52	4.49	4.45	4.53
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	8	0	0	0	2	15	70	4.78	907/1533	4.69	4.83	4.75	4.69	4.78
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	8	0	0	2	12	34	39	4.26	983/1528	4.04	4.42	4.35	4.31	4.26
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	9	0	3	4	10	15	54	4.31	945/1529	3.98	4.47	4.36	4.31	4.31
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	9	2	3	2	17	19	43	4.15	686/1393	4.10	4.21	4.06	3.99	4.15
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	23	0	6	6	14	23	23	3.71	1051/1337	3.63	4.30	4.17	4.01	3.71
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	23	0	4	10	11	17	30	3.82	1117/1331	4.00	4.49	4.35	4.18	3.82
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	23	0	0	1	6	15	50	4.58	632/1333	4.50	4.58	4.40	4.22	4.58
4. Were special techniques successful	23	55	5	2	1	3	6	3.18	****/1014	3.54	3.90	4.05	3.91	****

Course-Section: SOCY 101 03

Title: Basic Concepts In Socy

Instructor: Mood, Mary A

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 149

	80 13 0 0 0 1 1 4.50 *** 81 12 0 0 1 0 1 4.00 *** 81 12 0 0 1 1 4.50 *** 81 12 0 0 1 1 4.00 *** 81 12 0 0 1 1 4.00 *** 81 12 0 0 1 1 4.00 *** 81 12 0 0 1 1 4.50 *** 81 12 0 0 1 1 4.50 *** 81 12 0 0 1 1 4.50 *** 81 12 0 0 1 1 4.50 *** 81 12 0 0 0 1 1 4.50 *** 81 10 0 1 1 4.50 *** 81 11 0 0 1 1 4.50 *** 81 11 0 0 1 1 1 4.50 *** 81 10 0 0 1 1 2 4.25 *** 83 6 0 0 0 2 4 4.67 *** 83 7 1 0 2 1 1 3.20 *** 86 7 0 0 1 1 0 3.50 ***					structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect			
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	80	13	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/180	****	****	4.20	4.25	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	82	0	3	1	4	3	2	3.00	****/194	****	****	4.17	4.36	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	81	12	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/178	****	****	4.47	4.57	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	81	12	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/181	****	****	4.40	4.54	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	81	12	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/165	****	****	4.12	4.37	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	81	9	0	1	2	1	1	3.40	****/62	****	4.80	4.46	4.33	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	81	12	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/65	****	5.00	4.43	4.13	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	81	12	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/63	****	5.00	4.29	4.12	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	81	11	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	****/61	****	4.80	4.47	4.61	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	81	10	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	****/61	****	4.20	4.19	3.98	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	83	0	9	0	0	2	1	1.83	****/40	****	****	3.85	3.17	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	84	0	7	0	0	2	2	2.27	****/40	****	****	3.89	3.11	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	83	6	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	****/32	****	****	4.30	3.86	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	83	7	1	0	2	1	1	3.20	****/29	****	****	4.15	4.81	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	86	7	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	****/21	****	****	4.32	4.57	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	84	0	1	1	2	4	3	3.64	****/39	****	****	4.00	3.52	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	83	3	2	0	2	3	2	3.33	****/22	****	****	4.12	3.23	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	83	5	0	2	1	3	1	3.43	****/33	****	****	4.42	4.30	****

Course-Section: SOCY 101 03

Title: Basic Concepts In Socy

Instructor: Mood, Mary A

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 149

Questionnaires: 95

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	83	5	1	3	1	1	1	2.71	****/19	****	****	4.44	5.00	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	83	4	0	2	4	0	2	3.25	****/16	****	****	4.25	5.00	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	10	0.00-0.99	2	Α	21	Required for Majors	13	Graduate	1	Major	0
28-55	6	1.00-1.99	0	В	39						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	3	С	11	General	38	Under-grad	94	Non-major	95
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	3	D	1						
Grad.	1	3.50-4.00	9	F	0	Electives	15	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	6				
				?	23						

Course-Section: SOCY 101 04

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 150

Title: Basic Concepts In Socy

Instructor: Wallace, Brandy

	_			Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	4	10	27	34	30	3.72	1410/1589	3.88	4.42	4.32	4.20	3.72
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	3	10	26	38	28	3.74	1371/1589	3.90	4.38	4.29	4.28	3.74
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	7	9	25	34	30	3.68	1245/1391	3.88	4.41	4.34	4.29	3.68
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	3	5	6	30	31	30	3.74	1314/1552	3.76	4.26	4.25	4.16	3.74
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	0	8	4	15	35	41	3.94	971/1495	4.09	4.34	4.14	4.07	3.94
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	1	10	8	31	25	28	3.52	1264/1457	3.58	4.21	4.15	3.99	3.52
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	1	2	11	17	30	42	3.97	1123/1572	4.12	4.40	4.21	4.18	3.97
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	2	0	0	7	65	30	4.23	1376/1589	4.64	4.62	4.66	4.59	4.23
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	15	3	1	3	37	34	12	3.61	1319/1569	3.77	4.22	4.13	4.08	3.61
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	2	5	10	43	44	4.17	1230/1530	4.24	4.52	4.49	4.45	4.17
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	1	0	10	25	69	4.53	1237/1533	4.69	4.83	4.75	4.69	4.53
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	4	5	22	39	35	3.91	1246/1528	4.04	4.42	4.35	4.31	3.91
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	6	5	22	37	34	3.85	1288/1529	3.98	4.47	4.36	4.31	3.85
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	2	2	4	21	38	37	4.02	790/1393	4.10	4.21	4.06	3.99	4.02
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	73	0	3	5	7	8	9	3.47	1159/1337	3.63	4.30	4.17	4.01	3.47
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	74	0	1	3	2	8	17	4.19	870/1331	4.00	4.49	4.35	4.18	4.19
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	75	0	0	2	1	9	18	4.43	757/1333	4.50	4.58	4.40	4.22	4.43

Course-Section: SOCY 101 04

Title: Basic Concepts In Socy

Instructor: Wallace, Brandy

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 150
Questionnaires: 105

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	75	2	1	3	8	11	5	3.57	800/1014	3.54	3.90	4.05	3.91	3.57

Credits I	Earned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	22	0.00-0.99	3	Α	19	Required for Majors	18	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	11	1.00-1.99	0	В	47						
56-83	3	2.00-2.99	4	С	27	General	65	Under-grad	105	Non-major	104
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	3	D	3						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	6	F	0	Electives	6	**** - Means the	ere are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				1	0	Other	3				
				?	9						

Course-Section: SOCY 201 01

Title: Social Prob:Amer Society

Instructor: Damasiewicz, Mer

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 65

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	1	6	8	25	4.43	753/1589	4.43	4.42	4.32	4.33	4.43
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	3	3	14	20	4.28	922/1589	4.28	4.38	4.29	4.30	4.28
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	1	3	2	10	24	4.33	809/1391	4.33	4.41	4.34	4.36	4.33
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	1	0	2	8	15	14	4.05	1045/1552	4.05	4.26	4.25	4.26	4.05
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	3	0	1	2	3	10	22	4.32	631/1495	4.32	4.34	4.14	4.18	4.32
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	0	4	3	6	13	13	3.72	1163/1457	3.72	4.21	4.15	4.14	3.72
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	0	2	4	13	20	4.31	774/1572	4.31	4.40	4.21	4.19	4.31
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	3	36	4.92	373/1589	4.92	4.62	4.66	4.63	4.92
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	15	1	0	1	6	6	12	4.16	804/1569	4.16	4.22	4.13	4.12	4.16
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	6	0	0	0	5	3	27	4.63	711/1530	4.63	4.52	4.49	4.47	4.63
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	5	0	0	0	1	2	33	4.89	643/1533	4.89	4.83	4.75	4.78	4.89
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	5	0	0	2	1	8	25	4.56	632/1528	4.56	4.42	4.35	4.35	4.56
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	5	0	1	1	1	7	26	4.56	677/1529	4.56	4.47	4.36	4.39	4.56
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	6	4	2	4	4	9	12	3.81	965/1393	3.81	4.21	4.06	4.13	3.81
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	17	0	0	1	4	7	12	4.25	663/1337	4.25	4.30	4.17	4.16	4.25
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	17	0	0	3	2	5	14	4.25	824/1331	4.25	4.49	4.35	4.32	4.25
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	17	0	1	2	0	8	13	4.25	884/1333	4.25	4.58	4.40	4.39	4.25
4. Were special techniques successful	17	12	1	1	3	4	3	3.58	797/1014	3.58	3.90	4.05	4.03	3.58

Course-Section: SOCY 201 01

Title: Social Prob:Amer Society

Instructor: Damasiewicz, Mer

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 65

Questionnaires: 41

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	40	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/180	****	****	4.20	4.50	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	40	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/194	****	****	4.17	4.12	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	40	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/165	****	****	4.12	4.42	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	40	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/62	****	4.80	4.46	4.07	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	40	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/63	****	5.00	4.29	3.83	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	40	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/61	****	4.80	4.47	4.25	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	40	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/61	****	4.20	4.19	4.26	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	40	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/40	****	****	3.85	3.77	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	40	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/29	****	****	4.15	3.26	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	40	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/21	****	****	4.32	4.60	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	40	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/39	****	****	4.00	4.01	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	40	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/22	****	****	4.12	3.93	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	40	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/33	****	****	4.42	4.30	****
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	40	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/19	****	****	4.44	4.67	****

Course-Section: SOCY 201 01

Title: Social Prob:Amer Society

Instructor: Damasiewicz,Mer

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 65
Questionnaires: 41

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	40	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/16	****	****	4.25	4.56	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	6	0.00-0.99	3	Α	8	Required for Majors	12	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	17						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	3	С	3	General	6	Under-grad	41	Non-major	41
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	7	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	2				
				?	13						

Course-Section: SOCY 220 01

Term - Fall 2012 Title: Urban Sociology

Instructor: Grieves, Margare

Enrollment: 50 Questionnaires: 20

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	2	0	0	1	1	3	13	4.56	582/1589	4.56	4.42	4.32	4.33	4.56
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	0	0	1	6	11	4.56	540/1589	4.56	4.38	4.29	4.30	4.56
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	3	0	0	0	0	7	10	4.59	505/1391	4.59	4.41	4.34	4.36	4.59
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	0	1	0	0	4	13	4.56	457/1552	4.56	4.26	4.25	4.26	4.56
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	3	0	1	0	0	5	11	4.47	450/1495	4.47	4.34	4.14	4.18	4.47
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	1	0	2	14	4.71	208/1457	4.71	4.21	4.15	4.14	4.71
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	3	0	0	0	0	4	13	4.76	221/1572	4.76	4.40	4.21	4.19	4.76
8. How many times was class cancelled	3	0	0	0	1	12	4	4.18	1416/1589	4.18	4.62	4.66	4.63	4.18
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	9	0	0	0	1	1	9	4.73	199/1569	4.73	4.22	4.13	4.12	4.73
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	4	0	0	0	0	5	11	4.69	610/1530	4.69	4.52	4.49	4.47	4.69
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	4	0	0	0	0	0	16	5.00	1/1533	5.00	4.83	4.75	4.78	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	6	0	0	0	1	2	11	4.71	405/1528	4.71	4.42	4.35	4.35	4.71
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	1	15	4.94	136/1529	4.94	4.47	4.36	4.39	4.94
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	5	0	0	1	0	2	12	4.67	221/1393	4.67	4.21	4.06	4.13	4.67
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	0	1	12	4.92	117/1337	4.92	4.30	4.17	4.16	4.92
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	7	0	0	0	0	2	11	4.85	280/1331	4.85	4.49	4.35	4.32	4.85
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	7	0	0	0	0	1	12	4.92	202/1333	4.92	4.58	4.40	4.39	4.92

Course-Section: SOCY 220 01

Title: Urban Sociology

Instructor: Grieves, Margare

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 50
Questionnaires: 20

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	7	1	0	1	2	3	6	4.17	460/1014	4.17	3.90	4.05	4.03	4.17

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	8	Required for Majors	4	Graduate	0	Major	3
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	6						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	С	1	General	3	Under-grad	20	Non-major	17
84-150	5	3.00-3.49	3	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	6	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	5						

Course-Section: SOCY 300 01

Title: Methodology:Social Rsrch

Instructor: Mood, Mary A

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 45

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	4	13	6	13	3.78	1377/1589	4.05	4.42	4.32	4.33	3.78
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	1	5	5	13	11	3.80	1326/1589	4.13	4.38	4.29	4.26	3.80
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	0	2	5	8	8	12	3.66	1255/1391	4.03	4.41	4.34	4.30	3.66
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	1	1	5	10	9	9	3.59	1389/1552	4.01	4.26	4.25	4.24	3.59
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	3	0	1	4	7	13	9	3.74	1168/1495	4.00	4.34	4.14	4.11	3.74
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	1	2	6	9	10	7	3.41	1307/1457	3.91	4.21	4.15	4.13	3.41
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	0	5	3	10	17	4.11	995/1572	4.18	4.40	4.21	4.18	4.11
8. How many times was class cancelled	3	0	0	1	0	1	32	4.88	519/1589	4.77	4.62	4.66	4.67	4.88
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	7	0	0	1	15	10	4	3.57	1338/1569	3.92	4.22	4.13	4.10	3.57
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	4	0	0	1	8	9	15	4.15	1245/1530	4.33	4.52	4.49	4.49	4.15
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	7	7	20	4.38	1361/1533	4.58	4.83	4.75	4.75	4.38
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	3	2	7	10	12	3.76	1328/1528	4.05	4.42	4.35	4.33	3.76
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	4	6	8	16	4.06	1151/1529	4.26	4.47	4.36	4.34	4.06
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	2	2	5	4	9	12	3.75	1000/1393	3.99	4.21	4.06	4.10	3.75
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	14	0	1	1	6	5	10	3.96	874/1337	3.96	4.30	4.17	4.20	3.96
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	14	0	1	0	6	3	13	4.17	890/1331	4.19	4.49	4.35	4.35	4.17
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	14	0	0	0	6	4	13	4.30	854/1333	4.45	4.58	4.40	4.41	4.30
4. Were special techniques successful	14	5	1	0	3	9	5	3.94	606/1014	3.77	3.90	4.05	4.04	3.94

Course-Section: SOCY 300 01

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 45

Title: Methodology:Social Rsrch

Instructor: Mood, Mary A

Questionnaires: 37

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	35	1	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/180	****	****	4.20	4.08	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	36	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/194	****	****	4.17	4.05	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	36	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/178	****	****	4.47	4.42	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	36	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/181	****	****	4.40	4.31	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	Α	9	Required for Majors	27	Graduate	0	Major	13
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	14						
56-83	3	2.00-2.99	6	С	7	General	0	Under-grad	37	Non-major	24
84-150	13	3.00-3.49	11	D	1						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	8	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	2				
				?	6						

Course-Section: SOCY 300 02

Title: Methodology:Social Rsrch

Instructor: Schumacher, John

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 44

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	7	11	19	4.32	884/1589	4.05	4.42	4.32	4.33	4.32
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	3	14	20	4.46	689/1589	4.13	4.38	4.29	4.26	4.46
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	4	11	21	4.41	733/1391	4.03	4.41	4.34	4.30	4.41
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	4	13	20	4.43	620/1552	4.01	4.26	4.25	4.24	4.43
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	1	6	11	17	4.26	693/1495	4.00	4.34	4.14	4.11	4.26
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	1	0	1	4	10	20	4.40	509/1457	3.91	4.21	4.15	4.13	4.40
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	2	7	7	20	4.25	843/1572	4.18	4.40	4.21	4.18	4.25
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	12	23	4.66	965/1589	4.77	4.62	4.66	4.67	4.66
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	6	2	0	0	4	13	12	4.28	670/1569	3.92	4.22	4.13	4.10	4.28
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	4	10	23	4.51	872/1530	4.33	4.52	4.49	4.49	4.51
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	1	1	3	31	4.78	924/1533	4.58	4.83	4.75	4.75	4.78
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	2	5	8	21	4.33	909/1528	4.05	4.42	4.35	4.33	4.33
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	7	6	24	4.46	795/1529	4.26	4.47	4.36	4.34	4.46
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	2	0	3	4	10	18	4.23	611/1393	3.99	4.21	4.06	4.10	4.23
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	7	0	1	0	9	9	11	3.97	863/1337	3.96	4.30	4.17	4.20	3.97
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	7	0	0	3	4	7	16	4.20	861/1331	4.19	4.49	4.35	4.35	4.20
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	7	0	0	0	3	6	21	4.60	615/1333	4.45	4.58	4.40	4.41	4.60
4. Were special techniques successful	7	5	3	2	7	3	10	3.60	791/1014	3.77	3.90	4.05	4.04	3.60

Course-Section: SOCY 300 02

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 44

. . .

Title: Methodology:Social Rsrch

Questionnaires: 37

Instructor: Schumacher, John

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	35	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/194	****	****	4.17	4.05	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	35	0	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	****/181	****	****	4.40	4.31	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	35	0	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	****/165	****	****	4.12	3.94	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	35	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/62	****	4.80	4.46	5.00	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	35	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/65	****	5.00	4.43	4.58	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	35	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/63	****	5.00	4.29	4.53	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	35	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/61	****	4.80	4.47	5.00	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	35	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/61	****	4.20	4.19	4.80	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	36	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/40	****	****	3.85	3.93	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	36	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/40	****	****	3.89	4.16	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	35	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/32	****	****	4.30	4.48	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	36	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/29	****	****	4.15	4.15	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	35	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/21	****	****	4.32	4.25	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	35	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/39	****	****	4.00	4.49	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	35	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/22	****	****	4.12	5.00	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	35	0	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	****/33	****	****	4.42	4.25	****
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	36	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/19	****	****	4.44	5.00	****

Course-Section: SOCY 300 02

Title: Methodology:Social Rsrch

Instructor: Schumacher,John

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 44

Questionnaires: 37

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	36	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/16	****	****	4.25	5.00	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	A	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	1	Α	9	Required for Majors	27	Graduate	2	Major	9
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	20						
56-83	5	2.00-2.99	1	С	4	General	2	Under-grad	35	Non-major	28
84-150	9	3.00-3.49	10	D	0						
Grad.	2	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	3				
				?	4						

Course-Section: SOCY 301 01

Title: Analy:Sociological Data

Instructor: Lottes, Ilsa L

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 39

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	3	3	3	9	13	3.84	1338/1589	3.84	4.42	4.32	4.33	3.84
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	5	3	8	5	10	3.39	1501/1589	3.39	4.38	4.29	4.26	3.39
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	3	2	3	6	17	4.03	1044/1391	4.03	4.41	4.34	4.30	4.03
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	3	2	4	4	5	12	3.78	1287/1552	3.78	4.26	4.25	4.24	3.78
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	2	5	4	3	5	12	3.52	1301/1495	3.52	4.34	4.14	4.11	3.52
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	8	5	1	3	3	10	3.55	1252/1457	3.55	4.21	4.15	4.13	3.55
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	6	4	6	4	11	3.32	1459/1572	3.32	4.40	4.21	4.18	3.32
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	3	28	4.90	467/1589	4.90	4.62	4.66	4.67	4.90
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	8	0	0	4	3	10	7	3.83	1143/1569	3.83	4.22	4.13	4.10	3.83
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	5	0	4	6	7	3	7	3.11	1502/1530	3.11	4.52	4.49	4.49	3.11
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	4	0	2	1	5	3	17	4.14	1457/1533	4.14	4.83	4.75	4.75	4.14
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	4	5	8	4	7	3.18	1473/1528	3.18	4.42	4.35	4.33	3.18
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	4	0	3	6	3	7	9	3.46	1415/1529	3.46	4.47	4.36	4.34	3.46
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	6	19	3	3	0	0	1	2.00	****/1393	****	4.21	4.06	4.10	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	9	0	4	5	2	6	6	3.22	1242/1337	3.22	4.30	4.17	4.20	3.22
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	8	0	4	2	4	3	11	3.63	1192/1331	3.63	4.49	4.35	4.35	3.63
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	9	0	3	3	5	3	9	3.52	1226/1333	3.52	4.58	4.40	4.41	3.52
4. Were special techniques successful	8	14	4	1	1	0	4	2.90	969/1014	2.90	3.90	4.05	4.04	2.90

Course-Section: SOCY 301 01

Title: Analy:Sociological Data

Instructor: Lottes, Ilsa L

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 39

Questionnaires: 32

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	30	0	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	****/180	****	****	4.20	4.08	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	30	0	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	****/194	****	****	4.17	4.05	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	30	0	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	****/178	****	****	4.47	4.42	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	30	0	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	****/181	****	****	4.40	4.31	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	30	0	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	****/165	****	****	4.12	3.94	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	1	Α	8	Required for Majors	26	Graduate	0	Major	5
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	6						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	4	С	8	General	1	Under-grad	32	Non-major	27
84-150	8	3.00-3.49	4	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	6	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	7						

Course-Section: SOCY 315 01

Title: Population & Society

Instructor: Hylton, Kevin

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 21

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	4	0	0	0	0	3	14	4.82	233/1589	4.82	4.42	4.32	4.33	4.82
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	4	0	0	0	1	2	14	4.76	279/1589	4.76	4.38	4.29	4.26	4.76
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	4	0	0	0	2	2	13	4.65	429/1391	4.65	4.41	4.34	4.30	4.65
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	4	0	0	0	0	4	13	4.76	225/1552	4.76	4.26	4.25	4.24	4.76
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	1	3	12	4.69	237/1495	4.69	4.34	4.14	4.11	4.69
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	0	2	14	4.88	95/1457	4.88	4.21	4.15	4.13	4.88
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	5	0	0	0	0	5	11	4.69	309/1572	4.69	4.40	4.21	4.18	4.69
8. How many times was class cancelled	7	0	0	1	0	0	13	4.79	768/1589	4.79	4.62	4.66	4.67	4.79
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	0	0	1	5	11	4.59	304/1569	4.59	4.22	4.13	4.10	4.59
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	4	0	0	0	1	1	15	4.82	364/1530	4.82	4.52	4.49	4.49	4.82
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	4	0	0	0	0	1	16	4.94	352/1533	4.94	4.83	4.75	4.75	4.94
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	0	0	1	2	14	4.76	336/1528	4.76	4.42	4.35	4.33	4.76
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	1	1	14	4.81	308/1529	4.81	4.47	4.36	4.34	4.81
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	4	0	0	0	0	3	14	4.82	115/1393	4.82	4.21	4.06	4.10	4.82
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	2	15	4.88	162/1337	4.88	4.30	4.17	4.20	4.88
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	0	0	2	15	4.88	238/1331	4.88	4.49	4.35	4.35	4.88
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	0	0	1	16	4.94	152/1333	4.94	4.58	4.40	4.41	4.94
4. Were special techniques successful	5	3	0	0	3	2	8	4.38	306/1014	4.38	3.90	4.05	4.04	4.38

Course-Section: SOCY 315 01

Title: Population & Society

Instructor: Hylton, Kevin

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 21

Questionnaires: 21

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	20	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/62	****	4.80	4.46	5.00	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	20	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/65	****	5.00	4.43	4.58	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	20	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/63	****	5.00	4.29	4.53	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	20	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/61	****	4.80	4.47	5.00	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	20	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/61	****	4.20	4.19	4.80	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	4	Required for Majors	4	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	8						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	3	General	1	Under-grad	21	Non-major	21
84-150	4	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	9	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	6						

Course-Section: SOCY 321 01

Title: Race & Ethnic Relations

Instructor: Cousin-Gossett,

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 41

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	2	4	19	4.68	407/1589	4.77	4.42	4.32	4.33	4.68
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	3	3	19	4.64	422/1589	4.71	4.38	4.29	4.26	4.64
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	1	5	18	4.60	482/1391	4.55	4.41	4.34	4.30	4.60
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	6	18	4.68	320/1552	4.55	4.26	4.25	4.24	4.68
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	2	3	19	4.60	309/1495	4.66	4.34	4.14	4.11	4.60
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	5	20	4.80	131/1457	4.72	4.21	4.15	4.13	4.80
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	5	19	4.72	267/1572	4.65	4.40	4.21	4.18	4.72
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	15	10	4.40	1213/1589	4.70	4.62	4.66	4.67	4.40
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	1	1	0	1	7	12	4.38	534/1569	4.50	4.22	4.13	4.10	4.38
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	2	2	20	4.75	488/1530	4.80	4.52	4.49	4.49	4.75
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	2	23	4.92	469/1533	4.96	4.83	4.75	4.75	4.92
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	2	1	22	4.80	281/1528	4.79	4.42	4.35	4.33	4.80
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	4	20	4.76	382/1529	4.77	4.47	4.36	4.34	4.76
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	1	1	4	2	16	4.29	551/1393	4.54	4.21	4.06	4.10	4.29
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	2	1	14	4.71	309/1337	4.78	4.30	4.17	4.20	4.71
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	8	0	1	0	0	0	16	4.76	367/1331	4.76	4.49	4.35	4.35	4.76
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	8	0	0	0	0	0	17	5.00	1/1333	4.92	4.58	4.40	4.41	5.00

Course-Section: SOCY 321 01

Title: Race & Ethnic Relations

Instructor: Cousin-Gossett,

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 41

Questionnaires: 25

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	8	4	1	4	2	3	3	3.23	916/1014	3.62	3.90	4.05	4.04	3.23

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	1	Α	8	Required for Majors	5	Graduate	0	Major	5
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	13						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	5	Under-grad	25	Non-major	20
84-150	7	3.00-3.49	4	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	6	F	0	Electives	10	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	4						

Course-Section: SOCY 321 02

Title: Race & Ethnic Relations

Instructor: Hylton, Kevin

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 18

Questionnaires: 18

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	4	0	0	0	0	2	12	4.86	204/1589	4.77	4.42	4.32	4.33	4.86
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	4	0	0	0	0	3	11	4.79	253/1589	4.71	4.38	4.29	4.26	4.79
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	4	0	0	1	1	2	10	4.50	600/1391	4.55	4.41	4.34	4.30	4.50
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	4	0	0	0	1	6	7	4.43	636/1552	4.55	4.26	4.25	4.24	4.43
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	4	10	4.71	211/1495	4.66	4.34	4.14	4.11	4.71
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	1	3	10	4.64	268/1457	4.72	4.21	4.15	4.13	4.64
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	4	0	0	0	1	4	9	4.57	420/1572	4.65	4.40	4.21	4.18	4.57
8. How many times was class cancelled	4	0	0	0	0	0	14	5.00	1/1589	4.70	4.62	4.66	4.67	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	1	0	0	1	3	9	4.62	280/1569	4.50	4.22	4.13	4.10	4.62
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	4	0	0	0	1	0	13	4.86	311/1530	4.80	4.52	4.49	4.49	4.86
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	4	0	0	0	0	0	14	5.00	1/1533	4.96	4.83	4.75	4.75	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	0	0	1	1	12	4.79	308/1528	4.79	4.42	4.35	4.33	4.79
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	1	1	12	4.79	351/1529	4.77	4.47	4.36	4.34	4.79
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	4	0	0	0	1	1	12	4.79	137/1393	4.54	4.21	4.06	4.10	4.79
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	0	2	11	4.85	194/1337	4.78	4.30	4.17	4.20	4.85
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	6	0	0	0	1	1	10	4.75	379/1331	4.76	4.49	4.35	4.35	4.75
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	5	0	0	0	1	0	12	4.85	325/1333	4.92	4.58	4.40	4.41	4.85
4. Were special techniques successful	5	4	1	1	0	2	5	4.00	554/1014	3.62	3.90	4.05	4.04	4.00

Course-Section: SOCY 321 02

JC1 321 U2

Instructor: Hylton, Kevin

Title: Race & Ethnic Relations

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 18

Questionnaires: 18

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	17	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/62	****	4.80	4.46	5.00	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	17	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/65	****	5.00	4.43	4.58	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	17	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/63	****	5.00	4.29	4.53	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	17	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/61	****	4.80	4.47	5.00	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	17	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/61	****	4.20	4.19	4.80	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	17	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/40	****	****	3.85	3.93	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	17	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/40	****	****	3.89	4.16	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	17	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/32	****	****	4.30	4.48	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	17	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/29	****	****	4.15	4.15	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	17	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/21	****	****	4.32	4.25	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	16	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/39	****	****	4.00	4.49	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	16	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/22	****	****	4.12	5.00	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	16	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/33	****	****	4.42	4.25	****
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	16	0	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	****/19	****	****	4.44	5.00	****

Course-Section: SOCY 321 02

Title: Race & Ethnic Relations

Instructor: Hylton, Kevin

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 18

Questionnaires: 18

Frequencies Instructor Course UMBC Level Sect Org Questions NA 5 Mean Mean Mean NR Mean Rank Mean **Self Paced** ****/16 5. Were there enough proctors for all the students 0 0 3.00 **** **** 4.25 5.00 **** 16 1 0 1 0

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	4	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	8						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	3	Under-grad	18	Non-major	17
84-150	5	3.00-3.49	4	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	7	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	6						

Course-Section: SOCY 333 01

Title: Hum Sexuality/Cross-Cult

Instructor: Lottes, Ilsa L

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 97

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	9	0	0	3	7	17	31	4.31	897/1589	4.31	4.42	4.32	4.33	4.31
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	11	0	2	6	10	17	21	3.88	1284/1589	3.88	4.38	4.29	4.26	3.88
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	10	0	4	8	10	14	21	3.70	1231/1391	3.70	4.41	4.34	4.30	3.70
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	10	3	1	7	7	20	19	3.91	1186/1552	3.91	4.26	4.25	4.24	3.91
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	3	11	14	27	4.18	764/1495	4.18	4.34	4.14	4.11	4.18
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	12	1	0	4	12	14	24	4.07	841/1457	4.07	4.21	4.15	4.13	4.07
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	13	0	4	8	10	15	17	3.61	1360/1572	3.61	4.40	4.21	4.18	3.61
8. How many times was class cancelled	12	0	0	0	0	6	49	4.89	493/1589	4.89	4.62	4.66	4.67	4.89
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	19	1	4	4	8	18	13	3.68	1263/1569	3.68	4.22	4.13	4.10	3.68
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	12	0	3	5	12	15	20	3.80	1420/1530	3.80	4.52	4.49	4.49	3.80
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	11	0	0	0	1	2	53	4.93	469/1533	4.93	4.83	4.75	4.75	4.93
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	12	0	3	4	13	14	21	3.84	1294/1528	3.84	4.42	4.35	4.33	3.84
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	12	0	1	4	6	11	33	4.29	965/1529	4.29	4.47	4.36	4.34	4.29
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	13	5	4	9	10	7	19	3.57	1104/1393	3.57	4.21	4.06	4.10	3.57
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	23	0	4	2	8	7	23	3.98	853/1337	3.98	4.30	4.17	4.20	3.98
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	22	0	4	0	7	8	26	4.16	909/1331	4.16	4.49	4.35	4.35	4.16
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	22	0	4	2	8	3	28	4.09	983/1333	4.09	4.58	4.40	4.41	4.09
4. Were special techniques successful	22	19	4	2	10	3	7	3.27	908/1014	3.27	3.90	4.05	4.04	3.27

Course-Section: SOCY 333 01

Title: Hum Sexuality/Cross-Cult

Instructor: Lottes, Ilsa L

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 97

Questionnaires: 67

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	64	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/194	****	****	4.17	4.05	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	64	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/178	****	****	4.47	4.42	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	64	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/62	****	4.80	4.46	5.00	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	64	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/65	****	5.00	4.43	4.58	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	63	1	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	****/61	****	4.80	4.47	5.00	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	64	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/61	****	4.20	4.19	4.80	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	65	0	1	0	1	0	0	2.00	****/40	****	****	3.85	3.93	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	65	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/40	****	****	3.89	4.16	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	65	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/32	****	****	4.30	4.48	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	65	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/29	****	****	4.15	4.15	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	65	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/21	****	****	4.32	4.25	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	65	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/39	****	****	4.00	4.49	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	65	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	****/22	****	****	4.12	5.00	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	65	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/33	****	****	4.42	4.25	****
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	65	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/19	****	****	4.44	5.00	****

Course-Section: SOCY 333 01

Title: Hum Sexuality/Cross-Cult
Instructor: Lottes,Ilsa L

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 97

Questionnaires: 67

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	65	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/16	****	****	4.25	5.00	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	3	0.00-0.99	0	Α	12	Required for Majors	9	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	3	1.00-1.99	0	В	27						
56-83	8	2.00-2.99	4	С	3	General	6	Under-grad	67	Non-major	65
84-150	8	3.00-3.49	6	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	9	F	0	Electives	22	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	7				
				?	23						

Course-Section: SOCY 351 01

Title: Medical Sociology

Instructor: Hosler,Colleen

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 57

	the instructor make clear the expected goals the exam questions reflect the expected goals other evaluations reflect the expected goals other evaluations reflect the expected goals assigned readings contribute to what you learned written assignments contribute to what you learned the grading system clearly explained many times was class cancelled other evaluations reflect the expected goals other evaluations reflect the exp									Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	2	5	32	4.77	303/1589	4.77	4.42	4.32	4.33	4.77
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	6	32	4.79	240/1589	4.79	4.38	4.29	4.26	4.79
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	4	35	4.90	165/1391	4.90	4.41	4.34	4.30	4.90
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	3	0	0	2	6	28	4.72	274/1552	4.72	4.26	4.25	4.24	4.72
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	3	2	6	27	4.50	416/1495	4.50	4.34	4.14	4.11	4.50
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	1	2	8	27	4.61	308/1457	4.61	4.21	4.15	4.13	4.61
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	9	29	4.72	278/1572	4.72	4.40	4.21	4.18	4.72
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	1	29	8	4.18	1409/1589	4.18	4.62	4.66	4.67	4.18
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	13	0	0	0	1	2	23	4.85	134/1569	4.85	4.22	4.13	4.10	4.85
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	4	33	4.89	241/1530	4.89	4.52	4.49	4.49	4.89
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	3	34	4.92	527/1533	4.92	4.83	4.75	4.75	4.92
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	3	34	4.92	156/1528	4.92	4.42	4.35	4.33	4.92
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	4	33	4.89	206/1529	4.89	4.47	4.36	4.34	4.89
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	0	0	0	1	8	27	4.72	178/1393	4.72	4.21	4.06	4.10	4.72
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	18	0	0	0	0	2	19	4.90	146/1337	4.90	4.30	4.17	4.20	4.90
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	19	0	0	0	0	1	19	4.95	109/1331	4.95	4.49	4.35	4.35	4.95
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	18	0	0	0	0	3	18	4.86	313/1333	4.86	4.58	4.40	4.41	4.86

Course-Section: SOCY 351 01 Title: Medical Sociology **Instructor:** Hosler, Colleen

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 57 Questionnaires: 39

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	18	1	0	0	4	4	12	4.40	293/1014	4.40	3.90	4.05	4.04	4.40

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	1	Α	20	Required for Majors	10	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	9						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	5	С	0	General	4	Under-grad	39	Non-major	38
84-150	7	3.00-3.49	5	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	14	**** - Means the	ere are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	2				
				?	10						

Course-Section: SOCY 352 01

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 45

Title: Issues In Health Care

Instructor: Herrera, Angelic

			Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	1	0	2	8	22	4.52	633/1589	4.51	4.42	4.32	4.33	4.52
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	9	12	12	4.09	1097/1589	4.55	4.38	4.29	4.26	4.09
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	3	2	10	18	4.30	828/1391	4.65	4.41	4.34	4.30	4.30
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	1	1	6	12	13	4.06	1037/1552	3.78	4.26	4.25	4.24	4.06
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	2	7	8	14	4.00	899/1495	4.00	4.34	4.14	4.11	4.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	2	4	12	13	4.16	768/1457	4.33	4.21	4.15	4.13	4.16
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	3	4	3	6	16	3.88	1211/1572	4.44	4.40	4.21	4.18	3.88
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	3	5	12	12	4.03	1490/1589	4.52	4.62	4.66	4.67	4.03
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	12	1	0	0	4	11	5	4.05	918/1569	4.28	4.22	4.13	4.10	4.05
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	1	4	12	16	4.30	1130/1530	4.40	4.52	4.49	4.49	4.30
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	1	1	5	26	4.70	1060/1533	4.85	4.83	4.75	4.75	4.70
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	1	6	14	12	4.12	1096/1528	4.31	4.42	4.35	4.33	4.12
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	2	1	11	18	4.41	852/1529	4.45	4.47	4.36	4.34	4.41
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	4	1	0	0	2	14	12	4.36	489/1393	4.36	4.21	4.06	4.10	4.36
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	14	0	0	1	1	8	9	4.32	616/1337	4.66	4.30	4.17	4.20	4.32
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	14	0	0	0	1	4	14	4.68	457/1331	4.84	4.49	4.35	4.35	4.68
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	14	0	0	0	0	5	14	4.74	464/1333	4.87	4.58	4.40	4.41	4.74

Course-Section: SOCY 352 01

Title: Issues In Health Care

Instructor: Herrera, Angelic

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 45

Questionnaires: 33

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	14	5	1	2	1	4	6	3.86	663/1014	3.86	3.90	4.05	4.04	3.86

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	12	Required for Majors	16	Graduate	0	Major	6
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	14						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	1	С	1	General	4	Under-grad	33	Non-major	27
84-150	7	3.00-3.49	7	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	3	**** - Means the	ere are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	1				
				?	6						

Course-Section: SOCY 352 02

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 3

Title: Issues In Health Care

Questionnaires: 3

Instructor: Sufian, Meryl

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	646/1589	4.51	4.42	4.32	4.33	4.50
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1589	4.55	4.38	4.29	4.26	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1391	4.65	4.41	4.34	4.30	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	1421/1552	3.78	4.26	4.25	4.24	3.50
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	899/1495	4.00	4.34	4.14	4.11	4.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	400/1457	4.33	4.21	4.15	4.13	4.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1572	4.44	4.40	4.21	4.18	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1589	4.52	4.62	4.66	4.67	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	369/1569	4.28	4.22	4.13	4.10	4.50
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	887/1530	4.40	4.52	4.49	4.49	4.50
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1533	4.85	4.83	4.75	4.75	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	695/1528	4.31	4.42	4.35	4.33	4.50
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	739/1529	4.45	4.47	4.36	4.34	4.50
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1337	4.66	4.30	4.17	4.20	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1331	4.84	4.49	4.35	4.35	5.00

Course-Section: SOCY 352 02

Title: Issues In Health Care

Instructor: Sufian, Meryl

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 3

Questionnaires: 3

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1333	4.87	4.58	4.40	4.41	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP/	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	3	Non-major	3
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: SOCY 353 01

Title: Marriage And The Family

Instructor: Mair, Christine

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 79

	_			Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	3	0	0	0	2	6	37	4.78	290/1589	4.78	4.42	4.32	4.33	4.78
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	3	0	0	0	0	6	39	4.87	172/1589	4.87	4.38	4.29	4.26	4.87
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	4	0	0	0	0	4	40	4.91	156/1391	4.91	4.41	4.34	4.30	4.91
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	4	0	0	0	2	4	38	4.82	170/1552	4.82	4.26	4.25	4.24	4.82
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	1	0	6	38	4.80	148/1495	4.80	4.34	4.14	4.11	4.80
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	1	1	7	36	4.73	184/1457	4.73	4.21	4.15	4.13	4.73
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	3	0	0	0	3	2	40	4.82	160/1572	4.82	4.40	4.21	4.18	4.82
8. How many times was class cancelled	3	0	0	0	0	12	33	4.73	863/1589	4.73	4.62	4.66	4.67	4.73
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	11	0	0	0	2	7	28	4.70	211/1569	4.70	4.22	4.13	4.10	4.70
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	4	0	0	0	0	4	40	4.91	224/1530	4.91	4.52	4.49	4.49	4.91
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	4	0	0	0	0	1	43	4.98	176/1533	4.98	4.83	4.75	4.75	4.98
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	0	0	1	4	39	4.86	216/1528	4.86	4.42	4.35	4.33	4.86
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	3	41	4.93	136/1529	4.93	4.47	4.36	4.34	4.93
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	4	0	0	0	1	11	32	4.70	192/1393	4.70	4.21	4.06	4.10	4.70
Discussion											,			
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	18	0	1	0	1	4	24	4.67	337/1337	4.67	4.30	4.17	4.20	4.67
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	18	0	0	0	1	6	23	4.73	401/1331	4.73	4.49	4.35	4.35	4.73
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	19	0	0	0	1	2	26	4.86	301/1333	4.86	4.58	4.40	4.41	4.86
4. Were special techniques successful	18	1	0	0	5	9	15	4.34	334/1014	4.34	3.90	4.05	4.04	4.34

Course-Section: SOCY 353 01

Title: Marriage And The Family

Instructor: Mair, Christine

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 79

Questionnaires: 48

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	47	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/39	****	****	4.00	4.49	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	47	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/22	****	****	4.12	5.00	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	47	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/33	****	****	4.42	4.25	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	A	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	24	Required for Majors	16	Graduate	0	Major	5
28-55	3	1.00-1.99	0	В	15						
56-83	7	2.00-2.99	6	С	2	General	11	Under-grad	48	Non-major	43
84-150	12	3.00-3.49	9	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	10	F	0	Electives	13	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	7						

Course-Section: SOCY 371 01

Title: Criminology And Penology

Instructor: Tuer, Jeffrey E

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 81

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	1	4	11	11	21	3.98	1215/1589	3.98	4.42	4.32	4.33	3.98
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	2	5	8	10	23	3.98	1185/1589	3.98	4.38	4.29	4.26	3.98
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	5	0	12	3	28	4.02	1049/1391	4.02	4.41	4.34	4.30	4.02
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	7	0	10	13	19	3.76	1301/1552	3.76	4.26	4.25	4.24	3.76
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	2	2	3	8	8	25	4.11	844/1495	4.11	4.34	4.14	4.11	4.11
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	6	3	11	7	21	3.71	1172/1457	3.71	4.21	4.15	4.13	3.71
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	1	4	4	7	32	4.35	710/1572	4.35	4.40	4.21	4.18	4.35
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	2	11	15	18	1	3.11	1581/1589	3.11	4.62	4.66	4.67	3.11
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	9	4	0	4	9	13	10	3.81	1170/1569	3.81	4.22	4.13	4.10	3.81
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	1	4	7	8	27	4.19	1216/1530	4.19	4.52	4.49	4.49	4.19
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	7	4	36	4.62	1167/1533	4.62	4.83	4.75	4.75	4.62
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	6	5	8	29	4.25	992/1528	4.25	4.42	4.35	4.33	4.25
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	6	2	4	8	28	4.04	1156/1529	4.04	4.47	4.36	4.34	4.04
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	2	7	2	6	10	19	3.73	1021/1393	3.73	4.21	4.06	4.10	3.73
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	12	0	2	3	5	10	17	4.00	823/1337	4.00	4.30	4.17	4.20	4.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	12	0	4	3	0	13	17	3.97	1016/1331	3.97	4.49	4.35	4.35	3.97
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	12	0	2	2	3	9	21	4.22	908/1333	4.22	4.58	4.40	4.41	4.22

Course-Section: SOCY 371 01

Title: Criminology And Penology

Instructor: Tuer, Jeffrey E

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 81

Questionnaires: 49

Questio

				Fre	quend	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	14	13	5	2	6	6	3	3.00	944/1014	3.00	3.90	4.05	4.04	3.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	1	Α	23	Required for Majors	17	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	6	1.00-1.99	0	В	16						
56-83	4	2.00-2.99	4	С	3	General	6	Under-grad	49	Non-major	48
84-150	10	3.00-3.49	8	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	8	F	0	Electives	15	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	1	Other	2				
				?	5						

Term - Fall 2012

Course-Section: SOCY 374 01

Title: Drugs And Alcohol

Instructor: Hosler, Colleen

Enrollment: 58 Questionnaires: 48

	_			Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	7	41	4.85	204/1589	4.85	4.42	4.32	4.33	4.85
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	7	41	4.85	182/1589	4.85	4.38	4.29	4.26	4.85
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	3	6	39	4.75	301/1391	4.75	4.41	4.34	4.30	4.75
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	2	3	10	33	4.54	467/1552	4.54	4.26	4.25	4.24	4.54
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	0	3	11	32	4.55	362/1495	4.55	4.34	4.14	4.11	4.55
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	2	4	8	33	4.53	372/1457	4.53	4.21	4.15	4.13	4.53
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	10	37	4.75	233/1572	4.75	4.40	4.21	4.18	4.75
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	1	0	34	13	4.23	1376/1589	4.23	4.62	4.66	4.67	4.23
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	8	0	4	0	1	13	22	4.23	730/1569	4.23	4.22	4.13	4.10	4.23
Lecture												,		
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	5	41	4.89	241/1530	4.89	4.52	4.49	4.49	4.89
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	0	1	44	4.98	176/1533	4.98	4.83	4.75	4.75	4.98
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	0	0	5	40	4.89	195/1528	4.89	4.42	4.35	4.33	4.89
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	1	0	5	40	4.83	295/1529	4.83	4.47	4.36	4.34	4.83
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	0	0	2	7	37	4.76	151/1393	4.76	4.21	4.06	4.10	4.76
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	20	0	0	0	2	5	21	4.68	330/1337	4.68	4.30	4.17	4.20	4.68
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	20	0	0	0	0	3	25	4.89	227/1331	4.89	4.49	4.35	4.35	4.89
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	20	0	0	0	0	2	26	4.93	202/1333	4.93	4.58	4.40	4.41	4.93
4. Were special techniques successful	20	2	0	0	2	8	16	4.54	232/1014	4.54	3.90	4.05	4.04	4.54

Course-Section: SOCY 374 01

Title: Drugs And Alcohol

Instructor: Hosler,Colleen

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 58

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	47	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/180	****	****	4.20	4.08	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	46	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/194	****	****	4.17	4.05	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	46	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/178	****	****	4.47	4.42	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	46	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/181	****	****	4.40	4.31	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	46	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/165	****	****	4.12	3.94	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	46	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/62	****	4.80	4.46	5.00	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	47	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/65	****	5.00	4.43	4.58	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	46	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/63	****	5.00	4.29	4.53	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	46	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/61	****	4.80	4.47	5.00	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	46	0	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	****/61	****	4.20	4.19	4.80	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	46	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/40	****	****	3.85	3.93	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	46	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/40	****	****	3.89	4.16	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	46	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/32	****	****	4.30	4.48	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	46	0	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	****/29	****	****	4.15	4.15	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	46	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/21	****	****	4.32	4.25	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	46	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/39	****	****	4.00	4.49	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	46	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/22	****	****	4.12	5.00	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	46	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/33	****	****	4.42	4.25	****

Course-Section: SOCY 374 01

Title: Drugs And Alcohol

Instructor: Hosler,Colleen

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 58

Questionnaires: 48

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	46	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/19	****	****	4.44	5.00	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	46	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/16	****	****	4.25	5.00	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	24	Required for Majors	10	Graduate	0	Major	6
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	13						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	5	С	2	General	4	Under-grad	48	Non-major	42
84-150	15	3.00-3.49	11	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	11	F	0	Electives	23	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	2				
				?	7						

Course-Section: SOCY 396 01

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 7

Title: Comm Serv & Learn Intern

Instructor: Wolff, Michele K

·	_			Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	435/1589	4.67	4.42	4.32	4.33	4.67
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	200/1589	4.83	4.38	4.29	4.26	4.83
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	5	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1391	****	4.41	4.34	4.30	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	509/1552	4.50	4.26	4.25	4.24	4.50
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	4.50	416/1495	4.50	4.34	4.14	4.11	4.50
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	2	3	4.60	308/1457	4.60	4.21	4.15	4.13	4.60
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1572	5.00	4.40	4.21	4.18	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	956/1589	4.67	4.62	4.66	4.67	4.67
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	3	2	4.40	509/1569	4.40	4.22	4.13	4.10	4.40
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1530	5.00	4.52	4.49	4.49	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	872/1533	4.80	4.83	4.75	4.75	4.80
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	281/1528	4.80	4.42	4.35	4.33	4.80
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	2	3	4.60	615/1529	4.60	4.47	4.36	4.34	4.60
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	3	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	349/1393	4.50	4.21	4.06	4.10	4.50
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1337	5.00	4.30	4.17	4.20	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1331	5.00	4.49	4.35	4.35	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1333	5.00	4.58	4.40	4.41	5.00

Course-Section: SOCY 396 01

Title: Comm Serv & Learn Intern

Instructor: Wolff, Michele K

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 7

Questionnaires: 6

				Free	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	4	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1014	5.00	3.90	4.05	4.04	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	0	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	6	Non-major	5
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	4	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	4			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	2						

Course-Section: SOCY 397 01

Title: Selected Topics In Socy

Instructor: Tuer,Jeffrey E

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 51

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	1	0	2	9	15	4.37	819/1589	4.37	4.42	4.32	4.33	4.37
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	2	0	1	6	18	4.41	765/1589	4.41	4.38	4.29	4.26	4.41
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	1	0	2	4	20	4.56	541/1391	4.56	4.41	4.34	4.30	4.56
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	2	1	1	4	19	4.37	706/1552	4.37	4.26	4.25	4.24	4.37
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	1	0	0	1	5	19	4.72	204/1495	4.72	4.34	4.14	4.11	4.72
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	0	3	5	17	4.42	487/1457	4.42	4.21	4.15	4.13	4.42
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	0	3	23	4.88	113/1572	4.88	4.40	4.21	4.18	4.88
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	8	16	2	3.77	1559/1589	3.77	4.62	4.66	4.67	3.77
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	8	0	0	0	3	8	8	4.26	682/1569	4.26	4.22	4.13	4.10	4.26
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	2	4	21	4.70	577/1530	4.70	4.52	4.49	4.49	4.70
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	2	25	4.93	469/1533	4.93	4.83	4.75	4.75	4.93
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	1	4	22	4.78	322/1528	4.78	4.42	4.35	4.33	4.78
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	2	0	0	4	21	4.56	677/1529	4.56	4.47	4.36	4.34	4.56
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	1	1	1	1	7	16	4.38	456/1393	4.38	4.21	4.06	4.10	4.38
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	1	1	2	4	16	4.38	572/1337	4.38	4.30	4.17	4.20	4.38
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	1	1	2	3	17	4.42	705/1331	4.42	4.49	4.35	4.35	4.42
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	1	0	4	1	18	4.46	741/1333	4.46	4.58	4.40	4.41	4.46
4. Were special techniques successful	3	6	0	1	4	1	12	4.33	341/1014	4.33	3.90	4.05	4.04	4.33

Course-Section: SOCY 397 01

Title: Selected Topics In Socy

Instructor: Tuer,Jeffrey E

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 51

·				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	24	0	0	1	1	0	1	3.33	****/180	****	****	4.20	4.08	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	24	0	0	0	2	0	1	3.67	****/194	****	****	4.17	4.05	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	24	1	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/178	****	****	4.47	4.42	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	25	0	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	****/181	****	****	4.40	4.31	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	25	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/165	****	****	4.12	3.94	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	24	0	0	1	0	0	2	4.00	****/62	****	4.80	4.46	5.00	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	24	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/65	****	5.00	4.43	4.58	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	25	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/63	****	5.00	4.29	4.53	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	24	1	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/61	****	4.80	4.47	5.00	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	24	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/61	****	4.20	4.19	4.80	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	24	0	0	1	0	1	1	3.67	****/40	****	****	3.85	3.93	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	24	0	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	****/40	****	****	3.89	4.16	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	25	0	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	****/32	****	****	4.30	4.48	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	25	0	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	****/29	****	****	4.15	4.15	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	25	0	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	****/21	****	****	4.32	4.25	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	26	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/39	****	****	4.00	4.49	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	25	0	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	****/22	****	****	4.12	5.00	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	26	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/33	****	****	4.42	4.25	****

Course-Section: SOCY 397 01

Title: Selected Topics In Socy

Instructor: Tuer,Jeffrey E

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 51

Questionnaires: 27

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	25	0	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	****/19	****	****	4.44	5.00	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	26	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/16	****	****	4.25	5.00	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	1	Α	13	Required for Majors	10	Graduate	0	Major	4
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	9						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	4	С	0	General	4	Under-grad	27	Non-major	23
84-150	4	3.00-3.49	5	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	8	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	1				
				?	5						

Course-Section: SOCY 409 01

Title: Sociological Theory

Instructor: Grieves, Margare

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 43

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	1	0	7	10	4.44	726/1589	4.44	4.42	4.32	4.46	4.44
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	4	4	10	4.33	853/1589	4.33	4.38	4.29	4.35	4.33
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	0	0	0	0	6	11	4.65	429/1391	4.65	4.41	4.34	4.46	4.65
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	1	0	0	2	3	12	4.59	425/1552	4.59	4.26	4.25	4.37	4.59
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	8	10	4.56	362/1495	4.56	4.34	4.14	4.25	4.56
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	1	0	2	1	5	9	4.24	701/1457	4.24	4.21	4.15	4.30	4.24
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	1	0	1	6	10	4.33	735/1572	4.33	4.40	4.21	4.28	4.33
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	3	15	4.83	651/1589	4.83	4.62	4.66	4.68	4.83
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	6	0	0	0	3	4	6	4.23	718/1569	4.23	4.22	4.13	4.22	4.23
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	5	13	4.72	541/1530	4.72	4.52	4.49	4.56	4.72
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	2	16	4.89	643/1533	4.89	4.83	4.75	4.76	4.89
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	1	2	4	11	4.39	843/1528	4.39	4.42	4.35	4.41	4.39
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	0	2	4	11	4.33	924/1529	4.33	4.47	4.36	4.44	4.33
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	1	1	1	0	7	7	4.13	720/1393	4.13	4.21	4.06	4.18	4.13
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	6	0	1	1	1	2	8	4.15	738/1337	4.15	4.30	4.17	4.36	4.15
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	6	0	0	1	1	1	10	4.54	599/1331	4.54	4.49	4.35	4.56	4.54
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	6	0	0	0	0	2	11	4.85	325/1333	4.85	4.58	4.40	4.63	4.85
4. Were special techniques successful	7	1	1	1	1	3	5	3.91	641/1014	3.91	3.90	4.05	4.32	3.91

Course-Section: SOCY 409 01

Title: Sociological Theory

Instructor: Grieves, Margare

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 43
Questionnaires: 19

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/180	****	****	4.20	4.31	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	18	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/194	****	****	4.17	4.27	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	9	Required for Majors	14	Graduate	0	Major	10
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	6						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	3	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	19	Non-major	9
84-150	9	3.00-3.49	5	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	4						

Course-Section: SOCY 419 01

Title: Qual Meth Social Resrch

Instructor: Eckert, J K

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 21

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	4	14	4.78	290/1589	4.78	4.42	4.32	4.46	4.78
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	3	15	4.83	200/1589	4.83	4.38	4.29	4.35	4.83
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	13	0	0	0	2	3	4.60	482/1391	4.60	4.41	4.34	4.46	4.60
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	2	4	11	4.53	488/1552	4.53	4.26	4.25	4.37	4.53
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	1	2	14	4.61	300/1495	4.61	4.34	4.14	4.25	4.61
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	3	14	4.72	192/1457	4.72	4.21	4.15	4.30	4.72
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	0	2	4	11	4.53	473/1572	4.53	4.40	4.21	4.28	4.53
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	2	16	4.89	519/1589	4.89	4.62	4.66	4.68	4.89
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	4	14	4.78	171/1569	4.78	4.22	4.13	4.22	4.78
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	7	10	4.59	773/1530	4.59	4.52	4.49	4.56	4.59
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	16	5.00	1/1533	5.00	4.83	4.75	4.76	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	1	3	12	4.69	449/1528	4.69	4.42	4.35	4.41	4.69
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	1	2	13	4.75	397/1529	4.75	4.47	4.36	4.44	4.75
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	7	0	0	0	3	6	4.67	221/1393	4.67	4.21	4.06	4.18	4.67
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	2	2	12	4.63	365/1337	4.63	4.30	4.17	4.36	4.63
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	1	2	13	4.75	379/1331	4.75	4.49	4.35	4.56	4.75
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	1	0	15	4.88	289/1333	4.88	4.58	4.40	4.63	4.88
4. Were special techniques successful	2	0	0	0	2	6	8	4.38	313/1014	4.38	3.90	4.05	4.32	4.38

Course-Section: SOCY 419 01

Title: Qual Meth Social Resrch

Instructor: Eckert,J K

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 21

Questionnaires: 18

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	13	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	27/62	4.80	4.80	4.46	4.56	4.80
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	13	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/65	5.00	5.00	4.43	4.54	5.00
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	13	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/63	5.00	5.00	4.29	4.31	5.00
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	13	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	21/61	4.80	4.80	4.47	4.49	4.80
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	13	0	0	1	0	1	3	4.20	37/61	4.20	4.20	4.19	4.12	4.20
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	14	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	****/40	****	****	3.85	4.14	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	14	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	****/40	****	****	3.89	4.10	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	14	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	****/32	****	****	4.30	4.35	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	14	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	****/29	****	****	4.15	4.20	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	14	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	****/21	****	****	4.32	4.31	****
Self Paced														
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	17	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/33	****	****	4.42	4.51	****
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	17	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/19	****	****	4.44	4.23	****

Course-Section: SOCY 419 01

Title: Qual Meth Social Resrch

Instructor: Eckert,J K

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 21

Questionnaires: 18

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	17	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/16	****	****	4.25	3.85	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP/	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	5	0.00-0.99	0	Α	13	Required for Majors	10	Graduate	4	Major	4
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	14	Non-major	14
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	3	D	0						
Grad.	4	3.50-4.00	5	F	0	Electives	3	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	4						

Course-Section: SOCY 420 01

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 32

Title: Social Epidemiology

Instructor: Herrera, Angelic

Questionnaires: 9

	_			Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	4	5	4.56	582/1589	4.56	4.42	4.32	4.46	4.56
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	1	2	5	4.22	975/1589	4.22	4.38	4.29	4.35	4.22
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	2	0	6	4.22	902/1391	4.22	4.41	4.34	4.46	4.22
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	3	1	5	4.22	879/1552	4.22	4.26	4.25	4.37	4.22
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	2	1	5	4.11	834/1495	4.11	4.34	4.14	4.25	4.11
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	0	3	3	2	3.56	1248/1457	3.56	4.21	4.15	4.30	3.56
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	3	2	3	3.78	1277/1572	3.78	4.40	4.21	4.28	3.78
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	1	4	3	4.25	1349/1589	4.25	4.62	4.66	4.68	4.25
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	0	0	0	3	2	4.40	509/1569	4.40	4.22	4.13	4.22	4.40
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	2	2	5	4.33	1095/1530	4.33	4.52	4.49	4.56	4.33
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	643/1533	4.89	4.83	4.75	4.76	4.89
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	1	2	3	3	3.89	1266/1528	3.89	4.42	4.35	4.41	3.89
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	1	3	4	4.11	1121/1529	4.11	4.47	4.36	4.44	4.11
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	0	0	2	2	3	4.14	697/1393	4.14	4.21	4.06	4.18	4.14
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	823/1337	4.00	4.30	4.17	4.36	4.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	6	0	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	766/1331	4.33	4.49	4.35	4.56	4.33
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	6	0	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	832/1333	4.33	4.58	4.40	4.63	4.33

Course-Section: SOCY 420 01

Title: Social Epidemiology

Instructor: Herrera, Angelic

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 32

Questionnaires: 9

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	6	0	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	554/1014	4.00	3.90	4.05	4.32	4.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	3	Required for Majors	5	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	3						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	9	Non-major	8
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	3						

Course-Section: SOCY 430 01

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 18

Title

Title: Sociology Of Aging

Questionnaires: 14

Instructor: Morgan, Leslie A

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	3	11	4.79	278/1589	4.79	4.42	4.32	4.46	4.79
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	3	11	4.79	253/1589	4.79	4.38	4.29	4.35	4.79
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	12	4.86	204/1391	4.86	4.41	4.34	4.46	4.86
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	4	10	4.71	286/1552	4.71	4.26	4.25	4.37	4.71
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	2	12	4.86	126/1495	4.86	4.34	4.14	4.25	4.86
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	1	0	2	10	4.62	298/1457	4.62	4.21	4.15	4.30	4.62
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	0	13	4.86	137/1572	4.86	4.40	4.21	4.28	4.86
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	13	4.93	373/1589	4.93	4.62	4.66	4.68	4.93
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	0	3	8	4.73	199/1569	4.73	4.22	4.13	4.22	4.73
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	1	13	4.93	179/1530	4.93	4.52	4.49	4.56	4.93
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	5.00	1/1533	5.00	4.83	4.75	4.76	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	1	13	4.93	139/1528	4.93	4.42	4.35	4.41	4.93
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	5.00	1/1529	5.00	4.47	4.36	4.44	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	4	10	4.71	185/1393	4.71	4.21	4.06	4.18	4.71
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	1	12	4.92	117/1337	4.92	4.30	4.17	4.36	4.92
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	0	13	5.00	1/1331	5.00	4.49	4.35	4.56	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	0	13	5.00	1/1333	5.00	4.58	4.40	4.63	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	1	4	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	90/1014	4.89	3.90	4.05	4.32	4.89

Course-Section: SOCY 430 01

Title: Sociology Of Aging

Instructor: Morgan,Leslie A

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 18

Questionnaires: 14

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	13	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/39	****	****	4.00	4.43	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	13	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/22	****	****	4.12	4.38	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	13	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/33	****	****	4.42	4.51	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	3	0.00-0.99	0	Α	6	Required for Majors	4	Graduate	6	Major	11
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	5						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	1	Under-grad	8	Non-major	3
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	6	3.50-4.00	7	F	0	Electives	6	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	2				
				?	3						

Course-Section: SOCY 433 01

Title: Gender, Work And Family

Instructor: Adler, Marina A

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 31

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	4	13	4.76	303/1589	4.76	4.42	4.32	4.46	4.76
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	4	13	4.76	279/1589	4.76	4.38	4.29	4.35	4.76
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	7	0	0	1	2	7	4.60	482/1391	4.60	4.41	4.34	4.46	4.60
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	3	13	4.71	299/1552	4.71	4.26	4.25	4.37	4.71
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	2	1	14	4.71	219/1495	4.71	4.34	4.14	4.25	4.71
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	5	10	4.56	344/1457	4.56	4.21	4.15	4.30	4.56
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	6	10	4.53	473/1572	4.53	4.40	4.21	4.28	4.53
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	16	4.94	280/1589	4.94	4.62	4.66	4.68	4.94
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	7	1	0	0	1	0	8	4.78	171/1569	4.78	4.22	4.13	4.22	4.78
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	4	13	4.76	470/1530	4.76	4.52	4.49	4.56	4.76
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	1	16	4.94	352/1533	4.94	4.83	4.75	4.76	4.94
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	2	1	14	4.71	419/1528	4.71	4.42	4.35	4.41	4.71
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	2	14	4.76	382/1529	4.76	4.47	4.36	4.44	4.76
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	1	0	0	1	6	8	4.47	383/1393	4.47	4.21	4.06	4.18	4.47
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	2	2	8	4.50	452/1337	4.50	4.30	4.17	4.36	4.50
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	5	0	0	0	1	2	9	4.67	478/1331	4.67	4.49	4.35	4.56	4.67
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	5	0	0	1	0	1	10	4.67	547/1333	4.67	4.58	4.40	4.63	4.67
4. Were special techniques successful	5	1	1	0	1	3	6	4.18	444/1014	4.18	3.90	4.05	4.32	4.18

Course-Section: SOCY 433 01

OCT 433 01

Title: Gender, Work And Family

Instructor: Adler, Marina A

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 31

Questionnaires: 17

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	16	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/62	****	4.80	4.46	4.56	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	16	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/65	****	5.00	4.43	4.54	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	16	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/63	****	5.00	4.29	4.31	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	16	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/61	****	4.80	4.47	4.49	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	16	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/61	****	4.20	4.19	4.12	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	1	Α	7	Required for Majors	8	Graduate	3	Major	2
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	6						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	2	General	3	Under-grad	14	Non-major	15
84-150	4	3.00-3.49	4	D	0						
Grad.	3	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	3	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	1				
				?	2						

Course-Section: SOCY 434 01

Title: Gender And Life Course

Instructor: Wallace, Brandy

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 23

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	2	4	3	7	3.94	1260/1589	3.94	4.42	4.32	4.46	3.94
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	1	1	3	4	7	3.94	1232/1589	3.94	4.38	4.29	4.35	3.94
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	9	0	0	2	0	5	4.43	706/1391	4.43	4.41	4.34	4.46	4.43
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	2	4	1	7	3.93	1165/1552	3.93	4.26	4.25	4.37	3.93
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	3	2	11	4.50	416/1495	4.50	4.34	4.14	4.25	4.50
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	3	2	4	6	3.87	1033/1457	3.87	4.21	4.15	4.30	3.87
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	1	0	5	1	8	4.00	1095/1572	4.00	4.40	4.21	4.28	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	8	7	4.47	1154/1589	4.47	4.62	4.66	4.68	4.47
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	5	1	1	1	3	2	3	3.50	1367/1569	3.50	4.22	4.13	4.22	3.50
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	4	0	2	0	2	2	6	3.83	1409/1530	3.83	4.52	4.49	4.56	3.83
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	4	0	0	0	1	2	9	4.67	1100/1533	4.67	4.83	4.75	4.76	4.67
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	2	0	1	4	5	3.83	1294/1528	3.83	4.42	4.35	4.41	3.83
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	4	0	2	1	2	0	7	3.75	1334/1529	3.75	4.47	4.36	4.44	3.75
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	4	2	1	0	1	4	4	4.00	796/1393	4.00	4.21	4.06	4.18	4.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	2	0	2	9	4.38	564/1337	4.38	4.30	4.17	4.36	4.38
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	1	1	2	9	4.46	659/1331	4.46	4.49	4.35	4.56	4.46
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	1	1	11	4.77	425/1333	4.77	4.58	4.40	4.63	4.77
4. Were special techniques successful	3	1	2	1	0	4	5	3.75	710/1014	3.75	3.90	4.05	4.32	3.75

Course-Section: SOCY 434 01

Title: Gender And Life Course

Instructor: Wallace, Brandy

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 23

Questionnaires: 16

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	15	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/194	****	****	4.17	4.27	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	15	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/178	****	****	4.47	4.32	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	2	Α	11	Required for Majors	4	Graduate	5	Major	12
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	3						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	1	С	1	General	2	Under-grad	11	Non-major	4
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	5	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	8	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: SOCY 600 01

Title: Research Methodology

Instructor: Adler, Marina A

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 17

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	1	2	5	4.50	646/1589	4.42	4.42	4.32	4.39	4.50
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	2	3	3	4.13	1072/1589	3.96	4.38	4.29	4.33	4.13
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	1	2	1	4	4.00	1061/1391	4.05	4.41	4.34	4.40	4.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	1	1	3	3	4.00	1081/1552	4.00	4.26	4.25	4.30	4.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	1	4	1	2	3.50	1307/1495	3.69	4.34	4.14	4.18	3.50
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	1	2	3	1	3.57	1240/1457	3.94	4.21	4.15	4.30	3.57
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1572	4.20	4.40	4.21	4.29	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	598/1589	4.93	4.62	4.66	4.79	4.86
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	2	4	2	4.00	957/1569	4.07	4.22	4.13	4.18	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	2	2	4	4.25	1169/1530	4.28	4.52	4.49	4.55	4.25
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	959/1533	4.63	4.83	4.75	4.82	4.75
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	3	1	4	4.13	1096/1528	4.16	4.42	4.35	4.38	4.13
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	3	5	4.63	586/1529	4.46	4.47	4.36	4.38	4.63
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	2	2	0	3	0	1	2.67	1361/1393	3.08	4.21	4.06	3.91	2.67
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	4	1	2	3.71	1045/1337	3.69	4.30	4.17	4.29	3.71
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	424/1331	4.58	4.49	4.35	4.51	4.71
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	1	0	1	1	4	4.00	1007/1333	4.17	4.58	4.40	4.51	4.00
4. Were special techniques successful	2	2	0	2	2	1	0	2.80	982/1014	3.28	3.90	4.05	4.13	2.80

Course-Section: SOCY 600 01

Title: Research Methodology

Instructor: Adler, Marina A

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 17

Questionnaires: 9

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	8	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/180	****	****	4.20	4.40	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/194	****	****	4.17	4.15	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/178	****	****	4.47	4.63	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	8	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/181	****	****	4.40	4.38	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/165	****	****	4.12	4.43	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	4	0.00-0.99	3	Α	4	Required for Majors	7	Graduate	4	Major	3
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	3						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	5	Non-major	6
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	4	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	2						

Course-Section: SOCY 600 02

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 19

Title: Research Methodology

Instructor: Schumacher, John

Questionnaires: 11

·				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	2	0	0	0	2	2	5	4.33	871/1589	4.42	4.42	4.32	4.39	4.33
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	1	0	2	4	3	3.80	1326/1589	3.96	4.38	4.29	4.33	3.80
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	1	1	0	2	6	4.10	1005/1391	4.05	4.41	4.34	4.40	4.10
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	1	0	2	2	5	4.00	1081/1552	4.00	4.26	4.25	4.30	4.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	1	2	3	3	3.89	1038/1495	3.69	4.34	4.14	4.18	3.89
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	2	3	5	4.30	629/1457	3.94	4.21	4.15	4.30	4.30
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	1	4	5	0	3.40	1439/1572	4.20	4.40	4.21	4.29	3.40
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1589	4.93	4.62	4.66	4.79	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	1	0	0	2	2	3	4.14	829/1569	4.07	4.22	4.13	4.18	4.14
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	2	3	5	4.30	1130/1530	4.28	4.52	4.49	4.55	4.30
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	2	1	7	4.50	1261/1533	4.63	4.83	4.75	4.82	4.50
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	3	2	5	4.20	1035/1528	4.16	4.42	4.35	4.38	4.20
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	3	1	6	4.30	956/1529	4.46	4.47	4.36	4.38	4.30
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	3	1	4	2	3.50	1142/1393	3.08	4.21	4.06	3.91	3.50
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	1	1	2	1	4	3.67	1066/1337	3.69	4.30	4.17	4.29	3.67
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	1	0	2	6	4.44	678/1331	4.58	4.49	4.35	4.51	4.44
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	1	1	1	6	4.33	832/1333	4.17	4.58	4.40	4.51	4.33

Course-Section: SOCY 600 02

Title: Research Methodology

Instructor: Schumacher, John

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 19
Questionnaires: 11

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	2	5	1	0	0	1	2	3.75	710/1014	3.28	3.90	4.05	4.13	3.75

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	2	Α	4	Required for Majors	9	Graduate	7	Major	8
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	4						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	1	General	0	Under-grad	4	Non-major	3
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	7	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	2						

Course-Section: SOCY 620 01

3001 020 01

Title: Social Epidemiology

Instructor: Rothstein, Willi

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 11

Questionnaires: 11

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	2	0	0	0	1	3	5	4.44	726/1589	4.44	4.42	4.32	4.39	4.44
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	0	0	1	1	7	4.67	400/1589	4.67	4.38	4.29	4.33	4.67
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	175/1391	4.89	4.41	4.34	4.40	4.89
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	0	0	0	1	1	7	4.67	341/1552	4.67	4.26	4.25	4.30	4.67
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	4	0	0	1	2	2	4.20	744/1495	4.20	4.34	4.14	4.18	4.20
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	1	0	0	2	1	5	4.38	545/1457	4.38	4.21	4.15	4.30	4.38
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	0	1	1	2	5	4.22	885/1572	4.22	4.40	4.21	4.29	4.22
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	519/1589	4.89	4.62	4.66	4.79	4.89
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	0	0	1	4	2	4.14	829/1569	4.14	4.22	4.13	4.18	4.14
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	452/1530	4.78	4.52	4.49	4.55	4.78
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1533	5.00	4.83	4.75	4.82	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	3	6	4.67	479/1528	4.67	4.42	4.35	4.38	4.67
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	219/1529	4.89	4.47	4.36	4.38	4.89
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	4	1	1	0	0	3	3.60	1089/1393	3.60	4.21	4.06	3.91	3.60
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	1	0	0	7	4.63	365/1337	4.63	4.30	4.17	4.29	4.63
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	1	1	2	4	4.13	938/1331	4.13	4.49	4.35	4.51	4.13
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	1	1	1	5	4.25	884/1333	4.25	4.58	4.40	4.51	4.25
4. Were special techniques successful	3	6	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	****/1014	****	3.90	4.05	4.13	****

Course-Section: SOCY 620 01

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 11

Title: Social Epidemiology Instructor: Rothstein, Willi

Questionnaires: 11

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	10	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/180	****	****	4.20	4.40	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	10	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/194	****	****	4.17	4.15	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	10	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/181	****	****	4.40	4.38	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	10	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/165	****	****	4.12	4.43	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	10	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/62	****	4.80	4.46	4.44	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	10	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/65	****	5.00	4.43	4.61	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	10	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/63	****	5.00	4.29	4.42	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	10	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/61	****	4.80	4.47	4.33	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	10	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/40	****	****	3.85	4.75	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	10	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/40	****	****	3.89	4.83	****

Course-Section: SOCY 620 01

Title: Social Epidemiology

Instructor: Rothstein, Willi

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 11
Questionnaires: 11

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Field Work														
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	10	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/32	****	****	4.30	4.67	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	2	Α	7	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	6	Major	3
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	5	Non-major	8
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	6	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	5	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	3						

Term - Fall 2012

Course-Section: SOCY 657 01

Enrollment: 27

Title: Soc Hist Of Amer Medon

Instructor: Rothstein, Willi

	0 0 0 0 1 2 17 4.80 253/15 0 0 0 0 1 4 15 4.70 356/15 0 0 0 1 0 3 16 4.70 350/13 0 1 0 1 1 3 14 4.58 436/15 0 0 0 0 1 5 14 4.65 264/14 0 0 0 0 4 4 11 4.37 557/14 0 0 0 2 1 2 15 4.50 495/15 0 0 0 0 1 3 16 4.75 825/15 0 0 0 0 2 6 10 4.44 453/15 1 0 0 0 0 1 18 4.95 134/15 1 0 0 0 0 1 18 4.95 352/15						structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect		
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	2	17	4.80	253/1589	4.80	4.42	4.32	4.39	4.80
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	4	15	4.70	356/1589	4.70	4.38	4.29	4.33	4.70
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	0	3	16	4.70	350/1391	4.70	4.41	4.34	4.40	4.70
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	1	1	3	14	4.58	436/1552	4.58	4.26	4.25	4.30	4.58
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	5	14	4.65	264/1495	4.65	4.34	4.14	4.18	4.65
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	4	4	11	4.37	557/1457	4.37	4.21	4.15	4.30	4.37
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	2	1	2	15	4.50	495/1572	4.50	4.40	4.21	4.29	4.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	1	3	16	4.75	825/1589	4.75	4.62	4.66	4.79	4.75
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	2	6	10	4.44	453/1569	4.44	4.22	4.13	4.18	4.44
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	1	18	4.95	134/1530	4.95	4.52	4.49	4.55	4.95
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	1	18	4.95	352/1533	4.95	4.83	4.75	4.82	4.95
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	2	3	14	4.63	524/1528	4.63	4.42	4.35	4.38	4.63
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	0	18	4.89	206/1529	4.89	4.47	4.36	4.38	4.89
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	4	2	0	4	2	7	3.80	965/1393	3.80	4.21	4.06	3.91	3.80
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	3	3	8	4.36	587/1337	4.36	4.30	4.17	4.29	4.36
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	6	0	0	2	1	1	10	4.36	751/1331	4.36	4.49	4.35	4.51	4.36
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	6	0	0	1	1	3	9	4.43	765/1333	4.43	4.58	4.40	4.51	4.43

Course-Section: SOCY 657 01

Title: Soc Hist Of Amer Medcn

Instructor: Rothstein, Willi

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 27

Questionnaires: 20

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	6	11	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/1014	****	3.90	4.05	4.13	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	4	0.00-0.99	0	Α	15	Required for Majors	5	Graduate	3	Major	6
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	2						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	4	Under-grad	17	Non-major	14
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	3	3.50-4.00	7	F	0	Electives	7	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	3						

Course-Section: SOCY 658 01

Title: Soc Mental Health

Instructor: Mood, Mary A

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 15

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	4	6	4.60	519/1589	4.60	4.42	4.32	4.39	4.60
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	9	4.90	136/1589	4.90	4.38	4.29	4.33	4.90
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	5	4	4.30	828/1391	4.30	4.41	4.34	4.40	4.30
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	3	6	4.50	509/1552	4.50	4.26	4.25	4.30	4.50
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	2	8	4.80	148/1495	4.80	4.34	4.14	4.18	4.80
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	5	5	4.50	400/1457	4.50	4.21	4.15	4.30	4.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	1	9	4.90	98/1572	4.90	4.40	4.21	4.29	4.90
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1589	5.00	4.62	4.66	4.79	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	0	8	0	4.00	957/1569	4.00	4.22	4.13	4.18	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	2	8	4.80	399/1530	4.80	4.52	4.49	4.55	4.80
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1533	5.00	4.83	4.75	4.82	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	4	6	4.60	570/1528	4.60	4.42	4.35	4.38	4.60
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	6	4	4.40	852/1529	4.40	4.47	4.36	4.38	4.40
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	2	3	5	4.30	543/1393	4.30	4.21	4.06	3.91	4.30
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	2	3	4	4.22	686/1337	4.22	4.30	4.17	4.29	4.22
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	1	3	5	4.44	678/1331	4.44	4.49	4.35	4.51	4.44
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	3	6	4.67	547/1333	4.67	4.58	4.40	4.51	4.67

Course-Section: SOCY 658 01

Title: Soc Mental Health

Instructor: Mood, Mary A

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 15
Questionnaires: 10

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	1	4	1	0	0	3	1	3.60	791/1014	3.60	3.90	4.05	4.13	3.60

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	1	Α	5	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	4	Major	5
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	2						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	6	Non-major	5
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	4	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	5	**** - Means the	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	3						

Course-Section: SOCY 681 01

Title: Nonprofit Organizations

Instructor: Hall, Nancy F

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 19

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	6	0	1	1	1	1	6	4.00	1182/1589	4.00	4.42	4.32	4.39	4.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	7	0	1	0	2	1	5	4.00	1151/1589	4.00	4.38	4.29	4.33	4.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	7	5	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	301/1391	4.75	4.41	4.34	4.40	4.75
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	7	0	0	1	1	2	5	4.22	879/1552	4.22	4.26	4.25	4.30	4.22
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	1	0	3	5	4.33	609/1495	4.33	4.34	4.14	4.18	4.33
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	1	2	1	4	4.00	886/1457	4.00	4.21	4.15	4.30	4.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	7	3	0	0	1	1	4	4.50	495/1572	4.50	4.40	4.21	4.29	4.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	7	0	0	0	0	5	4	4.44	1174/1589	4.44	4.62	4.66	4.79	4.44
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	9	0	0	0	3	1	3	4.00	957/1569	4.00	4.22	4.13	4.18	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	6	0	0	0	1	2	7	4.60	745/1530	4.60	4.52	4.49	4.55	4.60
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	8	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	671/1533	4.88	4.83	4.75	4.82	4.88
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	8	0	0	0	1	1	6	4.63	539/1528	4.63	4.42	4.35	4.38	4.63
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	8	0	1	0	0	2	5	4.25	1003/1529	4.25	4.47	4.36	4.38	4.25
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	9	2	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	124/1393	4.80	4.21	4.06	3.91	4.80
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	1	1	2	4	4.13	759/1337	4.13	4.30	4.17	4.29	4.13
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	9	0	0	0	1	2	4	4.43	696/1331	4.43	4.49	4.35	4.51	4.43
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	9	0	0	1	1	2	3	4.00	1007/1333	4.00	4.58	4.40	4.51	4.00

Course-Section: SOCY 681 01

Title: Nonprofit Organizations

Instructor: Hall, Nancy F

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 19
Questionnaires: 16

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	9	3	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	395/1014	4.25	3.90	4.05	4.13	4.25

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	1	Α	5	Required for Majors	4	Graduate	5	Major	3
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	11	Non-major	13
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	5	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	3	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	10						

Course-Section: SOCY 698 02

Title: Adv Sel Top In Sociology

Instructor: Mair, Christine

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 18

			Frequencies			Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect		
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	0	11	4.83	223/1589	4.83	4.42	4.32	4.39	4.83
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	122/1589	4.92	4.38	4.29	4.33	4.92
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	11	5.00	1/1391	5.00	4.41	4.34	4.40	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	1	10	4.75	238/1552	4.75	4.26	4.25	4.30	4.75
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	0	11	4.83	135/1495	4.83	4.34	4.14	4.18	4.83
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	0	11	4.83	115/1457	4.83	4.21	4.15	4.30	4.83
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	88/1572	4.92	4.40	4.21	4.29	4.92
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	420/1589	4.92	4.62	4.66	4.79	4.92
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	1	0	0	0	3	5	4.63	272/1569	4.63	4.22	4.13	4.18	4.63
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	5.00	1/1530	5.00	4.52	4.49	4.55	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	5.00	1/1533	5.00	4.83	4.75	4.82	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	5.00	1/1528	5.00	4.42	4.35	4.38	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	5.00	1/1529	5.00	4.47	4.36	4.38	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	2	0	0	1	2	7	4.60	266/1393	4.60	4.21	4.06	3.91	4.60
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	0	11	4.83	202/1337	4.83	4.30	4.17	4.29	4.83
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	5.00	1/1331	5.00	4.49	4.35	4.51	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	5.00	1/1333	5.00	4.58	4.40	4.51	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	0	3	1	0	0	2	6	4.33	341/1014	4.33	3.90	4.05	4.13	4.33

Course-Section: SOCY 698 02

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 18

....

Title: Adv Sel Top In Sociology

Instructor: Mair, Christine

Questionnaires: 12

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	tructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	11	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/62	****	4.80	4.46	4.44	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/65	****	5.00	4.43	4.61	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/63	****	5.00	4.29	4.42	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	11	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/61	****	4.80	4.47	4.33	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/61	****	4.20	4.19	4.22	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned		Cum. GPA		Expected Grades		Reasons		Туре		Majors		
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	6	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	3	Major	7	
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	4							
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	1	General	1	Under-grad	9	Non-major	5	
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	3	D	0							
Grad.	3	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	6	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses		
				Р	0			to be significant				
				1	0	Other	1					
				?	1							