Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: SCIE 531 01

Title: Inquiry I

Instructor: Irish, Teresa J.

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 18

Questionnaires: 18

| | | Frequencies 1 | | | | | Instructor | | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect | |
|---|----|---------------|---|---|---|---|------------|------|-----------|------|-------------|-------|------|------|
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| General | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 8 | 0 | 3.17 | 1533/1560 | 3.17 | 4.26 | 4.35 | 4.37 | 3.17 |
| 2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 7 | 3 | 2 | 3.06 | 1523/1559 | 3.06 | 4.34 | 4.31 | 4.29 | 3.06 |
| 3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4.50 | ****/1371 | **** | 4.25 | 4.38 | 4.37 | **** |
| 4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals | 0 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 6 | 3 | 3.29 | 1464/1519 | 3.29 | 4.21 | 4.27 | 4.29 | 3.29 |
| 5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned | 0 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 2.67 | 1433/1452 | 2.67 | 4.18 | 4.18 | 4.23 | 2.67 |
| 6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 2.94 | 1387/1430 | 2.94 | 4.12 | 4.16 | 4.28 | 2.94 |
| 7. Was the grading system clearly explained | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 3.83 | 1227/1539 | 3.83 | 4.27 | 4.23 | 4.26 | 3.83 |
| 8. How many times was class cancelled | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 16 | 4.89 | 502/1560 | 4.89 | 4.65 | 4.64 | 4.72 | 4.89 |
| 9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 3.00 | 1484/1545 | 3.00 | 4.18 | 4.14 | 4.11 | 3.00 |
| Lecture | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 3.28 | 1460/1496 | 3.28 | 4.45 | 4.49 | 4.47 | 3.28 |
| 2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 12 | 4.56 | 1199/1498 | 4.56 | 4.62 | 4.75 | 4.76 | 4.56 |
| 3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 2 | 3.44 | 1397/1496 | 3.44 | 4.42 | 4.37 | 4.29 | 3.44 |
| 4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 7 | 1 | 3.00 | 1448/1494 | 3.00 | 4.31 | 4.37 | 4.31 | 3.00 |
| 5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding | 0 | 0 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2.22 | 1338/1352 | 2.22 | 4.10 | 4.12 | 3.99 | 2.22 |
| Discussion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 6 | 3.94 | 878/1248 | 3.94 | 4.36 | 4.23 | 4.28 | 3.94 |
| 2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 6 | 4 | 3.72 | 1100/1250 | 3.72 | 4.43 | 4.39 | 4.49 | 3.72 |
| 3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 7 | 6 | 4.00 | 971/1239 | 4.00 | 4.52 | 4.45 | 4.57 | 4.00 |
| 4. Were special techniques successful | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 3.14 | 842/906 | 3.14 | 4.06 | 4.13 | 4.08 | 3.14 |

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: SCIE 531 01

Title: Inquiry I

Instructor: Irish, Teresa J.

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 18

Questionnaires: 18

| | Frequencies | | | | | | Ins | Instructor | | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect | |
|---|-------------|----|---|---|---|---|-----|------------|---------|------|-------------|-------|------|------|
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| Laboratory | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material | 10 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3.43 | 198/206 | 3.43 | 3.81 | 4.25 | 4.17 | 3.43 |
| 2. Were you provided with adequate background information | 12 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 3.33 | 205/214 | 3.33 | 3.75 | 4.31 | 3.86 | 3.33 |
| 3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities | 10 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 4.20 | 173/204 | 4.20 | 3.89 | 4.52 | 4.15 | 4.20 |
| 4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance | 11 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3.60 | 197/207 | 3.60 | 3.97 | 4.44 | 3.84 | 3.60 |
| 5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified | 10 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3.80 | 163/199 | 3.80 | 3.91 | 4.27 | 4.11 | 3.80 |
| Seminar | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme | 10 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3.29 | 58/64 | 3.29 | 3.37 | 4.44 | 4.23 | 3.29 |
| 2. Was the instructor available for individual attention | 11 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 3.67 | 50/58 | 3.67 | 3.82 | 4.37 | 4.34 | 3.67 |
| 3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned | 11 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 3.33 | 48/52 | 3.33 | 3.64 | 4.41 | 4.37 | 3.33 |
| 4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned | 11 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3.57 | 60/66 | 3.57 | 3.76 | 4.41 | 4.28 | 3.57 |
| 5. Were criteria for grading made clear | 11 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3.71 | 44/63 | 3.71 | 3.80 | 4.09 | 4.07 | 3.71 |
| Field Work | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3.67 | ****/29 | **** | 3.82 | 4.19 | 3.88 | **** |
| 2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria | 15 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3.33 | ****/29 | **** | 3.83 | 4.11 | 3.89 | **** |
| 3. Was the instructor available for consultation | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 4.33 | ****/24 | **** | 3.77 | 4.25 | 4.06 | **** |
| 4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations | 15 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2.33 | ****/26 | **** | 3.32 | 3.89 | 3.54 | **** |
| 5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities | 15 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3.00 | ****/25 | **** | 3.61 | 4.01 | 3.69 | **** |
| Self Paced | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4.25 | ****/31 | **** | 3.67 | 4.35 | 3.98 | **** |
| 2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal | 15 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3.33 | ****/22 | **** | 3.54 | 4.13 | 3.66 | **** |
| 3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful | 15 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3.33 | ****/29 | **** | 3.83 | 4.41 | 3.99 | **** |

Report Help

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: SCIE 531 01

Title: Inquiry I

Instructor: Irish, Teresa J.

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 18

Questionnaires: 18

| | | | | Fre | quen | cies | | In | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
|--|----|----|---|-----|------|------|---|------|----------|--------|------|-------------|-------|------|
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| Self Paced | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful | 15 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4.00 | ****/14 | **** | 3.69 | 4.03 | 3.29 | **** |
| 5. Were there enough proctors for all the students | 15 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/10 | **** | 3.78 | 3.94 | 3.32 | **** |

Frequency Distribution

| Credits E | arned | Cum. GP/ | 4 | Expected | l Grades | Reasons | | Туре | | Majors | | |
|-----------|-------|-----------|---|----------|----------|---------------------|---|-------------------|--------------|-----------------|---|--|
| 00-27 | 4 | 0.00-0.99 | 0 | Α | 12 | Required for Majors | 1 | Graduate | 3 | Major | 0 | |
| 28-55 | 0 | 1.00-1.99 | 0 | В | 0 | | | | | | | |
| 56-83 | 0 | 2.00-2.99 | 0 | С | 0 | General | 3 | Under-grad | 15 | Non-major | 0 | |
| 84-150 | 0 | 3.00-3.49 | 0 | D | 0 | | | | | | | |
| Grad. | 3 | 3.50-4.00 | 0 | F | 0 | Electives | 1 | **** - Means the | re are not e | nough responses | | |
| | | | | Р | 0 | | | to be significant | | | | |
| | | | | I | 0 | Other | 5 | | | | | |
| | | | | ? | 6 | | | | | | | |

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: SCIE 539 01

Title: Inquiry Into Practice

Instructor: Batzer, Deborah

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 13

Questionnaires: 11

| | | Frequencies | | | | | In | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect | |
|---|----|-------------|---|---|---|---|----|----------|-----------|------|-------------|-------|------|------|
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| General | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 4.82 | 264/1560 | 4.82 | 4.26 | 4.35 | 4.37 | 4.82 |
| 2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 4.73 | 332/1559 | 4.73 | 4.34 | 4.31 | 4.29 | 4.73 |
| 3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/1371 | **** | 4.25 | 4.38 | 4.37 | **** |
| 4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 4.82 | 197/1519 | 4.82 | 4.21 | 4.27 | 4.29 | 4.82 |
| 5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 7 | 4.55 | 391/1452 | 4.55 | 4.18 | 4.18 | 4.23 | 4.55 |
| 6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 7 | 4.55 | 385/1430 | 4.55 | 4.12 | 4.16 | 4.28 | 4.55 |
| 7. Was the grading system clearly explained | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 4.73 | 273/1539 | 4.73 | 4.27 | 4.23 | 4.26 | 4.73 |
| 8. How many times was class cancelled | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 4.91 | 454/1560 | 4.91 | 4.65 | 4.64 | 4.72 | 4.91 |
| 9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 4.90 | 104/1545 | 4.90 | 4.18 | 4.14 | 4.11 | 4.90 |
| Lecture | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 4.60 | 744/1496 | 4.60 | 4.45 | 4.49 | 4.47 | 4.60 |
| 2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 5.00 | 1/1498 | 5.00 | 4.62 | 4.75 | 4.76 | 5.00 |
| 3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 4.70 | 448/1496 | 4.70 | 4.42 | 4.37 | 4.29 | 4.70 |
| 4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 4.80 | 332/1494 | 4.80 | 4.31 | 4.37 | 4.31 | 4.80 |
| 5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 4.78 | 134/1352 | 4.78 | 4.10 | 4.12 | 3.99 | 4.78 |
| Discussion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5.00 | 1/1248 | 5.00 | 4.36 | 4.23 | 4.28 | 5.00 |
| 2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5.00 | 1/1250 | 5.00 | 4.43 | 4.39 | 4.49 | 5.00 |
| 3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5.00 | 1/1239 | 5.00 | 4.52 | 4.45 | 4.57 | 5.00 |

Report Help

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: SCIE 539 01

Title: Inquiry Into Practice

Instructor: Batzer, Deborah

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 13

Questionnaires: 11

| | | | | Fre | quen | cies | | In | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
|---------------------------------------|----|----|---|-----|------|------|---|------|----------|--------|------|-------------|-------|------|
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| Discussion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. Were special techniques successful | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 5.00 | 1/906 | 5.00 | 4.06 | 4.13 | 4.08 | 5.00 |

Frequency Distribution

| Credits Ea | Credits Earned Cum. GPA Expected Grades | | Reasons | | Туре | | Majors | | | | |
|------------|---|-----------|---------|---|------|---------------------|--------|-------------------|-------------|-----------------|---|
| 00-27 | 7 | 0.00-0.99 | 0 | Α | 10 | Required for Majors | 10 | Graduate | 4 | Major | 0 |
| 28-55 | 0 | 1.00-1.99 | 0 | В | 0 | | | | | | |
| 56-83 | 0 | 2.00-2.99 | 0 | С | 0 | General | 0 | Under-grad | 7 | Non-major | 0 |
| 84-150 | 0 | 3.00-3.49 | 0 | D | 0 | | | | | | |
| Grad. | 4 | 3.50-4.00 | 7 | F | 0 | Electives | 0 | **** - Means ther | e are not e | nough responses | |
| | | | | Р | 0 | | | to be significant | | | |
| | | | | 1 | 0 | Other | 1 | | | | |
| | | | | ? | 1 | | | | | | |