Course-Section: ART 210 01

Title: Visual Concepts

Instructor: Moren, Lisa

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 13

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	1	1	2	4	4.13	1118/1560	4.15	4.39	4.35	4.37	4.13
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	2	5	4.50	627/1559	4.53	4.30	4.31	4.33	4.50
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	7	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/1371	4.55	4.45	4.38	4.40	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	1	0	0	3	4	4.13	987/1519	4.29	4.26	4.27	4.29	4.13
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	5	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	620/1452	4.37	4.00	4.18	4.22	4.33
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	2	0	1	4	4.00	889/1430	3.33	3.81	4.16	4.15	4.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	1	1	3	2	1	3.13	1460/1539	3.73	3.98	4.23	4.25	3.13
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	4	4	4.50	1051/1560	4.13	4.48	4.64	4.61	4.50
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	1	4	1	4.00	952/1545	4.02	4.10	4.14	4.09	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	280/1496	4.49	4.44	4.49	4.52	4.88
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	644/1498	4.88	4.80	4.75	4.78	4.88
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	3	5	4.63	560/1496	4.39	4.39	4.37	4.36	4.63
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	2	1	5	4.38	880/1494	4.32	4.40	4.37	4.41	4.38
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	1	1	0	6	4.38	504/1352	4.31	4.47	4.12	4.14	4.38
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	1	1	1	3	4.00	822/1248	4.14	4.21	4.23	4.25	4.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	1	3	2	4.17	877/1250	4.48	4.36	4.39	4.40	4.17
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	1	2	3	4.33	812/1239	4.44	4.44	4.45	4.45	4.33
4. Were special techniques successful	2	2	0	1	0	1	2	4.00	519/906	3.92	4.09	4.13	4.19	4.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: ART 210 01

Title: Visual Concepts

Instructor: Moren, Lisa

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 13

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/206	****	****	4.25	4.58	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/214	****	****	4.31	4.60	****
Seminar														
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/66	****	****	4.41	4.25	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/63	****	****	4.09	3.65	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	****	4.19	5.00	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	****	4.11	4.25	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/24	****	****	4.25	4.50	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/26	****	****	3.89	4.00	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: ART 210 01

Title: Visual Concepts

Instructor: Moren,Lisa

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 13

Questionnaires: 8

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	****	****	4.35	4.75	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	1	Α	2	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	4						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	8	Non-major	6
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	3	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	4	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	1						

Course-Section: ART 210 02

Title: Visual Concepts

Instructor: Moren, Lisa

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 13

·				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	2	5	4.50	664/1560	4.15	4.39	4.35	4.37	4.50
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	3	5	4.63	467/1559	4.53	4.30	4.31	4.33	4.63
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	6	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1371	4.55	4.45	4.38	4.40	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	1	3	3	4.29	837/1519	4.29	4.26	4.27	4.29	4.29
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	6	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1452	4.37	4.00	4.18	4.22	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	2	2	1	2	3.43	1283/1430	3.33	3.81	4.16	4.15	3.43
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	1	3	3	4.00	1077/1539	3.73	3.98	4.23	4.25	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	5	3	4.38	1195/1560	4.13	4.48	4.64	4.61	4.38
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	1	0	0	1	6	0	3.86	1140/1545	4.02	4.10	4.14	4.09	3.86
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	489/1496	4.49	4.44	4.49	4.52	4.75
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1498	4.88	4.80	4.75	4.78	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	5	3	4.38	866/1496	4.39	4.39	4.37	4.36	4.38
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	3	4	4.38	880/1494	4.32	4.40	4.37	4.41	4.38
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	1	3	4	4.38	504/1352	4.31	4.47	4.12	4.14	4.38
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	4	0	2	3.67	1021/1248	4.14	4.21	4.23	4.25	3.67
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	0	5	1	4.17	877/1250	4.48	4.36	4.39	4.40	4.17
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	1	2	0	3	3.83	1076/1239	4.44	4.44	4.45	4.45	3.83
4. Were special techniques successful	2	2	0	0	3	0	1	3.50	778/906	3.92	4.09	4.13	4.19	3.50

Course-Section: ART 210 02

Title: Visual Concepts

Instructor: Moren, Lisa

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 13

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/206	****	****	4.25	4.58	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/214	****	****	4.31	4.60	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/204	****	****	4.52	4.64	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/207	****	****	4.44	4.67	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/199	****	****	4.27	4.51	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/64	****	****	4.44	4.35	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/58	****	****	4.37	4.33	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/52	****	****	4.41	4.33	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/66	****	****	4.41	4.25	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/63	****	****	4.09	3.65	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	****	4.19	5.00	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	****	4.11	4.25	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/24	****	****	4.25	4.50	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/26	****	****	3.89	4.00	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/25	****	****	4.01	4.75	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	****	****	4.35	4.75	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/22	****	****	4.13	5.00	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	****	4.41	5.00	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: ART 210 02

Title: Visual Concepts

Instructor: Moren, Lisa

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 13

Questionnaires: 8

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/14	****	****	4.03	4.75	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/10	****	****	3.94	4.75	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	4	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	2						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	1	General	2	Under-grad	8	Non-major	6
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	4	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: ART 210 03

Title: Visual Concepts

Instructor: Cook, Cathy

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 12

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	1	2	0	5	4.13	1118/1560	4.15	4.39	4.35	4.37	4.13
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	2	5	4.50	627/1559	4.53	4.30	4.31	4.33	4.50
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	7	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1371	4.55	4.45	4.38	4.40	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	1	1	5	4.57	469/1519	4.29	4.26	4.27	4.29	4.57
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	7	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1452	4.37	4.00	4.18	4.22	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	3	2	2	0	2.63	1417/1430	3.33	3.81	4.16	4.15	2.63
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	1	1	3	2	3.86	1214/1539	3.73	3.98	4.23	4.25	3.86
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	1	5	2	4.13	1393/1560	4.13	4.48	4.64	4.61	4.13
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	1	2	0	5	4.13	866/1545	4.02	4.10	4.14	4.09	4.13
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	2	3	3	4.13	1236/1496	4.49	4.44	4.49	4.52	4.13
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	644/1498	4.88	4.80	4.75	4.78	4.88
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	2	2	4	4.25	990/1496	4.39	4.39	4.37	4.36	4.25
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	1	2	4	4.13	1091/1494	4.32	4.40	4.37	4.41	4.13
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	2	3	3	4.13	754/1352	4.31	4.47	4.12	4.14	4.13
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	3	3	4.50	470/1248	4.14	4.21	4.23	4.25	4.50
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1250	4.48	4.36	4.39	4.40	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1239	4.44	4.44	4.45	4.45	5.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: ART 210 03

Title: Visual Concepts

Instructor: Cook,Cathy

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 12

Questionnaires: 8

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	2	5	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/906	3.92	4.09	4.13	4.19	****

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	6	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	2	Under-grad	8	Non-major	7
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	3				
				?	1						

Course-Section: ART 210 04

Title: Visual Concepts

Instructor: Gardner,Symmes

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 13

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	1	5	2	5	3.85	1320/1560	4.15	4.39	4.35	4.37	3.85
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	1	4	7	4.50	627/1559	4.53	4.30	4.31	4.33	4.50
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	2	1	0	2	2	6	4.09	1019/1371	4.55	4.45	4.38	4.40	4.09
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	1	3	1	7	4.17	952/1519	4.29	4.26	4.27	4.29	4.17
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	6	1	5	3.77	1148/1452	4.37	4.00	4.18	4.22	3.77
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	3	0	4	1	4	3.25	1340/1430	3.33	3.81	4.16	4.15	3.25
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	3	5	4	3.92	1160/1539	3.73	3.98	4.23	4.25	3.92
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	1	0	3	9	0	3.54	1547/1560	4.13	4.48	4.64	4.61	3.54
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	2	7	3	4.08	899/1545	4.02	4.10	4.14	4.09	4.08
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	1	1	3	5	4.20	1184/1496	4.49	4.44	4.49	4.52	4.20
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	4	0	0	0	1	0	8	4.78	903/1498	4.88	4.80	4.75	4.78	4.78
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	1	1	2	6	4.30	946/1496	4.39	4.39	4.37	4.36	4.30
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	2	2	6	4.40	850/1494	4.32	4.40	4.37	4.41	4.40
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	4	1	0	0	1	3	4	4.38	504/1352	4.31	4.47	4.12	4.14	4.38
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	1	1	3	4.40	565/1248	4.14	4.21	4.23	4.25	4.40
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	8	0	0	0	1	0	4	4.60	542/1250	4.48	4.36	4.39	4.40	4.60
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	8	0	0	0	1	0	4	4.60	616/1239	4.44	4.44	4.45	4.45	4.60
4. Were special techniques successful	8	1	0	1	0	0	3	4.25	403/906	3.92	4.09	4.13	4.19	4.25

Course-Section: ART 210 04

Title: Visual Concepts

Instructor: Gardner, Symmes

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 13

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	12	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/214	****	****	4.31	4.60	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	12	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/207	****	****	4.44	4.67	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	12	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/199	****	****	4.27	4.51	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	12	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/64	****	****	4.44	4.35	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	12	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/52	****	****	4.41	4.33	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	12	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/63	****	****	4.09	3.65	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/29	****	****	4.19	5.00	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	12	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/29	****	****	4.11	4.25	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	12	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/24	****	****	4.25	4.50	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	12	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/26	****	****	3.89	4.00	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	12	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/25	****	****	4.01	4.75	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/31	****	****	4.35	4.75	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	12	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/22	****	****	4.13	5.00	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: ART 210 04

Title: Visual Concepts

Instructor: Gardner,Symmes

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 13

Questionnaires: 13

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	12	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/29	****	****	4.41	5.00	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	9	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	3	Under-grad	13	Non-major	11
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	4	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	3						

Course-Section: ART 211 01

Title: Visual Concepts II/Cam

Instructor: Silberg, Steven

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 14

	_			Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	2	1	10	4.62	528/1560	4.53	4.39	4.35	4.37	4.62
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	4	9	4.69	370/1559	4.72	4.30	4.31	4.33	4.69
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	5	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	328/1371	4.66	4.45	4.38	4.40	4.75
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	4	8	4.54	514/1519	4.50	4.26	4.27	4.29	4.54
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	0	2	3	7	4.15	814/1452	3.70	4.00	4.18	4.22	4.15
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	2	5	5	4.08	846/1430	3.85	3.81	4.16	4.15	4.08
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	4	8	4.54	508/1539	4.54	3.98	4.23	4.25	4.54
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	408/1560	4.66	4.48	4.64	4.61	4.92
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	0	7	3	4.30	679/1545	4.34	4.10	4.14	4.09	4.30
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	3	10	4.77	472/1496	4.75	4.44	4.49	4.52	4.77
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	1	12	4.92	445/1498	4.89	4.80	4.75	4.78	4.92
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	4	9	4.69	462/1496	4.75	4.39	4.37	4.36	4.69
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	4	9	4.69	493/1494	4.57	4.40	4.37	4.41	4.69
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	1	0	1	0	3	8	4.50	353/1352	4.43	4.47	4.12	4.14	4.50
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	2	9	2	4.00	822/1248	4.35	4.21	4.23	4.25	4.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	1	3	9	4.62	531/1250	4.73	4.36	4.39	4.40	4.62
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	1	0	2	10	4.62	607/1239	4.72	4.44	4.45	4.45	4.62

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: ART 211 01

Title: Visual Concepts II/Cam

Instructor: Silberg, Steven

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 14

Questionnaires: 13

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	0	6	0	1	1	2	3	4.00	519/906	3.96	4.09	4.13	4.19	4.00

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	1	Α	8	Required for Majors	8	Graduate	0	Major	7
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	4						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	1	Under-grad	13	Non-major	6
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	3	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	2				
				?	1						

Course-Section: ART 211 02

Title: Visual Concepts II/Cam

Instructor: Keniston, Charlo

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 13

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	2	0	1	7	4.30	920/1560	4.53	4.39	4.35	4.37	4.30
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	1	8	4.70	357/1559	4.72	4.30	4.31	4.33	4.70
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	4	5	4.40	747/1371	4.66	4.45	4.38	4.40	4.40
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	2	1	6	4.20	917/1519	4.50	4.26	4.27	4.29	4.20
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	4	3	3	3.90	1056/1452	3.70	4.00	4.18	4.22	3.90
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	0	1	5	3	3.90	1007/1430	3.85	3.81	4.16	4.15	3.90
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	2	0	1	7	4.30	798/1539	4.54	3.98	4.23	4.25	4.30
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	2	8	4.80	695/1560	4.66	4.48	4.64	4.61	4.80
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	1	0	0	1	2	3	4.33	639/1545	4.34	4.10	4.14	4.09	4.33
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	2	8	4.80	402/1496	4.75	4.44	4.49	4.52	4.80
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	2	8	4.80	852/1498	4.89	4.80	4.75	4.78	4.80
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	3	6	4.67	504/1496	4.75	4.39	4.37	4.36	4.67
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	5	5	4.50	726/1494	4.57	4.40	4.37	4.41	4.50
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	1	0	0	2	1	6	4.44	425/1352	4.43	4.47	4.12	4.14	4.44
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	1	1	2	4	4.13	777/1248	4.35	4.21	4.23	4.25	4.13
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	1	2	5	4.50	616/1250	4.73	4.36	4.39	4.40	4.50
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	0	4	4	4.50	677/1239	4.72	4.44	4.45	4.45	4.50
4. Were special techniques successful	2	2	1	1	0	2	2	3.50	778/906	3.96	4.09	4.13	4.19	3.50

Course-Section: ART 211 02

Title: Visual Concepts II/Cam

Instructor: Keniston, Charlo

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 13

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/206	****	****	4.25	4.58	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/214	****	****	4.31	4.60	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/204	****	****	4.52	4.64	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/207	****	****	4.44	4.67	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/199	****	****	4.27	4.51	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/64	****	****	4.44	4.35	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/58	****	****	4.37	4.33	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/52	****	****	4.41	4.33	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/66	****	****	4.41	4.25	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/63	****	****	4.09	3.65	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	****	4.19	5.00	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	****	4.11	4.25	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/24	****	****	4.25	4.50	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/26	****	****	3.89	4.00	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/25	****	****	4.01	4.75	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	****	****	4.35	4.75	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/22	****	****	4.13	5.00	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	****	4.41	5.00	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: ART 211 02

Title: Visual Concepts II/Cam

Instructor: Keniston, Charlo

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 13

Questionnaires: 10

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/14	****	****	4.03	4.75	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/10	****	****	3.94	4.75	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	3	Required for Majors	4	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	4						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	1	С	2	General	1	Under-grad	10	Non-major	10
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	1						

Course-Section: ART 211 03

Title: Visual Concepts II/Cam

Instructor: Gentis, Mieke

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 16

	_			Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	3	10	4.77	326/1560	4.53	4.39	4.35	4.37	4.77
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	0	12	4.85	189/1559	4.72	4.30	4.31	4.33	4.85
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	3	0	0	1	1	8	4.70	395/1371	4.66	4.45	4.38	4.40	4.70
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	2	10	4.69	317/1519	4.50	4.26	4.27	4.29	4.69
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	1	1	1	8	4.17	803/1452	3.70	4.00	4.18	4.22	4.17
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	1	1	0	2	0	8	4.27	682/1430	3.85	3.81	4.16	4.15	4.27
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	1	2	9	4.67	349/1539	4.54	3.98	4.23	4.25	4.67
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	2	10	4.83	622/1560	4.66	4.48	4.64	4.61	4.83
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	1	3	7	4.55	369/1545	4.34	4.10	4.14	4.09	4.55
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	3	9	4.75	489/1496	4.75	4.44	4.49	4.52	4.75
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	12	5.00	1/1498	4.89	4.80	4.75	4.78	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	1	10	4.91	160/1496	4.75	4.39	4.37	4.36	4.91
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	2	10	4.83	289/1494	4.57	4.40	4.37	4.41	4.83
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	1	3	8	4.58	283/1352	4.43	4.47	4.12	4.14	4.58
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	191/1248	4.35	4.21	4.23	4.25	4.86
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	6	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1250	4.73	4.36	4.39	4.40	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	6	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	320/1239	4.72	4.44	4.45	4.45	4.86
4. Were special techniques successful	6	0	0	1	2	0	4	4.00	519/906	3.96	4.09	4.13	4.19	4.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: ART 211 03

Title: Visual Concepts II/Cam

Instructor: Gentis, Mieke

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 16

Questionnaires: 13

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	12	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/214	****	****	4.31	4.60	****
Seminar														
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/52	****	****	4.41	4.33	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/66	****	****	4.41	4.25	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	12	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/63	****	****	4.09	3.65	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/29	****	****	4.19	5.00	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	12	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/29	****	****	4.11	4.25	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	12	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/26	****	****	3.89	4.00	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/31	****	****	4.35	4.75	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	1	Α	9	Required for Majors	12	Graduate	0	Major	8
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	4						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	13	Non-major	5
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	3	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: ART 211 04

Title: Visual Concepts II/Cam

Instructor: Seley, Alexandra

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 13

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	2	3	7	4.42	793/1560	4.53	4.39	4.35	4.37	4.42
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	1	2	8	4.64	453/1559	4.72	4.30	4.31	4.33	4.64
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	7	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	261/1371	4.66	4.45	4.38	4.40	4.80
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	3	8	4.58	457/1519	4.50	4.26	4.27	4.29	4.58
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	5	3	0	2	1	1	2.57	1437/1452	3.70	4.00	4.18	4.22	2.57
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	2	2	3	2	3	3.17	1355/1430	3.85	3.81	4.16	4.15	3.17
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	4	8	4.67	349/1539	4.54	3.98	4.23	4.25	4.67
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	11	1	4.08	1415/1560	4.66	4.48	4.64	4.61	4.08
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	1	6	3	4.20	788/1545	4.34	4.10	4.14	4.09	4.20
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	1	2	9	4.67	643/1496	4.75	4.44	4.49	4.52	4.67
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	2	10	4.83	763/1498	4.89	4.80	4.75	4.78	4.83
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	1	1	9	4.73	417/1496	4.75	4.39	4.37	4.36	4.73
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	2	2	7	4.25	993/1494	4.57	4.40	4.37	4.41	4.25
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	1	0	1	2	2	6	4.18	697/1352	4.43	4.47	4.12	4.14	4.18
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	2	2	6	4.40	565/1248	4.35	4.21	4.23	4.25	4.40
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	0	2	8	4.80	325/1250	4.73	4.36	4.39	4.40	4.80
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	0	1	9	4.90	252/1239	4.72	4.44	4.45	4.45	4.90
4. Were special techniques successful	2	4	0	0	1	2	3	4.33	360/906	3.96	4.09	4.13	4.19	4.33

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: ART 211 04

Title: Visual Concepts II/Cam

Instructor: Seley, Alexandra

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 13

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	11	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/214	****	****	4.31	4.60	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	11	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/207	****	****	4.44	4.67	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	11	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/29	****	****	4.19	5.00	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	11	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/29	****	****	4.11	4.25	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	11	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/26	****	****	3.89	4.00	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	10	0	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	****/31	****	****	4.35	4.75	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	10	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/22	****	****	4.13	5.00	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	10	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/29	****	****	4.41	5.00	****
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	10	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/14	****	****	4.03	4.75	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: ART 211 04

Title: Visual Concepts II/Cam

Instructor: Seley, Alexandra

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 13

Questionnaires: 12

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	10	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/10	****	****	3.94	4.75	****

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	8	Required for Majors	9	Graduate	0	Major	9
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	4						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	12	Non-major	3
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	2				
				?	0						

Course-Section: ART 212 01

Title: Visual Concepts III/3D

Instructor: Shiflet, Nicole

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 15

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	3	3	7	4.31	920/1560	3.75	4.39	4.35	4.37	4.31
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	3	9	4.62	481/1559	3.86	4.30	4.31	4.33	4.62
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	9	0	1	0	0	3	4.25	887/1371	4.63	4.45	4.38	4.40	4.25
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	4	8	4.54	514/1519	3.96	4.26	4.27	4.29	4.54
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	2	3	3	4	3.54	1278/1452	3.34	4.00	4.18	4.22	3.54
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	3	0	1	4	1	4	3.80	1061/1430	3.37	3.81	4.16	4.15	3.80
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	4	1	8	4.31	798/1539	3.93	3.98	4.23	4.25	4.31
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	5.00	1/1560	4.83	4.48	4.64	4.61	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	2	5	5	4.25	733/1545	3.60	4.10	4.14	4.09	4.25
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	2	1	9	4.58	769/1496	4.39	4.44	4.49	4.52	4.58
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	500/1498	4.63	4.80	4.75	4.78	4.92
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	3	9	4.75	371/1496	4.28	4.39	4.37	4.36	4.75
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	1	0	3	8	4.50	726/1494	4.12	4.40	4.37	4.41	4.50
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	1	4	7	4.50	353/1352	4.45	4.47	4.12	4.14	4.50
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	4	7	4.64	373/1248	4.01	4.21	4.23	4.25	4.64
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	0	2	9	4.82	315/1250	4.19	4.36	4.39	4.40	4.82
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	2	0	8	4.60	616/1239	4.29	4.44	4.45	4.45	4.60
4. Were special techniques successful	3	2	0	0	1	3	4	4.38	332/906	4.03	4.09	4.13	4.19	4.38

Course-Section: ART 212 01

Title: Visual Concepts III/3D

Instructor: Shiflet, Nicole

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 15

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	10	2	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/206	****	****	4.25	4.58	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	10	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/214	****	****	4.31	4.60	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	10	2	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/204	****	****	4.52	4.64	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	10	2	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/207	****	****	4.44	4.67	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	10	2	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/199	****	****	4.27	4.51	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	10	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	****/64	****	****	4.44	4.35	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	10	2	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/58	****	****	4.37	4.33	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	10	2	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/52	****	****	4.41	4.33	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	10	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/66	****	****	4.41	4.25	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	10	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/63	****	****	4.09	3.65	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	10	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	****/29	****	****	4.19	5.00	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	10	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	****/29	****	****	4.11	4.25	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	10	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/24	****	****	4.25	4.50	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	10	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/26	****	****	3.89	4.00	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	10	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/25	****	****	4.01	4.75	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	10	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	****/31	****	****	4.35	4.75	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	10	1	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/22	****	****	4.13	5.00	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	10	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/29	****	****	4.41	5.00	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: ART 212 01

Title: Visual Concepts III/3D

Instructor: Shiflet, Nicole

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 15

Questionnaires: 13

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	10	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/14	****	****	4.03	4.75	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	10	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/10	****	****	3.94	4.75	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	8	Required for Majors	13	Graduate	0	Major	11
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	5						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	13	Non-major	2
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: ART 212 02

Title: Visual Concepts III/3D

Instructor: Shiflet, Nicole

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 11

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	4	4	2	3.80	1349/1560	3.75	4.39	4.35	4.37	3.80
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	1	5	3	4.00	1158/1559	3.86	4.30	4.31	4.33	4.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	1	2	4	2	3.78	1281/1519	3.96	4.26	4.27	4.29	3.78
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	5	1	1	2	0	1	2.80	1425/1452	3.34	4.00	4.18	4.22	2.80
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	7	0	0	2	1	0	3.33	1320/1430	3.37	3.81	4.16	4.15	3.33
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	2	0	4	4	4.00	1077/1539	3.93	3.98	4.23	4.25	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1560	4.83	4.48	4.64	4.61	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	1	2	6	0	3.56	1318/1545	3.60	4.10	4.14	4.09	3.56
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	2	4	3	4.11	1243/1496	4.39	4.44	4.49	4.52	4.11
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	1	4	3	4.25	1391/1498	4.63	4.80	4.75	4.78	4.25
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	3	2	3	4.00	1175/1496	4.28	4.39	4.37	4.36	4.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	1	2	2	3	3.88	1246/1494	4.12	4.40	4.37	4.41	3.88
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	0	0	1	3	4	4.38	504/1352	4.45	4.47	4.12	4.14	4.38
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	1	1	2	2	2	3.38	1127/1248	4.01	4.21	4.23	4.25	3.38
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	1	2	2	2	3.71	1103/1250	4.19	4.36	4.39	4.40	3.71
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	1	2	4	4.43	747/1239	4.29	4.44	4.45	4.45	4.43

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: ART 212 02

Title: Visual Concepts III/3D

Instructor: Shiflet, Nicole

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 11
Questionnaires: 10

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	3	4	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	519/906	4.03	4.09	4.13	4.19	4.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	3	0.00-0.99	0	Α	9	Required for Majors	9	Graduate	0	Major	10
28-55	3	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	1	General	0	Under-grad	10	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	3	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: ART 212 03

Title: Visual Concepts III/3D

Instructor: Bradley, Stephen

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 12

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	3	1	2	0	3	2.89	1543/1560	3.75	4.39	4.35	4.37	2.89
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	2	2	2	2	1	2.78	1543/1559	3.86	4.30	4.31	4.33	2.78
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	8	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/1371	4.63	4.45	4.38	4.40	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	2	2	0	1	3	3.13	1487/1519	3.96	4.26	4.27	4.29	3.13
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	7	2	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/1452	3.34	4.00	4.18	4.22	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	3	1	2	2	1	2.67	1413/1430	3.37	3.81	4.16	4.15	2.67
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	2	0	2	3	2	3.33	1422/1539	3.93	3.98	4.23	4.25	3.33
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1560	4.83	4.48	4.64	4.61	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	3	1	1	3	1	2.78	1507/1545	3.60	4.10	4.14	4.09	2.78
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	1	0	2	0	5	4.00	1281/1496	4.39	4.44	4.49	4.52	4.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	1	1	6	4.63	1132/1498	4.63	4.80	4.75	4.78	4.63
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	1	0	3	1	3	3.63	1351/1496	4.28	4.39	4.37	4.36	3.63
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	2	0	1	2	3	3.50	1384/1494	4.12	4.40	4.37	4.41	3.50
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	1	0	1	1	5	4.13	754/1352	4.45	4.47	4.12	4.14	4.13
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	2	0	1	2	2	3.29	1150/1248	4.01	4.21	4.23	4.25	3.29
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	2	0	0	2	3	3.57	1138/1250	4.19	4.36	4.39	4.40	3.57
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	1	1	2	2	1	3.14	1212/1239	4.29	4.44	4.45	4.45	3.14

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: ART 212 03

Title: Visual Concepts III/3D

Instructor: Bradley, Stephen

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 12

Questionnaires: 9

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	2	3	1	0	1	2	0	3.00	852/906	4.03	4.09	4.13	4.19	3.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	١	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	3	Required for Majors	9	Graduate	0	Major	8
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	5						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	1	С	1	General	0	Under-grad	9	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	3	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: ART 212 04

Title: Visual Concepts III/3D

Instructor: Sheffield, John

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 15

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	1	0	4	3	7	4.00	1193/1560	3.75	4.39	4.35	4.37	4.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	1	1	2	3	8	4.07	1115/1559	3.86	4.30	4.31	4.33	4.07
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	9	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1371	4.63	4.45	4.38	4.40	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	2	2	10	4.40	693/1519	3.96	4.26	4.27	4.29	4.40
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	3	2	1	1	3	5	3.67	1214/1452	3.34	4.00	4.18	4.22	3.67
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	2	2	1	1	4	5	3.69	1136/1430	3.37	3.81	4.16	4.15	3.69
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	1	2	1	2	9	4.07	1041/1539	3.93	3.98	4.23	4.25	4.07
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	10	5	4.33	1228/1560	4.83	4.48	4.64	4.61	4.33
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	1	0	1	3	5	3	3.83	1156/1545	3.60	4.10	4.14	4.09	3.83
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	2	13	4.87	297/1496	4.39	4.44	4.49	4.52	4.87
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	1	0	1	13	4.73	971/1498	4.63	4.80	4.75	4.78	4.73
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	1	2	12	4.73	401/1496	4.28	4.39	4.37	4.36	4.73
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	1	1	12	4.60	609/1494	4.12	4.40	4.37	4.41	4.60
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	1	1	13	4.80	114/1352	4.45	4.47	4.12	4.14	4.80
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	1	1	10	4.75	271/1248	4.01	4.21	4.23	4.25	4.75
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	0	2	0	10	4.67	479/1250	4.19	4.36	4.39	4.40	4.67
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	0	0	12	5.00	1/1239	4.29	4.44	4.45	4.45	5.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: ART 212 04

Title: Visual Concepts III/3D

Instructor: Sheffield, John

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 15

Questionnaires: 15

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	3	4	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	126/906	4.03	4.09	4.13	4.19	4.75

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	9	Required for Majors	14	Graduate	0	Major	14
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	5						
56-83	3	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	15	Non-major	1
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	5	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: ART 213 01

Title: Visual Concepts IV/4D

Instructor: Zeltzman, Domini

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 16

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	1	3	2	9	4.27	970/1560	4.22	4.39	4.35	4.37	4.27
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	5	1	8	4.07	1115/1559	4.40	4.30	4.31	4.33	4.07
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	9	0	0	3	0	3	4.00	1066/1371	4.38	4.45	4.38	4.40	4.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	1	1	3	1	8	4.00	1060/1519	4.40	4.26	4.27	4.29	4.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	7	2	1	2	0	3	3.13	1391/1452	3.56	4.00	4.18	4.22	3.13
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	9	2	0	1	0	3	3.33	1320/1430	3.67	3.81	4.16	4.15	3.33
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	1	5	3	5	3.86	1214/1539	4.25	3.98	4.23	4.25	3.86
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	6	9	4.60	961/1560	4.71	4.48	4.64	4.61	4.60
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	1	7	5	1	3.43	1382/1545	3.94	4.10	4.14	4.09	3.43
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	1	4	4	5	3.93	1329/1496	4.33	4.44	4.49	4.52	3.93
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	1	4	4	5	3.93	1457/1498	4.28	4.80	4.75	4.78	3.93
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	2	5	1	6	3.79	1300/1496	4.26	4.39	4.37	4.36	3.79
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	0	5	1	7	3.93	1215/1494	4.19	4.40	4.37	4.41	3.93
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	1	4	1	8	4.14	735/1352	4.44	4.47	4.12	4.14	4.14
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	1	3	1	6	4.09	795/1248	4.23	4.21	4.23	4.25	4.09
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	0	4	1	6	4.18	863/1250	4.47	4.36	4.39	4.40	4.18
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	1	4	0	6	4.00	971/1239	4.31	4.44	4.45	4.45	4.00
4. Were special techniques successful	4	2	2	0	2	0	5	3.67	727/906	4.00	4.09	4.13	4.19	3.67

Course-Section: ART 213 01

Title: Visual Concepts IV/4D

Instructor: Zeltzman, Domini

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 16

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	14	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/206	****	****	4.25	4.58	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	14	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/214	****	****	4.31	4.60	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	14	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/204	****	****	4.52	4.64	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	14	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/207	****	****	4.44	4.67	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	14	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/199	****	****	4.27	4.51	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	14	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/64	****	****	4.44	4.35	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	14	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/58	****	****	4.37	4.33	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	14	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/52	****	****	4.41	4.33	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	14	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/66	****	****	4.41	4.25	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	14	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/63	****	****	4.09	3.65	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	14	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	****	4.19	5.00	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	14	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	****	4.11	4.25	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	14	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/24	****	****	4.25	4.50	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	14	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/26	****	****	3.89	4.00	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	14	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/25	****	****	4.01	4.75	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	14	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	****	****	4.35	4.75	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	14	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/22	****	****	4.13	5.00	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	14	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	****	4.41	5.00	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: ART 213 01

Title: Visual Concepts IV/4D

Instructor: Zeltzman, Domini

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 16

Questionnaires: 15

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	14	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/14	****	****	4.03	4.75	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	14	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/10	****	****	3.94	4.75	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	Α	10	Required for Majors	15	Graduate	0	Major	14
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	5						
56-83	3	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	15	Non-major	1
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	3	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: ART 213 02

Title: Visual Concepts IV/4D

Instructor: Anchor, Kristen

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 14

	_			Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	1	2	2	6	4.18	1064/1560	4.22	4.39	4.35	4.37	4.18
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	3	8	4.73	332/1559	4.40	4.30	4.31	4.33	4.73
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	7	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	328/1371	4.38	4.45	4.38	4.40	4.75
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	2	8	4.80	207/1519	4.40	4.26	4.27	4.29	4.80
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	8	0	1	0	0	2	4.00	948/1452	3.56	4.00	4.18	4.22	4.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	6	0	1	1	0	3	4.00	889/1430	3.67	3.81	4.16	4.15	4.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	2	8	4.64	392/1539	4.25	3.98	4.23	4.25	4.64
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	2	9	4.82	670/1560	4.71	4.48	4.64	4.61	4.82
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	1	3	5	4.44	490/1545	3.94	4.10	4.14	4.09	4.44
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	3	8	4.73	542/1496	4.33	4.44	4.49	4.52	4.73
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	1	2	8	4.64	1118/1498	4.28	4.80	4.75	4.78	4.64
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	1	1	9	4.73	417/1496	4.26	4.39	4.37	4.36	4.73
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	2	2	7	4.45	788/1494	4.19	4.40	4.37	4.41	4.45
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	3	8	4.73	168/1352	4.44	4.47	4.12	4.14	4.73
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	2	1	5	4.38	588/1248	4.23	4.21	4.23	4.25	4.38
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	381/1250	4.47	4.36	4.39	4.40	4.75
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	1	1	6	4.63	598/1239	4.31	4.44	4.45	4.45	4.63

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: ART 213 02

Title: Visual Concepts IV/4D

Instructor: Anchor, Kristen

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 14

Questionnaires: 11

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	3	2	0	0	1	2	3	4.33	360/906	4.00	4.09	4.13	4.19	4.33

Credits Earned		Cum. GPA		Expected Grades		Reasons	Туре		Majors		
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	6	Required for Majors	10	Graduate	0	Major	7
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	4						
56-83	3	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	11	Non-major	4
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	5	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: ART 214 01

Title: Drawing I

Instructor: Kachadourian, Ga

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 14

			Frequencies		Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect			
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course		0	0	0	1	6	6	4.38	830/1560	4.69	4.39	4.35	4.37	4.38
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals		0	0	0	3	4	6	4.23	962/1559	4.59	4.30	4.31	4.33	4.23
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals		11	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1371	4.67	4.45	4.38	4.40	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals		3	0	0	2	2	5	4.33	779/1519	4.52	4.26	4.27	4.29	4.33
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned		11	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1452	4.83	4.00	4.18	4.22	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained		5	1	1	2	2	2	3.38	1417/1539	3.86	3.98	4.23	4.25	3.38
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	10	3	4.23	1311/1560	4.22	4.48	4.64	4.61	4.23
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	3	6	4	4.08	905/1545	4.53	4.10	4.14	4.09	4.08
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	4	2	5	4.09	1253/1496	4.50	4.44	4.49	4.52	4.09
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	2	4	5	4.27	1382/1498	4.82	4.80	4.75	4.78	4.27
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	2	5	4	4.18	1052/1496	4.43	4.39	4.37	4.36	4.18
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	2	4	5	4.27	977/1494	4.51	4.40	4.37	4.41	4.27
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	5	0	0	2	0	3	4.20	679/1352	4.41	4.47	4.12	4.14	4.20
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	3	3	3	4.00	822/1248	4.28	4.21	4.23	4.25	4.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	2	0	3	2	2	3.22	1202/1250	4.30	4.36	4.39	4.40	3.22
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	1	0	1	3	4	4.00	971/1239	4.50	4.44	4.45	4.45	4.00
4. Were special techniques successful	4	8	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/906	4.25	4.09	4.13	4.19	****
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	11	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/214	****	****	4.31	4.60	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: ART 214 01

Title: Drawing I

Instructor: Kachadourian, Ga

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 14

Questionnaires: 13

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	12	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/204	****	****	4.52	4.64	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	12	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/199	****	****	4.27	4.51	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	4	0.00-0.99	0	Α	8	Required for Majors	7	Graduate	0	Major	6
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	2						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	1	General	1	Under-grad	13	Non-major	7
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	2				
				?	1						

Course-Section: ART 214 02

Title: Drawing I

Instructor: Kissack,Lyle

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 12

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	184/1560	4.69	4.39	4.35	4.37	4.89
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	2	6	4.56	561/1559	4.59	4.30	4.31	4.33	4.56
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	8	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1371	4.67	4.45	4.38	4.40	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	0	5	2	4.29	837/1519	4.52	4.26	4.27	4.29	4.29
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	6	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	****/1452	4.83	4.00	4.18	4.22	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	7	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1430	4.15	3.81	4.16	4.15	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	1	1	1	3	1	1	3.00	1474/1539	3.86	3.98	4.23	4.25	3.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	8	0	4.00	1445/1560	4.22	4.48	4.64	4.61	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	0	3	4	4.57	341/1545	4.53	4.10	4.14	4.09	4.57
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	1	3	4	4.38	1037/1496	4.50	4.44	4.49	4.52	4.38
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1498	4.82	4.80	4.75	4.78	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	2	4	2	4.00	1175/1496	4.43	4.39	4.37	4.36	4.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	1	0	2	5	4.38	880/1494	4.51	4.40	4.37	4.41	4.38
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	3	0	1	1	0	3	4.00	823/1352	4.41	4.47	4.12	4.14	4.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	1	1	1	3	4.00	822/1248	4.28	4.21	4.23	4.25	4.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	0	1	2	3	4.33	757/1250	4.30	4.36	4.39	4.40	4.33
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	1	2	3	4.33	812/1239	4.50	4.44	4.45	4.45	4.33
4. Were special techniques successful	3	4	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/906	4.25	4.09	4.13	4.19	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: ART 214 02

Title: Drawing I

Instructor: Kissack,Lyle

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 12

Questionnaires: 9

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/214	****	****	4.31	4.60	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	7	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/204	****	****	4.52	4.64	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	7	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/207	****	****	4.44	4.67	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	****	4.19	5.00	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	****	4.11	4.25	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/31	****	****	4.35	4.75	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	1	Α	6	Required for Majors	4	Graduate	0	Major	3
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	3						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	2	Under-grad	9	Non-major	6
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	1				
				?	0						

Course-Section: ART 214 03

Title: Drawing I

Instructor: Kissack,Lyle

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 15

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	313/1560	4.69	4.39	4.35	4.37	4.78
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	0	8	4.78	272/1559	4.59	4.30	4.31	4.33	4.78
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	5	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1371	4.67	4.45	4.38	4.40	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	143/1519	4.52	4.26	4.27	4.29	4.88
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	5	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1452	4.83	4.00	4.18	4.22	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	5	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1430	4.15	3.81	4.16	4.15	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	223/1539	3.86	3.98	4.23	4.25	4.78
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	5	4	4.44	1122/1560	4.22	4.48	4.64	4.61	4.44
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	2	0	0	1	0	6	4.71	209/1545	4.53	4.10	4.14	4.09	4.71
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	1	0	7	4.75	489/1496	4.50	4.44	4.49	4.52	4.75
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1498	4.82	4.80	4.75	4.78	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	371/1496	4.43	4.39	4.37	4.36	4.75
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	1	6	4.63	583/1494	4.51	4.40	4.37	4.41	4.63
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	3	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1352	4.41	4.47	4.12	4.14	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	2	3	4.60	398/1248	4.28	4.21	4.23	4.25	4.60
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	325/1250	4.30	4.36	4.39	4.40	4.80
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1239	4.50	4.44	4.45	4.45	5.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: ART 214 03

Title: Drawing I

Instructor: Kissack,Lyle

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 15

Questionnaires: 9

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	4	1	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/906	4.25	4.09	4.13	4.19	5.00

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	6	Required for Majors	6	Graduate	0	Major	4
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	2						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	0	С	1	General	1	Under-grad	9	Non-major	5
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means ther	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: ART 214 04

Title: Drawing I

Instructor: Shellow,Leslie

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 13

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	1	8	4.70	402/1560	4.69	4.39	4.35	4.37	4.70
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	8	4.80	236/1559	4.59	4.30	4.31	4.33	4.80
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	7	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	810/1371	4.67	4.45	4.38	4.40	4.33
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	2	7	4.60	435/1519	4.52	4.26	4.27	4.29	4.60
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	7	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	272/1452	4.83	4.00	4.18	4.22	4.67
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	2	3	1	3	3.30	1332/1430	4.15	3.81	4.16	4.15	3.30
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	1	2	6	4.30	798/1539	3.86	3.98	4.23	4.25	4.30
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	8	2	4.20	1336/1560	4.22	4.48	4.64	4.61	4.20
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	1	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	180/1545	4.53	4.10	4.14	4.09	4.75
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	454/1496	4.50	4.44	4.49	4.52	4.78
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1498	4.82	4.80	4.75	4.78	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	340/1496	4.43	4.39	4.37	4.36	4.78
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	0	8	4.78	376/1494	4.51	4.40	4.37	4.41	4.78
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	2	1	6	4.44	425/1352	4.41	4.47	4.12	4.14	4.44
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	1	1	4	4.50	470/1248	4.28	4.21	4.23	4.25	4.50
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	295/1250	4.30	4.36	4.39	4.40	4.83
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	0	1	0	5	4.67	563/1239	4.50	4.44	4.45	4.45	4.67

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: ART 214 04

Title: Drawing I

Instructor: Shellow,Leslie

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 13

Questionnaires: 10

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	4	2	1	0	1	0	2	3.50	778/906	4.25	4.09	4.13	4.19	3.50

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP		Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
	uiiicu		•	LAPCCCCU						_	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	6	Required for Majors	5	Graduate	0	Major	4
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	2	General	1	Under-grad	10	Non-major	6
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	3	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: ART 215 01

Title: Intro To Art & Media

Instructor: Durant, Mark R

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 125

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	1	6	15	29	4.41	793/1560	4.41	4.39	4.35	4.37	4.41
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	3	6	10	31	4.38	798/1559	4.38	4.30	4.31	4.33	4.38
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	26	0	0	2	5	16	4.61	513/1371	4.61	4.45	4.38	4.40	4.61
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	4	0	0	5	13	28	4.50	549/1519	4.50	4.26	4.27	4.29	4.50
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	6	0	1	5	10	28	4.48	469/1452	4.48	4.00	4.18	4.22	4.48
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	1	7	14	27	4.37	597/1430	4.37	3.81	4.16	4.15	4.37
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	0	0	6	11	32	4.53	508/1539	4.53	3.98	4.23	4.25	4.53
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	1	0	1	0	0	48	4.94	318/1560	4.94	4.48	4.64	4.61	4.94
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	6	2	0	1	6	18	18	4.23	755/1545	4.23	4.10	4.14	4.09	4.23
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	3	3	45	4.82	367/1496	4.82	4.44	4.49	4.52	4.82
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	1	5	44	4.86	674/1498	4.86	4.80	4.75	4.78	4.86
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	2	7	41	4.78	324/1496	4.78	4.39	4.37	4.36	4.78
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	7	6	37	4.55	679/1494	4.55	4.40	4.37	4.41	4.55
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	0	1	1	3	44	4.84	103/1352	4.84	4.47	4.12	4.14	4.84
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	27	0	1	1	2	3	17	4.42	555/1248	4.42	4.21	4.23	4.25	4.42
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	27	0	0	2	2	1	19	4.54	586/1250	4.54	4.36	4.39	4.40	4.54
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	27	0	0	0	0	3	21	4.88	292/1239	4.88	4.44	4.45	4.45	4.88
4. Were special techniques successful	27	15	2	0	0	1	6	4.00	****/906	****	4.09	4.13	4.19	****

Course-Section: ART 215 01

Title: Intro To Art & Media

Instructor: Durant, Mark R

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 125

Questionnaires: 51

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	49	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/206	****	****	4.25	4.58	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	50	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/214	****	****	4.31	4.60	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	50	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/204	****	****	4.52	4.64	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	50	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/207	****	****	4.44	4.67	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	50	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/199	****	****	4.27	4.51	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	49	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/64	****	****	4.44	4.35	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	49	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/58	****	****	4.37	4.33	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	49	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/52	****	****	4.41	4.33	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	49	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/66	****	****	4.41	4.25	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	49	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/63	****	****	4.09	3.65	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	50	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	****	4.19	5.00	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	50	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	****	4.11	4.25	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	50	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/24	****	****	4.25	4.50	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	50	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/26	****	****	3.89	4.00	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	50	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/25	****	****	4.01	4.75	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	50	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	****	****	4.35	4.75	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	50	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/22	****	****	4.13	5.00	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	50	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	****	4.41	5.00	****

Run Date: 7/9/2013 3:59:18 PM

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: ART 215 01

Title: Intro To Art & Media

Instructor: Durant, Mark R

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 125

Questionnaires: 51

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	50	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/14	****	****	4.03	4.75	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	50	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/10	****	****	3.94	4.75	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	4	0.00-0.99	1	Α	36	Required for Majors	32	Graduate	0	Major	17
28-55	3	1.00-1.99	0	В	7						
56-83	6	2.00-2.99	3	С	1	General	6	Under-grad	51	Non-major	34
84-150	7	3.00-3.49	7	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	8	F	0	Electives	3	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	1				
				?	5						

Course-Section: ART 216 01

Title: Studies in Vis. Culture

Instructor: Spitz, Ellen H

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 45

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	3	7	9	12	3.97	1227/1560	3.97	4.39	4.35	4.37	3.97
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	2	5	8	5	10	3.53	1440/1559	3.53	4.30	4.31	4.33	3.53
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	2	9	6	14	4.03	1050/1371	4.03	4.45	4.38	4.40	4.03
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	18	1	0	2	6	4	3.92	1153/1519	3.92	4.26	4.27	4.29	3.92
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	1	2	7	8	4	9	3.37	1341/1452	3.37	4.00	4.18	4.22	3.37
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	23	0	0	3	1	4	4.13	811/1430	4.13	3.81	4.16	4.15	4.13
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	1	1	9	6	14	4.00	1077/1539	4.00	3.98	4.23	4.25	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	20	11	4.35	1212/1560	4.35	4.48	4.64	4.61	4.35
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	9	1	0	2	7	5	8	3.86	1131/1545	3.86	4.10	4.14	4.09	3.86
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	2	4	7	16	4.28	1128/1496	4.28	4.44	4.49	4.52	4.28
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	1	0	1	27	4.86	674/1498	4.86	4.80	4.75	4.78	4.86
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	2	6	7	14	4.14	1096/1496	4.14	4.39	4.37	4.36	4.14
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	2	3	1	23	4.55	667/1494	4.55	4.40	4.37	4.41	4.55
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	1	2	1	1	6	18	4.32	557/1352	4.32	4.47	4.12	4.14	4.32
Discussion										_				
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	13	0	2	1	5	6	5	3.58	1052/1248	3.58	4.21	4.23	4.25	3.58
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	13	0	0	0	7	3	9	4.11	919/1250	4.11	4.36	4.39	4.40	4.11
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	13	0	0	1	6	4	8	4.00	971/1239	4.00	4.44	4.45	4.45	4.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: ART 216 01

Title: Studies in Vis. Culture

Instructor: Spitz, Ellen H

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 45

Questionnaires: 32

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	13	14	1	1	1	0	2	3.20	****/906	****	4.09	4.13	4.19	****

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP	A	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	4	0.00-0.99	0	Α	15	Required for Majors	27	Graduate	1	Major	21
28-55	4	1.00-1.99	0	В	9						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	1	С	5	General	0	Under-grad	31	Non-major	11
84-150	5	3.00-3.49	11	D	0						
Grad.	1	3.50-4.00	9	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	1				
				?	3						

Course-Section: ART 220 01

Title: Art History I

Instructor: Ottesen, Bodil B

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 85

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	5	4	5	8	10	3.44	1489/1560	3.44	4.39	4.35	4.37	3.44
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	4	4	6	9	9	3.47	1460/1559	3.47	4.30	4.31	4.33	3.47
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	6	6	2	12	6	3.19	1341/1371	3.19	4.45	4.38	4.40	3.19
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	4	3	7	8	9	3.48	1417/1519	3.48	4.26	4.27	4.29	3.48
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	2	7	5	16	4.06	900/1452	4.06	4.00	4.18	4.22	4.06
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	1	3	6	7	7	7	3.30	1332/1430	3.30	3.81	4.16	4.15	3.30
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	5	2	5	8	11	3.58	1363/1539	3.58	3.98	4.23	4.25	3.58
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	2	0	0	0	13	17	4.57	997/1560	4.57	4.48	4.64	4.61	4.57
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	4	2	13	8	3	3.13	1467/1545	3.13	4.10	4.14	4.09	3.13
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	1	4	4	7	16	4.03	1271/1496	4.03	4.44	4.49	4.52	4.03
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	1	4	5	22	4.50	1239/1498	4.50	4.80	4.75	4.78	4.50
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	3	3	6	9	10	3.65	1346/1496	3.65	4.39	4.37	4.36	3.65
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	2	3	2	5	6	14	3.87	1251/1494	3.87	4.40	4.37	4.41	3.87
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	2	5	0	4	7	14	3.83	994/1352	3.83	4.47	4.12	4.14	3.83
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	11	0	11	0	5	3	2	2.29	1240/1248	2.29	4.21	4.23	4.25	2.29
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	12	0	9	1	6	2	2	2.35	1248/1250	2.35	4.36	4.39	4.40	2.35
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	12	0	6	2	7	2	3	2.70	1230/1239	2.70	4.44	4.45	4.45	2.70
4. Were special techniques successful	12	14	1	0	4	1	0	2.83	****/906	****	4.09	4.13	4.19	****

Course-Section: ART 220 01

Title: Art History I

Instructor: Ottesen, Bodil B

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 85

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	31	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/206	****	****	4.25	4.58	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	31	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/214	****	****	4.31	4.60	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	31	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/204	****	****	4.52	4.64	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	31	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/207	****	****	4.44	4.67	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	31	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/199	****	****	4.27	4.51	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	31	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/64	****	****	4.44	4.35	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	31	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/58	****	****	4.37	4.33	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	31	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/52	****	****	4.41	4.33	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	31	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/66	****	****	4.41	4.25	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	31	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/63	****	****	4.09	3.65	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	31	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	****	4.19	5.00	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	31	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	****	4.11	4.25	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	31	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/24	****	****	4.25	4.50	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	31	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/26	****	****	3.89	4.00	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	31	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/25	****	****	4.01	4.75	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	31	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	****	****	4.35	4.75	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	31	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/22	****	****	4.13	5.00	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	31	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	****	4.41	5.00	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: ART 220 01

Title: Art History I

Instructor: Ottesen, Bodil B

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 85

Questionnaires: 32

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	31	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/14	****	****	4.03	4.75	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	31	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/10	****	****	3.94	4.75	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	Α	5	Required for Majors	9	Graduate	0	Major	7
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	13						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	3	С	9	General	17	Under-grad	32	Non-major	25
84-150	5	3.00-3.49	4	D	3						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	5	F	0	Electives	3	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	2				
				?	0						

Course-Section: ART 221 01

Title: Art History II

Instructor: Ottesen, Bodil B

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 66

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	1	5	13	10	4.10	1136/1560	4.10	4.39	4.35	4.37	4.10
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	5	6	17	4.34	845/1559	4.34	4.30	4.31	4.33	4.34
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	2	4	22	4.62	489/1371	4.62	4.45	4.38	4.40	4.62
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	1	1	5	8	13	4.11	1005/1519	4.11	4.26	4.27	4.29	4.11
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	2	4	3	19	4.28	681/1452	4.28	4.00	4.18	4.22	4.28
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	5	0	3	4	7	10	4.00	889/1430	4.00	3.81	4.16	4.15	4.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	4	6	18	4.41	663/1539	4.41	3.98	4.23	4.25	4.41
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	8	20	4.71	840/1560	4.71	4.48	4.64	4.61	4.71
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	5	0	0	0	6	13	5	3.96	1025/1545	3.96	4.10	4.14	4.09	3.96
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	1	1	27	4.90	245/1496	4.90	4.44	4.49	4.52	4.90
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	29	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.80	4.75	4.78	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	1	12	16	4.52	688/1496	4.52	4.39	4.37	4.36	4.52
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	6	23	4.79	346/1494	4.79	4.40	4.37	4.41	4.79
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	4	1	0	4	6	13	4.25	629/1352	4.25	4.47	4.12	4.14	4.25
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	14	0	4	1	1	1	8	3.53	1067/1248	3.53	4.21	4.23	4.25	3.53
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	14	0	2	1	4	2	6	3.60	1132/1250	3.60	4.36	4.39	4.40	3.60
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	14	0	1	0	5	1	8	4.00	971/1239	4.00	4.44	4.45	4.45	4.00
4. Were special techniques successful	15	12	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	****/906	****	4.09	4.13	4.19	****

Course-Section: ART 221 01

Title: Art History II

Instructor: Ottesen, Bodil B

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 66

·				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	25	3	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/206	****	****	4.25	4.58	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	25	0	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	****/214	****	****	4.31	4.60	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	25	3	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/204	****	****	4.52	4.64	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	25	3	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/207	****	****	4.44	4.67	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	25	3	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/199	****	****	4.27	4.51	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	24	3	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/64	****	****	4.44	4.35	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	24	1	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	****/58	****	****	4.37	4.33	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	24	1	0	1	0	1	2	4.00	****/52	****	****	4.41	4.33	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	24	2	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/66	****	****	4.41	4.25	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	24	2	0	0	0	3	0	4.00	****/63	****	****	4.09	3.65	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	25	0	1	0	1	1	1	3.25	****/29	****	****	4.19	5.00	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	25	0	0	0	1	2	1	4.00	****/29	****	****	4.11	4.25	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	25	1	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/24	****	****	4.25	4.50	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	26	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/26	****	****	3.89	4.00	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	26	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/25	****	****	4.01	4.75	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	26	0	2	0	0	0	1	2.33	****/31	****	****	4.35	4.75	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	26	2	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/22	****	****	4.13	5.00	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	26	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/29	****	****	4.41	5.00	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: ART 221 01

Title: Art History II

Instructor: Ottesen, Bodil B

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 66

Questionnaires: 29

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	26	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/14	****	****	4.03	4.75	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	26	2	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/10	****	****	3.94	4.75	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	Α	8	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	3	1.00-1.99	0	В	14						
56-83	4	2.00-2.99	2	С	3	General	15	Under-grad	29	Non-major	28
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	3	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	5	F	0	Electives	7	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	2				
				?	4						

Course-Section: ART 305 01

Title: Moving Images I

Instructor: Yeager,Steve

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 12

	_			Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	313/1560	4.78	4.39	4.35	4.42	4.78
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	4	5	4.56	561/1559	4.56	4.30	4.31	4.35	4.56
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	1	3	4	4.38	774/1371	4.38	4.45	4.38	4.41	4.38
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	3	6	4.67	356/1519	4.67	4.26	4.27	4.33	4.67
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	2	0	1	5	4.13	846/1452	4.13	4.00	4.18	4.21	4.13
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	1	3	4	4.38	587/1430	4.38	3.81	4.16	4.20	4.38
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	4	4	4.33	761/1539	4.33	3.98	4.23	4.27	4.33
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	7	2	4.22	1319/1560	4.22	4.48	4.64	4.66	4.22
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	1	0	0	0	3	4	4.57	341/1545	4.57	4.10	4.14	4.19	4.57
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	489/1496	4.75	4.44	4.49	4.54	4.75
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	615/1498	4.89	4.80	4.75	4.79	4.89
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	3	6	4.67	504/1496	4.67	4.39	4.37	4.43	4.67
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	3	6	4.67	532/1494	4.67	4.40	4.37	4.43	4.67
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	134/1352	4.78	4.47	4.12	4.23	4.78
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	1	2	0	3	3.83	941/1248	3.83	4.21	4.23	4.33	3.83
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	1	0	2	3	4.17	877/1250	4.17	4.36	4.39	4.47	4.17
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	0	3	3	4.50	677/1239	4.50	4.44	4.45	4.53	4.50

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: ART 305 01

Title: Moving Images I

Instructor: Yeager,Steve

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 12

Questionnaires: 9

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	3	1	0	0	0	2	3	4.60	188/906	4.60	4.09	4.13	4.14	4.60

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	6	Required for Majors	6	Graduate	0	Major	9
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	3						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	1	Under-grad	9	Non-major	0
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	0						

Course-Section: ART 314 01

Title: Drawing II

Instructor: Kachadourian, Ga

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 20

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	1	7	3	4	3.67	1424/1560	3.67	4.39	4.35	4.42	3.67
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	4	6	2	3	3.27	1497/1559	3.27	4.30	4.31	4.35	3.27
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	12	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	****/1371	****	4.45	4.38	4.41	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	3	1	4	5	3.85	1228/1519	3.85	4.26	4.27	4.33	3.85
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	13	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/1452	****	4.00	4.18	4.21	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	14	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/1430	****	3.81	4.16	4.20	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	4	3	1	4	1	2	2.82	1502/1539	2.82	3.98	4.23	4.27	2.82
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	13	2	4.13	1386/1560	4.13	4.48	4.64	4.66	4.13
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	1	5	2	4	3.75	1212/1545	3.75	4.10	4.14	4.19	3.75
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	3	2	3	5	3.77	1385/1496	3.77	4.44	4.49	4.54	3.77
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	2	0	11	4.69	1036/1498	4.69	4.80	4.75	4.79	4.69
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	3	2	2	5	3.75	1312/1496	3.75	4.39	4.37	4.43	3.75
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	2	4	2	5	3.77	1301/1494	3.77	4.40	4.37	4.43	3.77
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	1	0	1	2	7	2	3.83	994/1352	3.83	4.47	4.12	4.23	3.83
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	3	2	3	4.00	822/1248	4.00	4.21	4.23	4.33	4.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	7	0	1	0	3	2	2	3.50	1154/1250	3.50	4.36	4.39	4.47	3.50
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	7	0	0	0	1	2	5	4.50	677/1239	4.50	4.44	4.45	4.53	4.50

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: ART 314 01
Title: Drawing II
Instructor: Kachadourian,Ga

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 20
Questionnaires: 15

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	7	3	1	0	2	0	2	3.40	798/906	3.40	4.09	4.13	4.14	3.40

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	10	Required for Majors	7	Graduate	0	Major	14
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	5						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	15	Non-major	1
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	6	F	0	Electives	8	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: ART 321 01

Title: Enlightenment to Moderni

Instructor: Smalls, James

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 52

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	2	0	2	1	7	7	20	4.14	1109/1560	4.14	4.39	4.35	4.42	4.14
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	2	2	4	16	13	3.97	1189/1559	3.97	4.30	4.31	4.35	3.97
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	1	2	4	7	9	14	3.81	1203/1371	3.81	4.45	4.38	4.41	3.81
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	3	6	1	9	11	7	3.35	1453/1519	3.35	4.26	4.27	4.33	3.35
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	1	4	4	9	6	13	3.56	1271/1452	3.56	4.00	4.18	4.21	3.56
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	3	0	4	4	10	7	11	3.47	1258/1430	3.47	3.81	4.16	4.20	3.47
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	5	5	9	5	13	3.43	1405/1539	3.43	3.98	4.23	4.27	3.43
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	21	16	4.43	1134/1560	4.43	4.48	4.64	4.66	4.43
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	5	0	2	3	6	15	8	3.71	1244/1545	3.71	4.10	4.14	4.19	3.71
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	4	3	29	4.69	593/1496	4.69	4.44	4.49	4.54	4.69
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	1	4	32	4.84	763/1498	4.84	4.80	4.75	4.79	4.84
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	3	5	9	20	4.24	999/1496	4.24	4.39	4.37	4.43	4.24
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	2	1	3	6	24	4.36	891/1494	4.36	4.40	4.37	4.43	4.36
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	3	1	1	7	5	19	4.21	669/1352	4.21	4.47	4.12	4.23	4.21
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	21	0	3	1	9	1	4	3.11	1180/1248	3.11	4.21	4.23	4.33	3.11
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	20	0	0	6	9	1	3	3.05	1219/1250	3.05	4.36	4.39	4.47	3.05
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	20	0	1	7	7	2	2	2.84	1226/1239	2.84	4.44	4.45	4.53	2.84

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: ART 321 01

Title: Enlightenment to Moderni

Instructor: Smalls, James

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 52

Questionnaires: 39

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	21	11	0	2	2	0	3	3.57	****/906	****	4.09	4.13	4.14	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	15	Required for Majors	31	Graduate	0	Major	31
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	8						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	0	С	10	General	0	Under-grad	39	Non-major	8
84-150	11	3.00-3.49	5	D	2						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	14	F	0	Electives	4	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	1				
				?	4						

Course-Section: ART 325 01

Title: Hist Of Film & Video

Instructor: Pawloski, Robert

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 65

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	1	7	16	11	4.06	1164/1560	4.06	4.39	4.35	4.42	4.06
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	4	8	12	11	3.86	1302/1559	3.86	4.30	4.31	4.35	3.86
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	1	5	7	8	13	3.79	1206/1371	3.79	4.45	4.38	4.41	3.79
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	20	1	2	4	2	4	3.46	1424/1519	3.46	4.26	4.27	4.33	3.46
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	6	10	18	4.35	601/1452	4.35	4.00	4.18	4.21	4.35
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	20	2	1	3	1	6	3.62	1184/1430	3.62	3.81	4.16	4.20	3.62
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	1	2	9	5	17	4.03	1065/1539	4.03	3.98	4.23	4.27	4.03
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	1	0	0	0	9	24	4.73	824/1560	4.73	4.48	4.64	4.66	4.73
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	5	0	1	13	10	2	3.50	1342/1545	3.50	4.10	4.14	4.19	3.50
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	1	4	9	19	4.39	1018/1496	4.39	4.44	4.49	4.54	4.39
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	4	29	4.88	644/1498	4.88	4.80	4.75	4.79	4.88
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	3	7	11	12	3.97	1206/1496	3.97	4.39	4.37	4.43	3.97
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	1	2	9	6	15	3.97	1181/1494	3.97	4.40	4.37	4.43	3.97
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	0	1	2	7	23	4.58	292/1352	4.58	4.47	4.12	4.23	4.58
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	14	0	2	2	4	7	6	3.62	1037/1248	3.62	4.21	4.23	4.33	3.62
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	14	0	2	1	8	4	6	3.52	1149/1250	3.52	4.36	4.39	4.47	3.52
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	14	0	2	2	4	4	9	3.76	1100/1239	3.76	4.44	4.45	4.53	3.76
4. Were special techniques successful	14	17	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	****/906	****	4.09	4.13	4.14	****

Course-Section: ART 325 01

Title: Hist Of Film & Video

Instructor: Pawloski,Robert

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 65

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	33	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/214	****	****	4.31	4.33	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	33	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/207	****	****	4.44	4.42	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	33	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/199	****	****	4.27	4.17	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	32	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/64	****	****	4.44	4.60	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	32	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/58	****	****	4.37	4.51	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	32	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/52	****	****	4.41	4.27	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	32	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/66	****	****	4.41	4.54	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	32	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/63	****	****	4.09	4.19	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	33	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/29	****	****	4.19	3.97	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	33	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/29	****	****	4.11	3.90	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	33	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/24	****	****	4.25	3.98	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	33	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/26	****	****	3.89	3.58	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	33	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/25	****	****	4.01	3.42	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	33	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/31	****	****	4.35	4.13	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	33	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/22	****	****	4.13	4.87	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	33	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	****	4.41	4.78	****
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	33	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/14	****	****	4.03	5.00	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: ART 325 01

Title: Hist Of Film & Video

Instructor: Pawloski, Robert

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 65

Questionnaires: 35

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	33	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/10	***	****	3.94	****	****

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	7	Required for Majors	13	Graduate	0	Major	16
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	15						
56-83	4	2.00-2.99	3	С	7	General	6	Under-grad	35	Non-major	19
84-150	4	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	8	F	0	Electives	7	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	5						

Course-Section: ART 325 01

Title: Hist Of Film & Video

Instructor: Sturgeon, John

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 65

	NR NA 1 2 3 4 5 Mean Rank							structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect	
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	1	7	16	11	4.06	1164/1560	4.06	4.39	4.35	4.42	4.06
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	4	8	12	11	3.86	1302/1559	3.86	4.30	4.31	4.35	3.86
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	1	5	7	8	13	3.79	1206/1371	3.79	4.45	4.38	4.41	3.79
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	20	1	2	4	2	4	3.46	1424/1519	3.46	4.26	4.27	4.33	3.46
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	6	10	18	4.35	601/1452	4.35	4.00	4.18	4.21	4.35
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	20	2	1	3	1	6	3.62	1184/1430	3.62	3.81	4.16	4.20	3.62
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	1	2	9	5	17	4.03	1065/1539	4.03	3.98	4.23	4.27	4.03
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	1	0	0	0	9	24	4.73	824/1560	4.73	4.48	4.64	4.66	4.73
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	31	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	****/1545	3.50	4.10	4.14	4.19	3.50
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	32	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/1496	4.39	4.44	4.49	4.54	4.39
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	32	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/1498	4.88	4.80	4.75	4.79	4.88
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	32	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/1496	3.97	4.39	4.37	4.43	3.97
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	32	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/1494	3.97	4.40	4.37	4.43	3.97
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	32	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/1352	4.58	4.47	4.12	4.23	4.58
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	14	0	2	2	4	7	6	3.62	1037/1248	3.62	4.21	4.23	4.33	3.62
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	14	0	2	1	8	4	6	3.52	1149/1250	3.52	4.36	4.39	4.47	3.52
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	14	0	2	2	4	4	9	3.76	1100/1239	3.76	4.44	4.45	4.53	3.76
4. Were special techniques successful	14	17	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	****/906	****	4.09	4.13	4.14	****

Course-Section: ART 325 01

Title: Hist Of Film & Video

Instructor: Sturgeon, John

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 65

	ation 33 0 0 0 0 1 1 4.50 ****/2						structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect		
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	33	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/214	****	****	4.31	4.33	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	33	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/207	****	****	4.44	4.42	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	33	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/199	****	****	4.27	4.17	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	32	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/64	****	****	4.44	4.60	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	32	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/58	****	****	4.37	4.51	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	32	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/52	****	****	4.41	4.27	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	32	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/66	****	****	4.41	4.54	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	32	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/63	****	****	4.09	4.19	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	33	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/29	****	****	4.19	3.97	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	33	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/29	****	****	4.11	3.90	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	33	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/24	****	****	4.25	3.98	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	33	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/26	****	****	3.89	3.58	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	33	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/25	****	****	4.01	3.42	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	33	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/31	****	****	4.35	4.13	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	33	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/22	****	****	4.13	4.87	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	33	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	****	4.41	4.78	****
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	33	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/14	****	****	4.03	5.00	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: ART 325 01

Title: Hist Of Film & Video

Instructor: Sturgeon, John

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 65

Questionnaires: 35

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	33	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/10	***	****	3.94	****	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	7	Required for Majors	13	Graduate	0	Major	16
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	15						
56-83	4	2.00-2.99	3	С	7	General	6	Under-grad	35	Non-major	19
84-150	4	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	8	F	0	Electives	7	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	5						

Course-Section: ART 327 01

Title: Cont Directions in Photo

Instructor: Durant, Mark R

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 44

	NR NA 1 2 3 4 5 Mean Rank							tructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect	
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	2	4	27	4.76	339/1560	4.76	4.39	4.35	4.42	4.76
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	1	0	4	3	24	4.53	587/1559	4.53	4.30	4.31	4.35	4.53
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	19	0	0	1	0	13	4.86	215/1371	4.86	4.45	4.38	4.41	4.86
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	5	26	4.73	284/1519	4.73	4.26	4.27	4.33	4.73
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	3	6	24	4.64	301/1452	4.64	4.00	4.18	4.21	4.64
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	1	1	1	0	6	23	4.58	343/1430	4.58	3.81	4.16	4.20	4.58
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	3	2	7	21	4.39	689/1539	4.39	3.98	4.23	4.27	4.39
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	32	4.97	182/1560	4.97	4.48	4.64	4.66	4.97
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	11	0	0	0	0	9	13	4.59	323/1545	4.59	4.10	4.14	4.19	4.59
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	2	30	4.94	159/1496	4.94	4.44	4.49	4.54	4.94
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	2	30	4.94	389/1498	4.94	4.80	4.75	4.79	4.94
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	3	29	4.91	160/1496	4.91	4.39	4.37	4.43	4.91
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	0	0	3	28	4.78	361/1494	4.78	4.40	4.37	4.43	4.78
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	5	0	2	0	0	2	24	4.64	232/1352	4.64	4.47	4.12	4.23	4.64
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	14	0	1	0	0	3	15	4.63	373/1248	4.63	4.21	4.23	4.33	4.63
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	14	0	0	0	0	1	18	4.95	135/1250	4.95	4.36	4.39	4.47	4.95
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	14	0	0	0	0	1	18	4.95	151/1239	4.95	4.44	4.45	4.53	4.95
4. Were special techniques successful	14	12	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	****/906	****	4.09	4.13	4.14	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: ART 327 01

Title: Cont Directions in Photo

Instructor: Durant, Mark R

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 44

Questionnaires: 33

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	31	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/206	****	****	4.25	4.22	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	31	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/214	****	****	4.31	4.33	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	A	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	24	Required for Majors	14	Graduate	0	Major	11
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	4						
56-83	7	2.00-2.99	3	С	0	General	5	Under-grad	33	Non-major	22
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	4	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	7	F	0	Electives	8	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	2				
				?	5						

Course-Section: ART 328 01

Title: Postmodernism (1960-pres

Instructor: Mahoney, James W

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 50

	NR NA 1 2 3 4 5 Mean Ra							structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect	
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	2	7	21	4.63	500/1560	4.63	4.39	4.35	4.42	4.63
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	6	7	17	4.37	821/1559	4.37	4.30	4.31	4.35	4.37
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	3	9	17	4.48	656/1371	4.48	4.45	4.38	4.41	4.48
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	12	0	0	1	7	10	4.50	549/1519	4.50	4.26	4.27	4.33	4.50
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	2	1	1	9	17	4.27	693/1452	4.27	4.00	4.18	4.21	4.27
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	6	1	0	3	8	12	4.25	700/1430	4.25	3.81	4.16	4.20	4.25
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	2	5	8	15	4.20	913/1539	4.20	3.98	4.23	4.27	4.20
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	24	6	4.20	1336/1560	4.20	4.48	4.64	4.66	4.20
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	1	0	1	11	15	4.39	559/1545	4.39	4.10	4.14	4.19	4.39
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	1	28	4.97	91/1496	4.97	4.44	4.49	4.54	4.97
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	29	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.80	4.75	4.79	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	1	2	2	24	4.69	476/1496	4.69	4.39	4.37	4.43	4.69
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	1	0	3	25	4.79	346/1494	4.79	4.40	4.37	4.43	4.79
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	1	0	0	0	7	21	4.75	148/1352	4.75	4.47	4.12	4.23	4.75
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	11	0	0	1	0	2	16	4.74	288/1248	4.74	4.21	4.23	4.33	4.74
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	11	0	0	0	0	5	14	4.74	404/1250	4.74	4.36	4.39	4.47	4.74
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	11	0	0	0	1	2	16	4.79	416/1239	4.79	4.44	4.45	4.53	4.79

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: ART 328 01

Title: Postmodernism (1960-pres

Instructor: Mahoney, James W

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 50

Questionnaires: 30

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	11	14	0	0	1	0	4	4.60	****/906	****	4.09	4.13	4.14	****

Credits Earned		Cum. GPA		Expected Grades		Reasons	Туре		Majors		
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	24	Required for Majors	24	Graduate	0	Major	27
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	5						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	1	Under-grad	30	Non-major	3
84-150	7	3.00-3.49	6	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	10	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: ART 329 01

Title: Art History - Vis. Cultu

Instructor: Smalls, James

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 19

	Frequencies			Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect				
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	1	1	3	9	4.43	779/1560	4.18	4.39	4.35	4.42	4.43
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	1	0	6	6	4.31	892/1559	3.92	4.30	4.31	4.35	4.31
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	3	0	0	1	4	6	4.45	690/1371	4.06	4.45	4.38	4.41	4.45
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	3	0	0	0	2	9	4.82	197/1519	4.10	4.26	4.27	4.33	4.82
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	3	2	9	4.43	530/1452	4.06	4.00	4.18	4.21	4.43
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	2	2	10	4.57	354/1430	4.17	3.81	4.16	4.20	4.57
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	1	5	1	6	3.92	1160/1539	3.84	3.98	4.23	4.27	3.92
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	8	6	4.43	1146/1560	4.34	4.48	4.64	4.66	4.43
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	0	0	3	4	3	4.00	952/1545	3.88	4.10	4.14	4.19	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	1	4	9	4.57	782/1496	4.33	4.44	4.49	4.54	4.57
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	1	13	4.93	445/1498	4.78	4.80	4.75	4.79	4.93
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	3	3	8	4.36	889/1496	4.22	4.39	4.37	4.43	4.36
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	1	3	9	4.43	825/1494	4.08	4.40	4.37	4.43	4.43
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	1	1	12	4.79	127/1352	4.73	4.47	4.12	4.23	4.79
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	2	9	4.82	219/1248	4.33	4.21	4.23	4.33	4.82
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	0	0	3	7	4.70	438/1250	4.10	4.36	4.39	4.47	4.70
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	0	1	2	7	4.60	616/1239	4.30	4.44	4.45	4.53	4.60

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: ART 329 01

Title: Art History - Vis. Cultu

Instructor: Smalls, James

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 19

Questionnaires: 14

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions		NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	4	8	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/906	****	4.09	4.13	4.14	****

Credits Earned		Cum. GPA		Expected Grades		Reasons	Туре		Majors		
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	5	Required for Majors	9	Graduate	0	Major	10
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	9						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	2	Under-grad	14	Non-major	4
84-150	5	3.00-3.49	4	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	3	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: ART 329 02

Title: Art History - Vis. Cultu

Instructor: Moren, Lisa

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 17

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	1	0	3	4	5	3.92	1261/1560	4.18	4.39	4.35	4.42	3.92
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	2	5	3	3	3.54	1440/1559	3.92	4.30	4.31	4.35	3.54
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	1	1	0	4	4	3	3.67	1249/1371	4.06	4.45	4.38	4.41	3.67
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	2	0	4	5	2	3.38	1447/1519	4.10	4.26	4.27	4.33	3.38
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	4	0	5	4	3.69	1196/1452	4.06	4.00	4.18	4.21	3.69
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	1	2	5	4	3.77	1089/1430	4.17	3.81	4.16	4.20	3.77
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	2	3	3	4	3.75	1278/1539	3.84	3.98	4.23	4.27	3.75
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	9	3	4.25	1295/1560	4.34	4.48	4.64	4.66	4.25
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	1	2	8	1	3.75	1212/1545	3.88	4.10	4.14	4.19	3.75
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	1	1	5	4	4.09	1253/1496	4.33	4.44	4.49	4.54	4.09
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	1	2	8	4.64	1118/1498	4.78	4.80	4.75	4.79	4.64
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	1	1	5	4	4.09	1128/1496	4.22	4.39	4.37	4.43	4.09
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	1	1	2	3	4	3.73	1321/1494	4.08	4.40	4.37	4.43	3.73
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	4	0	0	0	0	3	6	4.67	215/1352	4.73	4.47	4.12	4.23	4.67
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	2	3	1	3.83	941/1248	4.33	4.21	4.23	4.33	3.83
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	7	0	0	0	3	3	0	3.50	1154/1250	4.10	4.36	4.39	4.47	3.50
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	7	0	0	0	2	2	2	4.00	971/1239	4.30	4.44	4.45	4.53	4.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: ART 329 02

Title: Art History - Vis. Cultu

Instructor: Moren, Lisa

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 17

Questionnaires: 13

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	8	2	1	0	0	1	1	3.33	****/906	****	4.09	4.13	4.14	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	6	Required for Majors	9	Graduate	0	Major	9
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	4						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	С	2	General	0	Under-grad	13	Non-major	4
84-150	5	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: ART 331 01

Title: Graph Des I: Image, Sign

Instructor: Cordova, Viviana

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 19

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	5	11	4.59	566/1560	4.61	4.39	4.35	4.42	4.59
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	3	6	8	4.29	902/1559	4.19	4.30	4.31	4.35	4.29
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	8	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	328/1371	4.75	4.45	4.38	4.41	4.75
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	6	10	4.63	408/1519	4.61	4.26	4.27	4.33	4.63
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	1	4	5	5	3.93	1023/1452	3.93	4.00	4.18	4.21	3.93
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	1	3	5	6	4.07	852/1430	4.07	3.81	4.16	4.20	4.07
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	3	4	9	4.24	878/1539	3.94	3.98	4.23	4.27	4.24
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	6	11	4.65	919/1560	4.46	4.48	4.64	4.66	4.65
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	1	0	0	1	8	4	4.23	755/1545	4.21	4.10	4.14	4.19	4.23
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	1	4	12	4.65	677/1496	4.60	4.44	4.49	4.54	4.65
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	1	1	15	4.82	792/1498	4.91	4.80	4.75	4.79	4.82
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	2	3	6	6	3.94	1222/1496	4.06	4.39	4.37	4.43	3.94
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	0	7	9	4.41	837/1494	4.30	4.40	4.37	4.43	4.41
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	1	0	1	1	3	10	4.47	401/1352	4.55	4.47	4.12	4.23	4.47
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	1	3	2	10	4.31	634/1248	4.34	4.21	4.23	4.33	4.31
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	1	0	1	4	11	4.41	692/1250	4.48	4.36	4.39	4.47	4.41
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	2	4	11	4.53	664/1239	4.45	4.44	4.45	4.53	4.53

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: ART 331 01

Title: Graph Des I: Image, Sign

Instructor: Cordova, Viviana

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 19

Questionnaires: 17

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	0	6	1	0	1	3	6	4.18	437/906	4.09	4.09	4.13	4.14	4.18

Credits Ea	rned	Cum. GP	١	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	13	Required for Majors	14	Graduate	0	Major	8
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	5	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	17	Non-major	9
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	4	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	3						

Course-Section: ART 331 02

Title: Graph Des I: Image, Sign

Instructor: Abraham, Guenet

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 13

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	4	7	4.64	500/1560	4.61	4.39	4.35	4.42	4.64
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	3	4	4	4.09	1094/1559	4.19	4.30	4.31	4.35	4.09
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	9	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1371	4.75	4.45	4.38	4.41	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	4	6	4.60	435/1519	4.61	4.26	4.27	4.33	4.60
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	9	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1452	3.93	4.00	4.18	4.21	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	10	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1430	4.07	3.81	4.16	4.20	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	1	2	2	1	5	3.64	1342/1539	3.94	3.98	4.23	4.27	3.64
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	1	6	4	4.27	1278/1560	4.46	4.48	4.64	4.66	4.27
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	1	7	3	4.18	807/1545	4.21	4.10	4.14	4.19	4.18
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	2	1	8	4.55	820/1496	4.60	4.44	4.49	4.54	4.55
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	5.00	1/1498	4.91	4.80	4.75	4.79	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	1	2	2	6	4.18	1052/1496	4.06	4.39	4.37	4.43	4.18
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	3	3	5	4.18	1047/1494	4.30	4.40	4.37	4.43	4.18
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	1	2	8	4.64	240/1352	4.55	4.47	4.12	4.23	4.64
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	5	5	4.36	595/1248	4.34	4.21	4.23	4.33	4.36
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	1	3	7	4.55	586/1250	4.48	4.36	4.39	4.47	4.55
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	2	3	6	4.36	792/1239	4.45	4.44	4.45	4.53	4.36

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: ART 331 02

Title: Graph Des I: Image, Sign

Instructor: Abraham, Guenet

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 13

Questionnaires: 11

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	0	6	1	0	0	1	3	4.00	519/906	4.09	4.09	4.13	4.14	4.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	8	Required for Majors	11	Graduate	0	Major	11
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	3						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	11	Non-major	0
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: ART 332 01

Title: Design & Tech I: Print

Instructor: Rosenberg, Ari

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 14

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	2	0	9	4.64	500/1560	4.76	4.39	4.35	4.42	4.64
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	10	4.91	120/1559	4.86	4.30	4.31	4.35	4.91
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	4	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	215/1371	4.74	4.45	4.38	4.41	4.86
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	1	1	0	0	7	4.22	897/1519	4.54	4.26	4.27	4.33	4.22
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	7	1	1	0	0	2	3.25	1366/1452	3.91	4.00	4.18	4.21	3.25
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	10	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1430	4.43	3.81	4.16	4.20	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	3	1	7	4.36	725/1539	4.37	3.98	4.23	4.27	4.36
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	7	3	4.30	1254/1560	4.36	4.48	4.64	4.66	4.30
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	0	3	6	4.67	255/1545	4.58	4.10	4.14	4.19	4.67
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	5.00	1/1496	4.93	4.44	4.49	4.54	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	1	10	4.91	556/1498	4.92	4.80	4.75	4.79	4.91
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	1	10	4.91	160/1496	4.89	4.39	4.37	4.43	4.91
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	10	4.91	191/1494	4.85	4.40	4.37	4.43	4.91
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	1	0	0	3	1	6	4.30	579/1352	4.43	4.47	4.12	4.23	4.30
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	4	0	7	4.27	664/1248	4.37	4.21	4.23	4.33	4.27
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	2	2	1	2	4	3.36	1183/1250	3.76	4.36	4.39	4.47	3.36
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	2	2	7	4.45	721/1239	4.42	4.44	4.45	4.53	4.45

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: ART 332 01

Title: Design & Tech I: Print

Instructor: Rosenberg, Ari

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 14

Questionnaires: 11

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	0	5	2	0	1	2	1	3.00	852/906	3.58	4.09	4.13	4.14	3.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	١	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	7	Required for Majors	11	Graduate	0	Major	8
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	4						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	11	Non-major	3
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: ART 332 02

Title: Design & Tech I: Print

Instructor: Rosenberg, Ari

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 18

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	0	16	4.88	184/1560	4.76	4.39	4.35	4.42	4.88
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	1	1	14	4.81	224/1559	4.86	4.30	4.31	4.35	4.81
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	9	0	0	0	3	5	4.63	489/1371	4.74	4.45	4.38	4.41	4.63
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	3	0	0	0	2	12	4.86	161/1519	4.54	4.26	4.27	4.33	4.86
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	10	0	0	0	3	4	4.57	360/1452	3.91	4.00	4.18	4.21	4.57
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	10	0	0	1	2	4	4.43	532/1430	4.43	3.81	4.16	4.20	4.43
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	4	2	10	4.38	713/1539	4.37	3.98	4.23	4.27	4.38
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	10	7	4.41	1158/1560	4.36	4.48	4.64	4.66	4.41
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	7	0	0	0	0	5	5	4.50	406/1545	4.58	4.10	4.14	4.19	4.50
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	2	13	4.87	297/1496	4.93	4.44	4.49	4.54	4.87
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	1	14	4.93	389/1498	4.92	4.80	4.75	4.79	4.93
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	2	13	4.87	213/1496	4.89	4.39	4.37	4.43	4.87
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	1	1	13	4.80	332/1494	4.85	4.40	4.37	4.43	4.80
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	1	0	0	3	12	4.56	300/1352	4.43	4.47	4.12	4.23	4.56
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	2	3	8	4.46	508/1248	4.37	4.21	4.23	4.33	4.46
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	1	1	6	5	4.15	884/1250	3.76	4.36	4.39	4.47	4.15
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	1	2	1	9	4.38	778/1239	4.42	4.44	4.45	4.53	4.38
4. Were special techniques successful	4	7	0	1	1	0	4	4.17	449/906	3.58	4.09	4.13	4.14	4.17

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: ART 332 02

Title: Design & Tech I: Print

Instructor: Rosenberg, Ari

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 18

Questionnaires: 17

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	16	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/206	****	****	4.25	4.22	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	16	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/214	****	****	4.31	4.33	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	16	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/204	****	****	4.52	4.57	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	16	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/199	****	****	4.27	4.17	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	8	Required for Majors	14	Graduate	1	Major	9
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	4						
56-83	3	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	16	Non-major	8
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	5	D	0						
Grad.	1	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	5						

Course-Section: ART 333 01

Title: Typography I

Instructor: Campbell, Susan

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 14

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	3	2	6	4.27	958/1560	4.52	4.39	4.35	4.42	4.27
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	1	0	4	1	5	3.82	1333/1559	4.33	4.30	4.31	4.35	3.82
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	3	3	5	4.18	952/1371	4.55	4.45	4.38	4.41	4.18
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	3	2	5	4.20	917/1519	4.48	4.26	4.27	4.33	4.20
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	1	1	3	1	4	3.60	1252/1452	3.91	4.00	4.18	4.21	3.60
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	6	1	0	1	1	2	3.60	1190/1430	3.97	3.81	4.16	4.20	3.60
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	4	3	4	4.00	1077/1539	4.04	3.98	4.23	4.27	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	8	3	4.27	1278/1560	4.21	4.48	4.64	4.66	4.27
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	1	0	5	2	2	3.40	1392/1545	3.80	4.10	4.14	4.19	3.40
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	1	0	3	1	5	3.90	1342/1496	4.13	4.44	4.49	4.54	3.90
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	1	3	6	4.50	1239/1498	4.66	4.80	4.75	4.79	4.50
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	1	1	2	2	4	3.70	1331/1496	4.21	4.39	4.37	4.43	3.70
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	1	2	2	4	3.70	1331/1494	4.17	4.40	4.37	4.43	3.70
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	1	1	1	2	5	3.90	940/1352	4.18	4.47	4.12	4.23	3.90
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	1	1	1	4	4.14	762/1248	4.40	4.21	4.23	4.33	4.14
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	1	2	1	3	3.86	1046/1250	4.35	4.36	4.39	4.47	3.86
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	1	1	2	1	2	3.29	1200/1239	3.98	4.44	4.45	4.53	3.29
4. Were special techniques successful	5	0	0	1	1	3	1	3.67	727/906	4.25	4.09	4.13	4.14	3.67

Course-Section: ART 333 01

Title: Typography I

Instructor: Campbell, Susan

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 14

Questionnaires: 11

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	9	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/214	****	****	4.31	4.33	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	9	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/64	****	****	4.44	4.60	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	9	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/58	****	****	4.37	4.51	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	9	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/66	****	****	4.41	4.54	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	9	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/63	****	****	4.09	4.19	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	9	0	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	****/29	****	****	4.19	3.97	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	9	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/29	****	****	4.11	3.90	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	9	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/24	****	****	4.25	3.98	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	9	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/25	****	****	4.01	3.42	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	****/31	****	****	4.35	4.13	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	9	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/22	****	****	4.13	4.87	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: ART 333 01

Title: Typography I

Instructor: Campbell,Susan

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 14

Questionnaires: 11

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	9	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/29	****	****	4.41	4.78	***

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	4	Required for Majors	10	Graduate	0	Major	9
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	6						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	11	Non-major	2
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	3	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: ART 333 02

Title: Typography I

Instructor: Campbell, Susan

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 15

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	1	11	4.77	326/1560	4.52	4.39	4.35	4.42	4.77
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	0	12	4.85	189/1559	4.33	4.30	4.31	4.35	4.85
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	12	4.92	136/1371	4.55	4.45	4.38	4.41	4.92
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	3	10	4.77	245/1519	4.48	4.26	4.27	4.33	4.77
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	4	1	0	1	1	6	4.22	738/1452	3.91	4.00	4.18	4.21	4.22
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	7	0	0	2	0	4	4.33	626/1430	3.97	3.81	4.16	4.20	4.33
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	2	1	4	6	4.08	1035/1539	4.04	3.98	4.23	4.27	4.08
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	11	2	4.15	1372/1560	4.21	4.48	4.64	4.66	4.15
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	1	1	3	5	4.20	788/1545	3.80	4.10	4.14	4.19	4.20
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	1	0	1	1	8	4.36	1047/1496	4.13	4.44	4.49	4.54	4.36
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	2	9	4.82	822/1498	4.66	4.80	4.75	4.79	4.82
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	1	1	9	4.73	417/1496	4.21	4.39	4.37	4.43	4.73
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	1	0	1	9	4.64	570/1494	4.17	4.40	4.37	4.43	4.64
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	0	0	3	0	8	4.45	413/1352	4.18	4.47	4.12	4.23	4.45
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	1	0	5	4.67	348/1248	4.40	4.21	4.23	4.33	4.67
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	7	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	295/1250	4.35	4.36	4.39	4.47	4.83
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	7	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	563/1239	3.98	4.44	4.45	4.53	4.67

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: ART 333 02

Title: Typography I

Instructor: Campbell,Susan

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 15
Questionnaires: 13

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	7	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	103/906	4.25	4.09	4.13	4.14	4.83

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	7	Required for Majors	12	Graduate	0	Major	13
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	5						
56-83	3	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	13	Non-major	0
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	5	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: ART 334 01

Title: Graphic Design IV

Instructor: Cordova, Viviana

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 17

	_			Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	5	10	4.67	458/1560	4.63	4.39	4.35	4.42	4.67
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	5	9	4.53	587/1559	4.48	4.30	4.31	4.35	4.53
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	13	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1371	5.00	4.45	4.38	4.41	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	3	10	4.53	514/1519	4.43	4.26	4.27	4.33	4.53
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	8	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	134/1452	4.86	4.00	4.18	4.21	4.86
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	13	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1430	4.00	3.81	4.16	4.20	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	2	0	0	3	1	9	4.46	594/1539	4.31	3.98	4.23	4.27	4.46
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	1	0	0	0	0	14	5.00	1/1560	4.71	4.48	4.64	4.66	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	6	8	4.57	341/1545	4.34	4.10	4.14	4.19	4.57
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	2	12	4.86	315/1496	4.76	4.44	4.49	4.54	4.86
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	14	5.00	1/1498	4.96	4.80	4.75	4.79	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	1	0	13	4.86	227/1496	4.76	4.39	4.37	4.43	4.86
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	2	2	10	4.57	644/1494	4.58	4.40	4.37	4.43	4.57
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	1	0	0	2	0	11	4.69	190/1352	4.66	4.47	4.12	4.23	4.69
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	2	9	4.82	219/1248	4.36	4.21	4.23	4.33	4.82
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	1	0	0	1	9	4.55	586/1250	4.37	4.36	4.39	4.47	4.55
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	0	1	1	9	4.73	500/1239	4.81	4.44	4.45	4.53	4.73
4. Were special techniques successful	4	4	0	0	0	3	4	4.57	203/906	4.60	4.09	4.13	4.14	4.57

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: ART 334 01

Title: Graphic Design IV

Instructor: Cordova, Viviana

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 17
Questionnaires: 15

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	14	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/214	****	****	4.31	4.33	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	14	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/29	****	****	4.19	3.97	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	14	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/29	****	****	4.11	3.90	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	14	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/24	****	****	4.25	3.98	****
Self Paced										-				
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	14	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/31	****	****	4.35	4.13	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	A	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	12	Required for Majors	14	Graduate	0	Major	12
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	3						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	15	Non-major	3
84-150	4	3.00-3.49	3	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: ART 334 02

Title: Graphic Design IV

Instructor: Cordova, Viviana

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 12

	_			Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	3	8	4.58	566/1560	4.63	4.39	4.35	4.42	4.58
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	3	7	4.42	760/1559	4.48	4.30	4.31	4.35	4.42
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	9	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1371	5.00	4.45	4.38	4.41	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	1	0	1	2	8	4.33	779/1519	4.43	4.26	4.27	4.33	4.33
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	10	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1452	4.86	4.00	4.18	4.21	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	9	0	1	0	0	2	4.00	889/1430	4.00	3.81	4.16	4.20	4.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	2	0	0	2	8	4.17	955/1539	4.31	3.98	4.23	4.27	4.17
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	1	5	6	4.42	1158/1560	4.71	4.48	4.64	4.66	4.42
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	1	0	0	2	5	3	4.10	886/1545	4.34	4.10	4.14	4.19	4.10
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	1	2	9	4.67	643/1496	4.76	4.44	4.49	4.54	4.67
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	500/1498	4.96	4.80	4.75	4.79	4.92
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	1	2	9	4.67	504/1496	4.76	4.39	4.37	4.43	4.67
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	3	8	4.58	632/1494	4.58	4.40	4.37	4.43	4.58
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	1	0	0	0	4	7	4.64	240/1352	4.66	4.47	4.12	4.23	4.64
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	2	0	1	1	6	3.90	916/1248	4.36	4.21	4.23	4.33	3.90
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	1	0	2	0	7	4.20	850/1250	4.37	4.36	4.39	4.47	4.20
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	0	1	9	4.90	252/1239	4.81	4.44	4.45	4.53	4.90

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: ART 334 02

Title: Graphic Design IV

Instructor: Cordova, Viviana

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 12

Questionnaires: 12

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	2	2	0	0	1	1	6	4.63	179/906	4.60	4.09	4.13	4.14	4.63

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP	١	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	9	Required for Majors	10	Graduate	0	Major	9
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	12	Non-major	3
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	2						

Course-Section: ART 336 01

Title: Design & Tech II: Screen

Instructor: Rosenberg, Jason

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 18

				Fre	quend	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	2	12	4.86	218/1560	4.83	4.39	4.35	4.42	4.86
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	12	4.86	178/1559	4.73	4.30	4.31	4.35	4.86
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	8	0	0	2	0	4	4.33	810/1371	4.39	4.45	4.38	4.41	4.33
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	12	4.86	161/1519	4.76	4.26	4.27	4.33	4.86
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	8	0	0	1	0	5	4.67	272/1452	4.43	4.00	4.18	4.21	4.67
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	11	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/1430	4.00	3.81	4.16	4.20	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	1	3	5	4	3.92	1160/1539	4.35	3.98	4.23	4.27	3.92
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	3	8	3	4.00	1445/1560	4.00	4.48	4.64	4.66	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	0	6	6	4.50	406/1545	4.32	4.10	4.14	4.19	4.50
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	1	13	4.93	182/1496	4.76	4.44	4.49	4.54	4.93
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.80	4.75	4.79	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	1	1	12	4.79	324/1496	4.73	4.39	4.37	4.43	4.79
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	1	12	4.92	153/1494	4.91	4.40	4.37	4.43	4.92
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	0	2	11	4.85	100/1352	4.82	4.47	4.12	4.23	4.85
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	1	2	6	4.56	434/1248	4.11	4.21	4.23	4.33	4.56
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	5	0	0	0	1	3	5	4.44	667/1250	4.31	4.36	4.39	4.47	4.44
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	5	0	0	1	1	2	5	4.22	877/1239	3.94	4.44	4.45	4.53	4.22

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: ART 336 01

Title: Design & Tech II: Screen

Instructor: Rosenberg, Jason

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 18

Questionnaires: 14

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	5	6	1	0	0	0	2	3.67	****/906	4.00	4.09	4.13	4.14	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	7	Required for Majors	12	Graduate	0	Major	12
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	5						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	14	Non-major	2
84-150	4	3.00-3.49	3	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	2						

Course-Section: ART 336 02

Title: Design & Tech II: Screen

Instructor: Rosenberg, Jason

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 17

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	2	8	4.80	276/1560	4.83	4.39	4.35	4.42	4.80
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	0	1	8	4.60	495/1559	4.73	4.30	4.31	4.35	4.60
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	1	0	2	6	4.44	701/1371	4.39	4.45	4.38	4.41	4.44
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	1	1	7	4.67	356/1519	4.76	4.26	4.27	4.33	4.67
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	5	0	1	0	1	3	4.20	761/1452	4.43	4.00	4.18	4.21	4.20
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	6	0	1	0	1	2	4.00	889/1430	4.00	3.81	4.16	4.20	4.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	223/1539	4.35	3.98	4.23	4.27	4.78
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	9	0	4.00	1445/1560	4.00	4.48	4.64	4.66	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	1	1	1	4	4.14	846/1545	4.32	4.10	4.14	4.19	4.14
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	1	0	1	8	4.60	744/1496	4.76	4.44	4.49	4.54	4.60
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.80	4.75	4.79	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	1	1	7	4.67	504/1496	4.73	4.39	4.37	4.43	4.67
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	9	4.90	191/1494	4.91	4.40	4.37	4.43	4.90
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	2	8	4.80	114/1352	4.82	4.47	4.12	4.23	4.80
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	3	2	1	3.67	1021/1248	4.11	4.21	4.23	4.33	3.67
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	0	2	1	3	4.17	877/1250	4.31	4.36	4.39	4.47	4.17
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	1	0	1	2	2	3.67	1127/1239	3.94	4.44	4.45	4.53	3.67

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: ART 336 02

Title: Design & Tech II: Screen

Instructor: Rosenberg, Jason

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 17
Questionnaires: 10

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	4	3	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	519/906	4.00	4.09	4.13	4.14	4.00

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	4	Required for Majors	8	Graduate	0	Major	10
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	5						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	10	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	5	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: ART 337 01

Title: Typography II

Instructor: Abraham, Guenet

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 11

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														-
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	4	5	4.56	603/1560	4.19	4.39	4.35	4.42	4.56
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	1	1	2	3	2	3.44	1467/1559	3.14	4.30	4.31	4.35	3.44
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	2	2	4	4.25	867/1519	3.93	4.26	4.27	4.33	4.25
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	1	2	0	6	0	3.22	1437/1539	2.86	3.98	4.23	4.27	3.22
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	2	5	1	1	3.11	1557/1560	3.42	4.48	4.64	4.66	3.11
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	1	0	3	4	1	3.44	1372/1545	2.86	4.10	4.14	4.19	3.44
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	1	6	2	4.11	1243/1496	3.46	4.44	4.49	4.54	4.11
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1498	4.59	4.80	4.75	4.79	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	1	1	2	4	0	3.13	1446/1496	2.84	4.39	4.37	4.43	3.13
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	2	2	1	4	3.78	1296/1494	3.34	4.40	4.37	4.43	3.78
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	1	0	0	2	2	4	4.25	629/1352	3.44	4.47	4.12	4.23	4.25
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	2	1	1	3.75	980/1248	3.53	4.21	4.23	4.33	3.75
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	5	0	1	0	1	0	2	3.50	1154/1250	3.35	4.36	4.39	4.47	3.50
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	5	0	0	0	2	1	1	3.75	1103/1239	3.28	4.44	4.45	4.53	3.75

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: ART 337 01

Title: Typography II

Instructor: Abraham, Guenet

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 11

Questionnaires: 9

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	5	2	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	****/906	2.20	4.09	4.13	4.14	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	5	Required for Majors	8	Graduate	0	Major	8
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	4						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	9	Non-major	1
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: ART 337 02

Title: Typography II

Instructor: Abraham, Guenet

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 15

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	1	0	3	4	4	3.83	1327/1560	4.19	4.39	4.35	4.42	3.83
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	4	1	2	3	2	2.83	1540/1559	3.14	4.30	4.31	4.35	2.83
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	7	1	0	1	0	3	3.80	1203/1371	3.80	4.45	4.38	4.41	3.80
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	2	2	1	1	1	5	3.60	1372/1519	3.93	4.26	4.27	4.33	3.60
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	4	3	1	1	0	3	2.88	1420/1452	2.88	4.00	4.18	4.21	2.88
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	10	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	****/1430	****	3.81	4.16	4.20	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	3	4	3	0	2	2.50	1515/1539	2.86	3.98	4.23	4.27	2.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	1	3	5	2	3.73	1534/1560	3.42	4.48	4.64	4.66	3.73
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	5	1	2	3	0	2.27	1533/1545	2.86	4.10	4.14	4.19	2.27
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	2	3	3	1	2	2.82	1484/1496	3.46	4.44	4.49	4.54	2.82
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	1	2	2	6	4.18	1416/1498	4.59	4.80	4.75	4.79	4.18
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	4	1	3	2	1	2.55	1483/1496	2.84	4.39	4.37	4.43	2.55
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	2	3	2	2	2	2.91	1464/1494	3.34	4.40	4.37	4.43	2.91
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	2	3	0	2	3	0	2.63	1321/1352	3.44	4.47	4.12	4.23	2.63
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	3	1	0	2	4	3.30	1146/1248	3.53	4.21	4.23	4.33	3.30
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	3	1	1	1	4	3.20	1204/1250	3.35	4.36	4.39	4.47	3.20
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	3	2	2	0	3	2.80	1227/1239	3.28	4.44	4.45	4.53	2.80
4. Were special techniques successful	3	5	2	0	3	0	0	2.20	903/906	2.20	4.09	4.13	4.14	2.20

Course-Section: ART 337 02

Title: Typography II

Instructor: Abraham, Guenet

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 15

	_			Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/206	****	****	4.25	4.22	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	12	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/214	****	****	4.31	4.33	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	12	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/204	****	****	4.52	4.57	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/207	****	****	4.44	4.42	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	12	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/199	****	****	4.27	4.17	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/64	****	****	4.44	4.60	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/58	****	****	4.37	4.51	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/52	****	****	4.41	4.27	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/66	****	****	4.41	4.54	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/63	****	****	4.09	4.19	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	****	4.19	3.97	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	****	4.11	3.90	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/24	****	****	4.25	3.98	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	12	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/26	****	****	3.89	3.58	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/25	****	****	4.01	3.42	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	****	****	4.35	4.13	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	12	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/22	****	****	4.13	4.87	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	12	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/29	****	****	4.41	4.78	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: ART 337 02

Title: Typography II

Instructor: Abraham, Guenet

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 15
Questionnaires: 13

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/14	****	****	4.03	5.00	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	7	Required for Majors	10	Graduate	0	Major	10
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	5						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	13	Non-major	3
84-150	4	3.00-3.49	4	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: ART 338 01

Title: Motion Design

Instructor: Bell, Kathryn L

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 16

·				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	2	14	4.88	195/1560	4.88	4.39	4.35	4.42	4.88
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	3	13	4.81	224/1559	4.81	4.30	4.31	4.35	4.81
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	13	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/1371	****	4.45	4.38	4.41	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	5	10	4.56	480/1519	4.56	4.26	4.27	4.33	4.56
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	12	1	0	1	0	2	3.50	1290/1452	3.50	4.00	4.18	4.21	3.50
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	14	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	****/1430	****	3.81	4.16	4.20	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	5	3	8	4.19	934/1539	4.19	3.98	4.23	4.27	4.19
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	14	2	4.13	1393/1560	4.13	4.48	4.64	4.66	4.13
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	1	1	0	0	4	9	4.43	518/1545	4.43	4.10	4.14	4.19	4.43
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	4	12	4.75	489/1496	4.75	4.44	4.49	4.54	4.75
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	1	15	4.94	389/1498	4.94	4.80	4.75	4.79	4.94
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	2	13	4.87	213/1496	4.87	4.39	4.37	4.43	4.87
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	4	11	4.73	436/1494	4.73	4.40	4.37	4.43	4.73
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	1	0	0	0	4	10	4.71	175/1352	4.71	4.47	4.12	4.23	4.71
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	0	4	7	4.64	373/1248	4.64	4.21	4.23	4.33	4.64
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	5	0	0	0	2	3	6	4.36	733/1250	4.36	4.36	4.39	4.47	4.36
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	6	0	0	0	1	2	7	4.60	616/1239	4.60	4.44	4.45	4.53	4.60

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: ART 338 01

Title: Motion Design

Instructor: Bell, Kathryn L

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 16

Questionnaires: 16

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	6	7	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/906	****	4.09	4.13	4.14	****

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	5	Required for Majors	9	Graduate	0	Major	13
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	9						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	С	1	General	0	Under-grad	16	Non-major	3
84-150	4	3.00-3.49	3	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	6	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: ART 341 01

Title: Intro To Animation

Instructor: Cook, Cathy

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 16

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	2	1	0	6	5	3.79	1361/1560	3.79	4.39	4.35	4.42	3.79
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	1	0	3	5	5	3.93	1242/1559	3.93	4.30	4.31	4.35	3.93
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	12	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1371	****	4.45	4.38	4.41	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	6	0	0	1	3	4	4.38	730/1519	4.38	4.26	4.27	4.33	4.38
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	3	3	4	2	2	2.79	1426/1452	2.79	4.00	4.18	4.21	2.79
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	8	0	0	4	1	1	3.50	1244/1430	3.50	3.81	4.16	4.20	3.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	2	5	6	4.14	976/1539	4.14	3.98	4.23	4.27	4.14
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	1	0	0	1	10	2	4.08	1419/1560	4.08	4.48	4.64	4.66	4.08
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	1	1	4	7	0	3.31	1431/1545	3.31	4.10	4.14	4.19	3.31
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	5	3	3	3.82	1371/1496	3.82	4.44	4.49	4.54	3.82
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	1	1	9	4.73	988/1498	4.73	4.80	4.75	4.79	4.73
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	1	4	2	4	3.82	1289/1496	3.82	4.39	4.37	4.43	3.82
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	1	1	6	3	4.00	1147/1494	4.00	4.40	4.37	4.43	4.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	0	2	0	2	2	5	3.73	1070/1352	3.73	4.47	4.12	4.23	3.73
Discussion										_				
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	6	0	2	0	3	0	3	3.25	1158/1248	3.25	4.21	4.23	4.33	3.25
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	6	0	0	1	1	1	5	4.25	815/1250	4.25	4.36	4.39	4.47	4.25
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	7	0	0	1	1	1	4	4.14	922/1239	4.14	4.44	4.45	4.53	4.14

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: ART 341 01

Title: Intro To Animation

Instructor: Cook, Cathy

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 16

Questionnaires: 14

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	7	4	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	****/906	****	4.09	4.13	4.14	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	10	Required for Majors	12	Graduate	0	Major	10
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	2						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	14	Non-major	4
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	2						

Course-Section: ART 343 01

Title: History Of Animation

Instructor: Worden, Frederic

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 45

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	2	2	5	13	10	3.84	1320/1560	3.84	4.39	4.35	4.42	3.84
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	3	8	8	12	3.94	1231/1559	3.94	4.30	4.31	4.35	3.94
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	2	9	9	11	3.94	1126/1371	3.94	4.45	4.38	4.41	3.94
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	16	1	0	5	4	5	3.80	1263/1519	3.80	4.26	4.27	4.33	3.80
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	2	1	7	8	14	3.97	991/1452	3.97	4.00	4.18	4.21	3.97
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	17	1	1	8	0	5	3.47	1263/1430	3.47	3.81	4.16	4.20	3.47
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	7	6	18	4.28	821/1539	4.28	3.98	4.23	4.27	4.28
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	32	5.00	1/1560	5.00	4.48	4.64	4.66	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	5	0	0	0	7	14	6	3.96	1010/1545	3.96	4.10	4.14	4.19	3.96
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	1	4	5	19	4.45	953/1496	4.45	4.44	4.49	4.54	4.45
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	2	3	24	4.76	937/1498	4.76	4.80	4.75	4.79	4.76
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	1	5	6	17	4.34	900/1496	4.34	4.39	4.37	4.43	4.34
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	3	4	6	16	4.21	1033/1494	4.21	4.40	4.37	4.43	4.21
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	0	1	0	2	5	21	4.55	309/1352	4.55	4.47	4.12	4.23	4.55
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	10	0	3	1	6	5	7	3.55	1063/1248	3.55	4.21	4.23	4.33	3.55
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	10	0	1	0	4	3	14	4.32	773/1250	4.32	4.36	4.39	4.47	4.32
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	9	0	0	0	3	0	20	4.74	486/1239	4.74	4.44	4.45	4.53	4.74

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: ART 343 01

Title: History Of Animation

Instructor: Worden, Frederic

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 45

Questionnaires: 32

				Fre	quend	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	9	16	3	0	1	1	2	2.86	****/906	****	4.09	4.13	4.14	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	9	Required for Majors	29	Graduate	0	Major	16
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	14						
56-83	4	2.00-2.99	0	С	4	General	0	Under-grad	32	Non-major	16
84-150	5	3.00-3.49	3	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	9	F	0	Electives 0 **** - Means there are not eno		nough responses			
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	4						

Course-Section: ART 347 01

Title: Writing For Media Arts

Instructor: Kerevel, Emmanue

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 17

			Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	3	13	4.81	264/1560	4.86	4.39	4.35	4.42	4.81
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	4	12	4.75	296/1559	4.83	4.30	4.31	4.35	4.75
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	5	10	4.56	561/1371	4.56	4.45	4.38	4.41	4.56
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	6	9	4.50	549/1519	4.52	4.26	4.27	4.33	4.50
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	2	1	0	2	6	5	4.00	948/1452	4.27	4.00	4.18	4.21	4.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	1	14	4.81	138/1430	4.82	3.81	4.16	4.20	4.81
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	1	1	2	7	5	3.88	1200/1539	4.12	3.98	4.23	4.27	3.88
8. How many times was class cancelled		0	0	0	0	2	14	4.88	526/1560	4.79	4.48	4.64	4.66	4.88
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	0	7	6	4.46	462/1545	4.45	4.10	4.14	4.19	4.46
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	4	12	4.75	489/1496	4.78	4.44	4.49	4.54	4.75
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	5.00	1/1498	4.95	4.80	4.75	4.79	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	7	9	4.56	632/1496	4.64	4.39	4.37	4.43	4.56
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	3	13	4.81	317/1494	4.86	4.40	4.37	4.43	4.81
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	4	12	4.75	148/1352	4.78	4.47	4.12	4.23	4.75
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	7	8	4.53	448/1248	4.55	4.21	4.23	4.33	4.53
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	2	13	4.87	265/1250	4.87	4.36	4.39	4.47	4.87
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	2	13	4.87	306/1239	4.93	4.44	4.45	4.53	4.87

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: ART 347 01

Title: Writing For Media Arts

Instructor: Kerevel, Emmanue

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 17

Questionnaires: 16

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	1	2	1	0	2	4	6	4.08	494/906	4.04	4.09	4.13	4.14	4.08

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	7	Required for Majors	15	Graduate	0	Major	12
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	7						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	С	2	General	0	Under-grad	16	Non-major	4
84-150	6	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	es 0 **** - Means there are not enough		nough responses		
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: ART 347 02

Title: Writing For Media Arts

Instructor: Pawloski,Robert

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 16

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	10	4.91	161/1560	4.86	4.39	4.35	4.42	4.91
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	10	4.91	120/1559	4.83	4.30	4.31	4.35	4.91
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	8	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1371	4.56	4.45	4.38	4.41	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	3	7	4.55	503/1519	4.52	4.26	4.27	4.33	4.55
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	2	1	8	4.55	391/1452	4.27	4.00	4.18	4.21	4.55
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	0	10	4.82	138/1430	4.82	3.81	4.16	4.20	4.82
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	2	3	6	4.36	725/1539	4.12	3.98	4.23	4.27	4.36
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	1	0	0	1	1	8	4.70	857/1560	4.79	4.48	4.64	4.66	4.70
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	0	5	4	4.44	490/1545	4.45	4.10	4.14	4.19	4.44
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	1	0	10	4.82	384/1496	4.78	4.44	4.49	4.54	4.82
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	1	10	4.91	556/1498	4.95	4.80	4.75	4.79	4.91
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	1	1	9	4.73	417/1496	4.64	4.39	4.37	4.43	4.73
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	10	4.91	191/1494	4.86	4.40	4.37	4.43	4.91
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	1	0	10	4.82	110/1352	4.78	4.47	4.12	4.23	4.82
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	3	4	4.57	419/1248	4.55	4.21	4.23	4.33	4.57
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	255/1250	4.87	4.36	4.39	4.47	4.88
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1239	4.93	4.44	4.45	4.53	5.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: ART 347 02

Title: Writing For Media Arts

Instructor: Pawloski, Robert

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 16

Questionnaires: 11

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	3	4	1	0	0	0	3	4.00	519/906	4.04	4.09	4.13	4.14	4.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	4	Required for Majors	9	Graduate	0	Major	10
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	3						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	С	3	General	2	Under-grad	11	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	4	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: ART 361 01

Title: Digital Darkroom

Instructor: Thompson,Calla

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 14

·				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	9	4.90	161/1560	4.90	4.39	4.35	4.42	4.90
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	2	7	4.60	495/1559	4.60	4.30	4.31	4.35	4.60
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	8	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1371	****	4.45	4.38	4.41	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	4	5	4.56	492/1519	4.56	4.26	4.27	4.33	4.56
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	1	4	3	1	3.20	1375/1452	3.20	4.00	4.18	4.21	3.20
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	1	5	2	1	3.10	1366/1430	3.10	3.81	4.16	4.20	3.10
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	3	7	4.70	293/1539	4.70	3.98	4.23	4.27	4.70
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	1	6	3	4.20	1336/1560	4.20	4.48	4.64	4.66	4.20
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	2	2	4	4.25	733/1545	4.25	4.10	4.14	4.19	4.25
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	1	1	7	4.67	643/1496	4.67	4.44	4.49	4.54	4.67
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	615/1498	4.89	4.80	4.75	4.79	4.89
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	1	0	1	7	4.56	644/1496	4.56	4.39	4.37	4.43	4.56
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	1	1	1	6	4.33	922/1494	4.33	4.40	4.37	4.43	4.33
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	0	4	5	4.56	309/1352	4.56	4.47	4.12	4.23	4.56
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	1	0	2	4	4.29	656/1248	4.29	4.21	4.23	4.33	4.29
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1250	5.00	4.36	4.39	4.47	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	320/1239	4.86	4.44	4.45	4.53	4.86
4. Were special techniques successful	3	5	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/906	****	4.09	4.13	4.14	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: ART 361 01

Title: Digital Darkroom

Instructor: Thompson,Calla

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 14

Questionnaires: 10

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/206	****	****	4.25	4.22	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/214	****	****	4.31	4.33	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/29	****	****	4.19	3.97	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	5	Required for Majors	9	Graduate	0	Major	8
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	5						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	10	Non-major	2
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: ART 362 01

Title: Black & White Photogrphy

Instructor: Peregoy, Christo

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 14

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	145/1560	4.92	4.39	4.35	4.42	4.92
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	5	7	4.58	521/1559	4.58	4.30	4.31	4.35	4.58
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	9	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1371	5.00	4.45	4.38	4.41	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	4	8	4.67	356/1519	4.67	4.26	4.27	4.33	4.67
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	2	1	1	0	7	3.82	1114/1452	3.82	4.00	4.18	4.21	3.82
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	2	0	2	0	3	4	4.00	889/1430	4.00	3.81	4.16	4.20	4.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	2	1	9	4.58	456/1539	4.58	3.98	4.23	4.27	4.58
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	2	10	4.83	622/1560	4.83	4.48	4.64	4.66	4.83
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	5	6	4.55	369/1545	4.55	4.10	4.14	4.19	4.55
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	6	5	4.45	940/1496	4.45	4.44	4.49	4.54	4.45
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	11	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.80	4.75	4.79	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	5	5	4.50	700/1496	4.50	4.39	4.37	4.43	4.50
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	4	7	4.64	570/1494	4.64	4.40	4.37	4.43	4.64
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	4	0	0	2	0	5	4.43	449/1352	4.43	4.47	4.12	4.23	4.43
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	253/1248	4.78	4.21	4.23	4.33	4.78
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	0	1	0	8	4.78	358/1250	4.78	4.36	4.39	4.47	4.78
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1239	5.00	4.44	4.45	4.53	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	4	5	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/906	5.00	4.09	4.13	4.14	5.00
										_		_		

Course-Section: ART 362 01

Title: Black & White Photogrphy

Instructor: Peregoy, Christo

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 14

Questionnaires: 12

<u>'</u>				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/206	****	****	4.25	4.22	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/214	****	****	4.31	4.33	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/204	****	****	4.52	4.57	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/207	****	****	4.44	4.42	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/199	****	****	4.27	4.17	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/64	****	****	4.44	4.60	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/58	****	****	4.37	4.51	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/52	****	****	4.41	4.27	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/66	****	****	4.41	4.54	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/63	****	****	4.09	4.19	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	****	4.19	3.97	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	****	4.11	3.90	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/24	****	****	4.25	3.98	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/26	****	****	3.89	3.58	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/25	****	****	4.01	3.42	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	****	****	4.35	4.13	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/22	****	****	4.13	4.87	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	****	4.41	4.78	****

Run Date: 7/9/2013 3:59:20 PM

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: ART 362 01

Title: Black & White Photogrphy

Instructor: Peregoy, Christo

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 14

Questionnaires: 12

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/14	****	****	4.03	5.00	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/10	****	****	3.94	****	****

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	9	Required for Majors	7	Graduate	0	Major	9
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	3						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	12	Non-major	3
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	3	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	5	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: ART 366 01

Title: Documentary Photography

Instructor: Thompson,Calla

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 17

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	2	6	7	4.33	886/1560	4.33	4.39	4.35	4.42	4.33
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	7	7	4.40	775/1559	4.40	4.30	4.31	4.35	4.40
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	12	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/1371	****	4.45	4.38	4.41	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	6	8	4.47	606/1519	4.47	4.26	4.27	4.33	4.47
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	3	6	5	4.14	825/1452	4.14	4.00	4.18	4.21	4.14
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	1	0	0	3	7	3	4.00	889/1430	4.00	3.81	4.16	4.20	4.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	3	3	8	4.36	737/1539	4.36	3.98	4.23	4.27	4.36
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	7	7	4.50	1051/1560	4.50	4.48	4.64	4.66	4.50
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	3	7	3	4.00	952/1545	4.00	4.10	4.14	4.19	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	1	1	10	4.75	489/1496	4.75	4.44	4.49	4.54	4.75
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	1	2	9	4.67	1077/1498	4.67	4.80	4.75	4.79	4.67
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	0	2	4	6	4.33	911/1496	4.33	4.39	4.37	4.43	4.33
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	3	1	8	4.42	837/1494	4.42	4.40	4.37	4.43	4.42
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	0	0	0	2	1	9	4.58	283/1352	4.58	4.47	4.12	4.23	4.58
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	0	4	5	4.56	434/1248	4.56	4.21	4.23	4.33	4.56
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	6	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	245/1250	4.89	4.36	4.39	4.47	4.89
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	6	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	430/1239	4.78	4.44	4.45	4.53	4.78

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: ART 366 01

Title: Documentary Photography

Instructor: Thompson,Calla

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 17
Questionnaires: 15

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	6	2	0	0	1	2	4	4.43	296/906	4.43	4.09	4.13	4.14	4.43

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	10	Required for Majors	14	Graduate	0	Major	13
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	4						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	15	Non-major	2
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: ART 368 01

Title: Digital Alternatives

Instructor: Peregoy, Christo

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 16

·	•			Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	195/1560	4.88	4.39	4.35	4.42	4.88
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	296/1559	4.75	4.30	4.31	4.35	4.75
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	5	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1371	5.00	4.45	4.38	4.41	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	2	1	1	4	3.88	1202/1519	3.88	4.26	4.27	4.33	3.88
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	5	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	948/1452	4.00	4.00	4.18	4.21	4.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	7	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1430	****	3.81	4.16	4.20	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	1	0	3	4	4.25	855/1539	4.25	3.98	4.23	4.27	4.25
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	4	4	4.50	1051/1560	4.50	4.48	4.64	4.66	4.50
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	0	3	4	4.57	341/1545	4.57	4.10	4.14	4.19	4.57
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	1	2	5	4.50	871/1496	4.50	4.44	4.49	4.54	4.50
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.80	4.75	4.79	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	3	5	4.63	560/1496	4.63	4.39	4.37	4.43	4.63
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	1	6	4.63	583/1494	4.63	4.40	4.37	4.43	4.63
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	1	0	0	2	2	3	4.14	735/1352	4.14	4.47	4.12	4.23	4.14
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	1	3	2	4.17	746/1248	4.17	4.21	4.23	4.33	4.17
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	0	0	3	3	4.50	616/1250	4.50	4.36	4.39	4.47	4.50
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	1	2	3	4.33	812/1239	4.33	4.44	4.45	4.53	4.33

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: ART 368 01

Title: Digital Alternatives

Instructor: Peregoy, Christo

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 16

Questionnaires: 9

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	3	2	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	126/906	4.75	4.09	4.13	4.14	4.75

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	4	Required for Majors	6	Graduate	0	Major	7
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	2						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	1	Under-grad	9	Non-major	2
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	3						

Course-Section: ART 370 01

Title: Silkscreen Printing

Instructor: Seley, Alexandra

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 15

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	0	12	4.85	230/1560	4.85	4.39	4.35	4.42	4.85
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	1	1	0	1	10	4.38	798/1559	4.38	4.30	4.31	4.35	4.38
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	7	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1371	5.00	4.45	4.38	4.41	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	2	0	2	8	4.33	779/1519	4.33	4.26	4.27	4.33	4.33
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	11	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1452	****	4.00	4.18	4.21	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	5	4	0	0	0	4	3.00	1373/1430	3.00	3.81	4.16	4.20	3.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	3	1	8	4.23	878/1539	4.23	3.98	4.23	4.27	4.23
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	2	8	3	4.08	1419/1560	4.08	4.48	4.64	4.66	4.08
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	1	0	1	1	3	5	4.20	788/1545	4.20	4.10	4.14	4.19	4.20
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	1	0	1	3	6	4.18	1197/1496	4.18	4.44	4.49	4.54	4.18
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	1	2	8	4.64	1118/1498	4.64	4.80	4.75	4.79	4.64
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	1	0	1	2	7	4.27	972/1496	4.27	4.39	4.37	4.43	4.27
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	1	0	1	1	8	4.36	891/1494	4.36	4.40	4.37	4.43	4.36
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	6	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1352	5.00	4.47	4.12	4.23	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	7	0	1	0	0	1	4	4.17	746/1248	4.17	4.21	4.23	4.33	4.17
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	7	0	1	0	0	0	5	4.33	757/1250	4.33	4.36	4.39	4.47	4.33
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	7	0	0	1	0	0	5	4.50	677/1239	4.50	4.44	4.45	4.53	4.50

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: ART 370 01

Title: Silkscreen Printing

Instructor: Seley, Alexandra

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 15

Questionnaires: 13

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	7	3	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/906	****	4.09	4.13	4.14	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	11	Required for Majors	10	Graduate	0	Major	13
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	2						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	1	Under-grad	13	Non-major	0
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	3	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: ART 382 01

Title: Intro Interactive Media

Instructor: Smith, Ryan

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 11

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	3	7	4.70	402/1560	4.68	4.39	4.35	4.42	4.70
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	8	4.80	236/1559	4.82	4.30	4.31	4.35	4.80
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	2	7	4.60	513/1371	4.80	4.45	4.38	4.41	4.60
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	3	6	4.67	356/1519	4.67	4.26	4.27	4.33	4.67
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	5	0	0	1	2	2	4.20	761/1452	4.20	4.00	4.18	4.21	4.20
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	6	1	0	1	2	0	3.00	1373/1430	3.38	3.81	4.16	4.20	3.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	1	0	1	2	5	4.11	1007/1539	4.56	3.98	4.23	4.27	4.11
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	7	3	4.30	1254/1560	4.32	4.48	4.64	4.66	4.30
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	2	4	4	4.20	788/1545	4.20	4.10	4.14	4.19	4.20
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	2	8	4.80	402/1496	4.73	4.44	4.49	4.54	4.80
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	2	8	4.80	852/1498	4.73	4.80	4.75	4.79	4.80
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	3	7	4.70	448/1496	4.68	4.39	4.37	4.43	4.70
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	2	8	4.80	332/1494	4.65	4.40	4.37	4.43	4.80
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	1	3	6	4.50	353/1352	4.50	4.47	4.12	4.23	4.50
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	4	5	4.56	434/1248	4.68	4.21	4.23	4.33	4.56
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	358/1250	4.89	4.36	4.39	4.47	4.78
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	4	5	4.56	646/1239	4.78	4.44	4.45	4.53	4.56
4. Were special techniques successful	1	3	0	0	2	1	3	4.17	449/906	4.58	4.09	4.13	4.14	4.17

Course-Section: ART 382 01

Title: Intro Interactive Media

Instructor: Smith, Ryan

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 11

'				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/206	****	****	4.25	4.22	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/214	****	****	4.31	4.33	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/204	****	****	4.52	4.57	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/207	****	****	4.44	4.42	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/199	****	****	4.27	4.17	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/64	****	****	4.44	4.60	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/58	****	****	4.37	4.51	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/52	****	****	4.41	4.27	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/66	****	****	4.41	4.54	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/63	****	****	4.09	4.19	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	****	4.19	3.97	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	****	4.11	3.90	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/24	****	****	4.25	3.98	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/26	****	****	3.89	3.58	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/25	****	****	4.01	3.42	****
Self Paced														
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	9	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/22	****	****	4.13	4.87	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	****	4.41	4.78	****
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/14	****	****	4.03	5.00	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: ART 382 01

Title: Intro Interactive Media

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 11
Questionnaires: 10

Instructor: Smith,Ryan

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/10	****	****	3.94	****	****

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	5	Required for Majors	8	Graduate	0	Major	10
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	4						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	1	С	1	General	0	Under-grad	10	Non-major	0
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: ART 382 02

Title: Intro Interactive Media

Instructor: Smith, Ryan

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 9

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	0	5	4.67	458/1560	4.68	4.39	4.35	4.42	4.67
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	201/1559	4.82	4.30	4.31	4.35	4.83
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1371	4.80	4.45	4.38	4.41	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	0	5	4.67	356/1519	4.67	4.26	4.27	4.33	4.67
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	5	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1452	4.20	4.00	4.18	4.21	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	0	2	1	1	3.75	1096/1430	3.38	3.81	4.16	4.20	3.75
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1539	4.56	3.98	4.23	4.27	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	4	2	4.33	1228/1560	4.32	4.48	4.64	4.66	4.33
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	4	1	4.20	788/1545	4.20	4.10	4.14	4.19	4.20
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	1	0	5	4.67	643/1496	4.73	4.44	4.49	4.54	4.67
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	1	0	5	4.67	1077/1498	4.73	4.80	4.75	4.79	4.67
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	1	0	5	4.67	504/1496	4.68	4.39	4.37	4.43	4.67
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	0	0	5	4.50	726/1494	4.65	4.40	4.37	4.43	4.50
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	1	1	4	4.50	353/1352	4.50	4.47	4.12	4.23	4.50
Discussion										_				
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	227/1248	4.68	4.21	4.23	4.33	4.80
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1250	4.89	4.36	4.39	4.47	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1239	4.78	4.44	4.45	4.53	5.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: ART 382 02

Title: Intro Interactive Media

Instructor: Smith, Ryan

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 9

Questionnaires: 6

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	1	2	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/906	4.58	4.09	4.13	4.14	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	3	Required for Majors	4	Graduate	0	Major	6
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	3						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	6	Non-major	0
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means the	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: ART 383 01

Title: Sound Design

Instructor: Nohe, Timothy

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 13

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	1	0	2	7	4.50	664/1560	4.50	4.39	4.35	4.42	4.50
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	1	0	1	4	4	4.00	1158/1559	4.00	4.30	4.31	4.35	4.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	9	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1371	****	4.45	4.38	4.41	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	1	0	3	2	3	3.67	1344/1519	3.67	4.26	4.27	4.33	3.67
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	9	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1452	****	4.00	4.18	4.21	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	8	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1430	****	3.81	4.16	4.20	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	4	3	1	0	0	2	2.50	1515/1539	2.50	3.98	4.23	4.27	2.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	1	7	2	4.10	1408/1560	4.10	4.48	4.64	4.66	4.10
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	1	1	2	6	4.30	679/1545	4.30	4.10	4.14	4.19	4.30
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	1	9	4.90	228/1496	4.90	4.44	4.49	4.54	4.90
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	1	9	4.90	556/1498	4.90	4.80	4.75	4.79	4.90
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	1	9	4.90	160/1496	4.90	4.39	4.37	4.43	4.90
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	0	1	8	4.60	609/1494	4.60	4.40	4.37	4.43	4.60
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1352	5.00	4.47	4.12	4.23	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	1	0	0	4	4.40	565/1248	4.40	4.21	4.23	4.33	4.40
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	5	0	0	0	1	0	4	4.60	542/1250	4.60	4.36	4.39	4.47	4.60
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	5	0	0	0	1	0	4	4.60	616/1239	4.60	4.44	4.45	4.53	4.60

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: ART 383 01

Title: Sound Design

Instructor: Nohe,Timothy

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 13

Questionnaires: 10

				Fre	quend	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	5	2	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	161/906	4.67	4.09	4.13	4.14	4.67

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	9	Required for Majors	7	Graduate	0	Major	7
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	10	Non-major	3
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	3	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: ART 384 01

Title: Intro 3D Animation

Instructor: McDonald, David

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 13

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	1	2	1	2	3	3.44	1487/1560	4.22	4.39	4.35	4.42	3.44
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	5	2	4.00	1158/1559	4.50	4.30	4.31	4.35	4.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	8	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1371	****	4.45	4.38	4.41	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	3	2	2	3.86	1220/1519	4.18	4.26	4.27	4.33	3.86
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	2	2	1	1	3	0	2.71	1430/1452	2.71	4.00	4.18	4.21	2.71
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	0	4	2	2	3.44	1273/1430	3.44	3.81	4.16	4.20	3.44
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	1	3	2	2	3.63	1347/1539	3.81	3.98	4.23	4.27	3.63
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1560	4.92	4.48	4.64	4.66	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	4	2	2	3.75	1212/1545	4.28	4.10	4.14	4.19	3.75
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	5	3	0	3.38	1450/1496	3.85	4.44	4.49	4.54	3.38
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	4	4	4.50	1239/1498	4.75	4.80	4.75	4.79	4.50
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	1	3	3	1	3.50	1378/1496	4.08	4.39	4.37	4.43	3.50
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	2	1	3	2	3.63	1355/1494	4.23	4.40	4.37	4.43	3.63
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	1	2	5	4.50	353/1352	4.58	4.47	4.12	4.23	4.50
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	2	2	2	4.00	822/1248	4.00	4.21	4.23	4.33	4.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	1	0	2	3	4.17	877/1250	4.58	4.36	4.39	4.47	4.17
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	1	0	2	3	4.17	911/1239	4.42	4.44	4.45	4.53	4.17
4. Were special techniques successful	3	2	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	239/906	4.50	4.09	4.13	4.14	4.50

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: ART 384 01

Title: Intro 3D Animation

Instructor: McDonald, David

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 13

Questionnaires: 9

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/206	****	****	4.25	4.22	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/214	****	****	4.31	4.33	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/204	****	****	4.52	4.57	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/207	****	****	4.44	4.42	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/199	****	****	4.27	4.17	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	3	Required for Majors	7	Graduate	0	Major	6
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	3						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	1	С	1	General	0	Under-grad	9	Non-major	3
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	2						

Run Date: 7/9/2013 3:59:21 PM

Course-Section: ART 384 02

Title: Intro 3D Animation

Instructor: Lau, Ching Yu

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 7

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1560	4.22	4.39	4.35	4.42	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1559	4.50	4.30	4.31	4.35	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	5	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1371	****	4.45	4.38	4.41	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	4.50	549/1519	4.18	4.26	4.27	4.33	4.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	4.00	1077/1539	3.81	3.98	4.23	4.27	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	622/1560	4.92	4.48	4.64	4.66	4.83
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	143/1545	4.28	4.10	4.14	4.19	4.80
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	4	2	4.33	1075/1496	3.85	4.44	4.49	4.54	4.33
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1498	4.75	4.80	4.75	4.79	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	504/1496	4.08	4.39	4.37	4.43	4.67
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	289/1494	4.23	4.40	4.37	4.43	4.83
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	215/1352	4.58	4.47	4.12	4.23	4.67
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	822/1248	4.00	4.21	4.23	4.33	4.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1250	4.58	4.36	4.39	4.47	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	563/1239	4.42	4.44	4.45	4.53	4.67

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: ART 384 02

Title: Intro 3D Animation

Instructor: Lau, Ching Yu

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 7

Questionnaires: 6

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	3	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/906	4.50	4.09	4.13	4.14	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	١	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	4	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	0	Major	4
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	6	Non-major	2
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: ART 387 01

Title: Expression Time & Motion

Instructor: Valiente, Christ

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 19

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	3	6	8	4.29	932/1560	4.29	4.39	4.35	4.42	4.29
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	2	6	8	4.24	962/1559	4.24	4.30	4.31	4.35	4.24
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	3	0	2	2	5	5	3.93	1134/1371	3.93	4.45	4.38	4.41	3.93
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	3	3	11	4.47	592/1519	4.47	4.26	4.27	4.33	4.47
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	2	9	5	4.06	908/1452	4.06	4.00	4.18	4.21	4.06
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	14	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/1430	****	3.81	4.16	4.20	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	1	1	8	6	4.19	934/1539	4.19	3.98	4.23	4.27	4.19
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	3	12	2	3.94	1484/1560	3.94	4.48	4.64	4.66	3.94
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	1	1	8	7	4.24	755/1545	4.24	4.10	4.14	4.19	4.24
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	1	0	0	7	9	4.35	1056/1496	4.35	4.44	4.49	4.54	4.35
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	1	0	1	6	9	4.29	1374/1498	4.29	4.80	4.75	4.79	4.29
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	1	0	1	5	10	4.35	889/1496	4.35	4.39	4.37	4.43	4.35
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	1	6	9	4.35	901/1494	4.35	4.40	4.37	4.43	4.35
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	1	4	12	4.65	232/1352	4.65	4.47	4.12	4.23	4.65
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	3	4	5	4.17	746/1248	4.17	4.21	4.23	4.33	4.17
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	5	0	0	1	1	1	9	4.50	616/1250	4.50	4.36	4.39	4.47	4.50
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	5	0	0	0	1	1	10	4.75	458/1239	4.75	4.44	4.45	4.53	4.75

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: ART 387 01

Title: Expression Time & Motion

Instructor: Valiente, Christ

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 19

Questionnaires: 17

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	5	7	0	0	1	1	3	4.40	311/906	4.40	4.09	4.13	4.14	4.40

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	11	Required for Majors	14	Graduate	0	Major	13
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	4						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	17	Non-major	4
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: ART 388 01

Title: Art On The Internet

Instructor: Smith, Ryan

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 12

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	184/1560	4.89	4.39	4.35	4.42	4.89
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	143/1559	4.89	4.30	4.31	4.35	4.89
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	1	6	4.44	701/1371	4.44	4.45	4.38	4.41	4.44
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	3	6	4.67	356/1519	4.67	4.26	4.27	4.33	4.67
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	3	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	149/1452	4.83	4.00	4.18	4.21	4.83
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	3	0	0	1	1	4	4.50	427/1430	4.50	3.81	4.16	4.20	4.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	3	0	6	4.33	761/1539	4.33	3.98	4.23	4.27	4.33
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	5	3	4.38	1195/1560	4.38	4.48	4.64	4.66	4.38
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	7	1	4.13	866/1545	4.13	4.10	4.14	4.19	4.13
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1496	5.00	4.44	4.49	4.54	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.80	4.75	4.79	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	186/1496	4.89	4.39	4.37	4.43	4.89
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	219/1494	4.89	4.40	4.37	4.43	4.89
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	1	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1352	5.00	4.47	4.12	4.23	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1248	5.00	4.21	4.23	4.33	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	275/1250	4.86	4.36	4.39	4.47	4.86
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	320/1239	4.86	4.44	4.45	4.53	4.86

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: ART 388 01

Title: Art On The Internet

Instructor: Smith, Ryan

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 12

Questionnaires: 9

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	2	4	0	1	0	0	2	4.00	519/906	4.00	4.09	4.13	4.14	4.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	5	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	0	Major	8
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	4						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	9	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	4	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	2				
				?	0						

Course-Section: ART 390 01

Title: IRC Fellows Topics

Instructor: Bell, Kathryn L

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 8

	0 0 0 0 0 0 1 6 4.86 218/ 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 5 4.71 344/ 0 5 0 0 0 1 1 4.50 634/ 0 1 0 0 0 2 4 4.67 356/ 0 6 0 0 1 0 0 3.00 ***** d 0 3 0 1 1 2 0 3.25 1340/ 0 0 5 0 1 0 1 0 3.00 1474/ 0 0 0 0 0 0 4 3 4.43 1146/ 0 5 2 0 0 0 1 0 4 4.60 314/ 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 7 5.00 1/1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 7 5.00 1/1				structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect				
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	218/1560	4.86	4.39	4.35	4.42	4.86
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	344/1559	4.71	4.30	4.31	4.35	4.71
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	5	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	634/1371	4.50	4.45	4.38	4.41	4.50
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	356/1519	4.67	4.26	4.27	4.33	4.67
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	6	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/1452	****	4.00	4.18	4.21	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	3	0	1	1	2	0	3.25	1340/1430	3.25	3.81	4.16	4.20	3.25
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	5	0	1	0	1	0	3.00	1474/1539	3.00	3.98	4.23	4.27	3.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	4	3	4.43	1146/1560	4.43	4.48	4.64	4.66	4.43
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	1	0	4	4.60	314/1545	4.60	4.10	4.14	4.19	4.60
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	1	2	4	4.43	981/1496	4.43	4.44	4.49	4.54	4.43
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.80	4.75	4.79	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	432/1496	4.71	4.39	4.37	4.43	4.71
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1494	5.00	4.40	4.37	4.43	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	1	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1352	5.00	4.47	4.12	4.23	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	2	1	2	4.00	822/1248	4.00	4.21	4.23	4.33	4.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	325/1250	4.80	4.36	4.39	4.47	4.80

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: ART 390 01

Title: IRC Fellows Topics

Instructor: Bell, Kathryn L

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 8

Questionnaires: 7

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1239	5.00	4.44	4.45	4.53	5.00

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	7	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	7
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	1	Under-grad	7	Non-major	0
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	4	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: ART 430 01

Title: Typography III

Instructor: Re, Margaret A

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 20

	_	NR NA 1 2 3 4 5 Mean 0 0 0 1 0 3 14 4.67 4 1 0 0 1 0 2 14 4.71 3 1 12 1 0 0 1 3 4.00 1 0 1 0 1 0 5 11 4.53 5 1 9 1 2 1 0 4 3.50 1 0 2 0 1 1 2 12 4.56 3 0 0 0 1 1 5 11 4.44 6 0 0 0 0 1 2 10 4.69 2 0 0 0 0 1 1 16 4.83 7 0 0 0 1 1 1				structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect			
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	1	0	3	14	4.67	458/1560	4.67	4.39	4.35	4.45	4.67
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	1	0	2	14	4.71	357/1559	4.71	4.30	4.31	4.34	4.71
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	12	1	0	0	1	3	4.00	1066/1371	4.00	4.45	4.38	4.46	4.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	1	0	5	11	4.53	526/1519	4.53	4.26	4.27	4.33	4.53
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	9	1	2	1	0	4	3.50	1290/1452	3.50	4.00	4.18	4.25	3.50
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	1	1	2	12	4.56	364/1430	4.56	3.81	4.16	4.25	4.56
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	1	5	11	4.44	622/1539	4.44	3.98	4.23	4.21	4.44
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	9	9	4.50	1051/1560	4.50	4.48	4.64	4.68	4.50
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	1	0	0	1	2	10	4.69	226/1545	4.69	4.10	4.14	4.21	4.69
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	1	0	2	15	4.72	542/1496	4.72	4.44	4.49	4.50	4.72
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	1	1	16	4.83	763/1498	4.83	4.80	4.75	4.77	4.83
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	1	1	1	15	4.67	504/1496	4.67	4.39	4.37	4.40	4.67
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	2	1	3	12	4.39	870/1494	4.39	4.40	4.37	4.41	4.39
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	5	1	1	0	2	9	4.31	579/1352	4.31	4.47	4.12	4.16	4.31
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	4	2	6	4.17	746/1248	4.17	4.21	4.23	4.39	4.17
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	6	0	0	0	2	2	8	4.50	616/1250	4.50	4.36	4.39	4.55	4.50
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	6	0	0	0	1	3	8	4.58	628/1239	4.58	4.44	4.45	4.61	4.58
4. Were special techniques successful	6	4	0	1	1	1	5	4.25	403/906	4.25	4.09	4.13	4.28	4.25

Course-Section: ART 430 01

Title: Typography III

Instructor: Re, Margaret A

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 20

·				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	15	1	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	****/206	****	****	4.25	4.48	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	16	0	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	****/214	****	****	4.31	4.37	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	16	1	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/204	****	****	4.52	4.39	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	16	1	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/207	****	****	4.44	4.49	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	16	1	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/199	****	****	4.27	4.42	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	16	1	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/64	****	****	4.44	4.65	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	16	1	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/58	****	****	4.37	4.40	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	16	1	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/52	****	****	4.41	4.57	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	16	1	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/66	****	****	4.41	4.55	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	16	1	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/63	****	****	4.09	4.18	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	16	0	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	****/29	****	****	4.19	4.50	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	16	0	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	****/29	****	****	4.11	4.35	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	16	1	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/24	****	****	4.25	4.40	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	16	1	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/26	****	****	3.89	4.14	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	16	1	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/25	****	****	4.01	4.34	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	16	0	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	****/31	****	****	4.35	4.64	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	16	1	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/22	****	****	4.13	3.97	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	16	1	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/29	****	****	4.41	4.52	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: ART 430 01

Title: Typography III

Instructor: Re, Margaret A

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 20
Questionnaires: 18

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	16	1	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/14	****	****	4.03	4.47	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	16	1	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/10	****	****	3.94	4.17	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	١.	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	8	Required for Majors	17	Graduate	0	Major	18
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	8						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	18	Non-major	0
84-150	8	3.00-3.49	7	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	2						

Course-Section: ART 431 01

Title: Graphic Design III: Hum

Instructor: Stuckey, Wesley

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 17

				Fre	quen	cies		Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	vel Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	2	6	6	4.29	945/1560	4.18	4.39	4.35	4.45	4.29
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	6	6	4.29	912/1559	3.93	4.30	4.31	4.34	4.29
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	11	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1371	5.00	4.45	4.38	4.46	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	1	0	2	4	6	4.08	1021/1519	3.60	4.26	4.27	4.33	4.08
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	11	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1452	4.50	4.00	4.18	4.25	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	12	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1430	4.50	3.81	4.16	4.25	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	2	3	1	1	3	3	3.18	1445/1539	3.03	3.98	4.23	4.21	3.18
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	11	2	4.15	1372/1560	4.51	4.48	4.64	4.68	4.15
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	1	0	0	2	5	3	4.10	886/1545	3.98	4.10	4.14	4.21	4.10
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	2	3	3	6	3.93	1329/1496	3.83	4.44	4.49	4.50	3.93
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	1	1	12	4.79	886/1498	4.59	4.80	4.75	4.77	4.79
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	3	4	7	4.29	963/1496	4.18	4.39	4.37	4.40	4.29
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	4	2	8	4.29	969/1494	4.03	4.40	4.37	4.41	4.29
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	1	1	0	0	2	9	4.50	353/1352	4.36	4.47	4.12	4.16	4.50
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	5	5	4.50	470/1248	4.31	4.21	4.23	4.39	4.50
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	0	0	3	7	4.70	438/1250	4.38	4.36	4.39	4.55	4.70
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	1	1	1	7	4.40	765/1239	4.09	4.44	4.45	4.61	4.40

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: ART 431 01

Title: Graphic Design III: Hum

Instructor: Stuckey, Wesley

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 17

Questionnaires: 14

	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect		
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	4	5	0	0	0	2	3	4.60	188/906	4.06	4.09	4.13	4.28	4.60

Credits Earned		Cum. GPA		Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors		
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	12	Required for Majors	14	Graduate	0	Major	13	
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	2							
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	14	Non-major	1	
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	3	D	0							
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses		
				Р	0			to be significant				
				1	0	Other	0					
				?	0							

Course-Section: ART 431 02

Title: Graphic Design III: Hum

Instructor: Stuckey, Wesley

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 15

		Frequencies				In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect		
Questions			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	2	3	3	5	3.85	1320/1560	4.18	4.39	4.35	4.45	3.85
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	6	3	4	3.85	1309/1559	3.93	4.30	4.31	4.34	3.85
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	11	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/1371	5.00	4.45	4.38	4.46	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	3	1	2	1	4	3.18	1481/1519	3.60	4.26	4.27	4.33	3.18
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	11	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	****/1452	4.50	4.00	4.18	4.25	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	10	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	****/1430	4.50	3.81	4.16	4.25	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	3	1	4	2	1	2	2.90	1494/1539	3.03	3.98	4.23	4.21	2.90
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	6	7	4.54	1024/1560	4.51	4.48	4.64	4.68	4.54
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	1	2	1	3	5	3.75	1212/1545	3.98	4.10	4.14	4.21	3.75
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	3	1	2	6	3.92	1335/1496	3.83	4.44	4.49	4.50	3.92
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	1	2	1	8	4.33	1354/1498	4.59	4.80	4.75	4.77	4.33
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	2	0	3	7	4.25	990/1496	4.18	4.39	4.37	4.40	4.25
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	1	1	3	6	4.00	1147/1494	4.03	4.40	4.37	4.41	4.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	1	1	1	1	8	4.17	716/1352	4.36	4.47	4.12	4.16	4.17
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	3	1	3	4.00	822/1248	4.31	4.21	4.23	4.39	4.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	6	0	0	0	3	1	3	4.00	945/1250	4.38	4.36	4.39	4.55	4.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	6	0	1	0	3	0	3	3.57	1148/1239	4.09	4.44	4.45	4.61	3.57

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: ART 431 02

Title: Graphic Design III: Hum

Instructor: Stuckey, Wesley

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 15

Questionnaires: 13

					Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Discussion														
4. Were special to	echniques successful	7	1	1	0	3	0	1	3.00	852/906	4.06	4.09	4.13	4.28	3.00

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	9	Required for Majors	13	Graduate	0	Major	12
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	4						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	13	Non-major	1
84-150	4	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	5	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: ART 431 03

Title: Graphic Design III: Hum

Instructor: Stuckey, Wesley

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 14

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	2	3	7	4.42	793/1560	4.18	4.39	4.35	4.45	4.42
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	1	2	2	2	5	3.67	1395/1559	3.93	4.30	4.31	4.34	3.67
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	9	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1371	5.00	4.45	4.38	4.46	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	2	2	0	2	5	3.55	1395/1519	3.60	4.26	4.27	4.33	3.55
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	7	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	433/1452	4.50	4.00	4.18	4.25	4.50
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	7	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	427/1430	4.50	3.81	4.16	4.25	4.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	3	2	1	2	3	3.00	1474/1539	3.03	3.98	4.23	4.21	3.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	2	10	4.83	622/1560	4.51	4.48	4.64	4.68	4.83
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	1	0	6	3	4.10	886/1545	3.98	4.10	4.14	4.21	4.10
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	1	2	2	1	5	3.64	1414/1496	3.83	4.44	4.49	4.50	3.64
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	1	2	8	4.64	1118/1498	4.59	4.80	4.75	4.77	4.64
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	1	1	1	2	6	4.00	1175/1496	4.18	4.39	4.37	4.40	4.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	2	1	1	6	3.82	1276/1494	4.03	4.40	4.37	4.41	3.82
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	1	0	0	2	2	6	4.40	473/1352	4.36	4.47	4.12	4.16	4.40
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	2	0	5	4.43	546/1248	4.31	4.21	4.23	4.39	4.43
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	5	0	1	0	0	0	6	4.43	684/1250	4.38	4.36	4.39	4.55	4.43
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	5	0	0	1	0	2	4	4.29	844/1239	4.09	4.44	4.45	4.61	4.29

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: ART 431 03

Title: Graphic Design III: Hum

Instructor: Stuckey, Wesley

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 14

Questionnaires: 12

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	5	0	0	0	0	3	4	4.57	203/906	4.06	4.09	4.13	4.28	4.57

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	8	Required for Majors	11	Graduate	0	Major	11
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	2						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	12	Non-major	1
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	2						

Course-Section: ART 435 01

Title: Topics In Film/Video

Instructor: Worden, Frederic

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 13

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	4	8	4.67	458/1560	4.67	4.39	4.35	4.45	4.67
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	7	4	4.25	942/1559	4.25	4.30	4.31	4.34	4.25
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	2	6	3	3.92	1143/1371	3.92	4.45	4.38	4.46	3.92
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	2	2	4	4	3.83	1237/1519	3.83	4.26	4.27	4.33	3.83
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	4	2	2	3	3.17	1383/1452	3.17	4.00	4.18	4.25	3.17
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	3	0	5	3	3.50	1244/1430	3.50	3.81	4.16	4.25	3.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	1	1	4	2	4	3.58	1363/1539	3.58	3.98	4.23	4.21	3.58
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	408/1560	4.92	4.48	4.64	4.68	4.92
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	8	3	4.27	711/1545	4.27	4.10	4.14	4.21	4.27
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	1	2	6	1	3.70	1401/1496	3.70	4.44	4.49	4.50	3.70
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	1	9	4.90	556/1498	4.90	4.80	4.75	4.77	4.90
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	2	6	2	4.00	1175/1496	4.00	4.39	4.37	4.40	4.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	2	5	3	4.10	1106/1494	4.10	4.40	4.37	4.41	4.10
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	0	0	1	2	7	4.60	266/1352	4.60	4.47	4.12	4.16	4.60
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	1	0	0	3	4	4.13	777/1248	4.13	4.21	4.23	4.39	4.13
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	1	0	0	0	7	4.50	616/1250	4.50	4.36	4.39	4.55	4.50
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	292/1239	4.88	4.44	4.45	4.61	4.88

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: ART 435 01

Title: Topics In Film/Video

Instructor: Worden, Frederic

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 13

Questionnaires: 12

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	4	3	0	0	1	2	2	4.20	426/906	4.20	4.09	4.13	4.28	4.20

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	9	Required for Majors	7	Graduate	0	Major	9
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	1	General	0	Under-grad	12	Non-major	3
84-150	4	3.00-3.49	3	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	5	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: ART 447 01

Title: Special Fx & Motion Gfx

Instructor: Smallwood, Eric

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 17

·	•			Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	1	0	3	9	4.54	627/1560	4.54	4.39	4.35	4.45	4.54
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	7	6	4.46	686/1559	4.46	4.30	4.31	4.34	4.46
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	12	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1371	****	4.45	4.38	4.46	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	2	4	6	4.33	779/1519	4.33	4.26	4.27	4.33	4.33
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	10	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1452	****	4.00	4.18	4.25	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	12	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1430	****	3.81	4.16	4.25	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	2	3	5	3	3.69	1313/1539	3.69	3.98	4.23	4.21	3.69
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	3	9	0	3.75	1530/1560	3.75	4.48	4.64	4.68	3.75
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	2	6	4	4.17	827/1545	4.17	4.10	4.14	4.21	4.17
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	1	4	8	4.54	832/1496	4.54	4.44	4.49	4.50	4.54
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	3	10	4.77	920/1498	4.77	4.80	4.75	4.77	4.77
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	2	2	9	4.54	666/1496	4.54	4.39	4.37	4.40	4.54
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	2	10	4.69	493/1494	4.69	4.40	4.37	4.41	4.69
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	1	0	0	0	2	10	4.83	103/1352	4.83	4.47	4.12	4.16	4.83
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	1	0	5	2	4.00	822/1248	4.00	4.21	4.23	4.39	4.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	5	0	0	1	0	1	6	4.50	616/1250	4.50	4.36	4.39	4.55	4.50
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	5	0	0	0	0	3	5	4.63	598/1239	4.63	4.44	4.45	4.61	4.63

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: ART 447 01

Title: Special Fx & Motion Gfx

Instructor: Smallwood, Eric

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 17

Questionnaires: 13

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	5	6	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/906	****	4.09	4.13	4.28	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	12	Graduate	0	Major	13
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	6						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	3	General	0	Under-grad	13	Non-major	0
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	4	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: ART 460 01

Title: Installation Art

Instructor: Chan, Irene

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 12

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	3	4	4.38	841/1560	4.38	4.39	4.35	4.45	4.38
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	1	4	2	3.88	1286/1559	3.88	4.30	4.31	4.34	3.88
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	5	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	810/1371	4.33	4.45	4.38	4.46	4.33
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	0	3	4	4.25	867/1519	4.25	4.26	4.27	4.33	4.25
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	0	1	6	4.50	433/1452	4.50	4.00	4.18	4.25	4.50
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	0	2	5	4.38	587/1430	4.38	3.81	4.16	4.25	4.38
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	2	5	4.50	540/1539	4.50	3.98	4.23	4.21	4.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1560	5.00	4.48	4.64	4.68	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	1	1	3	4.40	546/1545	4.40	4.10	4.14	4.21	4.40
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	4	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1496	5.00	4.44	4.49	4.50	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	4	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.80	4.75	4.77	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	0	1	0	0	3	4.25	990/1496	4.25	4.39	4.37	4.40	4.25
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1494	5.00	4.40	4.37	4.41	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	4	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1352	5.00	4.47	4.12	4.16	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	271/1248	4.75	4.21	4.23	4.39	4.75
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	0	1	2	1	4.00	945/1250	4.00	4.36	4.39	4.55	4.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	458/1239	4.75	4.44	4.45	4.61	4.75

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: ART 460 01

Title: Installation Art

Instructor: Chan,Irene

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 12

Questionnaires: 8

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	4	2	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	519/906	4.00	4.09	4.13	4.28	4.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	5	Required for Majors	5	Graduate	1	Major	5
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	2						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	7	Non-major	3
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	3	D	0						
Grad.	1	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	1						

Course-Section: ART 484 01

Title: Advncd 3D Cmputr Animatn

Instructor: Smallwood,Eric

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 17

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	3	8	4.73	376/1560	4.73	4.39	4.35	4.45	4.73
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	3	8	4.73	332/1559	4.73	4.30	4.31	4.34	4.73
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	8	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	1066/1371	4.00	4.45	4.38	4.46	4.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	0	3	6	4.67	356/1519	4.67	4.26	4.27	4.33	4.67
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	8	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	272/1452	4.67	4.00	4.18	4.25	4.67
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	9	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1430	****	3.81	4.16	4.25	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	2	5	3	3.91	1181/1539	3.91	3.98	4.23	4.21	3.91
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	9	2	4.18	1350/1560	4.18	4.48	4.64	4.68	4.18
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	3	3	4	4.10	886/1545	4.10	4.10	4.14	4.21	4.10
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	3	8	4.73	542/1496	4.73	4.44	4.49	4.50	4.73
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	1	10	4.91	556/1498	4.91	4.80	4.75	4.77	4.91
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	1	3	7	4.55	655/1496	4.55	4.39	4.37	4.40	4.55
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	3	8	4.73	451/1494	4.73	4.40	4.37	4.41	4.73
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	3	8	4.73	168/1352	4.73	4.47	4.12	4.16	4.73
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	1	2	2	4.20	716/1248	4.20	4.21	4.23	4.39	4.20
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	6	0	0	0	0	2	3	4.60	542/1250	4.60	4.36	4.39	4.55	4.60
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	6	0	0	0	0	3	2	4.40	765/1239	4.40	4.44	4.45	4.61	4.40

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: ART 484 01

Title: Advncd 3D Cmputr Animatn

Instructor: Smallwood, Eric

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 17
Questionnaires: 11

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	6	2	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	360/906	4.33	4.09	4.13	4.28	4.33

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	10	Graduate	0	Major	11
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	3						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	4	General	0	Under-grad	11	Non-major	0
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: ART 488 01

Title: Adv Topics:Aim

Instructor: McDonald, David

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 9

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	1	3	2	1	3.43	1492/1560	3.43	4.39	4.35	4.45	3.43
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	1	4	1	3.71	1373/1559	3.71	4.30	4.31	4.34	3.71
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	5	1	1	0	0	0	1.50	1517/1519	1.50	4.26	4.27	4.33	1.50
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	1	2	3	0	3.00	1397/1452	3.00	4.00	4.18	4.25	3.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	3	2	0	2	0	0	2.00	1427/1430	2.00	3.81	4.16	4.25	2.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	2	2	2	0	1	0	2.00	1532/1539	2.00	3.98	4.23	4.21	2.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1560	5.00	4.48	4.64	4.68	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	1	4	2	0	3.14	1466/1545	3.14	4.10	4.14	4.21	3.14
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	5	0	0	2	0	0	0	2.00	1494/1496	2.00	4.44	4.49	4.50	2.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	5	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	1239/1498	4.50	4.80	4.75	4.77	4.50
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	5	0	0	0	2	0	0	3.00	1454/1496	3.00	4.39	4.37	4.40	3.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	1384/1494	3.50	4.40	4.37	4.41	3.50
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	470/1248	4.50	4.21	4.23	4.39	4.50
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	5	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1250	5.00	4.36	4.39	4.55	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	5	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1239	5.00	4.44	4.45	4.61	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	5	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/906	****	4.09	4.13	4.28	****
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	6	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/214	****	****	4.31	4.37	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: ART 488 01

Title: Adv Topics:Aim

Instructor: McDonald,David

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 9

Questionnaires: 7

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	6	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/204	****	****	4.52	4.39	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	5	Required for Majors	6	Graduate	0	Major	4
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	2						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	1	Under-grad	7	Non-major	3
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: ART 489 01

Title: Senior Projects

Instructor: Sturgeon, John

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 19

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	5	2	2	1	0	1.90	1560/1560	3.00	4.39	4.35	4.45	1.90
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	4	2	4	0	0	2.00	1554/1559	3.08	4.30	4.31	4.34	2.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	3	0	1	4	2	0	3.14	1485/1519	3.82	4.26	4.27	4.33	3.14
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	5	1	2	2	0	0	2.20	1426/1430	2.93	3.81	4.16	4.25	2.20
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	2	4	0	4	0	2.60	1511/1539	3.47	3.98	4.23	4.21	2.60
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	1	2	7	4.60	961/1560	4.76	4.48	4.64	4.68	4.60
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	2	1	5	0	0	2.38	1528/1545	3.19	4.10	4.14	4.21	2.38
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	1	2	2	1	3	3.33	1455/1496	3.94	4.44	4.49	4.50	3.33
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	1	1	2	5	4.22	1404/1498	4.39	4.80	4.75	4.77	4.22
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	2	0	3	2	2	3.22	1435/1496	3.89	4.39	4.37	4.40	3.22
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	1	3	2	2	1	0	2.13	1489/1494	3.17	4.40	4.37	4.41	2.13
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	1	1	1	3	1	1	3.00	1277/1352	3.69	4.47	4.12	4.16	3.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	1	2	1	4.00	822/1248	4.19	4.21	4.23	4.39	4.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	6	0	0	0	0	3	1	4.25	815/1250	4.56	4.36	4.39	4.55	4.25

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: ART 489 01

Title: Senior Projects

Instructor: Sturgeon, John

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 19
Questionnaires: 10

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	6	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	677/1239	4.63	4.44	4.45	4.61	4.50

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	5	Required for Majors	9	Graduate	0	Major	8
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	3						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	10	Non-major	2
84-150	5	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: ART 489 02

Title: Senior Projects

Instructor: Cazabon, Lynn

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 12

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	1	3	1	6	4.09	1141/1560	3.00	4.39	4.35	4.45	4.09
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	4	2	6	4.17	1030/1559	3.08	4.30	4.31	4.34	4.17
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	7	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	261/1371	4.80	4.45	4.38	4.46	4.80
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	2	1	7	4.50	549/1519	3.82	4.26	4.27	4.33	4.50
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	1	0	1	2	4	3	3.90	1056/1452	3.90	4.00	4.18	4.25	3.90
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	3	1	0	3	2	3	3.67	1154/1430	2.93	3.81	4.16	4.25	3.67
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	1	0	1	2	8	4.33	761/1539	3.47	3.98	4.23	4.21	4.33
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	408/1560	4.76	4.48	4.64	4.68	4.92
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	3	5	3	4.00	952/1545	3.19	4.10	4.14	4.21	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	1	2	6	4.56	807/1496	3.94	4.44	4.49	4.50	4.56
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	1	0	1	7	4.56	1199/1498	4.39	4.80	4.75	4.77	4.56
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	0	1	2	6	4.56	644/1496	3.89	4.39	4.37	4.40	4.56
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	1	1	2	5	4.22	1017/1494	3.17	4.40	4.37	4.41	4.22
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	1	0	1	0	2	5	4.38	504/1352	3.69	4.47	4.12	4.16	4.38
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	1	0	2	5	4.38	588/1248	4.19	4.21	4.23	4.39	4.38
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	255/1250	4.56	4.36	4.39	4.55	4.88
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	0	1	0	7	4.75	458/1239	4.63	4.44	4.45	4.61	4.75
4. Were special techniques successful	4	6	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/906	****	4.09	4.13	4.28	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: ART 489 02

Title: Senior Projects

Instructor: Cazabon, Lynn

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 12

Questionnaires: 12

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	****	****	4.35	4.64	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/22	****	****	4.13	3.97	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	11	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/29	****	****	4.41	4.52	****
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	11	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/14	****	****	4.03	4.47	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	11	Required for Majors	11	Graduate	0	Major	12
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	2	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	12	Non-major	0
84-150	4	3.00-3.49	3	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: ART 490 01

Title: Thesis / Curatorial

Instructor: Jacob, Preminda

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 1

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1560	5.00	4.39	4.35	4.45	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1158/1559	4.33	4.30	4.31	4.34	4.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	948/1452	4.33	4.00	4.18	4.25	4.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	889/1430	4.50	3.81	4.16	4.25	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1560	5.00	4.48	4.64	4.68	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1496	4.50	4.44	4.49	4.50	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.80	4.75	4.77	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1496	5.00	4.39	4.37	4.40	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1494	5.00	4.40	4.37	4.41	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: ART 490 02

Title: Thesis / Curatorial

Instructor: Smalls, James

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 3

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1560	5.00	4.39	4.35	4.45	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	412/1559	4.33	4.30	4.31	4.34	4.67
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1519	5.00	4.26	4.27	4.33	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	272/1452	4.33	4.00	4.18	4.25	4.67
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1430	4.50	3.81	4.16	4.25	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	349/1539	4.67	3.98	4.23	4.21	4.67
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1560	5.00	4.48	4.64	4.68	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1545	5.00	4.10	4.14	4.21	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	1281/1496	4.50	4.44	4.49	4.50	4.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.80	4.75	4.77	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1496	5.00	4.39	4.37	4.40	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1494	5.00	4.40	4.37	4.41	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	823/1352	4.00	4.47	4.12	4.16	4.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1248	5.00	4.21	4.23	4.39	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1250	5.00	4.36	4.39	4.55	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1239	5.00	4.44	4.45	4.61	5.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: ART 490 02

Title: Thesis / Curatorial

Instructor: Smalls,James

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 3

Questionnaires: 3

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	852/906	3.00	4.09	4.13	4.28	3.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	١	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	3	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	0	Major	3
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	3	Non-major	0
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: ART 492 01

Title: Topics:Art Or Media II

Instructor: Stiles, Jesse

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 10

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1560	5.00	4.39	4.35	4.45	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	154/1559	4.88	4.30	4.31	4.34	4.88
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	4	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1371	5.00	4.45	4.38	4.46	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	3	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1519	5.00	4.26	4.27	4.33	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	5	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	433/1452	4.50	4.00	4.18	4.25	4.50
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	8	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/1430	****	3.81	4.16	4.25	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	0	8	4.78	223/1539	4.78	3.98	4.23	4.21	4.78
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	3	6	4.67	898/1560	4.67	4.48	4.64	4.68	4.67
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	0	3	4	4.57	341/1545	4.57	4.10	4.14	4.21	4.57
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1496	5.00	4.44	4.49	4.50	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.80	4.75	4.77	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1496	5.00	4.39	4.37	4.40	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	219/1494	4.89	4.40	4.37	4.41	4.89
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1352	5.00	4.47	4.12	4.16	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	205/1248	4.83	4.21	4.23	4.39	4.83
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	295/1250	4.83	4.36	4.39	4.55	4.83
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1239	5.00	4.44	4.45	4.61	5.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: ART 492 01

Title: Topics:Art Or Media II

Instructor: Stiles, Jesse

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 10

Questionnaires: 9

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	3	5	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/906	****	4.09	4.13	4.28	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	5	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	3	Major	8
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	3						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	1	Under-grad	6	Non-major	1
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	3	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	8	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: ART 624 01

Title: Art & Crit

Instructor: Jacob, Preminda

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 13

	_			Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	1	3	6	4.50	664/1560	4.50	4.39	4.35	4.37	4.50
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	2	8	4.64	453/1559	4.64	4.30	4.31	4.29	4.64
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	9	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1371	****	4.45	4.38	4.37	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	1	1	8	4.70	304/1519	4.70	4.26	4.27	4.29	4.70
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	112/1452	4.89	4.00	4.18	4.23	4.89
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	4	5	4.56	375/1430	4.56	3.81	4.16	4.28	4.56
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	0	0	1	1	7	4.67	349/1539	4.67	3.98	4.23	4.26	4.67
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1560	5.00	4.48	4.64	4.72	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	1	3	7	4.55	369/1545	4.55	4.10	4.14	4.11	4.55
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1496	5.00	4.44	4.49	4.47	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.80	4.75	4.76	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1496	5.00	4.39	4.37	4.29	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1494	5.00	4.40	4.37	4.31	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1352	5.00	4.47	4.12	3.99	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	169/1248	4.89	4.21	4.23	4.28	4.89
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	1	1	7	4.67	479/1250	4.67	4.36	4.39	4.49	4.67
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	279/1239	4.89	4.44	4.45	4.57	4.89
4. Were special techniques successful	2	2	0	1	1	2	3	4.00	519/906	4.00	4.09	4.13	4.08	4.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: ART 624 01

Title: Art & Crit

Instructor: Jacob, Preminda

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 13

Questionnaires: 11

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	10	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/64	****	****	4.44	4.23	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	10	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/58	****	****	4.37	4.34	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	10	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/52	****	****	4.41	4.37	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	10	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/66	****	****	4.41	4.28	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	10	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/63	****	****	4.09	4.07	****

Credits Earned		Cum. GPA		Expected Grades		Reasons	Туре		Majors		
00-27	3	0.00-0.99	0	Α	8	Required for Majors	9	Graduate	6	Major	9
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	2						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	5	Non-major	2
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	6	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means ther	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: ART 640 01

Title: Imaging & Digital Studio

Instructor: Durant, Mark R

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 11

Questionnaires: 7

	Frequencies				Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect			
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	389/1560	4.71	4.39	4.35	4.37	4.71
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	3	3	4.29	912/1559	4.29	4.30	4.31	4.29	4.29
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	207/1519	4.80	4.26	4.27	4.29	4.80
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	2	4	4.43	530/1452	4.43	4.00	4.18	4.23	4.43
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	6	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/1430	****	3.81	4.16	4.28	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	4	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	349/1539	4.67	3.98	4.23	4.26	4.67
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1560	5.00	4.48	4.64	4.72	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	1	0	0	1	4	4.17	827/1545	4.17	4.10	4.14	4.11	4.17
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	643/1496	4.67	4.44	4.49	4.47	4.67
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.80	4.75	4.76	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	504/1496	4.67	4.39	4.37	4.29	4.67
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	532/1494	4.67	4.40	4.37	4.31	4.67
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1352	5.00	4.47	4.12	3.99	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1248	5.00	4.21	4.23	4.28	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	0	2	3	4.60	542/1250	4.60	4.36	4.39	4.49	4.60
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	388/1239	4.80	4.44	4.45	4.57	4.80
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/64	****	****	4.44	4.23	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/58	****	****	4.37	4.34	****

Run Date: 7/9/2013 3:59:22 PM

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: ART 640 01

Title: Imaging & Digital Studio

Instructor: Durant, Mark R

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 11

Questionnaires: 7

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/52	****	****	4.41	4.37	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/66	****	****	4.41	4.28	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/63	****	****	4.09	4.07	****

Credits Earned		Cum. GPA		Expected Grades		Reasons	Туре		Majors		
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	Α	7	Required for Majors	6	Graduate	1	Major	6
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	6	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	1	3.50-4.00	2	2 F 0 Electives		Electives	0 **** - Means there are not enough resp				
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						