Course-Section: THTR 100 1

Title: Intro Theatre Scenogrphy

Instructor: Schraven, Greggo

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 23

Questionnaires: 11

							structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect		
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	4	7	4.64	477/1528	4.58	4.70	4.31	4.16	4.64
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	1	3	6	4.50	575/1527	4.50	4.57	4.28	4.23	4.50
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	1	9	4.90	147/1333	4.93	4.69	4.34	4.26	4.90
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	1	4	5	4.40	657/1495	4.31	4.58	4.25	4.11	4.40
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	1	0	0	5	4	4.10	797/1439	3.96	4.31	4.11	3.97	4.10
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	0	1	1	7	4.67	249/1425	3.73	4.23	4.12	3.93	4.67
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	4	7	4.64	318/1508	4.43	4.32	4.18	4.11	4.64
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	1	0	0	0	6	4	4.40	1163/1526	4.28	4.62	4.66	4.57	4.40
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	0	3	5	4.63	251/1490	4.39	4.51	4.11	4.02	4.63
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	2	1	7	4.50	854/1428	3.71	4.38	4.49	4.43	4.50
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1436	5.00	4.90	4.74	4.70	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	1	2	7	4.60	506/1427	3.90	4.41	4.32	4.27	4.60
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	2	8	4.80	277/1425	4.62	4.59	4.34	4.31	4.80
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	1	1	1	0	1	6	4.11	664/1291	3.03	4.02	4.05	3.97	4.11
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	1	3	5	4.44	507/1271	3.76	4.49	4.16	3.98	4.44
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	2	0	7	4.56	548/1276	4.04	4.63	4.33	4.14	4.56
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	1	1	1	6	4.33	776/1273	4.80	4.77	4.38	4.18	4.33
4. Were special techniques successful	2	6	2	0	0	0	1	2.33	906/922	2.11	4.18	4.02	3.87	2.33

Course-Section: THTR 100 1

Title: Intro Theatre Scenogrphy

Instructor: Schraven, Greggo

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 23

Questionnaires: 11

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	10	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/198	4.17	4.33	4.16	3.90	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	10	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/208	4.83	4.67	4.27	4.23	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	10	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/194	4.50	4.81	4.56	4.54	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	10	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/194	5.00	4.95	4.37	4.30	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	10	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/176	5.00	4.92	4.23	4.19	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	1	Α	6	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	0	Major	3
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	2						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	2	General	3	Under-grad	11	Non-major	8
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				1	0	Other	2				
				?	1						

Course-Section: THTR 100 2

Title: Intro Theatre Scenogrphy

Instructor: Schraven, Greggo

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 6

Questionnaires: 4

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	307/1528	4.58	4.70	4.31	4.16	4.75
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1527	4.50	4.57	4.28	4.23	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	294/1333	4.93	4.69	4.34	4.26	4.75
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	313/1495	4.31	4.58	4.25	4.11	4.67
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	2	1	1	3.75	1064/1439	3.96	4.31	4.11	3.97	3.75
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	2.75	1388/1425	3.73	4.23	4.12	3.93	2.75
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	4.00	1050/1508	4.43	4.32	4.18	4.11	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	1	0	0	0	3	0	4.00	1421/1526	4.28	4.62	4.66	4.57	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	579/1490	4.39	4.51	4.11	4.02	4.33
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	1021/1428	3.71	4.38	4.49	4.43	4.33
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1436	5.00	4.90	4.74	4.70	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	1080/1427	3.90	4.41	4.32	4.27	4.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	475/1425	4.62	4.59	4.34	4.31	4.67
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1291	3.03	4.02	4.05	3.97	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	598/1271	3.76	4.49	4.16	3.98	4.33
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	439/1276	4.04	4.63	4.33	4.14	4.67
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	507/1273	4.80	4.77	4.38	4.18	4.67
4. Were special techniques successful	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	857/922	2.11	4.18	4.02	3.87	3.00

Course-Section: THTR 100 2

Title: Intro Theatre Scenogrphy

Instructor: Schraven, Greggo

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 6

Questionnaires: 4

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	1	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	98/198	4.17	4.33	4.16	3.90	4.33
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	1	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	51/208	4.83	4.67	4.27	4.23	4.67
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/194	4.50	4.81	4.56	4.54	5.00
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/194	5.00	4.95	4.37	4.30	5.00
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/176	5.00	4.92	4.23	4.19	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	С	1	General	1	Under-grad	4	Non-major	2
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: THTR 100 3

Title: Intro Theatre Scenogrphy
Instructor: Schraven, Greggo

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 6

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1528	4.58	4.70	4.31	4.16	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1484/1527	4.50	4.57	4.28	4.23	3.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1333	4.93	4.69	4.34	4.26	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1047/1495	4.31	4.58	4.25	4.11	4.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1508	4.43	4.32	4.18	4.11	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1526	4.28	4.62	4.66	4.57	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	911/1490	4.39	4.51	4.11	4.02	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1401/1428	3.71	4.38	4.49	4.43	3.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1436	5.00	4.90	4.74	4.70	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1379/1427	3.90	4.41	4.32	4.27	3.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1076/1425	4.62	4.59	4.34	4.31	4.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	1289/1291	3.03	4.02	4.05	3.97	1.00
Discussion														
Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	1270/1271	3.76	4.49	4.16	3.98	1.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	1276/1276	4.04	4.63	4.33	4.14	1.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1273	4.80	4.77	4.38	4.18	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	0	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						

Run Date: 2/9/2011 12:49:32 PM

Course-S	Section	n: THTR 100 3					Term	- Fall	2010)						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	6
	Titl∈	e: Intro Theatr	re Scen	ogrphy											Q	uestion	naires:	1
Ins	tructor	Schraven, Gr	eggo															
								Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion																
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0		Gene	ral			1		Under-grad	1		Non-ma	ajor	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0													
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0		Electi	ves			0		**** - Means	there are	not end	ugh resp	ponses	
				Р	0								to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other				0							
				?	0													

Course-Section: THTR 100 4

Title: Intro Theatre Scenogrphy
Instructor: Schraven, Greggo

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 5

Questionnaires: 2

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	636/1528	4.58	4.70	4.31	4.16	4.50
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1527	4.50	4.57	4.28	4.23	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1333	4.93	4.69	4.34	4.26	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	496/1495	4.31	4.58	4.25	4.11	4.50
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	851/1439	3.96	4.31	4.11	3.97	4.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	396/1425	3.73	4.23	4.12	3.93	4.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	448/1508	4.43	4.32	4.18	4.11	4.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	1421/1526	4.28	4.62	4.66	4.57	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1490	4.39	4.51	4.11	4.02	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1271	3.76	4.49	4.16	3.98	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1276	4.04	4.63	4.33	4.14	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1273	4.80	4.77	4.38	4.18	5.00
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	138/198	4.17	4.33	4.16	3.90	4.00
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/208	4.83	4.67	4.27	4.23	5.00
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	167/194	4.50	4.81	4.56	4.54	4.00
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/194	5.00	4.95	4.37	4.30	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned Cum. GPA Expected Grades Reasons Type Majors

00-27 0 0.00-0.99 0 A 1 Required for Majors 1 Graduate 0 Major 2

Run Date: 2/9/2011 12:49:32 PM Page 7 of 64

Course-S	Section:	THTR 100 4					Term	ı - Fal	l 2010	0						Enro	Ilment:	5
	Title:	Intro Theatr	e Scen	ogrphy											Q	uestion	naires:	2
Ins	tructor:	Schraven, Gr	eggo															
								Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Laboratory																
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0													
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0		Gene	ral			0		Under-grad	2		Non-ma	ijor	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0													
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0		Electi	ves			0		**** - Means	there are	not end	ugh resp	oonses	
				Р	0								to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other	•			0							
				?	1													

Course-Section: THTR 100 5

Title: Intro Theatre Scenogrphy

Instructor: Schraven, Greggo

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 6

Questionnaires: 1

•				Fre	quen	cies		In:	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1140/1528	4.58	4.70	4.31	4.16	4.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1527	4.50	4.57	4.28	4.23	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1333	4.93	4.69	4.34	4.26	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1047/1495	4.31	4.58	4.25	4.11	4.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	851/1439	3.96	4.31	4.11	3.97	4.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1345/1425	3.73	4.23	4.12	3.93	3.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1050/1508	4.43	4.32	4.18	4.11	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1421/1526	4.28	4.62	4.66	4.57	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	911/1490	4.39	4.51	4.11	4.02	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1401/1428	3.71	4.38	4.49	4.43	3.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1436	5.00	4.90	4.74	4.70	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1080/1427	3.90	4.41	4.32	4.27	4.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1425	4.62	4.59	4.34	4.31	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	1276/1291	3.03	4.02	4.05	3.97	2.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	780/1271	3.76	4.49	4.16	3.98	4.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1276	4.04	4.63	4.33	4.14	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1273	4.80	4.77	4.38	4.18	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	922/922	2.11	4.18	4.02	3.87	1.00

Course-S	Section:	THTR 100 5					Term	n - Fall 20	010							Enro	Ilment:	6
	Title:	Intro Theati	re Sce	nogrphy											Q	uestion	naires:	1
Ins	tructor:	Schraven, Gr	reggo															
								Frequ	encie	es		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion																
						Fr	eque	ncy Dis	trib	uti	on							
Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	Д	Expected	Grade	es		Reas	sons				Турє	<u>)</u>		Ma	jors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1		Requ	ired for M	ajors		0		Graduate	0		Major		0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0													
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0		Gene	ral			1		Under-grad	1		Non-ma	ajor	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0													
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0		Electi	ives			0		**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0								to be significa	ant				
				I	0		Other	r			0							
				2	0													

Course-Section: THTR 104 1

Title: Intro To Costume

Instructor: Joyce, Shelley

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 20

Questionnaires: 18

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	2	5	11	4.50	636/1528	4.88	4.70	4.31	4.16	4.50
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	4	12	4.56	514/1527	4.64	4.57	4.28	4.23	4.56
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	5	12	4.71	351/1333	4.93	4.69	4.34	4.26	4.71
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	3	14	4.72	257/1495	4.85	4.58	4.25	4.11	4.72
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	3	1	0	1	5	8	4.27	647/1439	4.09	4.31	4.11	3.97	4.27
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	3	8	7	4.22	703/1425	3.74	4.23	4.12	3.93	4.22
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	7	10	4.50	448/1508	4.63	4.32	4.18	4.11	4.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	17	4.94	340/1526	4.99	4.62	4.66	4.57	4.94
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	1	1	0	2	7	5	4.00	911/1490	4.46	4.51	4.11	4.02	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	1	2	7	8	4.22	1100/1428	4.30	4.38	4.49	4.43	4.22
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	1	17	4.94	310/1436	4.87	4.90	4.74	4.70	4.94
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	2	5	11	4.50	625/1427	4.83	4.41	4.32	4.27	4.50
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	3	15	4.83	242/1425	4.94	4.59	4.34	4.31	4.83
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	1	2	4	3	7	3.76	930/1291	4.13	4.02	4.05	3.97	3.76
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	7	0	2	0	1	3	5	3.82	927/1271	4.27	4.49	4.16	3.98	3.82
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	8	0	2	0	1	5	2	3.50	1152/1276	4.28	4.63	4.33	4.14	3.50
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	7	0	1	0	1	2	7	4.27	816/1273	4.42	4.77	4.38	4.18	4.27
4. Were special techniques successful	7	6	1	2	0	0	2	3.00	857/922	4.00	4.18	4.02	3.87	3.00

Course-S	Section:	THTR 104 1					Term	า - Fall 2	010							Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	20
	Title:	Intro To Cos	stume												Q	uestion	naires:	18
Ins	tructor:	Joyce,Shelle	ey .															
								Frequ	encie	es		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion																
						Fre	eque	ncy Dis	strib	utio	on							
Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	A	Expected	Grade	es		Rea	sons				Турє	;		Ma	jors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	10		Requ	ired for M	lajors		11		Graduate	0		Major		8
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	5													
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	3	С	0		Gene	eral			3		Under-grad	18		Non-ma	ajor	10
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	1	D	1													
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0		Elect	ives			1		**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0								to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Othe	r			0							

Course-Section: THTR 104 2

Title: Intro To Costume

Instructor: Joyce, Shelley

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 5

Questionnaires: 4

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1528	4.88	4.70	4.31	4.16	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1527	4.64	4.57	4.28	4.23	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1333	4.93	4.69	4.34	4.26	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	313/1495	4.85	4.58	4.25	4.11	4.67
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1439	4.09	4.31	4.11	3.97	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	891/1425	3.74	4.23	4.12	3.93	4.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	1050/1508	4.63	4.32	4.18	4.11	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1526	4.99	4.62	4.66	4.57	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	579/1490	4.46	4.51	4.11	4.02	4.33
Lecture														
Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	637/1428	4.30	4.38	4.49	4.43	4.67
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	1043/1436	4.87	4.90	4.74	4.70	4.67
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1427	4.83	4.41	4.32	4.27	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1425	4.94	4.59	4.34	4.31	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	327/1291	4.13	4.02	4.05	3.97	4.50
Discussion														
Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	780/1271	4.27	4.49	4.16	3.98	4.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	750/1276	4.28	4.63	4.33	4.14	4.33
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	947/1273	4.42	4.77	4.38	4.18	4.00
4. Were special techniques successful	1	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/922	4.00	4.18	4.02	3.87	5.00

Course-Section: THTR 104 2

Title: Intro To Costume

Instructor: Joyce, Shelley

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 5

Questionnaires: 4

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	tructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/198	4.41	4.33	4.16	3.90	5.00
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/208	5.00	4.67	4.27	4.23	5.00
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/194	4.92	4.81	4.56	4.54	5.00
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/194	4.92	4.95	4.37	4.30	5.00
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/176	4.89	4.92	4.23	4.19	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	4	Non-major	3
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means the	re are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: THTR 104 3

Title: Intro To Costume

Instructor: Joyce, Shelley

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 5

Questionnaires: 3

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1528	4.88	4.70	4.31	4.16	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	1113/1527	4.64	4.57	4.28	4.23	4.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1333	4.93	4.69	4.34	4.26	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1495	4.85	4.58	4.25	4.11	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	1361/1439	4.09	4.31	4.11	3.97	3.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	1345/1425	3.74	4.23	4.12	3.93	3.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1508	4.63	4.32	4.18	4.11	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1526	4.99	4.62	4.66	4.57	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1490	4.46	4.51	4.11	4.02	5.00
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	98/198	4.41	4.33	4.16	3.90	4.33
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/208	5.00	4.67	4.27	4.23	5.00
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	104/194	4.92	4.81	4.56	4.54	4.67
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	53/194	4.92	4.95	4.37	4.30	4.67
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/176	4.89	4.92	4.23	4.19	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	1	Under-grad	3	Non-major	2
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						

Run Date: 2/9/2011 12:49:32 PM

Course-S	Section	: THTR 104 3					Term	- Fall	2010)						Enrol	Ilment:	5
	Title	: Intro To Cos	stume												Q	uestion	naires:	3
Ins	tructor	: Joyce,Shelle	ey .															
								Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Laboratory																
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0		Electi	ves			0		**** - Means	there are	not end	ugh resp	oonses	
				Р	0								to be significa	ant				
				I	0		Other	,			1							
				?	0													

Course-Section: THTR 104 4

Title: Intro To Costume

Instructor: Joyce, Shelley

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 6

Questionnaires: 5

	l			Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	0	0	1	0	0	2	2	3.80	156/198	4.41	4.33	4.16	3.90	3.80
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/208	5.00	4.67	4.27	4.23	5.00
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/194	4.92	4.81	4.56	4.54	5.00
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/194	4.92	4.95	4.37	4.30	5.00
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	0	2	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	34/176	4.89	4.92	4.23	4.19	4.67
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/76	***	****	4.51	4.44	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/74	***	4.00	4.31	4.43	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/66	***	****	4.27	4.15	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/76	***	3.20	4.27	4.21	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/73	***	2.40	3.94	3.82	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/42	***	5.00	4.00	4.08	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/41	***	5.00	4.06	4.10	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	***	5.00	4.74	5.00	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/32	***	5.00	4.20	4.09	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	***	5.00	4.34	4.87	****
Self Paced														
Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/43	***	****	4.43	4.68	***
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	***	***	4.53	4.51	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/36	***	***	4.43	4.33	****

Course-Section:	THTR 104 4
Title:	Intro To Costume
Instructor:	Joyce,Shelley

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 6

Questionnaires: 5

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/21	****	***	4.54	4.63	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/20	****	***	4.45	4.39	****

Frequency Distribution

					. 3					
arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	0	Major	2
0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
0	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	5	Non-major	3
0	3.00-3.49	0	D	1						
0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
			Р	0			to be significant			
			I	0	Other	0				
			?	2						
á	0	1 0.00-0.99 0 1.00-1.99 0 2.00-2.99 0 3.00-3.49	1 0.00-0.99 0 0 1.00-1.99 0 0 2.00-2.99 1 0 3.00-3.49 0	1 0.00-0.99 0 A 0 1.00-1.99 0 B 0 2.00-2.99 1 C 0 3.00-3.49 0 D 0 3.50-4.00 0 F P	1 0.00-0.99 0 A 2 0 1.00-1.99 0 B 0 0 2.00-2.99 1 C 0 0 3.00-3.49 0 D 1 0 3.50-4.00 0 F 0 P 0 I 0	1 0.00-0.99 0 A 2 Required for Majors 0 1.00-1.99 0 B 0 0 2.00-2.99 1 C 0 General 0 3.00-3.49 0 D 1 0 3.50-4.00 0 F 0 Electives P 0 I 0 Other	1 0.00-0.99 0 A 2 Required for Majors 3 0 1.00-1.99 0 B 0 0 2.00-2.99 1 C 0 General 0 0 3.00-3.49 0 D 1 0 Electives 0 0 3.50-4.00 0 F 0 Electives 0 P 0 I 0 Other 0	1 0.00-0.99 0 A 2 Required for Majors 3 Graduate 0 1.00-1.99 0 B 0 0 2.00-2.99 1 C 0 General 0 Under-grad 0 3.00-3.49 0 D 1 0 ***** - Means the to be significant 0 3.50-4.00 0 F 0 Electives 0 ***** - Means the to be significant 0 0 O	1 0.00-0.99 0 A 2 Required for Majors 3 Graduate 0 0 1.00-1.99 0 B 0 0 2.00-2.99 1 C 0 General 0 Under-grad 5 0 3.00-3.49 0 D 1 0 3.50-4.00 0 F 0 Electives 0 **** - Means there are not to be significant I 0 Other 0	1 0.00-0.99 0 A 2 Required for Majors 3 Graduate 0 Major 0 1.00-1.99 0 B 0 0 2.00-2.99 1 C 0 General 0 Under-grad 5 Non-major 0 3.00-3.49 0 D 1 0 3.50-4.00 0 F 0 Electives 0 **** - Means there are not enough responses P 0 Other 0

Course-Section: THTR 104 5

Title: Intro To Costume

Instructor: Joyce, Shelley

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 4

Questionnaires: 3

'				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1528	4.88	4.70	4.31	4.16	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1527	4.64	4.57	4.28	4.23	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1333	4.93	4.69	4.34	4.26	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1495	4.85	4.58	4.25	4.11	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1508	4.63	4.32	4.18	4.11	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1526	4.99	4.62	4.66	4.57	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	344/1490	4.46	4.51	4.11	4.02	4.50
Lecture														
Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1202/1428	4.30	4.38	4.49	4.43	4.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1436	4.87	4.90	4.74	4.70	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1427	4.83	4.41	4.32	4.27	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1425	4.94	4.59	4.34	4.31	5.00
Discussion														
Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1271	4.27	4.49	4.16	3.98	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1276	4.28	4.63	4.33	4.14	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1273	4.42	4.77	4.38	4.18	5.00
Laboratory														
Did the lab increase understanding of the material	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	58/198	4.41	4.33	4.16	3.90	4.50
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/208	5.00	4.67	4.27	4.23	5.00
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/194	4.92	4.81	4.56	4.54	5.00
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/194	4.92	4.95	4.37	4.30	5.00

Course-Section	: THTR 104 5			Term	- Fall	2010)						Enro	Ilment:	4
Title	: Intro To Costume											Q	uestion	naires:	3
Instructor	: Joyce,Shelley														
					Fred	quenc	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Laboratory														

Frequency Distribution

						1 3					
Credits	Earned	Cum. GP/	Д	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	3	Non-major	1
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: THTR 220 01

Title: Craft Of Acting I

Instructor: Kreizenbeck, Ala

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 14

Questionnaires: 12

	_			Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	3	9	4.75	307/1528	4.81	4.70	4.31	4.34	4.75
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	6	4	4.17	988/1527	4.38	4.57	4.28	4.32	4.17
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	8	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	393/1333	4.67	4.69	4.34	4.40	4.67
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	2	0	0	2	2	5	4.33	746/1495	4.42	4.58	4.25	4.28	4.33
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	8	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	657/1439	4.25	4.31	4.11	4.12	4.25
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	2	1	2	6	4.09	832/1425	4.26	4.23	4.12	4.11	4.09
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	3	1	0	2	2	3	3.75	1231/1508	4.07	4.32	4.18	4.19	3.75
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	7	5	4.42	1152/1526	4.36	4.62	4.66	4.64	4.42
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	1	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	156/1490	4.64	4.51	4.11	4.11	4.75
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	5	0	0	0	1	1	5	4.57	770/1428	4.74	4.38	4.49	4.48	4.57
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	5	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	677/1436	4.93	4.90	4.74	4.76	4.86
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	5	0	0	1	1	1	4	4.14	1008/1427	4.20	4.41	4.32	4.33	4.14
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	1	1	5	4.57	589/1425	4.79	4.59	4.34	4.37	4.57
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	5	5	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1291	***	4.02	4.05	4.14	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	1	1	1	7	4.40	549/1271	4.57	4.49	4.16	4.21	4.40
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	1	0	9	4.80	302/1276	4.90	4.63	4.33	4.37	4.80
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	1	0	9	4.80	345/1273	4.87	4.77	4.38	4.43	4.80
4. Were special techniques successful	2	3	1	0	1	0	5	4.14	419/922	4.52	4.18	4.02	4.11	4.14

Course-S	Section:	THTR 220 0	1				Term	ı - Fall 20	10						Enro	Ilment:	14
	Title:	Craft Of Acti	ing I											Q	uestion	naires:	12
Ins	tructor:	Kreizenbeck	,Ala														
								Freque	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fr	eque	ncy Dist	ributi	ion							
Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	Ą	Expected	Grade	es		Reas	ons			Турє)		Maj	jors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	Α	9		Requ	ired for Ma	jors	7	7	Graduate	0		Major		6
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	2												
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	С	0		Gene	ral		0)	Under-grad	12		Non-ma	ajor	6
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	2	D	1												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0		Electi	ves		2	2	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				I	0		Other	•		2	2						
				?	0												

Course-Section: THTR 220 02

Title: Craft Of Acting I

Instructor: Kreizenbeck, Ala

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 17

Questionnaires: 16

'							In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect	
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	2	14	4.88	183/1528	4.81	4.70	4.31	4.34	4.88
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	1	0	3	11	4.60	453/1527	4.38	4.57	4.28	4.32	4.60
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	12	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1333	4.67	4.69	4.34	4.40	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	1	1	2	10	4.50	496/1495	4.42	4.58	4.25	4.28	4.50
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	12	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/1439	4.25	4.31	4.11	4.12	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	1	0	0	1	6	7	4.43	489/1425	4.26	4.23	4.12	4.11	4.43
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	2	0	0	2	4	7	4.38	613/1508	4.07	4.32	4.18	4.19	4.38
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	11	5	4.31	1231/1526	4.36	4.62	4.66	4.64	4.31
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	1	0	0	0	6	7	4.54	320/1490	4.64	4.51	4.11	4.11	4.54
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	6	0	0	0	0	1	9	4.90	221/1428	4.74	4.38	4.49	4.48	4.90
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	7	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1436	4.93	4.90	4.74	4.76	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	8	0	1	0	0	2	5	4.25	916/1427	4.20	4.41	4.32	4.33	4.25
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1425	4.79	4.59	4.34	4.37	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	10	5	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1291	***	4.02	4.05	4.14	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	0	0	0	14	4.73	263/1271	4.57	4.49	4.16	4.21	4.73
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	0	15	5.00	1/1276	4.90	4.63	4.33	4.37	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	1	14	4.93	164/1273	4.87	4.77	4.38	4.43	4.93
4. Were special techniques successful	1	5	0	0	0	1	9	4.90	81/922	4.52	4.18	4.02	4.11	4.90

_	_		_				_								_		
Course-S	Section:	THTR 220 02	2				Term	n - Fall 20	10						Enro	Ilment:	17
	Title:	Craft Of Acti	ing I											Q	uestion	naires:	16
Ins	structor:	Kreizenbeck	,Ala														
					_			Freque	ncies		Ir	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fr	eque	ncy Dis	tribut	tion							
Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	A	Expected	Grade	es		Reas	ons			Турє	;		Ма	jors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	3	Α	5		Requ	ired for Ma	ajors	-	7	Graduate	0		Major		8
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	5												
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	3		Gene	eral		(0	Under-grad	16		Non-ma	ajor	8
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	2	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0		Electi	ives			5	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other	r		2	2						
				?	2												

Course-Section: THTR 222 01

Title: Vocal Trng For Actor I

Instructor: Watson, Janet L

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 18

Questionnaires: 12

•				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	1	0	4	7	4.42	752/1528	4.61	4.70	4.31	4.34	4.42
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	5	6	4.42	720/1527	4.66	4.57	4.28	4.32	4.42
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	1	0	2	1	8	4.25	830/1333	4.24	4.69	4.34	4.40	4.25
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	0	0	11	4.75	227/1495	4.73	4.58	4.25	4.28	4.75
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	1	0	4	5	4.30	605/1439	4.40	4.31	4.11	4.12	4.30
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	1	1	0	2	2	4	3.89	992/1425	4.28	4.23	4.12	4.11	3.89
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	1	0	2	0	2	5	4.11	959/1508	4.31	4.32	4.18	4.19	4.11
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	0	11	5.00	1/1526	5.00	4.62	4.66	4.64	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	5	1	0	0	0	4	2	4.33	579/1490	4.60	4.51	4.11	4.11	4.33
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	1	0	3	7	4.45	909/1428	4.60	4.38	4.49	4.48	4.45
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	464/1436	4.96	4.90	4.74	4.76	4.92
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	1	3	7	4.55	577/1427	4.65	4.41	4.32	4.33	4.55
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	2	1	9	4.58	578/1425	4.54	4.59	4.34	4.37	4.58
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	0	5	6	4.55	297/1291	4.40	4.02	4.05	4.14	4.55
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	1	2	8	4.42	538/1271	4.58	4.49	4.16	4.21	4.42
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	1	0	11	4.83	268/1276	4.92	4.63	4.33	4.37	4.83
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	1	2	9	4.67	507/1273	4.77	4.77	4.38	4.43	4.67
4. Were special techniques successful	0	1	0	1	0	4	6	4.36	297/922	4.08	4.18	4.02	4.11	4.36

Course-S	Section:	THTR 222 0	1				Term	n - Fall 201	0						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	18
	Title:	Vocal Trng F	or Ac	tor I										Q	uestion	naires:	12
Inst	tructor:	Watson,Jan	et L														
								Frequer	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fre	eque	ncy Disti	ibuti	on							
Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grade	es		Reaso	ns			Туре	:		Maj	jors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	5		Requ	ired for Maj	ors	8		Graduate	0		Major		8
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	2												
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	1	С	1		Gene	ral		0		Under-grad	12		Non-ma	ajor	4
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0		Electi	ves		0		**** - Means t	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other			2							
				?	4												

Course-Section: THTR 222 02

Title: Vocal Trng For Actor I

Instructor: Staley,Natasha

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 13

Questionnaires: 10

	_			Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	2	8	4.80	238/1528	4.61	4.70	4.31	4.34	4.80
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	9	4.90	117/1527	4.66	4.57	4.28	4.32	4.90
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	2	3	4	4.22	849/1333	4.24	4.69	4.34	4.40	4.22
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	1	8	4.70	277/1495	4.73	4.58	4.25	4.28	4.70
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	3	6	4.50	367/1439	4.40	4.31	4.11	4.12	4.50
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	1	0	0	8	4.67	249/1425	4.28	4.23	4.12	4.11	4.67
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	2	1	7	4.50	448/1508	4.31	4.32	4.18	4.19	4.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1526	5.00	4.62	4.66	4.64	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	102/1490	4.60	4.51	4.11	4.11	4.86
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	478/1428	4.60	4.38	4.49	4.48	4.75
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1436	4.96	4.90	4.74	4.76	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	297/1427	4.65	4.41	4.32	4.33	4.75
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	4	4	4.50	667/1425	4.54	4.59	4.34	4.37	4.50
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	1	0	1	0	6	4.25	539/1291	4.40	4.02	4.05	4.14	4.25
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	246/1271	4.58	4.49	4.16	4.21	4.75
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1276	4.92	4.63	4.33	4.37	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	268/1273	4.77	4.77	4.38	4.43	4.88
4. Were special techniques successful	2	3	0	1	1	1	2	3.80	596/922	4.08	4.18	4.02	4.11	3.80

Course-S	Section:	THTR 222 0	2				Term	n - Fall 201	0						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	13
	Title:	Vocal Trng F	or Ac	tor I										Q	uestion	naires:	10
Ins	tructor:	Staley, Natas	sha														
								Frequen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fre	eque	ncy Distr	ibuti	on							
Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	Ą	Expected	Grade	es		Reaso	ns			Туре	:		Maj	jors	
00-27	3	0.00-0.99	1	Α	6		Requ	ired for Maj	ors	7	•	Graduate	0		Major		5
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	2												
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0		Gene	ral		0)	Under-grad	10		Non-ma	ajor	5
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	1	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0		Electi	ves		2	2	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other			1							
				?	1												

Course-Section: THTR 229 01

Title: Movement For The Actor

Instructor: Crocker,Martha

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 17

Questionnaires: 16

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	1	14	4.81	230/1528	4.78	4.70	4.31	4.34	4.81
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	3	13	4.81	197/1527	4.84	4.57	4.28	4.32	4.81
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	7	0	0	1	1	6	4.63	436/1333	4.56	4.69	4.34	4.40	4.63
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	1	4	10	4.60	369/1495	4.63	4.58	4.25	4.28	4.60
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	0	3	12	4.63	274/1439	4.68	4.31	4.11	4.12	4.63
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	4	11	4.73	191/1425	4.53	4.23	4.12	4.11	4.73
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	4	1	11	4.44	544/1508	4.18	4.32	4.18	4.19	4.44
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	7	9	4.56	1011/1526	4.53	4.62	4.66	4.64	4.56
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	5	0	0	0	0	4	7	4.64	243/1490	4.50	4.51	4.11	4.11	4.64
Lecture														
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	15	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/1291	***	4.02	4.05	4.14	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	3	2	11	4.50	446/1271	4.59	4.49	4.16	4.21	4.50
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	2	0	14	4.75	348/1276	4.72	4.63	4.33	4.37	4.75
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	2	14	4.88	268/1273	4.91	4.77	4.38	4.43	4.88
4. Were special techniques successful	0	1	0	0	0	7	8	4.53	205/922	4.56	4.18	4.02	4.11	4.53

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	Ą	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	3	0.00-0.99	0	Α	11	Required for Majors	8	Graduate	0	Major	7
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	4						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	1	С	1	General	2	Under-grad	16	Non-major	9

Run Date: 2/9/2011 12:49:33 PM

Course-S	Section	: THTR 229 0	1				Term	ı - Fal	l 201)						Enro	llment:	17
	Title	: Movement F	or The	Actor											Q	uestion	naires:	16
Ins	tructor	Crocker,Mar	rtha															
								Fre	quen	cies		Ins	tructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion																
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	3	D	0													
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0		Electi	ves			3	•	**** - Means	there are	not end	ugh resp	oonses	
				Р	0							1	to be signific	ant				
				l	0		Other				2							
				?	0													

Course-Section: THTR 229 2

Title: Movement For The Actor

Instructor: Crocker, Martha

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 18

Questionnaires: 16

				Fre	quen	cies		In:	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	4	12	4.75	307/1528	4.78	4.70	4.31	4.34	4.75
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	14	4.88	143/1527	4.84	4.57	4.28	4.32	4.88
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	10	0	0	1	1	4	4.50	564/1333	4.56	4.69	4.34	4.40	4.50
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	1	3	11	4.67	313/1495	4.63	4.58	4.25	4.28	4.67
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	4	11	4.73	183/1439	4.68	4.31	4.11	4.12	4.73
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	0	1	4	9	4.33	583/1425	4.53	4.23	4.12	4.11	4.33
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	0	3	1	4	6	3.93	1118/1508	4.18	4.32	4.18	4.19	3.93
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	1	0	0	0	7	7	4.50	1061/1526	4.53	4.62	4.66	4.64	4.50
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	5	0	0	0	1	5	5	4.36	542/1490	4.50	4.51	4.11	4.11	4.36
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	5	11	4.69	304/1271	4.59	4.49	4.16	4.21	4.69
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	5	11	4.69	417/1276	4.72	4.63	4.33	4.37	4.69
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	1	15	4.94	164/1273	4.91	4.77	4.38	4.43	4.94
4. Were special techniques successful	0	4	0	0	0	5	7	4.58	185/922	4.56	4.18	4.02	4.11	4.58

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	4	0.00-0.99	1	Α	10	Required for Majors	8	Graduate	0	Major	7
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	2						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	1	Under-grad	16	Non-major	9
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	1	D	1						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	5	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	

Run Date: 2/9/2011 12:49:33 PM

Course-Section:	THTR 229 2			Term	- Fall	2010)						Enro	Ilment:	18
Title:	Movement For The Actor											Q	uestion	naires:	16
Instructor:	Crocker,Martha														
					Fred	quenc	ies		Ins	tructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions				2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Discussion														
	Р	0	0 to be s								ant				
	I	0 Other 0													
	?	2													

Course-Section: THTR 231 01

Title: Drafting For The Theatre

Instructor: Schraven, Greggo

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 14

Questionnaires: 14

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	7	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1528	5.00	4.70	4.31	4.34	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	8	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1527	5.00	4.57	4.28	4.32	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	7	2	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1333	5.00	4.69	4.34	4.40	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	7	1	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	154/1495	4.83	4.58	4.25	4.28	4.83
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	7	1	0	0	1	1	4	4.50	367/1439	4.50	4.31	4.11	4.12	4.50
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	7	2	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1425	5.00	4.23	4.12	4.11	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	7	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1508	5.00	4.32	4.18	4.19	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	7	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	867/1526	4.71	4.62	4.66	4.64	4.71
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	7	0	1	0	0	1	5	4.29	639/1490	4.29	4.51	4.11	4.11	4.29
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	12	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1428	***	4.38	4.49	4.48	****
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	12	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1436	***	4.90	4.74	4.76	****
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	12	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1427	***	4.41	4.32	4.33	****
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1425	***	4.59	4.34	4.37	****
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	12	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1291	***	4.02	4.05	4.14	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/1271	***	4.49	4.16	4.21	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	12	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/1276	***	4.63	4.33	4.37	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	12	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1273	***	4.77	4.38	4.43	****
4. Were special techniques successful	12	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/922	***	4.18	4.02	4.11	***

Course-S	Section:	THTR 231 0	1				Term	n - Fall 201	0						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	14
	Title:	Drafting For	The	Theatre										Q	uestion	naires:	14
Ins	tructor:	Schraven, Gr	eggo														
								Frequen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fre	eque	ncy Distr	ibuti	on							
Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	A	Expected	Grade	es		Reaso	ns			Туре	!		Maj	jors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	6 Required for Majors						•	Graduate	0		Major		6
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	1												
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	С	0		Gene	ral		0)	Under-grad	14		Non-ma	ajor	8
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0 Electives)	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other			0)						
				?	7												

Course-Section: THTR 232 01

Title: Scene Design

Instructor: Zlotescu,Elena

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 5

Questionnaires: 5

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	2	2	4.20	973/1528	4.20	4.70	4.31	4.34	4.20
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	1	0	3	4.00	1113/1527	4.00	4.57	4.28	4.32	4.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1333	5.00	4.69	4.34	4.40	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	227/1495	4.75	4.58	4.25	4.28	4.75
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	2	0	3	4.20	710/1439	4.20	4.31	4.11	4.12	4.20
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	1	0	0	3	4.25	669/1425	4.25	4.23	4.12	4.11	4.25
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	1	1	1	0	2	3.20	1397/1508	3.20	4.32	4.18	4.19	3.20
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1526	5.00	4.62	4.66	4.64	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	1	1	3	4.40	494/1490	4.40	4.51	4.11	4.11	4.40
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	3	2	4.40	965/1428	4.40	4.38	4.49	4.48	4.40
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1436	5.00	4.90	4.74	4.76	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	1	1	3	4.40	772/1427	4.40	4.41	4.32	4.33	4.40
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	0	4	4.60	556/1425	4.60	4.59	4.34	4.37	4.60
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	1	0	0	0	4	4.20	574/1291	4.20	4.02	4.05	4.14	4.20
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1271	5.00	4.49	4.16	4.21	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1276	5.00	4.63	4.33	4.37	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	408/1273	4.75	4.77	4.38	4.43	4.75
4. Were special techniques successful	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/922	5.00	4.18	4.02	4.11	5.00

Course-S	Section:	THTR 232 0	1				Term	n - Fall 201						Enro	Ilment:	5	
	Title:	Scene Desig	ın											Q	uestion	naires:	5
Ins	tructor:	Zlotescu,Ele	na														
					_			Frequer	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fre	eque	ncy Distr	ibuti	on							
Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	Ą	Expected	Grade	es		Reaso	ns			Туре	:		Maj	jors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	4		Requ	ired for Maj	ors	5	;	Graduate	0		Major		4
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1												
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0		Gene	ral		0)	Under-grad	5		Non-ma	ajor	1
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	1	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0		Electi	ves		0)	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other			0)						
				?	0												

Course-Section: THTR 234 01

Title: Make-Up For The Stage
Instructor: Zlotescu,Elena

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 10

Questionnaires: 7

Frequencies Instructor Course Org UMBC Level Questions 3 5 Mean Mean Mean Mean NR NA Mean Rank Mean General 1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course 0 0 0 0 0 1 6 199/1528 4.86 4.70 4.31 4.34 4.86 4.86 0 0 1 2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals 0 0 0 6 4.86 161/1527 4.86 4.57 4.28 4.32 4.86 3. Did the exam guestions reflect the expected goals 2 0 0 0 5 5.00 1/1333 5.00 4.69 4.34 5.00 0 0 4.40 4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals 0 1 0 0 0 1 5 4.83 154/1495 4.83 4.58 4.25 4.28 4.83 5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned 0 4 0 0 0 1 2 4.67 239/1439 4.67 4.31 4.11 4.12 4.67 6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned 4 0 0 2 583/1425 4.33 4.23 4.12 4.33 0 0 1 4.33 4.11 7 7. Was the grading system clearly explained 0 0 0 0 0 0 5.00 1/1508 5.00 4.32 4.18 4.19 5.00 8. How many times was class cancelled 1 0 0 0 0 2 4.67 919/1526 4.67 4.62 4.66 4.64 4.67 4 9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness 0 1 4.86 0 0 0 0 6 102/1490 4.86 4.51 4.86 4.11 4.11 Lecture 1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared 0 0 0 0 0 0 7 5.00 1/1428 5.00 4.38 4.49 4.48 5.00 2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject 0 0 0 0 0 0 7 5.00 1/1436 5.00 4.90 4.74 4.76 5.00 3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly 0 0 2 5 350/1427 4.33 4.71 0 0 0 4.71 4.71 4.41 4.32 4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned 0 0 0 0 0 1 6 4.86 220/1425 4.86 4.59 4.34 4.37 4.86 4.05 5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding 0 1 0 0 2 1 3 4.17 614/1291 4.17 4.02 4.14 4.17 Discussion 1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned 2 0 0 0 0 1 4 4.80 204/1271 4.80 4.49 4.16 4.21 4.80 2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate 2 0 0 0 0 1 4 4.80 302/1276 4.80 4.63 4.33 4.37 4.80 2 3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion 0 0 0 0 1 345/1273 4.77 4.38 4.80 4 4.80 4.80 4.43 4. Were special techniques successful 2 0 0 0 1 3 4.75 124/922 4.18 4.02 4.75 4.75 4.11

Course-S	Section:	THTR 234 01	1				Term	n - Fall 201	10						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	10
	Title:	Make-Up Fo	r The	Stage										Q	uestion	naires:	7
Ins	tructor:	Zlotescu,Ele	na														
								Freque	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fre	eque	ncy Dist	ributi	on							
Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	\	Expected	Grade	es		Reaso	ns			Туре)		Maj	jors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	6		Requ	ired for Ma	ors	4		Graduate	0		Major		4
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	1												
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0		Gene	ral		0)	Under-grad	7		Non-ma	ajor	3
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0		Electi	ves		2	2	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				I	0		Other			0)						
				?	0												

Course-Section: THTR 237 01

Title: Sound Design

Instructor: Cobb,Milton T

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 9

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	2	1	0	2	3	3.38	1439/1528	3.38	4.70	4.31	4.34	3.38
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	2	1	2	2	1	2.88	1498/1527	2.88	4.57	4.28	4.32	2.88
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	1	3	1	3	0	2.75	1322/1333	2.75	4.69	4.34	4.40	2.75
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	1	1	2	2	2	3.38	1401/1495	3.38	4.58	4.25	4.28	3.38
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	3	1	0	2	2	2.88	1400/1439	2.88	4.31	4.11	4.12	2.88
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	1	3	1	1	2	0	2.29	1413/1425	2.29	4.23	4.12	4.11	2.29
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	3	0	4	1	0	2.38	1488/1508	2.38	4.32	4.18	4.19	2.38
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	811/1526	4.75	4.62	4.66	4.64	4.75
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	1	2	2	1	1	2.86	1438/1490	2.86	4.51	4.11	4.11	2.86
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	1	0	3	2	1	3.29	1387/1428	3.29	4.38	4.49	4.48	3.29
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	1	0	1	1	4	4.00	1382/1436	4.00	4.90	4.74	4.76	4.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	1	0	3	3	0	3.14	1363/1427	3.14	4.41	4.32	4.33	3.14
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	3	0	1	2	1	2.71	1394/1425	2.71	4.59	4.34	4.37	2.71
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	0	0	1	0	6	4.71	167/1291	4.71	4.02	4.05	4.14	4.71
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	6	0	1	0	0	0	2	3.67	1007/1271	3.67	4.49	4.16	4.21	3.67
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	6	0	1	0	0	0	2	3.67	1102/1276	3.67	4.63	4.33	4.37	3.67
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	6	0	1	0	0	0	2	3.67	1122/1273	3.67	4.77	4.38	4.43	3.67
4. Were special techniques successful	6	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/922	5.00	4.18	4.02	4.11	5.00

Course-S	Section:	THTR 237 0	1				Term	ı - Fall 2	010	1						Enro	Ilment:	9
	Title:	Sound Design	gn												Q	uestion	naires:	9
Ins	structor:	Cobb,Milton	ı T															
								Frequ	<mark>ienc</mark>	ies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion																
						Fr	eque	ncy Di	stri	buti	on							
Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grade	es		Rea	son	S			Туре	;		Ma	jors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2		Requ	ired for N	/lajor	s	6	i	Graduate	0		Major		4
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	3													
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	1	С	1		Gene	ral			0	1	Under-grad	9		Non-ma	ajor	5
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	1	D	0													
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0		Electi	ves			1		**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0								to be significa	ant				
				I	0		Other	•			0							
				2	3													

Course-Section: THTR 250 02

Title: Intro Production Tech

Instructor: Schraven, Greggo

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 3

Questionnaires: 2

	_			Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1528	5.00	4.70	4.31	4.34	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1527	5.00	4.57	4.28	4.32	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1508	4.83	4.32	4.18	4.19	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	1421/1526	4.50	4.62	4.66	4.64	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1490	5.00	4.51	4.11	4.11	5.00
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/42	5.00	5.00	4.00	3.68	5.00
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/41	5.00	5.00	4.06	3.81	5.00
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/30	5.00	5.00	4.74	4.50	5.00
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/32	5.00	5.00	4.20	4.32	5.00
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/29	5.00	5.00	4.34	4.11	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: THTR 250 03

Title: Intro Production Tech
Instructor: Joyce,Shelley

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 4

Questionnaires: 4

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1528	5.00	4.70	4.31	4.34	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1527	5.00	4.57	4.28	4.32	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	3	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1333	5.00	4.69	4.34	4.40	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	3	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1425	5.00	4.23	4.12	4.11	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	284/1508	4.83	4.32	4.18	4.19	4.67
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1526	4.50	4.62	4.66	4.64	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1490	5.00	4.51	4.11	4.11	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1428	5.00	4.38	4.49	4.48	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1436	5.00	4.90	4.74	4.76	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1427	5.00	4.41	4.32	4.33	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1425	5.00	4.59	4.34	4.37	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1271	5.00	4.49	4.16	4.21	5.00

Frequency Distribution

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

3

3

1

5.00

5.00

5.00

1

1

1

0

0

0

0

0

Expected Grades Cum. GPA Credits Earned Reasons Type Majors 00-27 0.00-0.99 3 Required for Majors 4 Graduate 0 Major 2 28-55 2 1.00-1.99 0 В 1

Run Date: 2/9/2011 12:49:33 PM

2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate

3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion

4. Were special techniques successful

5.00

5.00

5.00

4.63

4.77

4.18

4.33

4.38

4.02

4.37

4.43

4.11

5.00

5.00

5.00

1/1276

1/1273

1/922

Fraguencies Instructor Course Org LIMPC Loyal Soct

Course-S	Section	n: THTR 250 0	3				Term	ı - Fall	l 2010)						Enro	Ilment:	4
	Title	e: Intro Produ	ction T	ech											Q	uestion	naires:	4
Ins	tructor	: Joyce,Shelle	y															
								Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion																
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0		Gene	ral			0		Under-grad	4		Non-ma	ajor	2
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0													
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0		Electi	ves			0		**** - Means	there are	not end	ugh resp	oonses	
				Р	0								to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other	•			0							
				?	0													

Course-Section: THTR 252 01

Title: Theatre Lab

Instructor: Schraven, Greggo

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 2

Questionnaires: 2

				Fre	quen	cies		In:	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1528	5.00	4.70	4.31	4.34	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1527	5.00	4.57	4.28	4.32	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1333	5.00	4.69	4.34	4.40	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1495	5.00	4.58	4.25	4.28	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1508	5.00	4.32	4.18	4.19	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	1061/1526	4.50	4.62	4.66	4.64	4.50
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1490	5.00	4.51	4.11	4.11	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: THTR 310 01

Title: History Of Theatre I

Instructor: Kaleba,Casey

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 24

	_			Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	2	15	4.88	175/1528	4.88	4.70	4.31	4.34	4.88
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	3	13	4.71	312/1527	4.71	4.57	4.28	4.27	4.71
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	0	3	13	4.65	415/1333	4.65	4.69	4.34	4.34	4.65
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	3	13	4.81	169/1495	4.81	4.58	4.25	4.28	4.81
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	0	3	13	4.65	257/1439	4.65	4.31	4.11	4.13	4.65
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	6	0	1	1	0	8	4.50	396/1425	4.50	4.23	4.12	4.17	4.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	2	3	12	4.59	371/1508	4.59	4.32	4.18	4.17	4.59
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	1	1	1	8	6	4.00	1421/1526	4.00	4.62	4.66	4.68	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	5	0	0	0	1	4	7	4.50	344/1490	4.50	4.51	4.11	4.11	4.50
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	1	2	14	4.76	459/1428	4.76	4.38	4.49	4.48	4.76
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	1	16	4.94	310/1436	4.94	4.90	4.74	4.74	4.94
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	1	3	13	4.71	364/1427	4.71	4.41	4.32	4.31	4.71
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	1	15	4.82	254/1425	4.82	4.59	4.34	4.34	4.82
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	2	3	3	9	4.12	664/1291	4.12	4.02	4.05	4.09	4.12
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	1	1	10	4.75	246/1271	4.75	4.49	4.16	4.19	4.75
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	5	0	0	0	1	2	9	4.67	439/1276	4.67	4.63	4.33	4.37	4.67
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	5	0	0	0	0	2	10	4.83	312/1273	4.83	4.77	4.38	4.40	4.83
4. Were special techniques successful	5	5	2	0	2	1	2	3.14	839/922	3.14	4.18	4.02	4.02	3.14

Course-S	Section:	THTR 310 0	1				Term	n - Fall 20	10						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	24
	Title:	History Of T	heatr	e I						_				Q	uestion	naires:	17
Ins	tructor:	Kaleba,Case	ey .														
								Freque	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fre	eque	ncy Dis	tribu [.]	tion							
Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	A	Expected	Grade	es		Reas	ons			Туре)		Maj	jors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	4		Requ	ired for Ma	ajors	1	3	Graduate	0		Major		6
28-55	3	1.00-1.99	0	В	10												
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	3	С	1		Gene	eral			3	Under-grad	17		Non-ma	ajor	11
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	4	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0		Electi	ives			0	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other	r			0						
				?	1												

Course-Section: THTR 324 01

Title: Craft Of Acting III

Instructor: Muson,Eve B

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 8

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1528	5.00	4.70	4.31	4.34	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1527	5.00	4.57	4.28	4.27	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	4	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1333	5.00	4.69	4.34	4.34	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1495	5.00	4.58	4.25	4.28	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	0	7	4.75	168/1439	4.75	4.31	4.11	4.13	4.75
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1425	5.00	4.23	4.12	4.17	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	119/1508	4.86	4.32	4.18	4.17	4.86
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	618/1526	4.88	4.62	4.66	4.68	4.88
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	1	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	156/1490	4.75	4.51	4.11	4.11	4.75
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	5	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1428	5.00	4.38	4.49	4.48	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	5	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1436	5.00	4.90	4.74	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	5	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1427	5.00	4.41	4.32	4.31	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1425	5.00	4.59	4.34	4.34	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	5	1	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	327/1291	4.50	4.02	4.05	4.09	4.50
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1271	5.00	4.49	4.16	4.19	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1276	5.00	4.63	4.33	4.37	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1273	5.00	4.77	4.38	4.40	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	2	1	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/922	5.00	4.18	4.02	4.02	5.00

										•							
Course-	Section:	THTR 324 0	1				Term	n - Fall 20	10						Enro	Ilment:	8
	Title:	Craft Of Act	ing II	I										Q	uestion	naires:	8
Ins	tructor:	Muson,Eve I	3														
					_			Freque	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fr	eque	ncy Dist	ributi	ion							
Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grade	es		Reaso	ons			Туре	;		Ма	jors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	4		Requ	ired for Ma	jors	6	i	Graduate	0		Major		0
28-55	4	1.00-1.99	0	В	2												
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	С	0		Gene	ral		0)	Under-grad	8		Non-ma	ajor	8
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0		Electi	ves		0)	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other			0)						
				2	2												

Course-Section: THTR 329 01

Title: Movement For Actor III

Instructor: Crocker,Martha

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 8

Questionnaires: 8

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1528	5.00	4.70	4.31	4.34	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1527	5.00	4.57	4.28	4.27	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	5	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1333	5.00	4.69	4.34	4.34	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1495	5.00	4.58	4.25	4.28	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1439	5.00	4.31	4.11	4.13	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1425	5.00	4.23	4.12	4.17	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	2	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	284/1508	4.67	4.32	4.18	4.17	4.67
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	811/1526	4.75	4.62	4.66	4.68	4.75
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	110/1490	4.83	4.51	4.11	4.11	4.83
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1271	5.00	4.49	4.16	4.19	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1276	5.00	4.63	4.33	4.37	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1273	5.00	4.77	4.38	4.40	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	0	1	0	1	0	0	6	4.57	189/922	4.57	4.18	4.02	4.02	4.57

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	6	Required for Majors	6	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	3	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	8	Non-major	7
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not	enough responses	

Run Date: 2/9/2011 12:49:34 PM

Course-Section:	THTR 329 01			Term	- Fall	2010)						Enrol	llment:	8
Title:	Movement For Actor III											Q	uestion	naires:	8
Instructor:	Crocker,Martha														
					Freq	uenc	ies		Ins	tructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Discussion														
	Р	0							1	to be significa	ant				
	I	0		Other				0							
	?	2													

Course-Section: THTR 339 01

Title: Adv Production Technques

Instructor: Schraven, Greggo

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 3

Questionnaires: 3

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1528	5.00	4.70	4.31	4.34	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	818/1527	4.33	4.57	4.28	4.27	4.33
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	1003/1333	4.00	4.69	4.34	4.34	4.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	1047/1495	4.00	4.58	4.25	4.28	4.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	851/1439	4.00	4.31	4.11	4.13	4.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	891/1425	4.00	4.23	4.12	4.17	4.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	681/1508	4.33	4.32	4.18	4.17	4.33
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1526	5.00	4.62	4.66	4.68	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1490	5.00	4.51	4.11	4.11	5.00
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	197/208	3.00	4.67	4.27	4.31	3.00
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/194	5.00	4.81	4.56	4.59	5.00
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/194	5.00	4.95	4.37	4.37	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	3	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	3	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means the	re are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			

Course-Section:	THTR 339 01			Term	- Fall	2010)						Enrol	Ilment:	3
Title:	Adv Production Technques											Q	uestion	naires:	3
Instructor:	Schraven,Greggo														
					Fred	quenc	cies		Ins	tructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Laboratory														
	I	0		Other				0							
	Laboratory														

Course-Section: THTR 347 01

Title: Character/Scene Study I

Instructor: Largess, William

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 8

Questionnaires: 7

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	4	3	4.43	739/1528	4.43	4.70	4.31	4.34	4.43
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	4.57	489/1527	4.57	4.57	4.28	4.27	4.57
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	5	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	1003/1333	4.00	4.69	4.34	4.34	4.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	177/1495	4.80	4.58	4.25	4.28	4.80
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	4	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	851/1439	4.00	4.31	4.11	4.13	4.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	6	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1425	***	4.23	4.12	4.17	***
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	4	2	4.14	921/1508	4.14	4.32	4.18	4.17	4.14
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	4	3	4.43	1142/1526	4.43	4.62	4.66	4.68	4.43
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	1	3	2	4.17	778/1490	4.17	4.51	4.11	4.11	4.17
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1271	5.00	4.49	4.16	4.19	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1276	5.00	4.63	4.33	4.37	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1273	5.00	4.77	4.38	4.40	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	1	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/922	5.00	4.18	4.02	4.02	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	7	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	7	Non-major	7
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	5	**** - Means the	re are not	enough responses	

Run Date: 2/9/2011 12:49:34 PM

Co	urse-Section:	THTR 347 01				Term	- Fall	2010)						Enrol	Ilment:	8
	Title:	Character/Scene Stud	dy I											Q	uestion	naires:	7
	Instructor:	Largess,William															
							Fred	quenc	cies		Ins	tructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions		NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
			Р	0							1	to be significa	ant				
			I	0		Other				0							
			?	0													

Course-Section: THTR 371 01

Title: Playwriting
Instructor: McCully,Susan

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 14

Questionnaires: 10

	_			Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	2	8	4.80	238/1528	4.80	4.70	4.31	4.34	4.80
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	4	6	4.60	453/1527	4.60	4.57	4.28	4.27	4.60
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	7	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	769/1333	4.33	4.69	4.34	4.34	4.33
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	1	2	6	4.56	432/1495	4.56	4.58	4.25	4.28	4.56
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	0	0	4	4	4.50	367/1439	4.50	4.31	4.11	4.13	4.50
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	2	8	4.80	136/1425	4.80	4.23	4.12	4.17	4.80
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	0	1	5	3	4.22	820/1508	4.22	4.32	4.18	4.17	4.22
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	5	5	4.50	1061/1526	4.50	4.62	4.66	4.68	4.50
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	90/1490	4.89	4.51	4.11	4.11	4.89
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	4	6	4.60	735/1428	4.60	4.38	4.49	4.48	4.60
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	1	9	4.90	516/1436	4.90	4.90	4.74	4.74	4.90
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	3	7	4.70	364/1427	4.70	4.41	4.32	4.31	4.70
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	1	8	4.70	422/1425	4.70	4.59	4.34	4.34	4.70
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	3	0	1	0	3	3	4.14	634/1291	4.14	4.02	4.05	4.09	4.14
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	229/1271	4.78	4.49	4.16	4.19	4.78
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1276	5.00	4.63	4.33	4.37	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	257/1273	4.89	4.77	4.38	4.40	4.89
4. Were special techniques successful	1	0	0	1	0	1	7	4.56	197/922	4.56	4.18	4.02	4.02	4.56

Course-S	Section:	THTR 371 0	1				Term	n - Fall 201	0						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	14
	Title:	Playwriting												Q	uestion	naires:	10
Ins	tructor:	McCully,Sus	an														
								Frequer	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fre	eque	ncy Disti	ibuti	on							
Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	A	Expected	Grade	es		Reaso	ns			Турє	;		Maj	jors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2		Requ	ired for Maj	ors	0)	Graduate	0		Major		1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	5												
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	1		Gene	ral		4	ļ	Under-grad	10		Non-ma	ajor	9
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	1	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0		Electi	ves		3	3	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				I	0		Other			1							
				?	1												

Course-Section: THTR 390 01

Title: Theatre In Production

Instructor: Watson, Janet L

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 12

Questionnaires: 9

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	175/1528	4.89	4.70	4.31	4.34	4.89
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	134/1527	4.89	4.57	4.28	4.27	4.89
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	5	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	294/1333	4.75	4.69	4.34	4.34	4.75
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	6	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	746/1495	4.33	4.58	4.25	4.28	4.33
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	115/1439	4.86	4.31	4.11	4.13	4.86
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	8	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1425	****	4.23	4.12	4.17	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	3	0	1	0	2	3	4.17	895/1508	4.17	4.32	4.18	4.17	4.17
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	601/1526	4.89	4.62	4.66	4.68	4.89
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	0	3	4	4.57	289/1490	4.57	4.51	4.11	4.11	4.57
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1428	***	4.38	4.49	4.48	****
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1436	***	4.90	4.74	4.74	****
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1427	***	4.41	4.32	4.31	****
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1425	***	4.59	4.34	4.34	****
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1291	***	4.02	4.05	4.09	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1271	5.00	4.49	4.16	4.19	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	6	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1276	5.00	4.63	4.33	4.37	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	6	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1273	5.00	4.77	4.38	4.40	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	6	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/922	***	4.18	4.02	4.02	***

					_					_							
Course-	Section:	THTR 390 0	1				Term	n - Fall 201	0						Enro	Ilment:	12
	Title:	Theatre In F	Produ	ction						_				Q	uestion	naires:	9
Ins	structor:	Watson,Jan	et L														
								Frequer	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fr	eque	ncy Dist	ributi	ion							
Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grade	es		Reaso	ns			Туре)		Ma	jors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	8		Requ	ired for Maj	ors	5		Graduate	0		Major		3
28-55	3	1.00-1.99	0	В	1												
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0		Gene	ral		0)	Under-grad	9		Non-ma	ajor	6
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	2	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0		Electi	ves		0)	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other			4							
				2	0												

Course-Section: THTR 410 01

Title: Modern Theatre I

Instructor: McCully,Susan

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 17

in a carry to account								In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	1	1	1	10	4.54	601/1528	4.54	4.70	4.31	4.39	4.54
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	1	10	4.62	438/1527	4.62	4.57	4.28	4.30	4.62
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	3	0	0	0	1	9	4.90	147/1333	4.90	4.69	4.34	4.37	4.90
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	12	4.92	81/1495	4.92	4.58	4.25	4.33	4.92
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	1	11	4.77	161/1439	4.77	4.31	4.11	4.20	4.77
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	2	10	4.83	121/1425	4.83	4.23	4.12	4.26	4.83
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	2	1	10	4.62	340/1508	4.62	4.32	4.18	4.24	4.62
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	5	8	4.62	968/1526	4.62	4.62	4.66	4.71	4.62
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	5	7	4.58	281/1490	4.58	4.51	4.11	4.19	4.58
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	2	10	4.83	335/1428	4.83	4.38	4.49	4.54	4.83
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	12	5.00	1/1436	5.00	4.90	4.74	4.75	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	124/1427	4.92	4.41	4.32	4.37	4.92
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	4	7	4.50	667/1425	4.50	4.59	4.34	4.37	4.50
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	2	0	1	0	2	7	4.50	327/1291	4.50	4.02	4.05	4.10	4.50
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	1	0	10	4.82	197/1271	4.82	4.49	4.16	4.33	4.82
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	0	1	10	4.91	190/1276	4.91	4.63	4.33	4.49	4.91
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	0	0	11	5.00	1/1273	5.00	4.77	4.38	4.55	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	2	2	0	2	0	2	5	4.11	436/922	4.11	4.18	4.02	4.23	4.11

Course-S	Section:	THTR 410 0	1				Term	n - Fall 201	0						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	17
	Title:	Modern The	atre I											Q	uestion	naires:	13
Inst	tructor:	McCully,Sus	an														
								Frequer	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fre	eque	ncy Dist	ibuti	on							
Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	Ą	Expected	Grade	es		Reasc	ns			Туре	!		Maj	jors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2		Requ	ired for Maj	ors	9)	Graduate	0		Major		7
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	7												
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	3	С	3		Gene	ral		2		Under-grad	13		Non-ma	ajor	6
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	3	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0		Electi	ves		0)	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				I	0		Other			1							
				?	1												

Course-Section: THTR 460 01

Title: Theatre Capstone

Instructor: Salkind, Wendy

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 14

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	5.00	1/1528	5.00	4.70	4.31	4.39	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	3	9	4.75	259/1527	4.75	4.57	4.28	4.30	4.75
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	10	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1333	***	4.69	4.34	4.37	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	0	11	4.83	154/1495	4.83	4.58	4.25	4.33	4.83
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	2	10	4.83	122/1439	4.83	4.31	4.11	4.20	4.83
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	11	5.00	1/1425	5.00	4.23	4.12	4.26	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	2	2	7	4.25	783/1508	4.25	4.32	4.18	4.24	4.25
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	6	6	4.50	1061/1526	4.50	4.62	4.66	4.71	4.50
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1490	5.00	4.51	4.11	4.19	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	199/1428	4.92	4.38	4.49	4.54	4.92
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	5.00	1/1436	5.00	4.90	4.74	4.75	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	4	8	4.67	420/1427	4.67	4.41	4.32	4.37	4.67
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	2	10	4.83	242/1425	4.83	4.59	4.34	4.37	4.83
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	3	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	86/1291	4.89	4.02	4.05	4.10	4.89
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1271	5.00	4.49	4.16	4.33	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1276	5.00	4.63	4.33	4.49	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1273	5.00	4.77	4.38	4.55	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	3	1	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/922	5.00	4.18	4.02	4.23	5.00

					_					_							
Course-	Section:	THTR 460 0	1				Term	n - Fall 20	10						Enro	Ilment:	14
	Title:	Theatre Cap	stone	:						_				Q	uestion	naires:	12
Ins	structor:	Salkind,Wer	ndy														
					_			Freque	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
					_	Fr	eque	ncy Dist	ributi	ion							
Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grade	es	·	Reas	ons			Туре	;		Ma	jors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	6	i	Requ	ired for Ma	jors	12		Graduate	0		Major		5
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	4												
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0		Gene	ral		0)	Under-grad	12		Non-ma	ajor	7
84-150	4	3.00-3.49	1	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0		Electi	ives		0)	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				I	0		Other	r		0)						
				2	2												

Course-Section: THTR 490 01

Title: Production Workshop

Instructor: Muson, Eve B

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 15

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	0	12	4.85	206/1528	4.85	4.70	4.31	4.39	4.85
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	0	12	4.85	170/1527	4.85	4.57	4.28	4.30	4.85
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	6	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1333	5.00	4.69	4.34	4.37	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	5	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1495	5.00	4.58	4.25	4.33	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	12	5.00	1/1439	5.00	4.31	4.11	4.20	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	3	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1425	5.00	4.23	4.12	4.26	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	3	0	1	0	1	8	4.60	352/1508	4.60	4.32	4.18	4.24	4.60
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	5.00	1/1526	5.00	4.62	4.66	4.71	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	1	1	10	4.75	156/1490	4.75	4.51	4.11	4.19	4.75
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	9	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1428	5.00	4.38	4.49	4.54	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	8	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1436	5.00	4.90	4.74	4.75	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	8	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	230/1427	4.80	4.41	4.32	4.37	4.80
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	10	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/1425	****	4.59	4.34	4.37	****
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	10	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1291	****	4.02	4.05	4.10	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1271	5.00	4.49	4.16	4.33	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	5	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1276	5.00	4.63	4.33	4.49	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	5	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1273	5.00	4.77	4.38	4.55	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	5	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/922	5.00	4.18	4.02	4.23	5.00

Course-S	Section:	THTR 490 0	1				Term	n - Fall 201	0						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	15
	Title:	Production \	Works	shop										Q	uestion	naires:	13
Ins	tructor:	Muson, Eve E	3														
								Frequer	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fre	eque	ncy Dist	ributi	on							
Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	Ą	Expected	Grade	es		Reasc	ns			Туре	:		Maj	jors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	12		Requ	ired for Maj	ors	9)	Graduate	0		Major		2
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	1												
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	1	С	0		Gene	ral		0)	Under-grad	13		Non-ma	ajor	11
84-150	4	3.00-3.49	3	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	5	F	0		Electi	ves		3	3	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other			1							
				?	0												