
Course-Section: WOL 101 01			Term	- Fal	<mark>l 201</mark> 2	2						Enro	llment:	2
Title: Elementary Wolof I											Q	uestion	naires:	2
Instructor: Ka,Omar														
				Fre	quen	cies		Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1589	5.00	4.47	4.32	4.20	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1589	5.00	4.43	4.29	4.28	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1391	5.00	4.54	4.34	4.29	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1552	5.00	4.42	4.25	4.16	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1495	5.00	4.40	4.14	4.07	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1457	5.00	4.34	4.15	3.99	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1572	5.00	4.30	4.21	4.18	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1589	5.00	4.69	4.66	4.59	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1569	5.00	4.22	4.13	4.08	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1530	5.00	4.55	4.49	4.45	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1533	5.00	4.81	4.75	4.69	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1528	5.00	4.44	4.35	4.31	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1529	5.00	4.55	4.36	4.31	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1393	5.00	4.21	4.06	3.99	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1337	5.00	4.30	4.17	4.01	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1331	5.00	4.58	4.35	4.18	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1333	5.00	4.51	4.40	4.22	5.00

Course-Section:	WOL 101 01			Term - Fall 2012									Enro	llment:	2
Title:	Elementary Wolof I											Q	uestion	naires:	2
Instructor:	Ka,Omar														
					Fre	quen	cies		Inst	ructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Discussion														
4. Were special techniqu	es successful	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1014	5.00	4.21	4.05	3.91	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	А	2	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	2
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives 2 **** - Means there are not end		nough responses			
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: WOL 201 01			Term	- Fal	201	2						Enro	llment:	2
Title: Intermediate Wolof I											Q	uestion	naires:	1
Instructor: Ka,Omar														
				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1589	5.00	4.47	4.32	4.33	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1589	5.00	4.43	4.29	4.30	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1391	5.00	4.54	4.34	4.36	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1552	5.00	4.42	4.25	4.26	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1495	5.00	4.40	4.14	4.18	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1457	5.00	4.34	4.15	4.14	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1572	5.00	4.30	4.21	4.19	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1589	5.00	4.69	4.66	4.63	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1530	5.00	4.55	4.49	4.47	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1533	5.00	4.81	4.75	4.78	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1528	5.00	4.44	4.35	4.35	5.00



Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	А	0	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives 0		**** - Means ther	nough responses		
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						