

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ECAC 317 01
Title:	Acct Info Systems
Instructor:	Medicus,Suzann

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	23
Questionnaires:	16

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	1	0	0	0	1	3	11	4.67	458/1560	4.67	4.02	4.35	4.42	4.67
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	1	0	0	2	13	4.63	467/1559	4.63	4.18	4.31	4.35	4.63
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	1	14	4.81	251/1371	4.81	4.33	4.38	4.41	4.81
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	2	2	11	4.60	435/1519	4.60	4.17	4.27	4.33	4.60
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	1	3	11	4.50	433/1452	4.50	4.35	4.18	4.21	4.50
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	6	0	1	0	2	7	4.50	427/1430	4.50	3.91	4.16	4.20	4.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	3	12	4.69	321/1539	4.69	4.29	4.23	4.27	4.69
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	1	11	4	4.19	1350/1560	4.19	4.40	4.64	4.66	4.19
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	1	1	0	1	2	10	4.43	518/1545	4.43	3.87	4.14	4.19	4.43
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	1	0	1	1	12	4.53	832/1496	4.53	4.24	4.49	4.54	4.53
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	1	0	14	4.87	674/1498	4.87	4.60	4.75	4.79	4.87
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	1	1	13	4.80	294/1496	4.80	4.21	4.37	4.43	4.80
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	2	1	12	4.67	532/1494	4.67	3.91	4.37	4.43	4.67
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	1	0	0	1	4	8	4.54	326/1352	4.54	4.13	4.12	4.23	4.54
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	0	1	9	4.90	155/1248	4.90	4.27	4.23	4.33	4.90
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	6	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1250	5.00	4.67	4.39	4.47	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	6	0	0	0	0	1	9	4.90	252/1239	4.90	4.49	4.45	4.53	4.90

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ECAC 317 01
Title:	Acct Info Systems
Instructor:	Medicus,Suzann

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	23
Questionnaires:	16

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	6	3	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	99/906	4.86	4.26	4.13	4.14	4.86

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	1	0.00-0.99 0	A 12	Required for Majors 9	Graduate 2
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 1	B 2		
56-83	0	2.00-2.99 2	C 0	General 0	Under-grad 14
84-150	3	3.00-3.49 2	D 0		Non-major 10
Grad.	2	3.50-4.00 2	F 0	Electives 2	
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 1	
			? 2		

**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ECAC 321 01
Title:	Audit Theory & Practice
Instructor:	Broache,Michael

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	12
Questionnaires:	9

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	2	0	7	4.56	603/1560	4.56	4.02	4.35	4.42	4.56
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	1	7	4.67	412/1559	4.67	4.18	4.31	4.35	4.67
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	197/1371	4.88	4.33	4.38	4.41	4.88
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	134/1519	4.89	4.17	4.27	4.33	4.89
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	1	7	4.67	272/1452	4.67	4.35	4.18	4.21	4.67
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	103/1430	4.89	3.91	4.16	4.20	4.89
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	129/1539	4.89	4.29	4.23	4.27	4.89
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	502/1560	4.89	4.40	4.64	4.66	4.89
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	2	2	3	4.14	846/1545	4.14	3.87	4.14	4.19	4.14
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	280/1496	4.88	4.24	4.49	4.54	4.88
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	644/1498	4.88	4.60	4.75	4.79	4.88
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	200/1496	4.88	4.21	4.37	4.43	4.88
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	2	0	6	4.50	726/1494	4.50	3.91	4.37	4.43	4.50
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	1	0	1	6	4.50	353/1352	4.50	4.13	4.12	4.23	4.50
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1248	****	4.27	4.23	4.33	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	7	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1250	****	4.67	4.39	4.47	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	7	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1239	****	4.49	4.45	4.53	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ECAC 321 01
Title:	Audit Theory & Practice
Instructor:	Broache,Michael

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	12
Questionnaires:	9

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	7	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/906	****	4.26	4.13	4.14	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	0	0.00-0.99 0	A 6	Required for Majors 7	Graduate 0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 2		
56-83	0	2.00-2.99 2	C 0	General 0	Under-grad 9
84-150	2	3.00-3.49 3	D 0		Non-major 2
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 0	F 0	Electives 1	
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 0	
			? 1		

**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ECAC 330 01
Title:	Taxation
Instructor:	Cole,Richard M.

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	27
Questionnaires:	13

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	1	2	4	6	4.15	1091/1560	4.15	4.02	4.35	4.42	4.15
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	1	1	3	0	8	4.00	1158/1559	4.00	4.18	4.31	4.35	4.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	2	0	10	4.67	442/1371	4.67	4.33	4.38	4.41	4.67
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	5	0	0	3	1	4	4.13	987/1519	4.13	4.17	4.27	4.33	4.13
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	2	1	0	0	2	8	4.45	494/1452	4.45	4.35	4.18	4.21	4.45
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	6	2	0	1	0	4	3.57	1206/1430	3.57	3.91	4.16	4.20	3.57
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	0	3	1	8	4.42	663/1539	4.42	4.29	4.23	4.27	4.42
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	12	4.92	363/1560	4.92	4.40	4.64	4.66	4.92
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	1	0	0	2	5	4	4.18	807/1545	4.18	3.87	4.14	4.19	4.18
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	5	8	4.62	727/1496	4.62	4.24	4.49	4.54	4.62
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	1	1	3	8	4.38	1328/1498	4.38	4.60	4.75	4.79	4.38
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	1	5	7	4.46	752/1496	4.46	4.21	4.37	4.43	4.46
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	2	2	8	4.31	953/1494	4.31	3.91	4.37	4.43	4.31
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	3	0	1	1	1	7	4.40	473/1352	4.40	4.13	4.12	4.23	4.40
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	1	1	1	2	5	3.90	916/1248	3.90	4.27	4.23	4.33	3.90
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	1	2	3	4	4.00	945/1250	4.00	4.67	4.39	4.47	4.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	2	1	3	4	3.90	1045/1239	3.90	4.49	4.45	4.53	3.90
4. Were special techniques successful	3	7	1	1	0	1	0	2.33	****/906	****	4.26	4.13	4.14	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ECAC 330 01
Title:	Taxation
Instructor:	Cole, Richard M.

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	27
Questionnaires:	13

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	12	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/206	****	****	4.25	4.22	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	12	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/214	****	****	4.31	4.33	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	12	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/204	****	****	4.52	4.57	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	12	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/207	****	****	4.44	4.42	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27 0	0.00-0.99 1	A 7	Required for Majors 10	Graduate 0	Major 0
28-55 2	1.00-1.99 0	B 6			
56-83 3	2.00-2.99 2	C 0	General 1	Under-grad 13	Non-major 7
84-150 1	3.00-3.49 1	D 0			
Grad. 0	3.50-4.00 1	F 0	Electives 1	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant	
		P 0			
		I 0	Other 0		
		? 0			

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ECAC 351 01
Title:	Advanced Cost Acct
Instructor:	Hardy,Timothy W

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	9
Questionnaires:	9

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	4	4	4.33	886/1560	4.33	4.02	4.35	4.42	4.33
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	1	3	4	4.11	1077/1559	4.11	4.18	4.31	4.35	4.11
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	5	0	0	2	0	2	4.00	1066/1371	4.00	4.33	4.38	4.41	4.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	4	3	4.11	996/1519	4.11	4.17	4.27	4.33	4.11
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	1	1	1	5	4.25	704/1452	4.25	4.35	4.18	4.21	4.25
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	3	1	0	1	1	2	3.60	1190/1430	3.60	3.91	4.16	4.20	3.60
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	2	2	5	4.33	761/1539	4.33	4.29	4.23	4.27	4.33
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	1	7	1	4.00	1445/1560	4.00	4.40	4.64	4.66	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	2	2	3	4.14	846/1545	4.14	3.87	4.14	4.19	4.14
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	2	1	1	3	3.71	1398/1496	3.71	4.24	4.49	4.54	3.71
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	704/1498	4.86	4.60	4.75	4.79	4.86
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	1	1	1	4	4.14	1087/1496	4.14	4.21	4.37	4.43	4.14
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	1	1	2	0	3	3.43	1402/1494	3.43	3.91	4.37	4.43	3.43
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	1	0	1	1	1	3	4.00	823/1352	4.00	4.13	4.12	4.23	4.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	822/1248	4.00	4.27	4.23	4.33	4.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	6	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1250	5.00	4.67	4.39	4.47	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	6	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	563/1239	4.67	4.49	4.45	4.53	4.67

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ECAC 351 01
Title:	Advanced Cost Acct
Instructor:	Hardy,Timothy W

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	9
Questionnaires:	9

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	6	0	0	0	2	0	1	3.67	727/906	3.67	4.26	4.13	4.14	3.67

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	0	0.00-0.99 0	A 5	Required for Majors 7	Graduate 0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 1		
56-83	1	2.00-2.99 3	C 0	General 1	Under-grad 9
84-150	4	3.00-3.49 2	D 0		
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 1	F 0	Electives 1	
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 0	
			? 0		

**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ECAC 401 01
Title:	Advanced Accounting
Instructor:	McBride,Charles

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	32
Questionnaires:	11

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	1	0	4	1	2	3	0	2.40	1555/1560	2.40	4.02	4.35	4.45	2.40
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	2	0	2	3	3	3.50	1448/1559	3.50	4.18	4.31	4.34	3.50
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	1	0	6	1	2	3.30	1325/1371	3.30	4.33	4.38	4.46	3.30
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	1	1	1	4	2	1	3.11	1488/1519	3.11	4.17	4.27	4.33	3.11
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	1	4	0	5	3.90	1056/1452	3.90	4.35	4.18	4.25	3.90
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	5	1	1	1	1	1	3.00	1373/1430	3.00	3.91	4.16	4.25	3.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	2	0	4	3	1	3.10	1466/1539	3.10	4.29	4.23	4.21	3.10
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	2	6	2	4.00	1445/1560	4.00	4.40	4.64	4.68	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	3	2	1	3	0	2.44	1522/1545	2.44	3.87	4.14	4.21	2.44
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	2	0	1	4	2	3.44	1443/1496	3.44	4.24	4.49	4.50	3.44
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	1	0	0	5	3	4.00	1440/1498	4.00	4.60	4.75	4.77	4.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	3	1	1	3	1	2.78	1475/1496	2.78	4.21	4.37	4.40	2.78
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	3	1	2	2	1	2.67	1474/1494	2.67	3.91	4.37	4.41	2.67

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ECAC 401 01
Title:	Advanced Accounting
Instructor:	McBride,Charles

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	32
Questionnaires:	11

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Lecture														
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	4	1	0	2	1	1	3.20	1255/1352	3.20	4.13	4.12	4.16	3.20

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	1	0.00-0.99 0	A 2	Required for Majors 9	Graduate 0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 2		
56-83	0	2.00-2.99 1	C 1	General 0	Under-grad 11
84-150	1	3.00-3.49 2	D 0		Non-major 5
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 1	F 0	Electives 0	
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 0	
			? 2		

**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant