Course-Section: MUSC 101 01

Title: Fundamentals Music Thry

Instructor: Richards, Kazuko

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 25

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	2	3	16	4.67	458/1560	4.40	4.73	4.35	4.17	4.67
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	4	4	13	4.32	880/1559	4.39	4.71	4.31	4.25	4.32
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	5	15	4.59	525/1371	4.59	4.74	4.38	4.27	4.59
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	7	15	4.68	330/1519	4.60	4.76	4.27	4.13	4.68
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	4	17	4.73	221/1452	4.26	4.59	4.18	4.04	4.73
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	1	1	0	1	4	14	4.50	427/1430	4.37	4.68	4.16	3.98	4.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	1	2	2	5	12	4.14	986/1539	4.49	4.53	4.23	4.18	4.14
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	22	5.00	1/1560	4.86	4.66	4.64	4.57	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	1	6	15	4.64	284/1545	4.36	4.55	4.14	4.07	4.64
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	6	0	0	0	1	2	13	4.75	489/1496	4.63	4.72	4.49	4.43	4.75
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	6	0	0	0	0	1	15	4.94	389/1498	4.86	4.87	4.75	4.67	4.94
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	6	0	0	1	2	3	10	4.38	866/1496	4.35	4.72	4.37	4.31	4.38
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	1	3	12	4.69	506/1494	4.58	4.78	4.37	4.28	4.69
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	8	4	0	0	2	2	6	4.40	473/1352	4.33	4.56	4.12	3.98	4.40
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	17	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	****/1248	3.30	4.59	4.23	3.95	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	17	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	****/1250	3.87	4.74	4.39	4.13	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	17	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	****/1239	3.77	4.70	4.45	4.18	****
4. Were special techniques successful	17	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	****/906	****	4.84	4.13	3.98	****

Course-Section: MUSC 101 01

Title: Fundamentals Music Thry

Instructor: Richards, Kazuko

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 25

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	21	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/206	****	5.00	4.25	4.15	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	21	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/214	****	5.00	4.31	4.30	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	21	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/204	****	5.00	4.52	4.54	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	21	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/207	****	5.00	4.44	4.50	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	21	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/199	****	5.00	4.27	4.31	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	21	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/64	****	5.00	4.44	4.50	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	21	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/58	****	5.00	4.37	4.32	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	21	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/52	****	5.00	4.41	4.33	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	21	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/66	****	5.00	4.41	4.53	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	21	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/63	****	5.00	4.09	4.17	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	21	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	5.00	4.19	3.64	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	21	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	5.00	4.11	4.21	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	21	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/24	****	5.00	4.25	5.00	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	21	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/26	****	5.00	3.89	5.00	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	21	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/25	****	5.00	4.01	5.00	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	21	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	****	4.96	4.35	4.54	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	21	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/22	****	5.00	4.13	4.42	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	21	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	4.90	4.41	4.61	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 101 01

Title: Fundamentals Music Thry

Instructor: Richards, Kazuko

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 25
Questionnaires: 22

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	21	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/14	****	5.00	4.03	4.38	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	21	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/10	****	5.00	3.94	5.00	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	4	0.00-0.99	0	Α	10	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	4						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	2	С	0	General	8	Under-grad	22	Non-major	20
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	3	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	3	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	1				
				?	8						

Course-Section: MUSC 101 02

Title: Fundamentals Music Thry

Instructor: Hawley JR, Thoma

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 96

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	43	0	3	2	8	9	22	4.02	1181/1560	4.40	4.73	4.35	4.17	4.02
. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	43	0	0	2	8	6	28	4.36	821/1559	4.39	4.71	4.31	4.25	4.36
. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	44	1	1	1	2	6	32	4.60	525/1371	4.59	4.74	4.38	4.27	4.60
. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	44	24	0	1	6	1	11	4.16	****/1519	4.60	4.76	4.27	4.13	****
. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	43	14	5	3	4	3	15	3.67	1214/1452	4.26	4.59	4.18	4.04	3.67
. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	43	32	1	0	1	3	7	4.25	****/1430	4.37	4.68	4.16	3.98	****
. Was the grading system clearly explained	43	0	0	1	2	5	36	4.73	273/1539	4.49	4.53	4.23	4.18	4.73
. How many times was class cancelled	43	1	0	0	0	16	27	4.63	940/1560	4.86	4.66	4.64	4.57	4.63
. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	51	0	0	2	8	15	11	3.97	996/1545	4.36	4.55	4.14	4.07	3.97
Lecture														
. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	43	0	0	1	6	7	30	4.50	871/1496	4.63	4.72	4.49	4.43	4.50
. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	43	0	0	0	4	2	38	4.77	903/1498	4.86	4.87	4.75	4.67	4.77
. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	43	0	0	3	6	9	26	4.32	934/1496	4.35	4.72	4.37	4.31	4.32
. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	44	0	0	4	3	5	31	4.47	775/1494	4.58	4.78	4.37	4.28	4.47
. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	43	17	1	1	2	9	14	4.26	629/1352	4.33	4.56	4.12	3.98	4.26
Discussion														
. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	57	0	5	4	8	3	10	3.30	1146/1248	3.30	4.59	4.23	3.95	3.30
. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	57	0	2	3	5	7	13	3.87	1040/1250	3.87	4.74	4.39	4.13	3.87
. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	57	0	4	3	2	8	13	3.77	1100/1239	3.77	4.70	4.45	4.18	3.77
. Were special techniques successful	57	21	1	1	0	0	7	4.22	****/906	****	4.84	4.13	3.98	****

Course-Section: MUSC 101 02

Title: Fundamentals Music Thry

Instructor: Hawley JR, Thoma

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 96

Questionnaires: 87

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	86	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/206	****	5.00	4.25	4.15	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	86	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/214	****	5.00	4.31	4.30	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	86	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/204	****	5.00	4.52	4.54	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	86	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/207	****	5.00	4.44	4.50	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	86	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/199	****	5.00	4.27	4.31	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	86	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/64	****	5.00	4.44	4.50	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	86	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/58	****	5.00	4.37	4.32	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	86	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/52	****	5.00	4.41	4.33	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	86	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/66	****	5.00	4.41	4.53	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	86	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/63	****	5.00	4.09	4.17	****

Credits E	Earned	Cum. GP	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	3	0.00-0.99	0	Α	38	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	12	1.00-1.99	0	В	4						
56-83	6	2.00-2.99	3	С	0	General	27	Under-grad	87	Non-major	87
84-150	9	3.00-3.49	15	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	15	F	0	Electives	9	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	45						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 101 03

Title: Fundamentals Music Thry

Instructor: Richards, Kazuko

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 25
Questionnaires: 21

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	10	11	4.52	639/1560	4.40	4.73	4.35	4.17	4.52
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	11	10	4.48	671/1559	4.39	4.71	4.31	4.25	4.48
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	9	12	4.57	549/1371	4.59	4.74	4.38	4.27	4.57
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	8	12	4.52	526/1519	4.60	4.76	4.27	4.13	4.52
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	2	9	10	4.38	573/1452	4.26	4.59	4.18	4.04	4.38
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	2	12	7	4.24	718/1430	4.37	4.68	4.16	3.98	4.24
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	6	14	4.62	420/1539	4.49	4.53	4.23	4.18	4.62
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	20	4.95	227/1560	4.86	4.66	4.64	4.57	4.95
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	1	9	11	4.48	448/1545	4.36	4.55	4.14	4.07	4.48

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	0	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	21	Non-major	21
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	21						

Course-Section: MUSC 111 01

Title: Musicianship Lab II

Instructor: Crossland, J. Pa

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 18

	_			Frequencies 1 2 3 4 5 Mean 0 0 2 2 7 4.45 0 0 2 1 8 4.55 0 0 1 2 8 4.64 0 0 0 0 6 5.00 0 0 2 0 5 4.43 0 0 1 1 4 4.50 0 0 1 3 3 4 3.91 0 0 0 5 6 4.55 0 0 1 6 3 4.20 0 0 0 3 5 4.63 0 0 0 3 5 4.63				structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect	
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	7	0	0	0	2	2	7	4.45	736/1560	4.48	4.73	4.35	4.17	4.45
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	7	0	0	0	2	1	8	4.55	574/1559	3.52	4.71	4.31	4.25	4.55
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	7	0	0	0	1	2	8	4.64	477/1371	4.32	4.74	4.38	4.27	4.64
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	7	5	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1519	4.50	4.76	4.27	4.13	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	7	4	0	0	2	0	5	4.43	530/1452	4.43	4.59	4.18	4.04	4.43
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	7	5	0	0	1	1	4	4.50	427/1430	4.50	4.68	4.16	3.98	4.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	7	0	0	1	3	3	4	3.91	1181/1539	3.45	4.53	4.23	4.18	3.91
8. How many times was class cancelled	7	0	0	0	0	5	6	4.55	1015/1560	4.77	4.66	4.64	4.57	4.55
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	8	0	0	0	1	6	3	4.20	788/1545	4.10	4.55	4.14	4.07	4.20
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	10	0	0	0	0	3	5	4.63	710/1496	3.81	4.72	4.49	4.43	4.63
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	10	0	0	0	0	3	5	4.63	1132/1498	4.31	4.87	4.75	4.67	4.63
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	10	0	0	0	1	2	5	4.50	700/1496	4.75	4.72	4.37	4.31	4.50
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	10	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	406/1494	4.38	4.78	4.37	4.28	4.75
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	10	4	0	0	0	3	1	4.25	****/1352	****	4.56	4.12	3.98	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	14	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	****/1248	****	4.59	4.23	3.95	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	14	0	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	****/1250	****	4.74	4.39	4.13	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	14	0	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	****/1239	****	4.70	4.45	4.18	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 111 01

Title: Musicianship Lab II

Instructor: Crossland, J. Pa

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 18

Questionnaires: 18

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	14	3	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/906	****	4.84	4.13	3.98	****

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	7	Required for Majors	11	Graduate	0	Major	11
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	2						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	1	General	0	Under-grad	18	Non-major	7
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	8						

Course-Section: MUSC 111 02

Title: Musicianship Lab II

Instructor: Crossland, J. Pa

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 4

Questionnaires: 2

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	664/1560	4.48	4.73	4.35	4.17	4.50
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	2.50	1551/1559	3.52	4.71	4.31	4.25	2.50
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	1066/1371	4.32	4.74	4.38	4.27	4.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1060/1519	4.50	4.76	4.27	4.13	4.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	3.00	1474/1539	3.45	4.53	4.23	4.18	3.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1560	4.77	4.66	4.64	4.57	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	952/1545	4.10	4.55	4.14	4.07	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1475/1496	3.81	4.72	4.49	4.43	3.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1440/1498	4.31	4.87	4.75	4.67	4.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1496	4.75	4.72	4.37	4.31	5.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 111 02

Title: Musicianship Lab II

Instructor: Crossland, J. Pa

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 4

Questionnaires: 2

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Lecture														
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1147/1494	4.38	4.78	4.37	4.28	4.00

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 126 02

Title: Theory II:Form&Analysis

Instructor: Rubin, Anna I

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 18

	0 0 2 2 1 4 9 3.89 1292/15 0 0 1 1 4 3 9 4.00 1158/15 0 1 0 2 2 4 9 4.18 960/13 0 4 0 1 1 2 10 4.50 549/15 0 1 1 0 4 3 9 4.12 857/14 d 0 6 1 1 0 1 9 4.33 626/14 0 0 0 2 3 2 2 9 3.72 1296/15 0 0 0 0 0 1 17 4.94 272/15 ss 2 1 0 1 5 7 2 3.67 1264/15 2 0 2 2 1 4 7 3.75 1312/14 3 1 0 3 2 2 7 3.93 1215/14 g 2 2 1 3 0 1 9 4.00 823/13				structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect				
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	2	2	1	4	9	3.89	1292/1560	3.89	4.73	4.35	4.17	3.89
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	1	1	4	3	9	4.00	1158/1559	4.00	4.71	4.31	4.25	4.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	2	2	4	9	4.18	960/1371	4.18	4.74	4.38	4.27	4.18
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	4	0	1	1	2	10	4.50	549/1519	4.50	4.76	4.27	4.13	4.50
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	1	0	4	3	9	4.12	857/1452	4.12	4.59	4.18	4.04	4.12
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	6	1	1	0	1	9	4.33	626/1430	4.33	4.68	4.16	3.98	4.33
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	2	3	2	2	9	3.72	1296/1539	3.72	4.53	4.23	4.18	3.72
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	17	4.94	272/1560	4.94	4.66	4.64	4.57	4.94
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	1	0	1	5	7	2	3.67	1264/1545	3.67	4.55	4.14	4.07	3.67
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	3	1	4	8	4.06	1262/1496	4.06	4.72	4.49	4.43	4.06
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	2	3	11	4.56	1191/1498	4.56	4.87	4.75	4.67	4.56
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	2	2	1	4	7	3.75	1312/1496	3.75	4.72	4.37	4.31	3.75
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	1	0	3	2	2	7	3.93	1215/1494	3.93	4.78	4.37	4.28	3.93
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	2	1	3	0	1	9	4.00	823/1352	4.00	4.56	4.12	3.98	4.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	10	0	0	0	2	3	3	4.13	777/1248	4.13	4.59	4.23	3.95	4.13
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	10	0	0	0	4	0	4	4.00	945/1250	4.00	4.74	4.39	4.13	4.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	10	0	0	2	0	2	4	4.00	971/1239	4.00	4.70	4.45	4.18	4.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 126 02

Title: Theory II:Form&Analysis

Instructor: Rubin,Anna I

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 18

Questionnaires: 18

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	10	6	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	****/906	****	4.84	4.13	3.98	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	4	0.00-0.99	0	Α	14	Required for Majors	16	Graduate	0	Major	16
28-55	4	1.00-1.99	0	В	2						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	1	General	0	Under-grad	18	Non-major	2
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	9	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: MUSC 162 01

Title: Diction: French

Instructor: Markovic,Lorria

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 4

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	2	1	1	0	2.75	1550/1560	2.75	4.73	4.35	4.17	2.75
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	1	0	2	0	1	3.00	1526/1559	3.00	4.71	4.31	4.25	3.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	4.00	1066/1371	4.00	4.74	4.38	4.27	4.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	3	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1060/1519	4.00	4.76	4.27	4.13	4.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	704/1452	4.25	4.59	4.18	4.04	4.25
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	1	0	1	0	3.00	1373/1430	3.00	4.68	4.16	3.98	3.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	1	2	0	0	1	2.50	1515/1539	2.50	4.53	4.23	4.18	2.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	776/1560	4.75	4.66	4.64	4.57	4.75
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	1	0	2	0	0	2.33	1530/1545	2.33	4.55	4.14	4.07	2.33
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	2	0	0	0	1	2.33	1492/1496	2.33	4.72	4.49	4.43	2.33
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	3.33	1492/1498	3.33	4.87	4.75	4.67	3.33
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	2.67	1479/1496	2.67	4.72	4.37	4.31	2.67
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	3.67	1343/1494	3.67	4.78	4.37	4.28	3.67
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	2	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	823/1352	4.00	4.56	4.12	3.98	4.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1188/1248	3.00	4.59	4.23	3.95	3.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1221/1250	3.00	4.74	4.39	4.13	3.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 162 01

Title: Diction: French

Instructor: Markovic, Lorria

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 4

Questionnaires: 4

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	971/1239	4.00	4.70	4.45	4.18	4.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	4	Graduate	0	Major	4
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	2						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	4	Non-major	0
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 171 01

Title: Intermediate Voice Class

Instructor: Jackson, Janice

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 18

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	6	0	0	0	0	4	8	4.67	458/1560	4.67	4.73	4.35	4.17	4.67
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	6	0	0	0	0	0	12	5.00	1/1559	5.00	4.71	4.31	4.25	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	6	3	0	0	1	1	7	4.67	442/1371	4.67	4.74	4.38	4.27	4.67
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	6	1	0	0	0	4	7	4.64	395/1519	4.64	4.76	4.27	4.13	4.64
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	6	4	0	0	0	3	5	4.63	310/1452	4.63	4.59	4.18	4.04	4.63
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	6	3	0	0	1	2	6	4.56	375/1430	4.56	4.68	4.16	3.98	4.56
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	6	1	0	0	0	2	9	4.82	185/1539	4.82	4.53	4.23	4.18	4.82
8. How many times was class cancelled	6	0	0	0	0	2	10	4.83	622/1560	4.83	4.66	4.64	4.57	4.83
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	7	1	0	0	0	2	8	4.80	143/1545	4.80	4.55	4.14	4.07	4.80
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	11	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1496	5.00	4.72	4.49	4.43	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	11	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.87	4.75	4.67	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	11	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1496	5.00	4.72	4.37	4.31	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	11	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	261/1494	4.86	4.78	4.37	4.28	4.86
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	11	3	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	****/1352	****	4.56	4.12	3.98	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	11	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1248	5.00	4.59	4.23	3.95	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	11	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	426/1250	4.71	4.74	4.39	4.13	4.71
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	11	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	320/1239	4.86	4.70	4.45	4.18	4.86

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 171 01

Title: Intermediate Voice Class

Instructor: Jackson, Janice

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 18

Questionnaires: 18

					Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Discussion														
4.	. Were special techniques successful	12	1	0	0	0	2	3	4.60	188/906	4.60	4.84	4.13	3.98	4.60

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	Α	9	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	1	В	2						
56-83	3	2.00-2.99	2	С	0	General	1	Under-grad	18	Non-major	18
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	6	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	3				
				?	7						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 175 01

Title: Intermediate Vocal Meth

Instructor: Jackson, Janice

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 2

Questionnaires: 2

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1560	5.00	4.73	4.35	4.17	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	627/1559	4.50	4.71	4.31	4.25	4.50
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1519	5.00	4.76	4.27	4.13	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	1451/1452	2.00	4.59	4.18	4.04	2.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1560	5.00	4.66	4.64	4.57	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1545	5.00	4.55	4.14	4.07	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 177 01

Title: Keyboard Skills II

Instructor: Beith, Nancy S

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 14

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	3	0	1	1	0	1	7	4.20	1047/1560	4.10	4.73	4.35	4.17	4.20
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	3	0	1	0	1	2	6	4.20	993/1559	4.60	4.71	4.31	4.25	4.20
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	3	2	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	197/1371	4.94	4.74	4.38	4.27	4.88
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	3	3	0	0	1	1	5	4.57	469/1519	4.79	4.76	4.27	4.13	4.57
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	3	6	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	433/1452	4.50	4.59	4.18	4.04	4.50
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	3	6	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	427/1430	4.50	4.68	4.16	3.98	4.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	3	1	0	2	1	1	5	4.00	1077/1539	4.42	4.53	4.23	4.18	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	3	1	0	0	0	3	6	4.67	898/1560	4.83	4.66	4.64	4.57	4.67
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	1	1	1	0	5	1	3.50	1342/1545	4.00	4.55	4.14	4.07	3.50
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	9	0	1	0	0	1	2	3.75	1388/1496	4.38	4.72	4.49	4.43	3.75
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	9	0	1	0	0	1	2	3.75	1477/1498	4.38	4.87	4.75	4.67	3.75
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	9	0	1	0	0	1	2	3.75	1312/1496	4.38	4.72	4.37	4.31	3.75
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	9	0	1	0	0	1	2	3.75	1306/1494	4.38	4.78	4.37	4.28	3.75
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	9	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1352	****	4.56	4.12	3.98	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1248	****	4.59	4.23	3.95	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1250	****	4.74	4.39	4.13	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1239	****	4.70	4.45	4.18	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 177 01

Title: Keyboard Skills II

Instructor: Beith,Nancy S

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 14

Questionnaires: 13

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/906	****	4.84	4.13	3.98	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	6	Required for Majors	8	Graduate	0	Major	9
28-55	3	1.00-1.99	0	В	3						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	13	Non-major	4
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	6	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	4						

Course-Section: MUSC 177 02

Title: Keyboard Skills II

Instructor: Beith, Nancy S

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 8

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	1	0	0	3	3	4.00	1193/1560	4.10	4.73	4.35	4.17	4.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1559	4.60	4.71	4.31	4.25	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	2	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1371	4.94	4.74	4.38	4.27	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1519	4.79	4.76	4.27	4.13	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	5	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1430	4.50	4.68	4.16	3.98	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	169/1539	4.42	4.53	4.23	4.18	4.83
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1560	4.83	4.66	4.64	4.57	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	0	3	3	4.50	406/1545	4.00	4.55	4.14	4.07	4.50
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	4	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1496	4.38	4.72	4.49	4.43	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	4	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1498	4.38	4.87	4.75	4.67	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	5	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1496	4.38	4.72	4.37	4.31	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1494	4.38	4.78	4.37	4.28	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	4	3	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1352	****	4.56	4.12	3.98	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1248	****	4.59	4.23	3.95	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1250	****	4.74	4.39	4.13	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1239	****	4.70	4.45	4.18	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	****	4.96	4.35	4.54	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/22	****	5.00	4.13	4.42	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 177 02

Title: Keyboard Skills II

Instructor: Beith,Nancy S

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 8

Questionnaires: 8

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	4.90	4.41	4.61	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	5	Required for Majors	7	Graduate	0	Major	5
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	2						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	8	Non-major	3
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: MUSC 179 01

Title: Keyboard Skills IV

Instructor: Beith, Nancy S

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 13

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	2	0	1	1	0	2	7	4.18	1064/1560	4.20	4.73	4.35	4.17	4.18
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	0	0	1	1	9	4.73	332/1559	4.78	4.71	4.31	4.25	4.73
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	3	6	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1371	5.00	4.74	4.38	4.27	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	5	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1519	4.96	4.76	4.27	4.13	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	10	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1452	****	4.59	4.18	4.04	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	8	1	0	0	0	2	3.67	****/1430	****	4.68	4.16	3.98	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	0	0	2	0	9	4.64	392/1539	4.44	4.53	4.23	4.18	4.64
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	2	9	4.82	670/1560	4.77	4.66	4.64	4.57	4.82
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	1	2	5	3	3.91	1099/1545	3.86	4.55	4.14	4.07	3.91
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	9	0	1	0	0	0	3	4.00	1281/1496	4.00	4.72	4.49	4.43	4.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	8	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.87	4.75	4.67	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	9	0	1	0	0	0	3	4.00	1175/1496	4.00	4.72	4.37	4.31	4.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	9	0	1	0	0	1	2	3.75	1306/1494	3.75	4.78	4.37	4.28	3.75
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	8	3	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1352	****	4.56	4.12	3.98	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	9	0	1	0	0	0	3	4.00	822/1248	4.00	4.59	4.23	3.95	4.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	9	0	1	0	0	0	3	4.00	945/1250	4.00	4.74	4.39	4.13	4.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	9	0	1	0	0	0	3	4.00	971/1239	4.00	4.70	4.45	4.18	4.00
4. Were special techniques successful	9	3	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/906	****	4.84	4.13	3.98	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 179 01

Title: Keyboard Skills IV

Instructor: Beith, Nancy S

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 13

Questionnaires: 13

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/214	****	5.00	4.31	4.30	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	****	4.96	4.35	4.54	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/22	****	5.00	4.13	4.42	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	4.90	4.41	4.61	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	6	Required for Majors	8	Graduate	0	Major	8
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	4						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	13	Non-major	5
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	3						

Course-Section: MUSC 179 02

Title: Keyboard Skills IV

Instructor: Beith, Nancy S

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 21

·	_			Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	3	0	2	0	2	2	12	4.22	1021/1560	4.20	4.73	4.35	4.17	4.22
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	3	0	0	0	0	3	15	4.83	201/1559	4.78	4.71	4.31	4.25	4.83
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	3	12	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1371	5.00	4.74	4.38	4.27	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	3	6	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	104/1519	4.96	4.76	4.27	4.13	4.92
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	3	14	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	****/1452	****	4.59	4.18	4.04	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	3	15	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/1430	****	4.68	4.16	3.98	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	3	1	0	3	0	4	10	4.24	878/1539	4.44	4.53	4.23	4.18	4.24
8. How many times was class cancelled	3	0	0	0	0	5	13	4.72	824/1560	4.77	4.66	4.64	4.57	4.72
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	5	0	2	0	1	9	4	3.81	1172/1545	3.86	4.55	4.14	4.07	3.81
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	17	0	1	0	0	0	3	4.00	****/1496	4.00	4.72	4.49	4.43	****
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	17	0	0	1	0	0	3	4.25	****/1498	5.00	4.87	4.75	4.67	****
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	18	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/1496	4.00	4.72	4.37	4.31	****
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	18	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/1494	3.75	4.78	4.37	4.28	****
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	19	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1352	****	4.56	4.12	3.98	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	19	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1248	4.00	4.59	4.23	3.95	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	19	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1250	4.00	4.74	4.39	4.13	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	19	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1239	4.00	4.70	4.45	4.18	****
4. Were special techniques successful	19	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/906	****	4.84	4.13	3.98	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 179 02

Title: Keyboard Skills IV

Instructor: Beith, Nancy S

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 21

Questionnaires: 21

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	20	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/31	****	4.96	4.35	4.54	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	20	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/22	****	5.00	4.13	4.42	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	13	Required for Majors	17	Graduate	0	Major	15
28-55	3	1.00-1.99	0	В	4						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	21	Non-major	6
84-150	4	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	8	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	4						

Course-Section: MUSC 180 01

Title: Beginning Piano Class

Instructor: Beith, Nancy S

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 25

·	_			Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	2	0	0	1	2	11	9	4.22	1034/1560	4.22	4.73	4.35	4.17	4.22
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	1	0	2	4	16	4.48	671/1559	4.48	4.71	4.31	4.25	4.48
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	0	0	1	0	8	14	4.52	609/1371	4.52	4.74	4.38	4.27	4.52
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	3	5	0	1	2	1	13	4.53	526/1519	4.53	4.76	4.27	4.13	4.53
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	10	1	1	3	2	6	3.85	1095/1452	3.85	4.59	4.18	4.04	3.85
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	3	15	0	1	2	2	2	3.71	1124/1430	3.71	4.68	4.16	3.98	3.71
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	2	0	9	7	5	3.57	1369/1539	3.57	4.53	4.23	4.18	3.57
8. How many times was class cancelled	3	0	0	0	0	4	18	4.82	670/1560	4.82	4.66	4.64	4.57	4.82
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	10	2	0	1	3	8	1	3.69	1249/1545	3.69	4.55	4.14	4.07	3.69
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	6	0	0	0	4	5	10	4.32	1094/1496	4.32	4.72	4.49	4.43	4.32
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	6	0	0	0	1	4	14	4.68	1050/1498	4.68	4.87	4.75	4.67	4.68
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	6	0	0	1	5	5	8	4.05	1149/1496	4.05	4.72	4.37	4.31	4.05
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	6	0	1	0	3	4	11	4.26	985/1494	4.26	4.78	4.37	4.28	4.26
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	6	5	1	1	3	5	4	3.71	1077/1352	3.71	4.56	4.12	3.98	3.71
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	19	0	2	2	1	0	1	2.33	****/1248	****	4.59	4.23	3.95	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	19	0	0	1	2	1	2	3.67	****/1250	****	4.74	4.39	4.13	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	19	0	1	1	1	2	1	3.17	****/1239	****	4.70	4.45	4.18	****
4. Were special techniques successful	18	6	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/906	****	4.84	4.13	3.98	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 180 01

Title: Beginning Piano Class

Instructor: Beith, Nancy S

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 25
Questionnaires: 25

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	24	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/31	****	4.96	4.35	4.54	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	24	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/22	****	5.00	4.13	4.42	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	24	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/29	****	4.90	4.41	4.61	****

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	13	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	7						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	2	С	0	General	2	Under-grad	25	Non-major	25
84-150	8	3.00-3.49	6	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	5	F	0	Electives	12	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	5				
				?	5						

Course-Section: MUSC 181 01

Title: Intermediate Piano Class

Instructor: Beith, Nancy S

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 17

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	4	0	0	0	1	4	8	4.54	627/1560	4.54	4.73	4.35	4.17	4.54
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	4	0	0	0	0	2	11	4.85	189/1559	4.85	4.71	4.31	4.25	4.85
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	5	1	0	0	1	1	9	4.73	368/1371	4.73	4.74	4.38	4.27	4.73
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	4	1	0	0	1	1	10	4.75	255/1519	4.75	4.76	4.27	4.13	4.75
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	4	5	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	119/1452	4.88	4.59	4.18	4.04	4.88
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	5	7	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	143/1430	4.80	4.68	4.16	3.98	4.80
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	4	0	0	0	1	3	9	4.62	420/1539	4.62	4.53	4.23	4.18	4.62
8. How many times was class cancelled	4	0	0	0	0	1	12	4.92	363/1560	4.92	4.66	4.64	4.57	4.92
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	8	0	0	0	1	3	5	4.44	490/1545	4.44	4.55	4.14	4.07	4.44
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	4	0	0	0	0	0	13	5.00	1/1496	5.00	4.72	4.49	4.43	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	4	0	0	0	0	1	12	4.92	445/1498	4.92	4.87	4.75	4.67	4.92
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	0	0	0	2	11	4.85	240/1496	4.85	4.72	4.37	4.31	4.85
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	2	11	4.85	275/1494	4.85	4.78	4.37	4.28	4.85
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	6	5	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	215/1352	4.67	4.56	4.12	3.98	4.67
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	16	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1248	****	4.59	4.23	3.95	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	15	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1250	****	4.74	4.39	4.13	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 181 01

Title: Intermediate Piano Class

Instructor: Beith, Nancy S

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 17

Questionnaires: 17

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	15	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1239	***	4.70	4.45	4.18	****

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	1	Α	9	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	1	С	1	General	1	Under-grad	17	Non-major	17
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	3	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	4	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	5				
				?	6						

Course-Section: MUSC 183 01

Title: String Methods: Cello an

Instructor: Ladd, Gita

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 7

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	218/1560	4.86	4.73	4.35	4.17	4.86
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	344/1559	4.71	4.71	4.31	4.25	4.71
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	5	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1371	5.00	4.74	4.38	4.27	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	3	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	549/1519	4.50	4.76	4.27	4.13	4.50
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	5	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1452	5.00	4.59	4.18	4.04	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	5	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1430	5.00	4.68	4.16	3.98	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	0	3	0	3	4.00	1077/1539	4.00	4.53	4.23	4.18	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	6	1	4.14	1379/1560	4.14	4.66	4.64	4.57	4.14
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	1	4	1	4.00	952/1545	4.00	4.55	4.14	4.07	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	4	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	1075/1496	4.33	4.72	4.49	4.43	4.33
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	4	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.87	4.75	4.67	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	911/1496	4.33	4.72	4.37	4.31	4.33
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1494	5.00	4.78	4.37	4.28	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	5	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1352	****	4.56	4.12	3.98	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1248	****	4.59	4.23	3.95	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1250	****	4.74	4.39	4.13	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1239	****	4.70	4.45	4.18	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 183 01

Title: String Methods: Cello an

Instructor: Ladd, Gita

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 7

Questionnaires: 7

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/906	****	4.84	4.13	3.98	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	5	Required for Majors	6	Graduate	0	Major	7
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	7	Non-major	0
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: MUSC 187 01

Title: Intermediate Brass Metho

Instructor: Cameron, Wayne C

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 12

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	2	0	0	0	0	4	5	4.56	603/1560	4.56	4.73	4.35	4.17	4.56
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	0	0	2	1	6	4.44	715/1559	4.44	4.71	4.31	4.25	4.44
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	4	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	261/1371	4.80	4.74	4.38	4.27	4.80
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	4	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	207/1519	4.80	4.76	4.27	4.13	4.80
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	7	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/1452	****	4.59	4.18	4.04	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	5	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	427/1430	4.50	4.68	4.16	3.98	4.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	1	0	3	1	0	4	3.63	1347/1539	3.63	4.53	4.23	4.18	3.63
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	5	4	4.44	1122/1560	4.44	4.66	4.64	4.57	4.44
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	1	3	5	4.44	490/1545	4.44	4.55	4.14	4.07	4.44
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	8	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	643/1496	4.67	4.72	4.49	4.43	4.67
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	8	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.87	4.75	4.67	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	8	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1496	5.00	4.72	4.37	4.31	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1494	5.00	4.78	4.37	4.28	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	8	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1352	****	4.56	4.12	3.98	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1248	****	4.59	4.23	3.95	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	9	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1250	****	4.74	4.39	4.13	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	9	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1239	****	4.70	4.45	4.18	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 187 01

Title: Intermediate Brass Metho

Instructor: Cameron, Wayne C

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 12

Questionnaires: 11

				Frequencies					Instructor		Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	9	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/906	****	4.84	4.13	3.98	****

Credits Earned		Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors		
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	8	Required for Majors	9	Graduate	0	Major	9	
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0							
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	11	Non-major	2	
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	0	D	0							
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses		
				Р	0			to be significant				
				I	0	Other	0					
				?	3							

Course-Section: MUSC 189 01

Title: Guitar Methods

Instructor: Forshee, Zane F.

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 10

			Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	2	0	0	0	1	1	6	4.63	514/1560	4.63	4.73	4.35	4.17	4.63
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1559	5.00	4.71	4.31	4.25	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	3	2	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1371	5.00	4.74	4.38	4.27	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	3	0	0	1	0	4	4.60	435/1519	4.60	4.76	4.27	4.13	4.60
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	5	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	272/1452	4.67	4.59	4.18	4.04	4.67
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	6	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1430	****	4.68	4.16	3.98	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	0	0	3	0	5	4.25	855/1539	4.25	4.53	4.23	4.18	4.25
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	4	2	2	3.75	1530/1560	3.75	4.66	4.64	4.57	3.75
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	0	0	2	2	2	4.00	952/1545	4.00	4.55	4.14	4.07	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	5	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1496	5.00	4.72	4.49	4.43	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	5	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.87	4.75	4.67	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	5	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1496	5.00	4.72	4.37	4.31	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1494	5.00	4.78	4.37	4.28	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	6	1	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1352	5.00	4.56	4.12	3.98	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1248	****	4.59	4.23	3.95	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	8	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1250	****	4.74	4.39	4.13	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	8	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1239	****	4.70	4.45	4.18	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 189 01

Title: Guitar Methods

Instructor: Forshee,Zane F.

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 10

Questionnaires: 10

				Frequencies					Instructor		Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	8	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/906	****	4.84	4.13	3.98	****

Credits Earned		Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors		
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	7	Required for Majors	6	Graduate	0	Major	8	
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0							
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	10	Non-major	2	
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0							
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses		
				Р	0			to be significant				
				1	0	Other	0					
				?	3							

Course-Section: MUSC 190 02

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Instructor: Hawley JR, Thoma

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 10

			Frequencies				Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect	
Questions			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	339/1560	4.90	4.73	4.35	4.17	4.75
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	296/1559	4.74	4.71	4.31	4.25	4.75
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	6	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1371	4.50	4.74	4.38	4.27	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	6	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1519	4.75	4.76	4.27	4.13	****
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	6	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/1452	4.38	4.59	4.18	4.04	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	5	0	1	0	1	0	3.00	****/1539	4.48	4.53	4.23	4.18	****
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	574/1560	4.50	4.66	4.64	4.57	4.86
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	123/1545	4.74	4.55	4.14	4.07	4.86
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1496	4.80	4.72	4.49	4.43	****
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	8	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/1498	5.00	4.87	4.75	4.67	****
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	8	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/1496	4.75	4.72	4.37	4.31	****
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/1494	4.80	4.78	4.37	4.28	****
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	8	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/1352	4.67	4.56	4.12	3.98	****
Discussion														
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	8	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/1250	4.33	4.74	4.39	4.13	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	8	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/1239	4.67	4.70	4.45	4.18	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/29	****	5.00	4.19	3.64	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	8	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/29	****	5.00	4.11	4.21	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/25	****	5.00	4.01	5.00	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 190 02

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Instructor: Hawley JR, Thoma

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 10
Questionnaires: 9

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	15/31	4.93	4.96	4.35	4.54	4.80
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	4	4	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/22	5.00	5.00	4.13	4.42	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	4	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/29	4.83	4.90	4.41	4.61	5.00
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	4	4	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/14	****	5.00	4.03	4.38	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	6	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	1	Under-grad	9	Non-major	9
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	2				
				?	1						

Course-Section: MUSC 190 06

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Instructor: Regan, Joseph Co

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 13

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1560	4.90	4.73	4.35	4.17	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1559	4.74	4.71	4.31	4.25	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1539	4.48	4.53	4.23	4.18	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1445/1560	4.50	4.66	4.64	4.57	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1545	4.74	4.55	4.14	4.07	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1496	4.80	4.72	4.49	4.43	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.87	4.75	4.67	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1496	4.75	4.72	4.37	4.31	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1494	4.80	4.78	4.37	4.28	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1248	3.67	4.59	4.23	3.95	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1250	4.33	4.74	4.39	4.13	5.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 190 06

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Instructor: Regan, Joseph Co

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 13

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1239	4.67	4.70	4.45	4.18	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 190 07

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Instructor: Markovic, Lorria

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 8

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1560	4.90	4.73	4.35	4.17	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1559	4.74	4.71	4.31	4.25	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	3	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1371	4.50	4.74	4.38	4.27	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	4	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1519	4.75	4.76	4.27	4.13	****
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	4	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1452	4.38	4.59	4.18	4.04	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	4	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1430	5.00	4.68	4.16	3.98	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1539	4.48	4.53	4.23	4.18	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	4.60	961/1560	4.50	4.66	4.64	4.57	4.60
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	1	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	406/1545	4.74	4.55	4.14	4.07	4.50
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1496	4.80	4.72	4.49	4.43	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.87	4.75	4.67	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1496	4.75	4.72	4.37	4.31	5.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 190 07

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Instructor: Markovic,Lorria

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 8

Questionnaires: 5

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Lecture														
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1494	4.80	4.78	4.37	4.28	5.00

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	4	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	5	Non-major	5
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	1						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 190 09

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Instructor: Tremblay, Christ

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 9

Questionnaires: 3

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1560	4.90	4.73	4.35	4.17	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	412/1559	4.74	4.71	4.31	4.25	4.67
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1430	5.00	4.68	4.16	3.98	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	1077/1539	4.48	4.53	4.23	4.18	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	1228/1560	4.50	4.66	4.64	4.57	4.33
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1545	4.74	4.55	4.14	4.07	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1496	4.80	4.72	4.49	4.43	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.87	4.75	4.67	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1496	4.75	4.72	4.37	4.31	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1494	4.80	4.78	4.37	4.28	5.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 190 09

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 9

Questionnaires: 3

Instructor: Tremblay, Christ

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Lecture														
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1352	4.67	4.56	4.12	3.98	5.00

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	3	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	3	Non-major	3
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 190 11

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Instructor: Ladd, Gita

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 5

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1560	4.90	4.73	4.35	4.17	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	942/1559	4.74	4.71	4.31	4.25	4.25
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	3	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1371	4.50	4.74	4.38	4.27	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1519	4.75	4.76	4.27	4.13	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1452	4.38	4.59	4.18	4.04	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	3	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1430	5.00	4.68	4.16	3.98	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1539	4.48	4.53	4.23	4.18	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	1051/1560	4.50	4.66	4.64	4.57	4.50
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1545	4.74	4.55	4.14	4.07	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1496	4.80	4.72	4.49	4.43	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.87	4.75	4.67	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1496	4.75	4.72	4.37	4.31	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1494	4.80	4.78	4.37	4.28	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	1	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	215/1352	4.67	4.56	4.12	3.98	4.67
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1248	3.67	4.59	4.23	3.95	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1250	4.33	4.74	4.39	4.13	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1239	4.67	4.70	4.45	4.18	5.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 190 11

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 5

Questionnaires: 4

Instructor: Ladd,Gita

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/906	5.00	4.84	4.13	3.98	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	Α	4	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	4	Non-major	4
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	2				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 190 13

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Instructor: Forshee, Zane F.

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 4

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1560	4.90	4.73	4.35	4.17	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	627/1559	4.74	4.71	4.31	4.25	4.50
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1350/1371	4.50	4.74	4.38	4.27	3.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1060/1519	4.75	4.76	4.27	4.13	4.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1397/1452	4.38	4.59	4.18	4.04	3.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	2.50	1515/1539	4.48	4.53	4.23	4.18	2.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	1548/1560	4.50	4.66	4.64	4.57	3.50
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	406/1545	4.74	4.55	4.14	4.07	4.50
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1475/1496	4.80	4.72	4.49	4.43	3.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.87	4.75	4.67	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1454/1496	4.75	4.72	4.37	4.31	3.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1448/1494	4.80	4.78	4.37	4.28	3.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1277/1352	4.67	4.56	4.12	3.98	3.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	1247/1248	3.67	4.59	4.23	3.95	1.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1221/1250	4.33	4.74	4.39	4.13	3.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	971/1239	4.67	4.70	4.45	4.18	4.00
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/31	4.93	4.96	4.35	4.54	5.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 190 13

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Instructor: Forshee,Zane F.

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 4

Questionnaires: 2

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	16/29	4.83	4.90	4.41	4.61	4.50

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	2
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	0						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 190 14

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Instructor: Cella, Lisa M

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 1

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1560	4.90	4.73	4.35	4.17	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1559	4.74	4.71	4.31	4.25	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1560	4.50	4.66	4.64	4.57	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1496	4.80	4.72	4.49	4.43	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.87	4.75	4.67	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1496	4.75	4.72	4.37	4.31	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1494	4.80	4.78	4.37	4.28	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 190 18

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Instructor: Spece, Richard D

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 2

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1193/1560	4.90	4.73	4.35	4.17	4.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1519	4.75	4.76	4.27	4.13	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1539	4.48	4.53	4.23	4.18	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1560	4.50	4.66	4.64	4.57	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1545	4.74	4.55	4.14	4.07	5.00

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 190 21

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Instructor: Cameron, Wayne C

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 1

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1560	4.90	4.73	4.35	4.17	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1158/1559	4.74	4.71	4.31	4.25	4.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1519	4.75	4.76	4.27	4.13	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1560	4.50	4.66	4.64	4.57	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1496	4.80	4.72	4.49	4.43	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.87	4.75	4.67	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1496	4.75	4.72	4.37	4.31	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1494	4.80	4.78	4.37	4.28	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1352	4.67	4.56	4.12	3.98	5.00

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 190 25

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Instructor: Belzer, Matthew

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 6

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1560	4.90	4.73	4.35	4.17	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1559	4.74	4.71	4.31	4.25	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1519	4.75	4.76	4.27	4.13	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1539	4.48	4.53	4.23	4.18	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	898/1560	4.50	4.66	4.64	4.57	4.67
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1545	4.74	4.55	4.14	4.07	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1496	4.80	4.72	4.49	4.43	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.87	4.75	4.67	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	700/1496	4.75	4.72	4.37	4.31	4.50
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1494	4.80	4.78	4.37	4.28	5.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 190 25

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Instructor: Belzer, Matthew

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 6

Questionnaires: 3

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Lecture														
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1352	4.67	4.56	4.12	3.98	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	3	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	3	Non-major	3
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 190 27

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Instructor: Goldstein, Thoma

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 3

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1560	4.90	4.73	4.35	4.17	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1559	4.74	4.71	4.31	4.25	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1519	4.75	4.76	4.27	4.13	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1452	4.38	4.59	4.18	4.04	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1430	5.00	4.68	4.16	3.98	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1539	4.48	4.53	4.23	4.18	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	1228/1560	4.50	4.66	4.64	4.57	4.33
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	255/1545	4.74	4.55	4.14	4.07	4.67
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1496	4.80	4.72	4.49	4.43	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.87	4.75	4.67	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1496	4.75	4.72	4.37	4.31	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1494	4.80	4.78	4.37	4.28	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1352	4.67	4.56	4.12	3.98	5.00
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/31	4.93	4.96	4.35	4.54	5.00
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/22	5.00	5.00	4.13	4.42	5.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 190 27

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Instructor: Goldstein, Thoma

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 3

Questionnaires: 3

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/29	4.83	4.90	4.41	4.61	5.00

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	3	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	3	Non-major	3
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 190 31

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Instructor: Baldwin, Thomas

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 3

Questionnaires: 2

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1560	4.90	4.73	4.35	4.17	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1559	4.74	4.71	4.31	4.25	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1371	4.50	4.74	4.38	4.27	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1060/1519	4.75	4.76	4.27	4.13	4.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	433/1452	4.38	4.59	4.18	4.04	4.50
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1430	5.00	4.68	4.16	3.98	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	1077/1539	4.48	4.53	4.23	4.18	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	1445/1560	4.50	4.66	4.64	4.57	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	952/1545	4.74	4.55	4.14	4.07	4.00

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	0	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	1
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: MUSC 190 43

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Instructor: Young, Alice E

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 5

Questionnaires: 3

Frequencies Ora UMBC Level Sect Instructor Course **Questions** NR NA 3 Mean Mean Mean Mean Mean Mean 5 Rank **General** 1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course 5.00 1/1560 4.90 4.73 4.35 4.17 5.00 0 0 0 0 3 2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals 0 0 0 0 0 1 2 4.67 412/1559 4.74 4.71 4.31 4.25 4.67 4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals 5.00 1/1519 4.75 4.76 4.27 4.13 5.00 0 2 0 0 0 0 1 7. Was the grading system clearly explained 4.33 761/1539 0 0 0 0 1 0 2 4.48 4.53 4.23 4.18 4.33 8. How many times was class cancelled 0 0 0 0 0 1 2 4.67 898/1560 4.50 4.66 4.64 4.57 4.67 255/1545 9 How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness Λ Λ 4 67 4 74 4 55 4 14 4 07 4 67

5. How would you grade the overall teaching chectiveness	U	U	U	U	U	1		7.07	233/1373	7.77	7.55	7.17	7.07	7.07
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1496	4.80	4.72	4.49	4.43	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.87	4.75	4.67	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1496	4.75	4.72	4.37	4.31	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1494	4.80	4.78	4.37	4.28	5.00

Run Date: 7/9/2013 3:27:02 PM

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 190 43

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 5

Questionnaires: 3

Instructor: Young, Alice E

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Lecture														
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1352	4.67	4.56	4.12	3.98	5.00

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	3	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	3	Non-major	3
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 191 03

Title: Recital Preparation

Instructor: Wonneberger, Ala

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 23

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1560	5.00	4.73	4.35	4.17	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1559	5.00	4.71	4.31	4.25	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1371	5.00	4.74	4.38	4.27	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1519	5.00	4.76	4.27	4.13	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1452	5.00	4.59	4.18	4.04	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1430	5.00	4.68	4.16	3.98	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.53	4.23	4.18	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1560	5.00	4.66	4.64	4.57	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1545	5.00	4.55	4.14	4.07	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1496	5.00	4.72	4.49	4.43	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.87	4.75	4.67	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1496	5.00	4.72	4.37	4.31	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1494	5.00	4.78	4.37	4.28	5.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 191 03

Title: Recital Preparation

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 23

Questionnaires: 1

Instructor: Wonneberger,Ala

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Lecture														
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1352	5.00	4.56	4.12	3.98	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 193 01

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Instructor: Andrist, Audrey

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 6

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1560	4.89	4.73	4.35	4.17	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1559	4.94	4.71	4.31	4.25	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	5	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1371	5.00	4.74	4.38	4.27	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1519	4.89	4.76	4.27	4.13	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	5	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1452	4.75	4.59	4.18	4.04	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	5	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1430	4.80	4.68	4.16	3.98	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1539	4.96	4.53	4.23	4.18	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	898/1560	4.69	4.66	4.64	4.57	4.67
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	255/1545	4.75	4.55	4.14	4.07	4.67
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1496	4.75	4.72	4.49	4.43	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1498	4.88	4.87	4.75	4.67	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1496	4.86	4.72	4.37	4.31	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1494	5.00	4.78	4.37	4.28	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1352	4.33	4.56	4.12	3.98	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1248	5.00	4.59	4.23	3.95	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1250	5.00	4.74	4.39	4.13	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1239	5.00	4.70	4.45	4.18	5.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 193 01

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Instructor: Andrist, Audrey

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 6

Questionnaires: 6

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	3	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/906	5.00	4.84	4.13	3.98	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	6	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	0	Major	4
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	6	Non-major	2
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 193 04

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Instructor: Caracciolo, Step

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 3

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1560	4.89	4.73	4.35	4.17	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1559	4.94	4.71	4.31	4.25	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1519	4.89	4.76	4.27	4.13	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	948/1452	4.75	4.59	4.18	4.04	4.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	889/1430	4.80	4.68	4.16	3.98	4.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1539	4.96	4.53	4.23	4.18	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1560	4.69	4.66	4.64	4.57	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1545	4.75	4.55	4.14	4.07	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	0	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 193 05

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Instructor: Jackson, Janice

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 6

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1560	4.89	4.73	4.35	4.17	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1559	4.94	4.71	4.31	4.25	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	4	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1371	5.00	4.74	4.38	4.27	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1519	4.89	4.76	4.27	4.13	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	3	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1452	4.75	4.59	4.18	4.04	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	4	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1430	4.80	4.68	4.16	3.98	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1539	4.96	4.53	4.23	4.18	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	695/1560	4.69	4.66	4.64	4.57	4.80
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1545	4.75	4.55	4.14	4.07	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1496	4.75	4.72	4.49	4.43	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1498	4.88	4.87	4.75	4.67	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1496	4.86	4.72	4.37	4.31	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1494	5.00	4.78	4.37	4.28	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1248	5.00	4.59	4.23	3.95	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1250	5.00	4.74	4.39	4.13	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1239	5.00	4.70	4.45	4.18	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 193 05

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Instructor: Jackson, Janice

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 6

Questionnaires: 5

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/906	5.00	4.84	4.13	3.98	****

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	5	Required for Majors	5	Graduate	0	Major	4
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	5	Non-major	1
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 193 07

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Instructor: Markovic, Lorria

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 3

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1560	4.89	4.73	4.35	4.17	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1559	4.94	4.71	4.31	4.25	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1060/1519	4.89	4.76	4.27	4.13	4.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1539	4.96	4.53	4.23	4.18	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1445/1560	4.69	4.66	4.64	4.57	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	952/1545	4.75	4.55	4.14	4.07	4.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 193 08

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Instructor: Yoshioka, Airi

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 4

Questionnaires: 4

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1560	4.89	4.73	4.35	4.17	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1559	4.94	4.71	4.31	4.25	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	433/1452	4.75	4.59	4.18	4.04	4.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1539	4.96	4.53	4.23	4.18	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1560	4.69	4.66	4.64	4.57	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1545	4.75	4.55	4.14	4.07	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1281/1496	4.75	4.72	4.49	4.43	4.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1498	4.88	4.87	4.75	4.67	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1496	4.86	4.72	4.37	4.31	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1494	5.00	4.78	4.37	4.28	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	3	Required for Majors	4	Graduate	0	Major	3
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	4	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not ei	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	1	Other	0				
				?	0						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 193 11

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Instructor: Ladd, Gita

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 2

Questionnaires: 2

			Frequencies					Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1560	4.89	4.73	4.35	4.17	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1559	4.94	4.71	4.31	4.25	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1560	4.69	4.66	4.64	4.57	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1545	4.75	4.55	4.14	4.07	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: MUSC 193 12

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Instructor: Lagana, Thomas V

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 2

		Frequencies							structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1560	4.89	4.73	4.35	4.17	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1559	4.94	4.71	4.31	4.25	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1371	5.00	4.74	4.38	4.27	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1519	4.89	4.76	4.27	4.13	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1452	4.75	4.59	4.18	4.04	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1430	4.80	4.68	4.16	3.98	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1539	4.96	4.53	4.23	4.18	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1560	4.69	4.66	4.64	4.57	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1545	4.75	4.55	4.14	4.07	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1496	4.75	4.72	4.49	4.43	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1498	4.88	4.87	4.75	4.67	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1496	4.86	4.72	4.37	4.31	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1494	5.00	4.78	4.37	4.28	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1352	4.33	4.56	4.12	3.98	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1248	5.00	4.59	4.23	3.95	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1250	5.00	4.74	4.39	4.13	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1239	5.00	4.70	4.45	4.18	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/906	5.00	4.84	4.13	3.98	5.00

Course-Section: MUSC 193 12

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Instructor: Lagana, Thomas V

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 2

		Frequencies						Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/206	5.00	5.00	4.25	4.15	5.00
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/214	5.00	5.00	4.31	4.30	5.00
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/204	5.00	5.00	4.52	4.54	5.00
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/207	5.00	5.00	4.44	4.50	5.00
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/199	5.00	5.00	4.27	4.31	5.00
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/64	5.00	5.00	4.44	4.50	5.00
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/58	5.00	5.00	4.37	4.32	5.00
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/52	5.00	5.00	4.41	4.33	5.00
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/66	5.00	5.00	4.41	4.53	5.00
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/63	5.00	5.00	4.09	4.17	5.00
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/29	5.00	5.00	4.19	3.64	5.00
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/29	5.00	5.00	4.11	4.21	5.00
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/24	5.00	5.00	4.25	5.00	5.00
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/26	5.00	5.00	3.89	5.00	5.00
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/25	5.00	5.00	4.01	5.00	5.00
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/31	5.00	4.96	4.35	4.54	5.00
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/22	5.00	5.00	4.13	4.42	5.00
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/29	5.00	4.90	4.41	4.61	5.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 193 12

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Instructor: Lagana, Thomas V

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 2

Questionnaires: 2

			Frequencies					In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/14	5.00	5.00	4.03	4.38	5.00
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/10	5.00	5.00	3.94	5.00	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 193 13

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Instructor: Forshee, Zane F.

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 3
Questionnaires: 1

			Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect	
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1560	4.89	4.73	4.35	4.17	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1559	4.94	4.71	4.31	4.25	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1539	4.96	4.53	4.23	4.18	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1445/1560	4.69	4.66	4.64	4.57	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1545	4.75	4.55	4.14	4.07	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1496	4.75	4.72	4.49	4.43	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1498	4.88	4.87	4.75	4.67	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1496	4.86	4.72	4.37	4.31	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1494	5.00	4.78	4.37	4.28	5.00

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 193 17

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Instructor: Richards, Edwin

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 2

Questionnaires: 2

			Frequencies					Ins	tructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1560	4.89	4.73	4.35	4.17	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1559	4.94	4.71	4.31	4.25	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1371	5.00	4.74	4.38	4.27	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1519	4.89	4.76	4.27	4.13	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1452	4.75	4.59	4.18	4.04	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1430	4.80	4.68	4.16	3.98	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1539	4.96	4.53	4.23	4.18	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1560	4.69	4.66	4.64	4.57	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1545	4.75	4.55	4.14	4.07	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 193 21

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Instructor: Cameron, Wayne C

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 2

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1193/1560	4.89	4.73	4.35	4.17	4.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1559	4.94	4.71	4.31	4.25	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1519	4.89	4.76	4.27	4.13	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1445/1560	4.69	4.66	4.64	4.57	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	952/1545	4.75	4.55	4.14	4.07	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1281/1496	4.75	4.72	4.49	4.43	4.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1440/1498	4.88	4.87	4.75	4.67	4.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1175/1496	4.86	4.72	4.37	4.31	4.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1494	5.00	4.78	4.37	4.28	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1277/1352	4.33	4.56	4.12	3.98	3.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	0	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 193 23

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Instructor: Crossland, J. Pa

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 1

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	tructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1560	4.89	4.73	4.35	4.17	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1559	4.94	4.71	4.31	4.25	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1539	4.96	4.53	4.23	4.18	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1560	4.69	4.66	4.64	4.57	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1545	4.75	4.55	4.14	4.07	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1496	4.75	4.72	4.49	4.43	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1498	4.88	4.87	4.75	4.67	5.00

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 193 25

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Instructor: Belzer, Matthew

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 2

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1560	4.89	4.73	4.35	4.17	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1559	4.94	4.71	4.31	4.25	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1371	5.00	4.74	4.38	4.27	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1452	4.75	4.59	4.18	4.04	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1430	4.80	4.68	4.16	3.98	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	540/1539	4.96	4.53	4.23	4.18	4.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1560	4.69	4.66	4.64	4.57	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1545	4.75	4.55	4.14	4.07	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1496	4.75	4.72	4.49	4.43	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1498	4.88	4.87	4.75	4.67	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1496	4.86	4.72	4.37	4.31	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1494	5.00	4.78	4.37	4.28	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1248	5.00	4.59	4.23	3.95	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1250	5.00	4.74	4.39	4.13	5.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 193 25

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Instructor: Belzer, Matthew

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 2

Questionnaires: 2

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1239	5.00	4.70	4.45	4.18	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 193 27

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Instructor: Goldstein, Thoma

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 2
Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1193/1560	4.89	4.73	4.35	4.17	4.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1158/1559	4.94	4.71	4.31	4.25	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1560	4.69	4.66	4.64	4.57	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	952/1545	4.75	4.55	4.14	4.07	4.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 193 31

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Instructor: Baldwin, Thomas

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 1

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1560	4.89	4.73	4.35	4.17	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1559	4.94	4.71	4.31	4.25	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1519	4.89	4.76	4.27	4.13	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1539	4.96	4.53	4.23	4.18	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1445/1560	4.69	4.66	4.64	4.57	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1545	4.75	4.55	4.14	4.07	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	1
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 193 33

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Instructor: Ruas,Laura Mari

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 1
Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1560	4.89	4.73	4.35	4.17	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1559	4.94	4.71	4.31	4.25	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1445/1560	4.69	4.66	4.64	4.57	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1545	4.75	4.55	4.14	4.07	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 193 34

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Instructor: Belzer, Matthew

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 1

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	tructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1560	4.89	4.73	4.35	4.17	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1559	4.94	4.71	4.31	4.25	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1371	5.00	4.74	4.38	4.27	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1519	4.89	4.76	4.27	4.13	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1430	4.80	4.68	4.16	3.98	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1539	4.96	4.53	4.23	4.18	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1560	4.69	4.66	4.64	4.57	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1545	4.75	4.55	4.14	4.07	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	0	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 193 38

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Instructor: Forshee, Zane F.

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 2

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1560	4.89	4.73	4.35	4.17	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1559	4.94	4.71	4.31	4.25	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1539	4.96	4.53	4.23	4.18	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1560	4.69	4.66	4.64	4.57	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	952/1545	4.75	4.55	4.14	4.07	4.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Run Date: 7/9/2013 3:27:04 PM

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 193 45

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Instructor: Tiemann, Scott A

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 2

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1560	4.89	4.73	4.35	4.17	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1559	4.94	4.71	4.31	4.25	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1371	5.00	4.74	4.38	4.27	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1560	4.69	4.66	4.64	4.57	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 194 05

Title: Perf Studies: Musc Maj

Instructor: Jackson, Janice

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 2

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1560	5.00	4.73	4.35	4.17	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1559	5.00	4.71	4.31	4.25	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1371	5.00	4.74	4.38	4.27	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1519	5.00	4.76	4.27	4.13	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1452	5.00	4.59	4.18	4.04	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.53	4.23	4.18	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1560	4.50	4.66	4.64	4.57	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1545	5.00	4.55	4.14	4.07	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1496	5.00	4.72	4.49	4.43	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.87	4.75	4.67	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1496	5.00	4.72	4.37	4.31	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1494	5.00	4.78	4.37	4.28	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1352	5.00	4.56	4.12	3.98	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1248	5.00	4.59	4.23	3.95	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1250	5.00	4.74	4.39	4.13	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1239	5.00	4.70	4.45	4.18	5.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 194 05

Title: Perf Studies: Musc Maj

Instructor: Jackson, Janice

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 2

Questionnaires: 2

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/906	5.00	4.84	4.13	3.98	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	1						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 194 45

Title: Perf Studies: Musc Maj

Instructor: Tiemann, Scott A

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 2

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1560	5.00	4.73	4.35	4.17	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1559	5.00	4.71	4.31	4.25	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1445/1560	4.50	4.66	4.64	4.57	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1545	5.00	4.55	4.14	4.07	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 211 01

Title: Musicianship Lab IV

Instructor: Crossland, J. Pa

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 23

									structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	6	0	0	0	2	7	7	4.31	908/1560	4.66	4.73	4.35	4.37	4.31
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	7	0	0	0	2	4	9	4.47	686/1559	4.73	4.71	4.31	4.33	4.47
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	6	2	0	0	1	2	11	4.71	381/1371	4.86	4.74	4.38	4.40	4.71
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	6	8	0	0	1	1	6	4.63	408/1519	4.81	4.76	4.27	4.29	4.63
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	6	14	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1452	5.00	4.59	4.18	4.22	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	6	12	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	****/1430	****	4.68	4.16	4.15	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	7	2	2	1	4	3	3	3.31	1426/1539	4.15	4.53	4.23	4.25	3.31
8. How many times was class cancelled	6	0	0	0	0	7	9	4.56	997/1560	4.78	4.66	4.64	4.61	4.56
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	7	1	0	0	7	5	2	3.64	1274/1545	3.82	4.55	4.14	4.09	3.64
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	13	0	0	0	2	3	4	4.22	1168/1496	4.61	4.72	4.49	4.52	4.22
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	13	0	0	0	1	3	5	4.44	1286/1498	4.72	4.87	4.75	4.78	4.44
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	13	0	0	1	1	4	3	4.00	1175/1496	4.50	4.72	4.37	4.36	4.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	13	0	0	1	1	4	3	4.00	1147/1494	4.50	4.78	4.37	4.41	4.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	13	5	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	****/1352	****	4.56	4.12	4.14	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	20	0	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	****/1248	5.00	4.59	4.23	4.25	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	20	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/1250	5.00	4.74	4.39	4.40	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	20	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/1239	5.00	4.70	4.45	4.45	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 211 01

Title: Musicianship Lab IV

Instructor: Crossland, J. Pa

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 23

Questionnaires: 22

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	20	0	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	****/906	5.00	4.84	4.13	4.19	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	10	Required for Majors	11	Graduate	0	Major	14
28-55	3	1.00-1.99	0	В	4						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	22	Non-major	8
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	8	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	8						

Course-Section: MUSC 211 02

Title: Musicianship Lab IV

Instructor: Crossland, J. Pa

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 3

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1560	4.66	4.73	4.35	4.37	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1559	4.73	4.71	4.31	4.33	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1371	4.86	4.74	4.38	4.40	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1519	4.81	4.76	4.27	4.29	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1452	5.00	4.59	4.18	4.22	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1539	4.15	4.53	4.23	4.25	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1560	4.78	4.66	4.64	4.61	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	952/1545	3.82	4.55	4.14	4.09	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1496	4.61	4.72	4.49	4.52	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1498	4.72	4.87	4.75	4.78	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1496	4.50	4.72	4.37	4.36	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1494	4.50	4.78	4.37	4.41	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1248	5.00	4.59	4.23	4.25	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1250	5.00	4.74	4.39	4.40	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1239	5.00	4.70	4.45	4.45	5.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 211 02

Title: Musicianship Lab IV

Instructor: Crossland, J. Pa

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 3

Questionnaires: 3

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/906	5.00	4.84	4.13	4.19	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	3	Non-major	2
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	2						

Course-Section: MUSC 214 01

Title: Hist Jazz: Origins-Pres

Instructor: Crossland, J. Pa

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 86

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	51	0	0	1	2	10	16	4.41	793/1560	4.41	4.73	4.35	4.37	4.41
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	51	0	0	2	3	10	14	4.24	952/1559	4.24	4.71	4.31	4.33	4.24
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	52	0	0	0	1	7	20	4.68	430/1371	4.68	4.74	4.38	4.40	4.68
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	52	16	1	0	0	3	8	4.42	****/1519	****	4.76	4.27	4.29	****
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	52	1	0	1	4	5	17	4.41	555/1452	4.41	4.59	4.18	4.22	4.41
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	52	20	1	0	1	1	5	4.13	****/1430	****	4.68	4.16	4.15	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	51	1	0	1	4	5	18	4.43	649/1539	4.43	4.53	4.23	4.25	4.43
8. How many times was class cancelled	52	0	0	1	2	22	3	3.96	1471/1560	3.96	4.66	4.64	4.61	3.96
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	58	0	0	2	2	10	8	4.09	892/1545	4.09	4.55	4.14	4.09	4.09
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	53	0	0	1	1	7	18	4.56	807/1496	4.56	4.72	4.49	4.52	4.56
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	53	0	1	1	0	3	22	4.63	1132/1498	4.63	4.87	4.75	4.78	4.63
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	53	0	0	3	1	8	15	4.30	954/1496	4.30	4.72	4.37	4.36	4.30
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	53	0	0	2	5	4	16	4.26	993/1494	4.26	4.78	4.37	4.41	4.26
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	53	5	1	0	2	5	14	4.41	473/1352	4.41	4.56	4.12	4.14	4.41
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	69	0	1	1	2	2	5	3.82	****/1248	****	4.59	4.23	4.25	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	68	0	1	1	3	4	3	3.58	****/1250	****	4.74	4.39	4.40	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	69	0	3	0	2	3	3	3.27	****/1239	****	4.70	4.45	4.45	****
4. Were special techniques successful	68	7	0	0	1	2	2	4.20	****/906	****	4.84	4.13	4.19	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 214 01

Title: Hist Jazz: Origins-Pres

Instructor: Crossland, J. Pa

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 86

Questionnaires: 80

					Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NI	N/	A	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory															
2. Were you provided with adequate background informat	on 79	0		0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/214	****	5.00	4.31	4.60	****

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	1	Α	21	Required for Majors	4	Graduate	0	Major	4
28-55	3	1.00-1.99	0	В	5						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	2	С	1	General	11	Under-grad	80	Non-major	76
84-150	7	3.00-3.49	4	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	6	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	3				
				?	53						

Course-Section: MUSC 217 01

Title: Rock & Related Music

Instructor: Morin, Joseph C

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 189

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	79	0	1	0	8	31	63	4.50	664/1560	4.50	4.73	4.35	4.37	4.50
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	79	0	1	2	9	30	61	4.44	730/1559	4.44	4.71	4.31	4.33	4.44
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	79	0	0	3	8	21	71	4.55	573/1371	4.55	4.74	4.38	4.40	4.55
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	79	72	0	0	5	9	17	4.39	****/1519	****	4.76	4.27	4.29	****
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	80	64	3	5	7	6	17	3.76	****/1452	****	4.59	4.18	4.22	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	80	84	2	0	3	4	9	4.00	****/1430	****	4.68	4.16	4.15	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	80	1	0	1	3	15	82	4.76	233/1539	4.76	4.53	4.23	4.25	4.76
8. How many times was class cancelled	79	0	0	0	4	60	39	4.34	1228/1560	4.34	4.66	4.64	4.61	4.34
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	101	0	2	1	7	44	27	4.15	846/1545	4.15	4.55	4.14	4.09	4.15
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	82	0	0	0	2	6	92	4.90	228/1496	4.90	4.72	4.49	4.52	4.90
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	84	0	0	0	1	8	89	4.90	585/1498	4.90	4.87	4.75	4.78	4.90
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	85	0	0	0	4	12	81	4.79	309/1496	4.79	4.72	4.37	4.36	4.79
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	86	0	0	1	2	8	85	4.84	275/1494	4.84	4.78	4.37	4.41	4.84
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	87	1	0	1	6	9	78	4.74	154/1352	4.74	4.56	4.12	4.14	4.74
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	145	0	2	2	7	8	18	4.03	****/1248	****	4.59	4.23	4.25	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	145	0	3	3	12	4	15	3.68	****/1250	****	4.74	4.39	4.40	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	145	0	3	4	7	6	17	3.81	****/1239	****	4.70	4.45	4.45	****
4. Were special techniques successful	145	24	4	1	1	2	5	3.23	****/906	****	4.84	4.13	4.19	****

Course-Section: MUSC 217 01

Title: Rock & Related Music

Instructor: Morin, Joseph C

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 189

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	179	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/206	****	5.00	4.25	4.58	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	180	0	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	****/214	****	5.00	4.31	4.60	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	180	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/204	****	5.00	4.52	4.64	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	180	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/207	****	5.00	4.44	4.67	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	180	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/199	****	5.00	4.27	4.51	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	178	2	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/64	****	5.00	4.44	4.35	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	179	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/58	****	5.00	4.37	4.33	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	179	1	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/52	****	5.00	4.41	4.33	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	179	1	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/66	****	5.00	4.41	4.25	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	180	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/63	****	5.00	4.09	3.65	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	180	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/29	****	5.00	4.19	5.00	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	180	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/29	****	5.00	4.11	4.25	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	180	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/24	****	5.00	4.25	4.50	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	180	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/26	****	5.00	3.89	4.00	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	180	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/25	****	5.00	4.01	4.75	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	180	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/31	****	4.96	4.35	4.75	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	180	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/22	****	5.00	4.13	5.00	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	180	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	4.90	4.41	5.00	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 217 01

Title: Rock & Related Music

Instructor: Morin, Joseph C

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 189

Questionnaires: 182

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	180	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/14	****	5.00	4.03	4.75	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	180	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/10	****	5.00	3.94	4.75	****

Credits E	Earned	Cum. GP	A	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	5	0.00-0.99	0	Α	47	Required for Majors	5	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	10	1.00-1.99	0	В	31						
56-83	16	2.00-2.99	9	С	10	General	45	Under-grad	182	Non-major	181
84-150	8	3.00-3.49	18	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	17	F	0	Electives	34	**** - Means the	ere are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant	t		
				1	0	Other	2				
				?	94						

Course-Section: MUSC 219 01

Title: Intro Digital Audio Wkst

Instructor: Revill, David An

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 11

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	339/1560	4.75	4.73	4.35	4.37	4.75
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	296/1559	4.75	4.71	4.31	4.33	4.75
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	5	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	442/1371	4.67	4.74	4.38	4.40	4.67
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	2	0	0	1	1	4	4.50	549/1519	4.50	4.76	4.27	4.29	4.50
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	6	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/1452	****	4.59	4.18	4.22	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	2	0	0	1	1	4	4.50	427/1430	4.50	4.68	4.16	4.15	4.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	2	2	4	4.25	855/1539	4.25	4.53	4.23	4.25	4.25
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	2	3	3	4.13	1393/1560	4.13	4.66	4.64	4.61	4.13
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	1	2	4	4.43	518/1545	4.43	4.55	4.14	4.09	4.43
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	315/1496	4.86	4.72	4.49	4.52	4.86
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.87	4.75	4.78	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1496	5.00	4.72	4.37	4.36	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1494	5.00	4.78	4.37	4.41	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	97/1352	4.86	4.56	4.12	4.14	4.86
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1248	5.00	4.59	4.23	4.25	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	5	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1250	5.00	4.74	4.39	4.40	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	5	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1239	5.00	4.70	4.45	4.45	5.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 219 01

Title: Intro Digital Audio Wkst

Instructor: Revill, David An

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 11

Questionnaires: 9

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	5	1	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	161/906	4.67	4.84	4.13	4.19	4.67

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	5	Required for Majors	7	Graduate	0	Major	5
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	3						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	9	Non-major	4
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: MUSC 222 01

Title: Jazz Arranging

Instructor: Belzer, Matthew

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 7

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	2	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1560	5.00	4.73	4.35	4.37	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	236/1559	4.80	4.71	4.31	4.33	4.80
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	0	0	0	0	2	3	4.60	513/1371	4.60	4.74	4.38	4.40	4.60
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	1	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	549/1519	4.50	4.76	4.27	4.29	4.50
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1452	5.00	4.59	4.18	4.22	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	1	0	4	4.60	323/1430	4.60	4.68	4.16	4.15	4.60
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	0	0	1	0	4	4.60	435/1539	4.60	4.53	4.23	4.25	4.60
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1560	5.00	4.66	4.64	4.61	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	5	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1545	5.00	4.55	4.14	4.09	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1496	5.00	4.72	4.49	4.52	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.87	4.75	4.78	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1496	5.00	4.72	4.37	4.36	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1494	5.00	4.78	4.37	4.41	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	1	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	215/1352	4.67	4.56	4.12	4.14	4.67
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1248	****	4.59	4.23	4.25	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1250	****	4.74	4.39	4.40	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1239	****	4.70	4.45	4.45	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 222 01

Title: Jazz Arranging

Instructor: Belzer, Matthew

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 7

Questionnaires: 7

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/906	****	4.84	4.13	4.19	****

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP	١	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	3	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	0	Major	5
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	1	Under-grad	7	Non-major	2
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	3						

Course-Section: MUSC 226 01

Title: Theory IV: Music Writing

Instructor: Macaulay, Janice

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 22

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	4	0	1	0	3	3	11	4.28	958/1560	4.28	4.73	4.35	4.37	4.28
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	4	0	0	0	2	4	12	4.56	561/1559	4.56	4.71	4.31	4.33	4.56
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	4	0	0	0	0	1	17	4.94	102/1371	4.94	4.74	4.38	4.40	4.94
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	4	4	0	0	0	2	12	4.86	161/1519	4.86	4.76	4.27	4.29	4.86
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	4	2	0	0	2	4	10	4.50	433/1452	4.50	4.59	4.18	4.22	4.50
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	4	8	0	0	1	1	8	4.70	228/1430	4.70	4.68	4.16	4.15	4.70
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	5	0	0	0	1	5	11	4.59	456/1539	4.59	4.53	4.23	4.25	4.59
8. How many times was class cancelled	5	0	0	0	0	9	8	4.47	1086/1560	4.47	4.66	4.64	4.61	4.47
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	6	0	0	0	6	8	2	3.75	1212/1545	3.75	4.55	4.14	4.09	3.75
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	11	0	0	0	0	3	8	4.73	542/1496	4.73	4.72	4.49	4.52	4.73
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	11	0	0	0	0	1	10	4.91	556/1498	4.91	4.87	4.75	4.78	4.91
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	11	0	0	0	0	6	5	4.45	766/1496	4.45	4.72	4.37	4.36	4.45
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	11	0	0	0	0	0	11	5.00	1/1494	5.00	4.78	4.37	4.41	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	11	6	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	****/1352	****	4.56	4.12	4.14	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	19	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	****/1248	****	4.59	4.23	4.25	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	19	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/1250	****	4.74	4.39	4.40	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	19	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	****/1239	****	4.70	4.45	4.45	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 226 01

Title: Theory IV: Music Writing

Instructor: Macaulay, Janice

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 22

Questionnaires: 22

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	19	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/906	****	4.84	4.13	4.19	****

Credits Ea	rned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	12	Required for Majors	17	Graduate	0	Major	16
28-55	4	1.00-1.99	0	В	2						
56-83	3	2.00-2.99	0	С	2	General	0	Under-grad	22	Non-major	6
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	9	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	6						

Course-Section: MUSC 230 01

Title: Musics Of The World

Instructor: Beck, Gina C

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 40

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	15	0	0	0	3	1	21	4.72	376/1560	4.72	4.73	4.35	4.37	4.72
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	16	0	0	0	2	4	18	4.67	412/1559	4.67	4.71	4.31	4.33	4.67
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	15	0	0	1	0	3	21	4.76	314/1371	4.76	4.74	4.38	4.40	4.76
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	15	4	0	0	1	5	15	4.67	356/1519	4.67	4.76	4.27	4.29	4.67
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	15	0	0	0	3	2	20	4.68	253/1452	4.68	4.59	4.18	4.22	4.68
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	15	0	0	0	1	5	19	4.72	211/1430	4.72	4.68	4.16	4.15	4.72
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	16	0	0	0	0	5	19	4.79	203/1539	4.79	4.53	4.23	4.25	4.79
8. How many times was class cancelled	15	0	0	0	0	0	25	5.00	1/1560	5.00	4.66	4.64	4.61	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	18	0	1	0	4	7	10	4.14	856/1545	4.14	4.55	4.14	4.09	4.14
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	19	0	0	0	0	0	21	5.00	1/1496	5.00	4.72	4.49	4.52	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	19	0	0	0	0	0	21	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.87	4.75	4.78	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	20	0	0	0	1	1	18	4.85	227/1496	4.85	4.72	4.37	4.36	4.85
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	20	0	0	1	0	1	18	4.80	332/1494	4.80	4.78	4.37	4.41	4.80
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	19	0	0	0	0	1	20	4.95	40/1352	4.95	4.56	4.12	4.14	4.95
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	38	0	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	****/1248	****	4.59	4.23	4.25	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	38	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1250	****	4.74	4.39	4.40	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	38	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/1239	****	4.70	4.45	4.45	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 230 01

Title: Musics Of The World

Instructor: Beck, Gina C

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 40
Questionnaires: 40

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	38	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/906	****	4.84	4.13	4.19	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	A	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	17	Required for Majors	14	Graduate	2	Major	9
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	6						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	7	Under-grad	38	Non-major	31
84-150	8	3.00-3.49	5	D	0						
Grad.	2	3.50-4.00	12	F	0	Electives	3	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	17						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 301 01

Title: Chambers Players

Instructor: Yoshioka, Airi

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 14

Questionnaires: 8

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1560	4.84	4.73	4.35	4.42	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	154/1559	4.75	4.71	4.31	4.35	4.88
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	5	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1519	4.87	4.76	4.27	4.33	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	2	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1539	4.80	4.53	4.23	4.27	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	4	4	4.50	1051/1560	4.60	4.66	4.64	4.66	4.50
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	6	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1545	4.72	4.55	4.14	4.19	4.92
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1248	5.00	4.59	4.23	4.33	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	6	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1250	5.00	4.74	4.39	4.47	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1239	****	4.70	4.45	4.53	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	7	Required for Majors	5	Graduate	0	Major	4
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	1	Under-grad	8	Non-major	4
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	0						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 301 01

Title: Chambers Players

Instructor: Kannen, Maria La

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 14

Questionnaires: 8

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1560	4.84	4.73	4.35	4.42	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	154/1559	4.75	4.71	4.31	4.35	4.88
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	5	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1519	4.87	4.76	4.27	4.33	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	2	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1539	4.80	4.53	4.23	4.27	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	4	4	4.50	1051/1560	4.60	4.66	4.64	4.66	4.50
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	180/1545	4.72	4.55	4.14	4.19	4.92
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1248	5.00	4.59	4.23	4.33	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	6	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1250	5.00	4.74	4.39	4.47	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1239	****	4.70	4.45	4.53	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	7	Required for Majors	5	Graduate	0	Major	4
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	1	Under-grad	8	Non-major	4
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 301 01

Title: Chambers Players

Instructor: Tremblay, Christ

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 14

	Freque			quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect	
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1560	4.84	4.73	4.35	4.42	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals		0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	154/1559	4.75	4.71	4.31	4.35	4.88
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	5	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1519	4.87	4.76	4.27	4.33	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	2	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1539	4.80	4.53	4.23	4.27	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	4	4	4.50	1051/1560	4.60	4.66	4.64	4.66	4.50
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	6	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1545	4.72	4.55	4.14	4.19	4.92
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	6	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1496	5.00	4.72	4.49	4.54	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	6	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.87	4.75	4.79	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	6	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1496	5.00	4.72	4.37	4.43	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1494	5.00	4.78	4.37	4.43	5.00
Discussion										-				
Did class discussions contribute to what you learned		0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1248	5.00	4.59	4.23	4.33	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	6	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1250	5.00	4.74	4.39	4.47	5.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 301 01

Title: Chambers Players

Instructor: Tremblay,Christ

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 14

Questionnaires: 8

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions		NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion		0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1239	****	4.70	4.45	4.53	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	7	Required for Majors	5	Graduate	0	Major	4
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	1	Under-grad	8	Non-major	4
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	1				
				?	0						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 301 01

Title: Chambers Players

Instructor: Ladd, Gita

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 14

Questionnaires: 8

	Frequencies				Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect			
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1560	4.84	4.73	4.35	4.42	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	154/1559	4.75	4.71	4.31	4.35	4.88
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	5	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1519	4.87	4.76	4.27	4.33	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	2	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1539	4.80	4.53	4.23	4.27	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	4	4	4.50	1051/1560	4.60	4.66	4.64	4.66	4.50
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1248	5.00	4.59	4.23	4.33	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	6	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1250	5.00	4.74	4.39	4.47	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1239	****	4.70	4.45	4.53	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	7	Required for Majors	5	Graduate	0	Major	4
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	1	Under-grad	8	Non-major	4
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	1				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 301 01

Title: Chamber/New Music

Instructor: Goldstein, Thoma

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 12

							In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect	
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	2	5	4	4.18	1064/1560	4.84	4.73	4.35	4.42	4.18
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	3	2	6	4.27	922/1559	4.75	4.71	4.31	4.35	4.27
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals		9	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1371	****	4.74	4.38	4.41	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals		8	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	779/1519	4.87	4.76	4.27	4.33	4.33
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned		8	0	1	0	0	2	4.00	948/1452	4.00	4.59	4.18	4.21	4.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	10	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1430	****	4.68	4.16	4.20	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	8	0	1	0	0	2	4.00	1077/1539	4.80	4.53	4.23	4.27	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	0	11	5.00	1/1560	4.60	4.66	4.64	4.66	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	1	6	2	4.11	876/1545	4.72	4.55	4.14	4.19	4.11
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	8	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1496	5.00	4.72	4.49	4.54	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	8	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.87	4.75	4.79	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	8	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1496	5.00	4.72	4.37	4.43	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1494	5.00	4.78	4.37	4.43	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	10	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1248	5.00	4.59	4.23	4.33	****
. Were all students actively encouraged to participate		0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1250	5.00	4.74	4.39	4.47	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	10	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1239	****	4.70	4.45	4.53	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 301 01

Title: Chamber/New Music

Instructor: Goldstein,Thoma

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 12

Questionnaires: 12

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	10	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/906	****	4.84	4.13	4.14	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	9	Required for Majors	7	Graduate	0	Major	8
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	12	Non-major	4
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	6	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	3						

Course-Section: MUSC 303 01

Title: UMBC Camerata--Chamber C

Instructor: Caracciolo, Step

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 40

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	1	10	25	4.67	458/1560	4.67	4.73	4.35	4.42	4.67
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	1	6	29	4.78	272/1559	4.78	4.71	4.31	4.35	4.78
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	25	0	0	1	2	8	4.64	477/1371	4.64	4.74	4.38	4.41	4.64
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	14	1	0	2	6	12	4.33	779/1519	4.33	4.76	4.27	4.33	4.33
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	3	21	0	0	1	4	8	4.54	402/1452	4.54	4.59	4.18	4.21	4.54
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	3	30	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	****/1430	****	4.68	4.16	4.20	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	3	0	0	0	3	6	25	4.65	378/1539	4.65	4.53	4.23	4.27	4.65
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	3	32	4.91	408/1560	4.91	4.66	4.64	4.66	4.91
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	7	0	1	0	3	9	17	4.37	599/1545	4.37	4.55	4.14	4.19	4.37
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	17	0	0	0	0	1	19	4.95	114/1496	4.95	4.72	4.49	4.54	4.95
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	17	0	0	0	1	0	19	4.90	556/1498	4.90	4.87	4.75	4.79	4.90
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	17	0	0	0	0	1	19	4.95	80/1496	4.95	4.72	4.37	4.43	4.95
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	17	0	0	0	0	1	19	4.95	96/1494	4.95	4.78	4.37	4.43	4.95
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	18	10	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	****/1352	****	4.56	4.12	4.23	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	30	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	****/1248	****	4.59	4.23	4.33	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	30	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	****/1250	****	4.74	4.39	4.47	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	30	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	****/1239	****	4.70	4.45	4.53	****
4. Were special techniques successful	30	3	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	****/906	****	4.84	4.13	4.14	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 303 01

Title: UMBC Camerata--Chamber C

Instructor: Caracciolo, Step

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 40

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	35	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/206	****	5.00	4.25	4.22	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	35	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/214	****	5.00	4.31	4.33	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	35	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/204	****	5.00	4.52	4.57	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	36	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/207	****	5.00	4.44	4.42	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	36	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/199	****	5.00	4.27	4.17	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	36	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/64	****	5.00	4.44	4.60	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	36	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/58	****	5.00	4.37	4.51	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	36	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/52	****	5.00	4.41	4.27	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	36	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/66	****	5.00	4.41	4.54	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	36	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/63	****	5.00	4.09	4.19	****
Self Paced										-				
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	36	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	****	4.96	4.35	4.13	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 303 01

Title: UMBC Camerata--Chamber C

Instructor: Caracciolo, Step

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 40

Questionnaires: 37

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	36	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	4.90	4.41	4.78	***

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP.	A	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	31	Required for Majors	15	Graduate	0	Major	6
28-55	6	1.00-1.99	1	В	3						
56-83	3	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	37	Non-major	31
84-150	7	3.00-3.49	4	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	17	F	0	Electives	15	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	3				
				?	2						

Course-Section: MUSC 304 01

Title: UMBC Jubilee Singers

Instructor: Jackson, Janice

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 43

·				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	6	17	4.74	364/1560	4.74	4.73	4.35	4.42	4.74
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	7	14	4.52	600/1559	4.52	4.71	4.31	4.35	4.52
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	13	0	0	0	4	6	4.60	513/1371	4.60	4.74	4.38	4.41	4.60
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	10	0	0	0	4	8	4.67	356/1519	4.67	4.76	4.27	4.33	4.67
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	12	0	0	0	4	7	4.64	301/1452	4.64	4.59	4.18	4.21	4.64
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	14	1	0	0	4	4	4.11	819/1430	4.11	4.68	4.16	4.20	4.11
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	1	1	1	3	4	12	4.19	923/1539	4.19	4.53	4.23	4.27	4.19
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	13	10	4.43	1134/1560	4.43	4.66	4.64	4.66	4.43
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	10	0	0	0	1	2	10	4.69	226/1545	4.69	4.55	4.14	4.19	4.69
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	6	0	0	0	0	4	13	4.76	472/1496	4.76	4.72	4.49	4.54	4.76
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	7	0	0	0	0	1	15	4.94	389/1498	4.94	4.87	4.75	4.79	4.94
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	6	0	0	0	0	5	12	4.71	448/1496	4.71	4.72	4.37	4.43	4.71
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	0	3	14	4.82	303/1494	4.82	4.78	4.37	4.43	4.82
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	8	5	2	0	0	2	6	4.00	823/1352	4.00	4.56	4.12	4.23	4.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	15	0	1	0	0	1	6	4.38	588/1248	4.38	4.59	4.23	4.33	4.38
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	15	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1250	5.00	4.74	4.39	4.47	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	15	0	0	0	2	1	5	4.38	785/1239	4.38	4.70	4.45	4.53	4.38
4. Were special techniques successful	15	2	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	103/906	4.83	4.84	4.13	4.14	4.83

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 304 01

Title: UMBC Jubilee Singers

Instructor: Jackson, Janice

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 43

Questionnaires: 23

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	22	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/214	****	5.00	4.31	4.33	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	22	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/29	****	5.00	4.19	3.97	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	22	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/29	****	5.00	4.11	3.90	****
Self Paced										-				
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	22	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/31	****	4.96	4.35	4.13	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	3	0.00-0.99	1	Α	18	Required for Majors	6	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	3	1.00-1.99	0	В	2						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	3	С	0	General	1	Under-grad	23	Non-major	21
84-150	4	3.00-3.49	6	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	10	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	1			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	3				
				?	2						

Course-Section: MUSC 305 01

Title: UMBC Community Symphony

Instructor: Richards, Edwin

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 61

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	17	39	4.67	458/1560	4.67	4.73	4.35	4.42	4.67
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	6	50	4.89	131/1559	4.89	4.71	4.31	4.35	4.89
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	46	0	0	0	0	11	5.00	****/1371	****	4.74	4.38	4.41	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	41	0	0	0	3	13	4.81	197/1519	4.81	4.76	4.27	4.33	4.81
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	45	0	0	0	1	10	4.91	****/1452	****	4.59	4.18	4.21	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	50	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	****/1430	****	4.68	4.16	4.20	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	3	12	0	0	3	8	31	4.67	349/1539	4.67	4.53	4.23	4.27	4.67
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	0	55	5.00	1/1560	5.00	4.66	4.64	4.66	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	3	54	4.95	62/1545	4.95	4.55	4.14	4.19	4.95
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	35	0	0	0	0	0	22	5.00	1/1496	5.00	4.72	4.49	4.54	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	34	0	0	0	0	1	22	4.96	278/1498	4.96	4.87	4.75	4.79	4.96
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	36	0	0	0	0	2	19	4.90	160/1496	4.90	4.72	4.37	4.43	4.90
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	36	0	0	1	0	1	19	4.81	332/1494	4.81	4.78	4.37	4.43	4.81
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	35	10	0	1	0	2	9	4.58	****/1352	****	4.56	4.12	4.23	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	52	0	0	0	1	0	4	4.60	****/1248	****	4.59	4.23	4.33	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	52	0	0	0	0	2	3	4.60	****/1250	****	4.74	4.39	4.47	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	52	0	0	1	0	1	3	4.20	****/1239	****	4.70	4.45	4.53	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 305 01

Title: UMBC Community Symphony
Instructor: Richards, Edwin

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 61

Questionnaires: 57

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	52	1	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	****/906	****	4.84	4.13	4.14	***

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	Α	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	7	0.00-0.99	2	Α	51	Required for Majors	21	Graduate	0	Major	18
28-55	5	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	2	С	0	General	2	Under-grad	57	Non-major	39
84-150	15	3.00-3.49	4	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	31	F	0	Electives	21	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	7				
				?	6						

Course-Section: MUSC 307 01

Title: Small Ensemble

Instructor: Lagana, Thomas V

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 6

Questionnaires: 6

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	241/1560	4.34	4.73	4.35	4.42	4.83
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	201/1559	4.66	4.71	4.31	4.35	4.83
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	261/1371	4.80	4.74	4.38	4.41	4.80
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	179/1519	4.83	4.76	4.27	4.33	4.83
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1452	5.00	4.59	4.18	4.21	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1430	5.00	4.68	4.16	4.20	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	169/1539	4.42	4.53	4.23	4.27	4.83
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1560	4.80	4.66	4.64	4.66	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1545	4.57	4.55	4.14	4.19	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	402/1496	4.78	4.72	4.49	4.54	4.80
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	852/1498	4.78	4.87	4.75	4.79	4.80
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	294/1496	4.65	4.72	4.37	4.43	4.80
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	332/1494	4.73	4.78	4.37	4.43	4.80
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	3	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1352	3.00	4.56	4.12	4.23	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	348/1248	4.83	4.59	4.23	4.33	4.67
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	479/1250	4.83	4.74	4.39	4.47	4.67
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	677/1239	4.75	4.70	4.45	4.53	4.50
4. Were special techniques successful	3	1	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	239/906	4.50	4.84	4.13	4.14	4.50

Run Date: 7/9/2013 3:27:05 PM

Course-Section: MUSC 307 01

Title: Small Ensemble

Instructor: Lagana, Thomas V

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 6

Questionnaires: 6

<u>'</u>	•			Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/206	****	5.00	4.25	4.22	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/214	****	5.00	4.31	4.33	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/204	****	5.00	4.52	4.57	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/207	****	5.00	4.44	4.42	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/199	****	5.00	4.27	4.17	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/64	****	5.00	4.44	4.60	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/58	****	5.00	4.37	4.51	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/52	****	5.00	4.41	4.27	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/66	****	5.00	4.41	4.54	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/63	****	5.00	4.09	4.19	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	5.00	4.19	3.97	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	5.00	4.11	3.90	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/24	****	5.00	4.25	3.98	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/26	****	5.00	3.89	3.58	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/25	****	5.00	4.01	3.42	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	****	4.96	4.35	4.13	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/22	****	5.00	4.13	4.87	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	4.90	4.41	4.78	****

Run Date: 7/9/2013 3:27:05 PM

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 307 01

Title: Small Ensemble

Instructor: Lagana, Thomas V

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 6

Questionnaires: 6

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/14	****	5.00	4.03	5.00	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/10	****	5.00	3.94	****	****

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP	١.	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	3	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	1	General	0	Under-grad	6	Non-major	4
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 307 06

Title: Small Ensemble

Instructor: Goldstein, Thoma

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 6

Questionnaires: 6

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	2	3	4.33	886/1560	4.34	4.73	4.35	4.42	4.33
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	1	4	4.50	627/1559	4.66	4.71	4.31	4.35	4.50
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	4	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1519	4.83	4.76	4.27	4.33	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	1	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1560	4.80	4.66	4.64	4.66	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	1	3	2	4.17	827/1545	4.57	4.55	4.14	4.19	4.17
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1496	4.78	4.72	4.49	4.54	****
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1498	4.78	4.87	4.75	4.79	****
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	5 0		0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1496	4.65	4.72	4.37	4.43	****
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1494	4.73	4.78	4.37	4.43	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	6	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	5
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	6	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	2				
				?	0						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 307 07

Title: Small Ensemble

Instructor: Morin, Joseph C

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 2

Questionnaires: 2

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	1193/1560	4.34	4.73	4.35	4.42	4.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	627/1559	4.66	4.71	4.31	4.35	4.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1560	4.80	4.66	4.64	4.66	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	406/1545	4.57	4.55	4.14	4.19	4.50
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1248	4.83	4.59	4.23	4.33	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1250	4.83	4.74	4.39	4.47	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1239	4.75	4.70	4.45	4.53	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	0
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Run Date: 7/9/2013 3:27:05 PM

Course-Section: MUSC 307 08

Title: Small Ensemble

Instructor: Belzer, Matthew

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 5

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	2	2	4.20	1047/1560	4.34	4.73	4.35	4.42	4.20
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	236/1559	4.66	4.71	4.31	4.35	4.80
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	4	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1371	4.80	4.74	4.38	4.41	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	356/1519	4.83	4.76	4.27	4.33	4.67
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	3	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	1077/1539	4.42	4.53	4.23	4.27	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	4	1	4.20	1336/1560	4.80	4.66	4.64	4.66	4.20
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	4.60	314/1545	4.57	4.55	4.14	4.19	4.60
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	489/1496	4.78	4.72	4.49	4.54	4.75
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	937/1498	4.78	4.87	4.75	4.79	4.75
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	700/1496	4.65	4.72	4.37	4.43	4.50
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	532/1494	4.73	4.78	4.37	4.43	4.67
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	1	0	1	0	1	0	3.00	1277/1352	3.00	4.56	4.12	4.23	3.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0 0		0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1248	4.83	4.59	4.23	4.33	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1250	4.83	4.74	4.39	4.47	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 307 08

Title: Small Ensemble

Instructor: Belzer, Matthew

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 5

Questionnaires: 5

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1239	4.75	4.70	4.45	4.53	****

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	5	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	5	Non-major	3
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 308 01

Title: UMBC Wind Ensemble

Instructor: Spece, Richard D

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 40

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	2	0	1	0	0	3	26	4.77	326/1560	4.77	4.73	4.35	4.42	4.77
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	0	0	1	2	27	4.87	166/1559	4.87	4.71	4.31	4.35	4.87
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	22	0	0	1	0	7	4.75	328/1371	4.75	4.74	4.38	4.41	4.75
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	23	0	1	0	0	6	4.57	****/1519	****	4.76	4.27	4.33	****
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	27	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	****/1452	****	4.59	4.18	4.21	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	27	0	1	0	0	2	4.00	****/1430	****	4.68	4.16	4.20	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	1	0	1	0	0	28	4.90	121/1539	4.90	4.53	4.23	4.27	4.90
8. How many times was class cancelled	3	0	0	1	0	3	25	4.79	711/1560	4.79	4.66	4.64	4.66	4.79
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	6	0	0	0	0	4	22	4.85	127/1545	4.85	4.55	4.14	4.19	4.85
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	11	0	0	0	1	1	19	4.86	315/1496	4.86	4.72	4.49	4.54	4.86
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	11	0	0	0	1	0	20	4.90	556/1498	4.90	4.87	4.75	4.79	4.90
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	11	0	0	0	1	1	19	4.86	227/1496	4.86	4.72	4.37	4.43	4.86
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	11	1	0	1	0	1	18	4.80	332/1494	4.80	4.78	4.37	4.43	4.80
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	13	10	0	1	0	0	8	4.67	215/1352	4.67	4.56	4.12	4.23	4.67
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	27	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	****/1248	****	4.59	4.23	4.33	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	27	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	****/1250	****	4.74	4.39	4.47	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	27	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	****/1239	****	4.70	4.45	4.53	****
4. Were special techniques successful	27	1	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	****/906	****	4.84	4.13	4.14	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 308 01

Title: UMBC Wind Ensemble

Instructor: Spece, Richard D

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 40

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	31	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/214	****	5.00	4.31	4.33	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	31	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/204	****	5.00	4.52	4.57	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	31	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/207	****	5.00	4.44	4.42	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	31	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	5.00	4.19	3.97	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	31	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	5.00	4.11	3.90	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	31	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/24	****	5.00	4.25	3.98	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	31	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/26	****	5.00	3.89	3.58	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	31	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/25	****	5.00	4.01	3.42	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	31	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	****	4.96	4.35	4.13	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	31	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/22	****	5.00	4.13	4.87	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	31	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	4.90	4.41	4.78	****
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	31	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/14	****	5.00	4.03	5.00	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 308 01

Title: UMBC Wind Ensemble

Instructor: Spece, Richard D

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 40

Questionnaires: 32

				Fre	quend	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	31	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/10	****	5.00	3.94	****	****

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	7	0.00-0.99	0	Α	29	Required for Majors	12	Graduate	0	Major	11
28-55	5	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	32	Non-major	21
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	7	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	9	F	0	Electives	14	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	3				
				?	3						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 311 01

Title: Free Composition

Instructor: Dusman, Linda J

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 1
Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1560	5.00	4.73	4.35	4.42	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1559	5.00	4.71	4.31	4.35	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1519	5.00	4.76	4.27	4.33	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1452	5.00	4.59	4.18	4.21	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1430	5.00	4.68	4.16	4.20	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.53	4.23	4.27	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1560	5.00	4.66	4.64	4.66	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1545	5.00	4.55	4.14	4.19	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	0	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: MUSC 312 01

Title: Composition

Instructor: Dusman,Linda J

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 6

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1560	5.00	4.73	4.35	4.42	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	201/1559	4.83	4.71	4.31	4.35	4.83
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	4	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1371	5.00	4.74	4.38	4.41	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	0	5	4.67	356/1519	4.67	4.76	4.27	4.33	4.67
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	2	3	4.33	620/1452	4.33	4.59	4.18	4.21	4.33
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	1	0	1	3	4.20	746/1430	4.20	4.68	4.16	4.20	4.20
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	2	0	0	3	3.80	1247/1539	3.80	4.53	4.23	4.27	3.80
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	622/1560	4.83	4.66	4.64	4.66	4.83
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1545	5.00	4.55	4.14	4.19	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1496	5.00	4.72	4.49	4.54	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.87	4.75	4.79	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	294/1496	4.80	4.72	4.37	4.43	4.80
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1494	5.00	4.78	4.37	4.43	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	2	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	215/1352	4.67	4.56	4.12	4.23	4.67
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1248	5.00	4.59	4.23	4.33	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1250	5.00	4.74	4.39	4.47	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1239	5.00	4.70	4.45	4.53	5.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 312 01
Title: Composition
Instructor: Dusman,Linda J

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 6

Questionnaires: 6

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	2	1	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/906	5.00	4.84	4.13	4.14	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	5	Required for Majors	4	Graduate	0	Major	5
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	6	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	1						

Course-Section: MUSC 319 01

Title: Adv Topics In Music Tech

Instructor: Wonneberger, Ala

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 9

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	2	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1560	5.00	4.73	4.35	4.42	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1559	5.00	4.71	4.31	4.35	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1371	5.00	4.74	4.38	4.41	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	1	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1519	5.00	4.76	4.27	4.33	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	3	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1452	5.00	4.59	4.18	4.21	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	3	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1430	5.00	4.68	4.16	4.20	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	1	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	169/1539	4.83	4.53	4.23	4.27	4.83
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1560	5.00	4.66	4.64	4.66	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	6	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1545	5.00	4.55	4.14	4.19	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	4	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1496	5.00	4.72	4.49	4.54	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	4	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.87	4.75	4.79	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1496	5.00	4.72	4.37	4.43	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1494	5.00	4.78	4.37	4.43	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	5	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1352	5.00	4.56	4.12	4.23	5.00
Discussion										_				
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1248	****	4.59	4.23	4.33	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	7	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1250	****	4.74	4.39	4.47	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	7	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1239	****	4.70	4.45	4.53	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 319 01

Title: Adv Topics In Music Tech

Instructor: Wonneberger, Ala

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 9

Questionnaires: 9

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	7	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/906	***	4.84	4.13	4.14	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	5	Required for Majors	6	Graduate	0	Major	6
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	1	General	0	Under-grad	9	Non-major	3
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	3						

Course-Section: MUSC 320 01

Title: UMBC Jazz Ensemble

Instructor: Belzer, Matthew

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 17

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	2	2	12	4.63	514/1560	4.63	4.73	4.35	4.42	4.63
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	1	1	14	4.81	224/1559	4.81	4.71	4.31	4.35	4.81
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	10	0	1	0	0	5	4.50	634/1371	4.50	4.74	4.38	4.41	4.50
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	9	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	161/1519	4.86	4.76	4.27	4.33	4.86
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	12	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	****/1452	****	4.59	4.18	4.21	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	11	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	143/1430	4.80	4.68	4.16	4.20	4.80
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	3	0	0	2	0	11	4.69	307/1539	4.69	4.53	4.23	4.27	4.69
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	1	2	13	4.75	776/1560	4.75	4.66	4.64	4.66	4.75
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	5	1	0	1	2	0	8	4.36	599/1545	4.36	4.55	4.14	4.19	4.36
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	12	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1496	5.00	4.72	4.49	4.54	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	11	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.87	4.75	4.79	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	13	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	****/1496	****	4.72	4.37	4.43	****
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1494	5.00	4.78	4.37	4.43	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	11	3	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	****/1352	****	4.56	4.12	4.23	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	0	2	3	4.60	398/1248	4.60	4.59	4.23	4.33	4.60
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	12	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	325/1250	4.80	4.74	4.39	4.47	4.80
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	12	0 0		0	0	1	4	4.80	388/1239	4.80	4.70	4.45	4.53	4.80
4. Were special techniques successful	12	2	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	****/906	****	4.84	4.13	4.14	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

16

0

Course-Section: MUSC 320 01

4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance

Title: UMBC Jazz Ensemble

Instructor: Belzer, Matthew

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 17

Questionnaires: 17

5.00

4.44

4.42

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mear
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	16	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/206	****	5.00	4.25	4.22	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	16	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/214	****	5.00	4.31	4 33	****

Frequency Distribution

5.00

****/207

Credits Ea	rned	Cum. GP	١	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	14	Required for Majors	9	Graduate	0	Major	9
28-55	3	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	17	Non-major	8
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	7	F	0	Electives	3	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	2				
				?	2						

Course-Section: MUSC 322 01

Title: Music History II

Instructor: Morin, Joseph C

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 28

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	8	0	0	0	0	2	17	4.89	172/1560	4.89	4.73	4.35	4.42	4.89
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	9	0	0	0	1	2	15	4.78	272/1559	4.78	4.71	4.31	4.35	4.78
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	8	0	0	0	2	0	17	4.79	287/1371	4.79	4.74	4.38	4.41	4.79
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	8	0	0	0	1	3	15	4.74	274/1519	4.74	4.76	4.27	4.33	4.74
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	8	1	1	0	2	1	14	4.50	433/1452	4.50	4.59	4.18	4.21	4.50
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	1	2	16	4.79	160/1430	4.79	4.68	4.16	4.20	4.79
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	8	0	0	0	1	4	14	4.68	321/1539	4.68	4.53	4.23	4.27	4.68
8. How many times was class cancelled	8	0	0	0	0	11	8	4.42	1146/1560	4.42	4.66	4.64	4.66	4.42
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	11	0	0	0	1	2	13	4.75	180/1545	4.75	4.55	4.14	4.19	4.75
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	9	0	0	0	1	0	17	4.89	262/1496	4.89	4.72	4.49	4.54	4.89
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	9	0	0	0	0	0	18	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.87	4.75	4.79	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	9	0	0	0	0	1	17	4.94	96/1496	4.94	4.72	4.37	4.43	4.94
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	0	1	17	4.94	115/1494	4.94	4.78	4.37	4.43	4.94
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	9	0	0	0	1	2	15	4.78	134/1352	4.78	4.56	4.12	4.23	4.78
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	16	0	1	0	0	1	9	4.55	441/1248	4.55	4.59	4.23	4.33	4.55
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	16	0	0	0	0	1	10	4.91	225/1250	4.91	4.74	4.39	4.47	4.91
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	16	0	0	0	0	2	9	4.82	374/1239	4.82	4.70	4.45	4.53	4.82
4. Were special techniques successful	16	8	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	****/906	****	4.84	4.13	4.14	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 322 01

Title: Music History II

Instructor: Morin, Joseph C

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 28

Questionnaires: 27

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/214	****	5.00	4.31	4.33	****
Seminar														
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/58	****	5.00	4.37	4.51	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/52	****	5.00	4.41	4.27	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	****	4.96	4.35	4.13	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/22	****	5.00	4.13	4.87	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	4.90	4.41	4.78	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	7	Required for Majors	19	Graduate	0	Major	19
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	10						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	С	1	General	0	Under-grad	27	Non-major	8
84-150	5	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	8	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	8						

Course-Section: MUSC 324 01

Title: Small Ensemble Jazz Grou

Instructor: Belzer, Matthew

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 3

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1560	5.00	4.73	4.35	4.42	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1559	5.00	4.71	4.31	4.35	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1371	4.75	4.74	4.38	4.41	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1519	4.75	4.76	4.27	4.33	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1452	5.00	4.59	4.18	4.21	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1430	5.00	4.68	4.16	4.20	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.53	4.23	4.27	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1560	5.00	4.66	4.64	4.66	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1545	4.25	4.55	4.14	4.19	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1496	5.00	4.72	4.49	4.54	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.87	4.75	4.79	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1496	5.00	4.72	4.37	4.43	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1494	5.00	4.78	4.37	4.43	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1352	5.00	4.56	4.12	4.23	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1248	5.00	4.59	4.23	4.33	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1250	5.00	4.74	4.39	4.47	5.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 324 01

Title: Small Ensemble Jazz Grou

Instructor: Belzer, Matthew

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 3

Questionnaires: 3

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1239	5.00	4.70	4.45	4.53	5.00

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	3	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	0	Major	3
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	3	Non-major	0
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 324 02

Title: Small Ensemble Jazz Grou

Instructor: Lagana, Thomas V

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 3

Questionnaires: 3

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1560	5.00	4.73	4.35	4.42	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1559	5.00	4.71	4.31	4.35	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	634/1371	4.75	4.74	4.38	4.41	4.50
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	549/1519	4.75	4.76	4.27	4.33	4.50
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1452	5.00	4.59	4.18	4.21	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1430	5.00	4.68	4.16	4.20	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.53	4.23	4.27	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1560	5.00	4.66	4.64	4.66	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	1342/1545	4.25	4.55	4.14	4.19	3.50

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	3	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: MUSC 385 01

Title: Intermediate Conducting

Instructor: Caracciolo, Step

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 8

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	1	6	4.63	514/1560	4.63	4.73	4.35	4.42	4.63
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	1	1	5	4.57	534/1559	4.57	4.71	4.31	4.35	4.57
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	215/1371	4.86	4.74	4.38	4.41	4.86
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	356/1519	4.67	4.76	4.27	4.33	4.67
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	0	1	0	6	4.25	704/1452	4.25	4.59	4.18	4.21	4.25
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	5	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1430	5.00	4.68	4.16	4.20	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	243/1539	4.75	4.53	4.23	4.27	4.75
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	526/1560	4.88	4.66	4.64	4.66	4.88
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	0	4	2	4.33	639/1545	4.33	4.55	4.14	4.19	4.33
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	349/1496	4.83	4.72	4.49	4.54	4.83
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.87	4.75	4.79	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	253/1496	4.83	4.72	4.37	4.43	4.83
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	289/1494	4.83	4.78	4.37	4.43	4.83
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	1	1	0	0	1	3	4.00	823/1352	4.00	4.56	4.12	4.23	4.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	1	0	0	1	3	4.00	822/1248	4.00	4.59	4.23	4.33	4.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	1	0	0	4	4.40	701/1250	4.40	4.74	4.39	4.47	4.40
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	1	0	0	1	3	4.00	971/1239	4.00	4.70	4.45	4.53	4.00
4. Were special techniques successful	3	2	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	161/906	4.67	4.84	4.13	4.14	4.67

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 385 01

Title: Intermediate Conducting

Instructor: Caracciolo, Step

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 8

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/214	****	5.00	4.31	4.33	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/204	****	5.00	4.52	4.57	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/207	****	5.00	4.44	4.42	****
Seminar														
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/63	****	5.00	4.09	4.19	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	5.00	4.19	3.97	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	5.00	4.11	3.90	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	7	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/24	****	5.00	4.25	3.98	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/26	****	5.00	3.89	3.58	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/25	****	5.00	4.01	3.42	****
Self Paced										-				
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	****	4.96	4.35	4.13	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 385 01

Title: Intermediate Conducting

Instructor: Caracciolo,Step

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 8

Questionnaires: 8

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	4.90	4.41	4.78	***

Credits Earned		Cum. GPA		Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors		
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	6	Required for Majors	6	Graduate	0	Major	8	
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0							
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	2	General	0	Under-grad	8	Non-major	0	
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	0	D	0							
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	5	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses		
				Р	0			to be significant				
				I	0	Other	0					
				?	0							

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 390 05

Title: Adv.Perf.Stud:Westrn Art

Instructor: Jackson, Janice

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 1
Questionnaires: 1

			Frequencies					In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1560	5.00	4.73	4.35	4.42	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1526/1559	4.50	4.71	4.31	4.35	3.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1445/1560	4.75	4.66	4.64	4.66	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1545	5.00	4.55	4.14	4.19	5.00

Credits Earned		Cum. GPA		Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors		
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1	
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0							
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0	
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0							
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses		
				Р	0			to be significant				
				I	0	Other	0					
				?	0							

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 390 11

Title: Adv.Perf.Stud:Westrn Art

Instructor: Ladd, Gita

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 1

Questionnaires: 1

			Frequencies				Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect	
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1560	5.00	4.73	4.35	4.42	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1559	4.50	4.71	4.31	4.35	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1519	5.00	4.76	4.27	4.33	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1452	5.00	4.59	4.18	4.21	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1539	4.83	4.53	4.23	4.27	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1560	4.75	4.66	4.64	4.66	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1545	5.00	4.55	4.14	4.19	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned		ed Cum. GPA		Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors		
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1	
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0							
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0	
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0							
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses		
				Р	0			to be significant				
				I	0	Other	0					
				?	0							

Run Date: 7/9/2013 3:27:06 PM

Course-Section: MUSC 390 12

Title: Adv.Perf.Stud:Westrn Art

Instructor: Lagana, Thomas V

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 3

				Frequencies					structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1560	5.00	4.73	4.35	4.42	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1559	4.50	4.71	4.31	4.35	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1371	5.00	4.74	4.38	4.41	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1519	5.00	4.76	4.27	4.33	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1452	5.00	4.59	4.18	4.21	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	540/1539	4.83	4.53	4.23	4.27	4.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1560	4.75	4.66	4.64	4.66	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1545	5.00	4.55	4.14	4.19	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1496	5.00	4.72	4.49	4.54	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.87	4.75	4.79	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1496	5.00	4.72	4.37	4.43	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1494	5.00	4.78	4.37	4.43	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1352	5.00	4.56	4.12	4.23	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1248	5.00	4.59	4.23	4.33	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1250	5.00	4.74	4.39	4.47	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1239	5.00	4.70	4.45	4.53	5.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 390 12

Title: Adv.Perf.Stud:Westrn Art

Instructor: Lagana, Thomas V

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 3

Questionnaires: 2

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/906	5.00	4.84	4.13	4.14	5.00

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	1
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 390 17

Title: Adv.Perf.Stud:Westrn Art

Instructor: Richards, Edwin

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 1

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1560	5.00	4.73	4.35	4.42	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1559	4.50	4.71	4.31	4.35	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1539	4.83	4.53	4.23	4.27	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1560	4.75	4.66	4.64	4.66	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1545	5.00	4.55	4.14	4.19	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	0	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Run Date: 7/9/2013 3:27:06 PM

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 391 05

Title: Adv Perf Studies - West

Instructor: Forshee, Zane F.

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 2

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1560	5.00	4.73	4.35	4.42	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1559	5.00	4.71	4.31	4.35	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1445/1560	4.50	4.66	4.64	4.66	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1545	4.50	4.55	4.14	4.19	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	0	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	1	Other	0				
				?	0						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 391 06

Title: Adv Perf Studies - West

Instructor: Jurkscheit, Kris

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 1
Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1560	5.00	4.73	4.35	4.42	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1559	5.00	4.71	4.31	4.35	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.53	4.23	4.27	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1560	4.50	4.66	4.64	4.66	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	952/1545	4.50	4.55	4.14	4.19	4.00

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 400 01

Title: Special Projects

Instructor: Morin, Joseph

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 1
Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1193/1560	4.67	4.73	4.35	4.45	4.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1559	5.00	4.71	4.31	4.34	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1519	5.00	4.76	4.27	4.33	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1452	5.00	4.59	4.18	4.25	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1430	5.00	4.68	4.16	4.25	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1077/1539	4.67	4.53	4.23	4.21	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1560	4.50	4.66	4.64	4.68	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1545	4.83	4.55	4.14	4.21	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Run Date: 7/9/2013 3:27:06 PM

Course-Section: MUSC 400 04

Title: Special Projects

Instructor: Spece, Richard

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 1

						structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect			
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1560	4.67	4.73	4.35	4.45	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1559	5.00	4.71	4.31	4.34	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1452	5.00	4.59	4.18	4.25	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1539	4.67	4.53	4.23	4.21	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1445/1560	4.50	4.66	4.64	4.68	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1545	4.83	4.55	4.14	4.21	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1496	5.00	4.72	4.49	4.50	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.87	4.75	4.77	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1496	5.00	4.72	4.37	4.40	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1494	5.00	4.78	4.37	4.41	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1352	5.00	4.56	4.12	4.16	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1248	5.00	4.59	4.23	4.39	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1250	5.00	4.74	4.39	4.55	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1239	5.00	4.70	4.45	4.61	5.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 400 04

Title: Special Projects

Instructor: Spece,Richard

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 1

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/906	5.00	4.84	4.13	4.28	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	١	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 400 05

Title: Special Projects

Instructor: Forshee, Zane

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 2

Questionnaires: 2

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1560	4.67	4.73	4.35	4.45	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1559	5.00	4.71	4.31	4.34	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1371	5.00	4.74	4.38	4.46	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1519	5.00	4.76	4.27	4.33	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1452	5.00	4.59	4.18	4.25	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1430	5.00	4.68	4.16	4.25	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1539	4.67	4.53	4.23	4.21	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	1051/1560	4.50	4.66	4.64	4.68	4.50
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	406/1545	4.83	4.55	4.14	4.21	4.50
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1496	5.00	4.72	4.49	4.50	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.87	4.75	4.77	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1496	5.00	4.72	4.37	4.40	5.00

Run Date: 7/9/2013 3:27:06 PM

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 400 05

Title: Special Projects

Instructor: Forshee,Zane

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 2

Questionnaires: 2

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Lecture														
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1494	5.00	4.78	4.37	4.41	5.00

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	١	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	1
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 401 01

Title: Special Projects: Comp

Instructor: Rubin, Anna I

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 2

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1560	5.00	4.73	4.35	4.45	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1559	5.00	4.71	4.31	4.34	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1519	5.00	4.76	4.27	4.33	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1452	5.00	4.59	4.18	4.25	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1430	5.00	4.68	4.16	4.25	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1077/1539	4.50	4.53	4.23	4.21	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	1445/1560	4.00	4.66	4.64	4.68	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	952/1545	4.50	4.55	4.14	4.21	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1496	5.00	4.72	4.49	4.50	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.87	4.75	4.77	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1496	5.00	4.72	4.37	4.40	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1494	5.00	4.78	4.37	4.41	5.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 401 01

Title: Special Projects: Comp

Instructor: Rubin, Anna I

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 2

Questionnaires: 2

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Lecture														
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1352	5.00	4.56	4.12	4.16	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: MUSC 401 02

Title: Special Projects: Comp

Instructor: Dusman, Linda J

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 5

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1560	5.00	4.73	4.35	4.45	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1559	5.00	4.71	4.31	4.34	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1519	5.00	4.76	4.27	4.33	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1452	5.00	4.59	4.18	4.25	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1430	5.00	4.68	4.16	4.25	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1539	4.50	4.53	4.23	4.21	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1445/1560	4.00	4.66	4.64	4.68	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1545	4.50	4.55	4.14	4.21	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1496	5.00	4.72	4.49	4.50	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.87	4.75	4.77	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1496	5.00	4.72	4.37	4.40	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1494	5.00	4.78	4.37	4.41	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1248	5.00	4.59	4.23	4.39	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1250	5.00	4.74	4.39	4.55	5.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 401 02

Title: Special Projects: Comp

Instructor: Dusman, Linda J

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 5

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1239	5.00	4.70	4.45	4.61	5.00

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 416 01

Title: Analog Recording Perspec

Instructor: Wonneberger, Ala

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 5

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	458/1560	4.67	4.73	4.35	4.45	4.67
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	942/1559	4.25	4.71	4.31	4.34	4.25
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	442/1371	4.67	4.74	4.38	4.46	4.67
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	549/1519	4.50	4.76	4.27	4.33	4.50
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	202/1452	4.75	4.59	4.18	4.25	4.75
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	3	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	889/1430	4.00	4.68	4.16	4.25	4.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	761/1539	4.33	4.53	4.23	4.21	4.33
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	776/1560	4.75	4.66	4.64	4.68	4.75
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	180/1545	4.75	4.55	4.14	4.21	4.75
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1496	5.00	4.72	4.49	4.50	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.87	4.75	4.77	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1496	5.00	4.72	4.37	4.40	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1494	5.00	4.78	4.37	4.41	5.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 416 01

Title: Analog Recording Perspec

Instructor: Wonneberger, Ala

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 5

Questionnaires: 4

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Lecture														
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1352	5.00	4.56	4.12	4.16	5.00

Credits Ea	rned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	4	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	4
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	4	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 418 01

Title: Music Technology Interns

Instructor: Wonneberger, Ala

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 6

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1560	5.00	4.73	4.35	4.45	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1559	5.00	4.71	4.31	4.34	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1560	5.00	4.66	4.64	4.68	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1545	5.00	4.55	4.14	4.21	5.00

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP	١.	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 428 01

Title: Electronic Music

Instructor: Rubin, Anna I

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 7

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	2	2	3	4.14	1100/1560	4.14	4.73	4.35	4.45	4.14
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	2	2	2	3.71	1373/1559	3.71	4.71	4.31	4.34	3.71
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	3	3	4.29	857/1371	4.29	4.74	4.38	4.46	4.29
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	3	1	1	3.60	1372/1519	3.60	4.76	4.27	4.33	3.60
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	1	1	1	2	3.80	1121/1452	3.80	4.59	4.18	4.25	3.80
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	6	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1430	****	4.68	4.16	4.25	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	2	2	1	0	1	2.33	1528/1539	2.33	4.53	4.23	4.21	2.33
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	5	2	4.29	1270/1560	4.29	4.66	4.64	4.68	4.29
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	3	1	2	3.83	1156/1545	3.83	4.55	4.14	4.21	3.83
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	2	2	1	3.80	1375/1496	3.80	4.72	4.49	4.50	3.80
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.87	4.75	4.77	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	2	1	2	4.00	1175/1496	4.00	4.72	4.37	4.40	4.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	1	2	2	4.20	1033/1494	4.20	4.78	4.37	4.41	4.20
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	0	0	1	2	2	4.20	679/1352	4.20	4.56	4.12	4.16	4.20
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	679/1248	4.25	4.59	4.23	4.39	4.25
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1250	5.00	4.74	4.39	4.55	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	458/1239	4.75	4.70	4.45	4.61	4.75

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 428 01

Title: Electronic Music

Instructor: Rubin, Anna I

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 7

Questionnaires: 7

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	3	2	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	239/906	4.50	4.84	4.13	4.28	4.50

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	5	Required for Majors	4	Graduate	0	Major	5
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	7	Non-major	2
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 480 01

Title: Topic in Music, Art & S

Instructor: Dusman, Linda J

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 17

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	5	0	0	0	1	5	4	4.30	920/1560	4.30	4.73	4.35	4.45	4.30
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	5	0	0	0	2	5	3	4.10	1087/1559	4.10	4.71	4.31	4.34	4.10
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	5	9	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1371	****	4.74	4.38	4.46	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	5	3	0	0	2	0	5	4.43	664/1519	4.43	4.76	4.27	4.33	4.43
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	2	1	1	5	4.00	948/1452	4.00	4.59	4.18	4.25	4.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	1	2	6	4.56	375/1430	4.56	4.68	4.16	4.25	4.56
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	6	0	0	2	2	3	2	3.56	1372/1539	3.56	4.53	4.23	4.21	3.56
8. How many times was class cancelled	6	0	0	0	0	4	5	4.56	1006/1560	4.56	4.66	4.64	4.68	4.56
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	6	0	0	0	1	4	4	4.33	639/1545	4.33	4.55	4.14	4.21	4.33
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	12	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/1496	****	4.72	4.49	4.50	****
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	12	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/1498	****	4.87	4.75	4.77	****
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	12	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/1496	****	4.72	4.37	4.40	****
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/1494	****	4.78	4.37	4.41	****
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	12	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1352	****	4.56	4.12	4.16	****
Discussion										_				
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	10	0	0	0	0	2	3	4.60	398/1248	4.60	4.59	4.23	4.39	4.60
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	10	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1250	5.00	4.74	4.39	4.55	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	10	0	0	0	1	1	3	4.40	765/1239	4.40	4.70	4.45	4.61	4.40

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 480 01

Title: Topic in Music, Art & S

Instructor: Dusman, Linda J

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 17

Questionnaires: 15

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	10	3	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/906	****	4.84	4.13	4.28	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	6	Required for Majors	10	Graduate	0	Major	10
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	3						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	15	Non-major	5
84-150	6	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	9	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	6						

Course-Section: MUSC 492 03

Title: Senior Project

Instructor: Rubin, Anna I

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 1

		0 0 0 0 1 5.00 1/1 0 0 0 0 1 5.00 1/1 0 0 0 0 1 5.00 1/1 0 0 0 0 1 5.00 1/1 0 0 0 0 1 5.00 1/1 0 0 0 0 1 5.00 1/1				structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect			
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1560	5.00	4.73	4.35	4.45	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1559	5.00	4.71	4.31	4.34	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1371	5.00	4.74	4.38	4.46	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1519	5.00	4.76	4.27	4.33	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1452	5.00	4.59	4.18	4.25	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1430	5.00	4.68	4.16	4.25	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.53	4.23	4.21	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1560	5.00	4.66	4.64	4.68	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1545	5.00	4.55	4.14	4.21	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1496	5.00	4.72	4.49	4.50	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.87	4.75	4.77	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1496	5.00	4.72	4.37	4.40	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1494	5.00	4.78	4.37	4.41	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1248	5.00	4.59	4.23	4.39	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1250	5.00	4.74	4.39	4.55	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1239	5.00	4.70	4.45	4.61	5.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 492 03

Title: Senior Project

Instructor: Rubin, Anna I

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 1

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/906	5.00	4.84	4.13	4.28	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 694 02

Title: Solo Performance Study

Instructor: Jackson, Janice

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 1

Questionnaires: 1

			Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1560	5.00	4.73	4.35	4.37	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1559	5.00	4.71	4.31	4.29	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1519	5.00	4.76	4.27	4.29	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1452	5.00	4.59	4.18	4.23	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.53	4.23	4.26	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1560	5.00	4.66	4.64	4.72	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1545	5.00	4.55	4.14	4.11	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1496	5.00	4.72	4.49	4.47	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.87	4.75	4.76	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1496	5.00	4.72	4.37	4.29	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1494	5.00	4.78	4.37	4.31	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1248	5.00	4.59	4.23	4.28	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1250	5.00	4.74	4.39	4.49	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1239	5.00	4.70	4.45	4.57	5.00
Seminar														
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/66	5.00	5.00	4.41	4.28	5.00
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/63	5.00	5.00	4.09	4.07	5.00
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/29	5.00	5.00	4.19	3.88	5.00

Run Date: 7/9/2013 3:27:07 PM

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MUSC 694 02

Title: Solo Performance Study

Instructor: Jackson, Janice

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 1
Questionnaires: 1

			Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Field Work														
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/29	5.00	5.00	4.11	3.89	5.00
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/24	5.00	5.00	4.25	4.06	5.00
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/26	5.00	5.00	3.89	3.54	5.00
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/25	5.00	5.00	4.01	3.69	5.00
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/31	5.00	4.96	4.35	3.98	5.00
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/29	5.00	4.90	4.41	3.99	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned		Cum. GPA		Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Run Date: 7/9/2013 3:27:07 PM