| Course-Section: ELCA 401 01 | | | Term | - Fal | <mark>l 201</mark> 3 | 3 | | | | | | Enro | llment: | 14 |
|---|----|----|------|-------|----------------------|------|---|------|-----------|--------|------|---------|---------|------|
| Title: ELC41 | | | | | | | | | | | Q | uestion | naires: | 9 |
| Instructor: Lee,Brittany AL | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Fre | quen | cies | | In | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| General | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 4.33 | 889/1644 | 4.29 | 4.17 | 4.32 | 4.47 | 4.33 |
| 2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 4.75 | 302/1644 | 4.30 | 4.20 | 4.28 | 4.35 | 4.75 |
| 3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 4.56 | 587/1419 | 4.30 | 4.34 | 4.35 | 4.48 | 4.56 |
| 4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 4.44 | 657/1596 | 4.21 | 4.18 | 4.24 | 4.34 | 4.44 |
| 5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 4.56 | 401/1535 | 4.20 | 4.15 | 4.15 | 4.26 | 4.56 |
| 6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 4.78 | 177/1510 | 4.56 | 4.14 | 4.13 | 4.29 | 4.78 |
| 7. Was the grading system clearly explained | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 4.56 | 462/1620 | 4.38 | 4.25 | 4.20 | 4.25 | 4.56 |
| 8. How many times was class cancelled | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 4.67 | 1038/1642 | 4.67 | 4.72 | 4.68 | 4.67 | 4.67 |
| 9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4.00 | 971/1596 | 3.68 | 4.13 | 4.12 | 4.20 | 4.00 |
| Lecture | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 4.78 | 490/1534 | 4.59 | 4.50 | 4.48 | 4.54 | 4.78 |
| 2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 4.78 | 951/1539 | 4.56 | 4.62 | 4.76 | 4.81 | 4.78 |
| 3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 4.78 | 318/1531 | 4.41 | 4.32 | 4.33 | 4.38 | 4.78 |
| 4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 4.78 | 404/1530 | 4.24 | 4.26 | 4.35 | 4.41 | 4.78 |
| 5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 4.86 | 124/1409 | 4.48 | 4.06 | 4.08 | 4.15 | 4.86 |
| Discussion | | - | | | - | - | | | | | - | - | - | |
| 1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 4.83 | 209/1366 | 4.41 | 4.27 | 4.18 | 4.37 | 4.83 |
| 2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 4.83 | 303/1364 | 4.41 | 4.36 | 4.33 | 4.52 | 4.83 |
| 3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 4.83 | 340/1361 | 4.37 | 4.48 | 4.39 | 4.59 | 4.83 |

Report Help

| Course-Section: | ELCA 401 01 | | | Term | ı - Fal | <mark>l 201</mark> : | 3 | | | | | | Enro | llment: | 14 |
|--------------------------|-----------------|----|----|------|---------|----------------------|------|---|------|----------|--------|------|---------|---------|------|
| Title: | ELC41 | | | | | | | | | | | Q | uestion | naires: | 9 |
| Instructor: | Lee,Brittany AL | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | - | | | Fre | quen | cies | | Ins | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
| | Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| | Discussion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. Were special techniqu | es successful | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 4.57 | 229/1019 | 4.08 | 4.14 | 4.09 | 4.32 | 4.57 |

| Credits Ea | arned | Cum. GP/ | 4 | Expected | Grades | Reasons | | Туре | | Majors | |
|------------|-------|-----------|---|----------|--------|---------------------|---|-------------------|-------------|-----------------|---|
| 00-27 | 1 | 0.00-0.99 | 0 | А | 2 | Required for Majors | 1 | Graduate | 0 | Major | 0 |
| 28-55 | 0 | 1.00-1.99 | 0 | В | 1 | | | | | | |
| 56-83 | 0 | 2.00-2.99 | 0 | С | 0 | General | 1 | Under-grad | 9 | Non-major | 0 |
| 84-150 | 0 | 3.00-3.49 | 0 | D | 0 | | | | | | |
| Grad. | 0 | 3.50-4.00 | 0 | F | 0 | Electives | 0 | **** - Means ther | e are not e | nough responses | |
| | | | | Р | 0 | | | to be significant | | | |
| | | | | I | 0 | Other | 0 | | | | |
| | | | | ? | 6 | | | | | | |

| Course-Section: ELCA 401 02 | | | Term | - Fal | l 2013 | 3 | | | | | | Enro | llment: | 13 |
|---|----|----|------|-------|--------|------|---|------|-----------|--------|------|---------|---------|------|
| Title: ELC41 | | | | | | | | | | | Q | uestion | naires: | 11 |
| Instructor: Hsu,Rosemary Sw | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Fre | quene | cies | | Ins | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| General | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 4.89 | 197/1644 | 4.29 | 4.17 | 4.32 | 4.47 | 4.89 |
| 2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 4.89 | 160/1644 | 4.30 | 4.20 | 4.28 | 4.35 | 4.89 |
| 3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 4.89 | 189/1419 | 4.30 | 4.34 | 4.35 | 4.48 | 4.89 |
| 4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 4.90 | 113/1596 | 4.21 | 4.18 | 4.24 | 4.34 | 4.90 |
| 5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 4.88 | 130/1535 | 4.20 | 4.15 | 4.15 | 4.26 | 4.88 |
| 6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 5.00 | 1/1510 | 4.56 | 4.14 | 4.13 | 4.29 | 5.00 |
| 7. Was the grading system clearly explained | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 4.89 | 102/1620 | 4.38 | 4.25 | 4.20 | 4.25 | 4.89 |
| 8. How many times was class cancelled | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 5.00 | 1/1642 | 4.67 | 4.72 | 4.68 | 4.67 | 5.00 |
| 9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3.40 | 1433/1596 | 3.68 | 4.13 | 4.12 | 4.20 | 3.40 |
| Lecture | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 5.00 | 1/1534 | 4.59 | 4.50 | 4.48 | 4.54 | 5.00 |
| 2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 5.00 | 1/1539 | 4.56 | 4.62 | 4.76 | 4.81 | 5.00 |
| 3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 5.00 | 1/1531 | 4.41 | 4.32 | 4.33 | 4.38 | 5.00 |
| 4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 4.83 | 309/1530 | 4.24 | 4.26 | 4.35 | 4.41 | 4.83 |
| 5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 5.00 | 1/1409 | 4.48 | 4.06 | 4.08 | 4.15 | 5.00 |
| Discussion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 4.83 | 209/1366 | 4.41 | 4.27 | 4.18 | 4.37 | 4.83 |
| 2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 4.83 | 303/1364 | 4.41 | 4.36 | 4.33 | 4.52 | 4.83 |
| 3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 5.00 | 1/1361 | 4.37 | 4.48 | 4.39 | 4.59 | 5.00 |

Report Help

| Course-Section: | ELCA 401 02 | | | Term | ı - Fal | <mark>l 201</mark> : | 3 | | | | | | Enro | llment: | 13 |
|--------------------------|-----------------|----|----|------|---------|----------------------|------|---|------|--------|--------|------|---------|---------|------|
| Title: | ELC41 | | | | | | | _ | | | | Q | uestion | naires: | 11 |
| Instructor: | Hsu,Rosemary Sw | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | Fre | quen | cies | | Inst | ructor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
| | Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| | Discussion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. Were special techniqu | ies successful | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 5.00 | 1/1019 | 4.08 | 4.14 | 4.09 | 4.32 | 5.00 |

| Credits E | arned | Cum. GP/ | 4 | Expected | Grades | Reasons | | Туре | | Majors | |
|-----------|-------|-----------|---|----------|--------|---------------------|---|-------------------|--------------|-----------------|---|
| 00-27 | 0 | 0.00-0.99 | 0 | А | 1 | Required for Majors | 3 | Graduate | 0 | Major | 0 |
| 28-55 | 0 | 1.00-1.99 | 0 | В | 1 | | | | | | |
| 56-83 | 0 | 2.00-2.99 | 0 | С | 0 | General | 1 | Under-grad | 11 | Non-major | 0 |
| 84-150 | 0 | 3.00-3.49 | 0 | D | 0 | | | | | | |
| Grad. | 0 | 3.50-4.00 | 0 | F | 0 | Electives | 0 | **** - Means the | re are not e | nough responses | |
| | | | | Р | 0 | | | to be significant | | | |
| | | | | I | 0 | Other | 0 | | | | |
| | | | | ? | 9 | | | | | | |

| Course-Section: ELCA 401 03 | | | Term | - Fal | <mark>l 201</mark> 3 | 3 | | | | | | Enro | llment: | 14 |
|---|----|----|------|-------|----------------------|------|---|------|-----------|--------|------|---------|---------|------|
| Title: ELC41 | | | | | | | | | | | Q | uestion | naires: | 11 |
| Instructor: Blinder,Karen J | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Fre | quene | cies | | In | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| General | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3.64 | 1470/1644 | 4.29 | 4.17 | 4.32 | 4.47 | 3.64 |
| 2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3.27 | 1550/1644 | 4.30 | 4.20 | 4.28 | 4.35 | 3.27 |
| 3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 3.45 | 1316/1419 | 4.30 | 4.34 | 4.35 | 4.48 | 3.45 |
| 4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3.27 | 1505/1596 | 4.21 | 4.18 | 4.24 | 4.34 | 3.27 |
| 5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3.18 | 1440/1535 | 4.20 | 4.15 | 4.15 | 4.26 | 3.18 |
| 6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 3.90 | 1032/1510 | 4.56 | 4.14 | 4.13 | 4.29 | 3.90 |
| 7. Was the grading system clearly explained | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 3.70 | 1351/1620 | 4.38 | 4.25 | 4.20 | 4.25 | 3.70 |
| 8. How many times was class cancelled | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 4.33 | 1344/1642 | 4.67 | 4.72 | 4.68 | 4.67 | 4.33 |
| 9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 3.63 | 1326/1596 | 3.68 | 4.13 | 4.12 | 4.20 | 3.63 |
| Lecture | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 4.00 | 1296/1534 | 4.59 | 4.50 | 4.48 | 4.54 | 4.00 |
| 2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 3.90 | 1501/1539 | 4.56 | 4.62 | 4.76 | 4.81 | 3.90 |
| 3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3.44 | 1404/1531 | 4.41 | 4.32 | 4.33 | 4.38 | 3.44 |
| 4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 3.10 | 1463/1530 | 4.24 | 4.26 | 4.35 | 4.41 | 3.10 |
| 5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3.57 | 1138/1409 | 4.48 | 4.06 | 4.08 | 4.15 | 3.57 |
| Discussion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3.57 | 1132/1366 | 4.41 | 4.27 | 4.18 | 4.37 | 3.57 |
| 2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3.57 | 1203/1364 | 4.41 | 4.36 | 4.33 | 4.52 | 3.57 |
| 3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion | 4 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3.29 | 1291/1361 | 4.37 | 4.48 | 4.39 | 4.59 | 3.29 |
| 4. Were special techniques successful | 5 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2.67 | 999/1019 | 4.08 | 4.14 | 4.09 | 4.32 | 2.67 |

Report Help

| Course-Section: ELCA 401 03 | | | Term | <mark>- Fal</mark> | <mark>l 201</mark> | 3 | | | | | | Enro | llment: | 14 |
|---|------|----|------|--------------------|--------------------|------|---|------|----------|--------|------|---------|---------|------|
| Title: ELC41 | | | | | | | | | | | Q | uestion | naires: | 11 |
| Instructor: Blinder,Karen J | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Fre | quen | cies | | In | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| Laboratory | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material | 8 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.50 | ****/185 | **** | 3.45 | 4.23 | 4.60 | **** |
| 2. Were you provided with adequate background information | on 8 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2.33 | 205/209 | 2.33 | 3.43 | 4.19 | 4.27 | 2.33 |
| 3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities | 8 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2.67 | 180/181 | 2.67 | 3.55 | 4.53 | 4.31 | 2.67 |
| 4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance | 8 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2.50 | ****/183 | **** | 3.57 | 4.46 | 4.63 | **** |
| 5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified | 8 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.33 | 171/172 | 1.33 | 3.00 | 4.14 | 4.02 | 1.33 |
| Seminar | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme | 8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2.33 | 72/72 | 2.33 | 3.45 | 4.53 | 4.71 | 2.33 |
| 2. Was the instructor available for individual attention | 8 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2.00 | 71/71 | 2.00 | 3.56 | 4.38 | 4.66 | 2.00 |
| 3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned | 8 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2.00 | ****/68 | **** | 3.56 | 4.41 | 4.74 | **** |
| 4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned | 8 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.67 | 71/71 | 1.67 | 3.72 | 4.40 | 4.50 | 1.67 |
| 5. Were criteria for grading made clear | 8 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2.00 | 72/73 | 2.00 | 3.53 | 4.09 | 4.32 | 2.00 |
| Field Work | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned | 8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2.33 | 45/48 | 2.33 | 3.13 | 4.16 | 4.39 | 2.33 |
| 2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 4.33 | 25/45 | 4.33 | 3.60 | 4.19 | 4.23 | 4.33 |
| 3. Was the instructor available for consultation | 8 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2.50 | ****/30 | **** | 3.53 | 4.57 | 4.82 | **** |
| 4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations | 8 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2.00 | 26/27 | 2.00 | 2.83 | 4.25 | 4.42 | 2.00 |
| 5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities | 8 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.00 | ****/25 | **** | 3.00 | 4.35 | 4.36 | **** |
| Self Paced | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| 1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 4.33 | 24/51 | 4.33 | 3.13 | 4.03 | 3.67 | 4.33 |
| 2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal | 8 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2.33 | 29/31 | 2.33 | 3.07 | 4.18 | 3.94 | 2.33 |
| 3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful | 8 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.67 | 36/36 | 1.67 | 3.69 | 4.33 | 3.80 | 1.67 |

Run Date: 1/30/2014 11:56:41 AM

Report Help

| Course-Section: | ELCA 401 03 | | | Term | - Fal | l 2013 | 3 | | | | | | Enro | llment: | 14 |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------|----|----|------|-------|--------|------|---|------|----------|--------|------|---------|---------|------|
| Title: | ELC41 | | | | | | | - | | | | Q | uestion | naires: | 11 |
| Instructor: | Blinder,Karen J | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | Fre | quen | cies | | Ins | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
| | Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| | Self Paced | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. Was the feedback/tuto | pring by proctors helpful | 8 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.50 | ****/19 | **** | 3.73 | 4.17 | 4.75 | **** |
| 5. Were there enough pr | octors for all the students | 8 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.00 | ****/14 | **** | 4.08 | 4.17 | 5.00 | **** |

| Credits Ea | arned | Cum. GP/ | 4 | Expected | Grades | Reasons | | Туре | | Majors | |
|------------|-------|-----------|---|----------|--------|---------------------|---|-------------------|--------------|-----------------|---|
| 00-27 | 0 | 0.00-0.99 | 0 | А | 3 | Required for Majors | 2 | Graduate | 1 | Major | 0 |
| 28-55 | 0 | 1.00-1.99 | 0 | В | 1 | | | | | | |
| 56-83 | 0 | 2.00-2.99 | 0 | С | 1 | General | 2 | Under-grad | 10 | Non-major | 0 |
| 84-150 | 0 | 3.00-3.49 | 0 | D | 0 | | | | | | |
| Grad. | 1 | 3.50-4.00 | 0 | F | 0 | Electives | 0 | **** - Means the | re are not e | nough responses | |
| | | | | Р | 0 | | | to be significant | | | |
| | | | | Ι | 0 | Other | 1 | | | | |
| | | | | ? | 6 | | | | | | |

| Course-Section: ELCA 402 01 | | | Term | - Fal | <mark>l 201</mark> 3 | 3 | | | | | | Enro | llment: | 14 |
|---|----|----|------|-------|----------------------|------|---|------|-----------|--------|------|---------|---------|------|
| Title: ELC42 | | | | | | | | | | | Q | uestion | naires: | 9 |
| Instructor: Lee,Brittany AL | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Fre | quen | cies | | In | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| General | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 4.56 | 626/1644 | 4.78 | 4.17 | 4.32 | 4.47 | 4.56 |
| 2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 4.89 | 160/1644 | 4.94 | 4.20 | 4.28 | 4.35 | 4.89 |
| 3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 4.67 | 462/1419 | 4.77 | 4.34 | 4.35 | 4.48 | 4.67 |
| 4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 4.50 | 567/1596 | 4.69 | 4.18 | 4.24 | 4.34 | 4.50 |
| 5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 4.89 | 122/1535 | 4.94 | 4.15 | 4.15 | 4.26 | 4.89 |
| 6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 4.89 | 101/1510 | 4.94 | 4.14 | 4.13 | 4.29 | 4.89 |
| 7. Was the grading system clearly explained | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 4.78 | 198/1620 | 4.89 | 4.25 | 4.20 | 4.25 | 4.78 |
| 8. How many times was class cancelled | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 4.67 | 1038/1642 | 4.83 | 4.72 | 4.68 | 4.67 | 4.67 |
| 9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4.00 | 971/1596 | 3.75 | 4.13 | 4.12 | 4.20 | 4.00 |
| Lecture | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 4.78 | 490/1534 | 4.89 | 4.50 | 4.48 | 4.54 | 4.78 |
| 2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 4.78 | 951/1539 | 4.89 | 4.62 | 4.76 | 4.81 | 4.78 |
| 3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 4.78 | 318/1531 | 4.89 | 4.32 | 4.33 | 4.38 | 4.78 |
| 4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 4.78 | 404/1530 | 4.89 | 4.26 | 4.35 | 4.41 | 4.78 |
| 5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 4.71 | 205/1409 | 4.86 | 4.06 | 4.08 | 4.15 | 4.71 |
| Discussion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 4.67 | 368/1366 | 4.83 | 4.27 | 4.18 | 4.37 | 4.67 |
| 2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 4.83 | 303/1364 | 4.82 | 4.36 | 4.33 | 4.52 | 4.83 |
| 3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 4.83 | 340/1361 | 4.92 | 4.48 | 4.39 | 4.59 | 4.83 |

Report Help

| Course-Section: | ELCA 402 01 | | | Term | ı - Fal | <mark>l 201</mark> 3 | 3 | | | | | | Enro | llment: | 14 |
|--------------------------|------------------|----|----|------|---------|----------------------|------|---|------|----------|--------|------|---------|---------|------|
| Title: | ELC42 | | | | | | | , | | | | Q | uestion | naires: | 9 |
| Instructor: | Lee, Brittany AL | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | Fre | quen | cies | | Ins | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
| | Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| | Discussion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. Were special techniqu | es successful | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 4.57 | 229/1019 | 4.79 | 4.14 | 4.09 | 4.32 | 4.57 |

| Credits Ea | arned | Cum. GP/ | 4 | Expected | Grades | Reasons | | Туре | | Majors | |
|------------|-------|-----------|---|----------|--------|---------------------|---|-------------------|-------------|-----------------|---|
| 00-27 | 0 | 0.00-0.99 | 0 | А | 2 | Required for Majors | 1 | Graduate | 0 | Major | 0 |
| 28-55 | 0 | 1.00-1.99 | 0 | В | 2 | | | | | | |
| 56-83 | 0 | 2.00-2.99 | 0 | С | 0 | General | 1 | Under-grad | 9 | Non-major | 0 |
| 84-150 | 0 | 3.00-3.49 | 0 | D | 0 | | | | | | |
| Grad. | 0 | 3.50-4.00 | 0 | F | 0 | Electives | 0 | **** - Means ther | e are not e | nough responses | |
| | | | | Р | 0 | | | to be significant | | | |
| | | | | I | 0 | Other | 0 | | | | |
| | | | | ? | 5 | | | | | | |

| Course-Section: ELCA 402 02 | | | Term | - Fal | l 2013 | 3 | | | | | | Enro | llment: | 13 |
|---|----|----|------|-------|--------|------|---|------|-----------|--------|------|---------|---------|------|
| Title: ELC42 | | | | | | | | | | | Q | uestion | naires: | 10 |
| Instructor: Hsu,Rosemary Sw | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Fre | quene | cies | | In | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| General | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 5.00 | 1/1644 | 4.78 | 4.17 | 4.32 | 4.47 | 5.00 |
| 2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 5.00 | 1/1644 | 4.94 | 4.20 | 4.28 | 4.35 | 5.00 |
| 3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 4.88 | 198/1419 | 4.77 | 4.34 | 4.35 | 4.48 | 4.88 |
| 4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 4.88 | 141/1596 | 4.69 | 4.18 | 4.24 | 4.34 | 4.88 |
| 5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 5.00 | 1/1535 | 4.94 | 4.15 | 4.15 | 4.26 | 5.00 |
| 6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 5.00 | 1/1510 | 4.94 | 4.14 | 4.13 | 4.29 | 5.00 |
| 7. Was the grading system clearly explained | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 5.00 | 1/1620 | 4.89 | 4.25 | 4.20 | 4.25 | 5.00 |
| 8. How many times was class cancelled | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 5.00 | 1/1642 | 4.83 | 4.72 | 4.68 | 4.67 | 5.00 |
| 9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 3.50 | 1388/1596 | 3.75 | 4.13 | 4.12 | 4.20 | 3.50 |
| Lecture | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 5.00 | 1/1534 | 4.89 | 4.50 | 4.48 | 4.54 | 5.00 |
| 2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5.00 | 1/1539 | 4.89 | 4.62 | 4.76 | 4.81 | 5.00 |
| 3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 5.00 | 1/1531 | 4.89 | 4.32 | 4.33 | 4.38 | 5.00 |
| 4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 5.00 | 1/1530 | 4.89 | 4.26 | 4.35 | 4.41 | 5.00 |
| 5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 5.00 | 1/1409 | 4.86 | 4.06 | 4.08 | 4.15 | 5.00 |
| Discussion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5.00 | 1/1366 | 4.83 | 4.27 | 4.18 | 4.37 | 5.00 |
| 2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 4.80 | 342/1364 | 4.82 | 4.36 | 4.33 | 4.52 | 4.80 |
| 3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5.00 | 1/1361 | 4.92 | 4.48 | 4.39 | 4.59 | 5.00 |

Report Help

| Course-Section: | ELCA 402 02 | | | Term | ı - Fal | <mark>l 201</mark> 3 | 3 | | | | | | Enro | Iment: | 13 |
|---------------------------|-----------------|----|----|------|---------|----------------------|------|---|------|--------|--------|------|---------|---------|------|
| Title: | ELC42 | | | | | | | _ | | | | Q | uestion | naires: | 10 |
| Instructor: | Hsu,Rosemary Sw | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | Fre | quen | cies | | Inst | ructor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
| | Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| | Discussion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. Were special technique | ies successful | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 5.00 | 1/1019 | 4.79 | 4.14 | 4.09 | 4.32 | 5.00 |

| Credits Ea | arned | Cum. GP/ | 4 | Expected | Grades | Reasons | | Туре | | Majors | |
|------------|-------|-----------|---|----------|--------|---------------------|---|-------------------|--------------|-----------------|---|
| 00-27 | 0 | 0.00-0.99 | 0 | А | 1 | Required for Majors | 1 | Graduate | 0 | Major | 0 |
| 28-55 | 0 | 1.00-1.99 | 0 | В | 0 | | | | | | |
| 56-83 | 0 | 2.00-2.99 | 0 | С | 0 | General | 1 | Under-grad | 10 | Non-major | 0 |
| 84-150 | 0 | 3.00-3.49 | 0 | D | 0 | | | | | | |
| Grad. | 0 | 3.50-4.00 | 0 | F | 0 | Electives | 0 | **** - Means the | re are not e | nough responses | |
| | | | | Р | 0 | | | to be significant | | | |
| | | | | I | 0 | Other | 0 | | | | |
| | | | | ? | 9 | | | | | | |

| Course-Section: ELCA 403 01 | | | Term | - Fal | <mark>l 201</mark> 3 | 3 | | | | | | Enro | llment: | 11 |
|---|----|----|------|-------|----------------------|------|---|------|-----------|--------|------|---------|---------|------|
| Title: ELC43 | | | | | | | | | | | Q | uestion | naires: | 10 |
| Instructor: Lyons,Sherry D | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Fre | quen | cies | | In | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| General | | | _ | | _ | _ | | | | _ | | _ | | _ |
| 1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 4.40 | 814/1644 | 4.21 | 4.17 | 4.32 | 4.47 | 4.40 |
| 2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 4.50 | 633/1644 | 4.38 | 4.20 | 4.28 | 4.35 | 4.50 |
| 3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 4.20 | 985/1419 | 4.14 | 4.34 | 4.35 | 4.48 | 4.20 |
| 4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 4 | 4.30 | 859/1596 | 4.08 | 4.18 | 4.24 | 4.34 | 4.30 |
| 5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 4.20 | 781/1535 | 4.21 | 4.15 | 4.15 | 4.26 | 4.20 |
| 6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 3.89 | 1048/1510 | 4.05 | 4.14 | 4.13 | 4.29 | 3.89 |
| 7. Was the grading system clearly explained | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 4.50 | 527/1620 | 4.39 | 4.25 | 4.20 | 4.25 | 4.50 |
| 8. How many times was class cancelled | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 5.00 | 1/1642 | 4.59 | 4.72 | 4.68 | 4.67 | 5.00 |
| 9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4.00 | 971/1596 | 4.33 | 4.13 | 4.12 | 4.20 | 4.00 |
| Lecture | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 4.78 | 490/1534 | 4.43 | 4.50 | 4.48 | 4.54 | 4.78 |
| 2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 4.33 | 1407/1539 | 4.20 | 4.62 | 4.76 | 4.81 | 4.33 |
| 3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 4.22 | 1018/1531 | 4.13 | 4.32 | 4.33 | 4.38 | 4.22 |
| 4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 4.89 | 232/1530 | 4.39 | 4.26 | 4.35 | 4.41 | 4.89 |
| 5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 4.29 | 598/1409 | 4.28 | 4.06 | 4.08 | 4.15 | 4.29 |
| Discussion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 4.22 | 742/1366 | 4.23 | 4.27 | 4.18 | 4.37 | 4.22 |
| 2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 4.56 | 609/1364 | 4.30 | 4.36 | 4.33 | 4.52 | 4.56 |
| 3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 4.22 | 946/1361 | 4.12 | 4.48 | 4.39 | 4.59 | 4.22 |

Report Help

| Course-Section: | ELCA 403 01 | | | Term | - Fal | <mark>l 201</mark> | 3 | | | | | | Enro | llment: | 11 |
|--------------------------|----------------|----|----|------|-------|--------------------|------|---|------|----------|--------|------|---------|---------|------|
| Title: | ELC43 | | | | | | | | | | | Q | uestion | naires: | 10 |
| Instructor: | Lyons,Sherry D | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | Fre | quen | cies | | Ins | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
| | Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| | Discussion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. Were special techniqu | es successful | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 4.38 | 357/1019 | 4.24 | 4.14 | 4.09 | 4.32 | 4.38 |

| Credits E | arned | Cum. GP/ | 4 | Expected | Grades | Reasons | | Туре | | Majors | |
|-----------|-------|-----------|---|----------|--------|---------------------|---|-------------------|--------------|-----------------|---|
| 00-27 | 1 | 0.00-0.99 | 0 | А | 2 | Required for Majors | 0 | Graduate | 0 | Major | 0 |
| 28-55 | 0 | 1.00-1.99 | 0 | В | 0 | | | | | | |
| 56-83 | 0 | 2.00-2.99 | 0 | С | 0 | General | 1 | Under-grad | 10 | Non-major | 0 |
| 84-150 | 0 | 3.00-3.49 | 0 | D | 0 | | | | | | |
| Grad. | 0 | 3.50-4.00 | 0 | F | 0 | Electives | 0 | **** - Means the | re are not e | nough responses | |
| | | | | Р | 0 | | | to be significant | | | |
| | | | | I | 0 | Other | 0 | | | | |
| | | | | ? | 8 | | | | | | |

| Course-Section: ELCA 403 02 | | | Term | - Fal | <mark>l 201</mark> 3 | 3 | | | | | | Enro | llment: | 15 |
|---|----|----|------|-------|----------------------|------|---|------|-----------|--------|------|---------|---------|------|
| Title: ELC43 | | | | | | | | | | | Q | uestion | naires: | 9 |
| Instructor: Milter,Katalin | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Fre | quene | cies | | In | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| General | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 4.22 | 1006/1644 | 4.21 | 4.17 | 4.32 | 4.47 | 4.22 |
| 2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 4.22 | 1018/1644 | 4.38 | 4.20 | 4.28 | 4.35 | 4.22 |
| 3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3.89 | 1169/1419 | 4.14 | 4.34 | 4.35 | 4.48 | 3.89 |
| 4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3.78 | 1290/1596 | 4.08 | 4.18 | 4.24 | 4.34 | 3.78 |
| 5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 3.78 | 1162/1535 | 4.21 | 4.15 | 4.15 | 4.26 | 3.78 |
| 6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3.75 | 1137/1510 | 4.05 | 4.14 | 4.13 | 4.29 | 3.75 |
| 7. Was the grading system clearly explained | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 4.00 | 1134/1620 | 4.39 | 4.25 | 4.20 | 4.25 | 4.00 |
| 8. How many times was class cancelled | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 4.88 | 694/1642 | 4.59 | 4.72 | 4.68 | 4.67 | 4.88 |
| 9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 4.00 | 971/1596 | 4.33 | 4.13 | 4.12 | 4.20 | 4.00 |
| Lecture | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3.83 | 1377/1534 | 4.43 | 4.50 | 4.48 | 4.54 | 3.83 |
| 2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 3.50 | 1526/1539 | 4.20 | 4.62 | 4.76 | 4.81 | 3.50 |
| 3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 3.60 | 1367/1531 | 4.13 | 4.32 | 4.33 | 4.38 | 3.60 |
| 4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 3.67 | 1326/1530 | 4.39 | 4.26 | 4.35 | 4.41 | 3.67 |
| 5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 4.00 | 825/1409 | 4.28 | 4.06 | 4.08 | 4.15 | 4.00 |
| Discussion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3.75 | 1047/1366 | 4.23 | 4.27 | 4.18 | 4.37 | 3.75 |
| 2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3.50 | 1221/1364 | 4.30 | 4.36 | 4.33 | 4.52 | 3.50 |
| 3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 4.00 | 1034/1361 | 4.12 | 4.48 | 4.39 | 4.59 | 4.00 |
| 4. Were special techniques successful | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3.50 | 842/1019 | 4.24 | 4.14 | 4.09 | 4.32 | 3.50 |

Report Help

| Course-Section: ELCA 403 02 | | | Term | ı - Fal | <mark>l 201</mark> 3 | 3 | | | | | | Enro | llment: | 15 |
|---|----|----|------|---------|----------------------|------|---|------|----------|--------|------|---------|---------|------|
| Title: ELC43 | | | | | | | | | | | Q | uestion | naires: | 9 |
| Instructor: Milter,Katalin | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Fre | quen | cies | | In | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| Laboratory | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3.00 | ****/185 | **** | 3.45 | 4.23 | 4.60 | **** |
| 2. Were you provided with adequate background information | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3.50 | ****/209 | **** | 3.43 | 4.19 | 4.27 | **** |
| 3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3.50 | ****/181 | **** | 3.55 | 4.53 | 4.31 | **** |
| 4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3.50 | ****/183 | **** | 3.57 | 4.46 | 4.63 | **** |
| 5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3.00 | ****/172 | **** | 3.00 | 4.14 | 4.02 | **** |
| Seminar | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3.50 | ****/72 | **** | 3.45 | 4.53 | 4.71 | **** |
| 2. Was the instructor available for individual attention | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3.50 | ****/71 | **** | 3.56 | 4.38 | 4.66 | **** |
| 3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3.50 | ****/68 | **** | 3.56 | 4.41 | 4.74 | **** |
| 4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3.50 | ****/71 | **** | 3.72 | 4.40 | 4.50 | **** |
| 5. Were criteria for grading made clear | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3.50 | ****/73 | **** | 3.53 | 4.09 | 4.32 | **** |
| Field Work | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4.00 | ****/48 | **** | 3.13 | 4.16 | 4.39 | **** |
| 2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3.00 | ****/45 | **** | 3.60 | 4.19 | 4.23 | **** |
| 3. Was the instructor available for consultation | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3.50 | ****/30 | **** | 3.53 | 4.57 | 4.82 | **** |
| 4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3.50 | ****/27 | **** | 2.83 | 4.25 | 4.42 | **** |
| 5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities | 7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2.50 | ****/25 | **** | 3.00 | 4.35 | 4.36 | **** |
| Self Paced | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3.00 | ****/51 | **** | 3.13 | 4.03 | 3.67 | **** |
| 2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3.00 | ****/31 | **** | 3.07 | 4.18 | 3.94 | **** |
| 3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful | 7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2.50 | ****/36 | **** | 3.69 | 4.33 | 3.80 | **** |

Run Date: 1/30/2014 11:56:42 AM

Report Help

| Course-Section: | ELCA 403 02 | | | Term | - Fal | <mark>l 201</mark> 3 | 3 | | | | | | Enro | llment: | 15 |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------|---|----|------|-------|----------------------|------|---|------|----------|--------|------|---------|---------|------|
| Title: | ELC43 | | | | | | | - | | | | Q | uestion | naires: | 9 |
| Instructor: | Milter,Katalin | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | - | | | Fre | quen | cies | | Ins | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
| | Questions | | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| | Self Paced | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. Was the feedback/tute | pring by proctors helpful | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3.00 | ****/19 | **** | 3.73 | 4.17 | 4.75 | **** |
| 5. Were there enough pr | octors for all the students | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4.00 | ****/14 | **** | 4.08 | 4.17 | 5.00 | **** |

| Credits Ea | arned | Cum. GP/ | 4 | Expected | Grades | Reasons | | Туре | | Majors | |
|------------|-------|-----------|---|----------|--------|---------------------|---|-------------------|-------------|-----------------|---|
| 00-27 | 5 | 0.00-0.99 | 1 | А | 3 | Required for Majors | 5 | Graduate | 0 | Major | 0 |
| 28-55 | 0 | 1.00-1.99 | 0 | В | 3 | | | | | | |
| 56-83 | 0 | 2.00-2.99 | 0 | С | 1 | General | 0 | Under-grad | 9 | Non-major | 0 |
| 84-150 | 0 | 3.00-3.49 | 0 | D | 0 | | | | | | |
| Grad. | 0 | 3.50-4.00 | 0 | F | 0 | Electives | 0 | **** - Means ther | e are not e | nough responses | |
| | | | | Р | 0 | | | to be significant | | | |
| | | | | I | 0 | Other | 1 | | | | |
| | | | | ? | 2 | | | | | | |

| Course-Section: ELCA 403 03 | | | Term | ı - Fal | <mark>l 201</mark> 3 | 3 | | | | | | Enro | llment: | 12 |
|---|-------|----|------|---------|----------------------|------|---|------|-----------|--------|------|---------|---------|------|
| Title: ELC43 | | | | | | | | | | | Q | uestion | naires: | 12 |
| Instructor: Dailey,Diane L | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Fre | quen | cies | | In | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| General | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 4.00 | 1218/1644 | 4.21 | 4.17 | 4.32 | 4.47 | 4.00 |
| 2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 4.42 | 785/1644 | 4.38 | 4.20 | 4.28 | 4.35 | 4.42 |
| 3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 7 | 4.33 | 862/1419 | 4.14 | 4.34 | 4.35 | 4.48 | 4.33 |
| 4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 6 | 4.17 | 1008/1596 | 4.08 | 4.18 | 4.24 | 4.34 | 4.17 |
| 5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 4.67 | 300/1535 | 4.21 | 4.15 | 4.15 | 4.26 | 4.67 |
| 6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned | ed 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 4.50 | 429/1510 | 4.05 | 4.14 | 4.13 | 4.29 | 4.50 |
| 7. Was the grading system clearly explained | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 4.67 | 331/1620 | 4.39 | 4.25 | 4.20 | 4.25 | 4.67 |
| 8. How many times was class cancelled | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 3.91 | 1594/1642 | 4.59 | 4.72 | 4.68 | 4.67 | 3.91 |
| 9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectivene | ess 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5.00 | 1/1596 | 4.33 | 4.13 | 4.12 | 4.20 | 5.00 |
| Lecture | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 4.67 | 675/1534 | 4.43 | 4.50 | 4.48 | 4.54 | 4.67 |
| 2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 4.78 | 951/1539 | 4.20 | 4.62 | 4.76 | 4.81 | 4.78 |
| 3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 4.56 | 644/1531 | 4.13 | 4.32 | 4.33 | 4.38 | 4.56 |
| 4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 4.63 | 619/1530 | 4.39 | 4.26 | 4.35 | 4.41 | 4.63 |
| 5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understandi | ng 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 4.56 | 338/1409 | 4.28 | 4.06 | 4.08 | 4.15 | 4.56 |
| Discussion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 4.71 | 322/1366 | 4.23 | 4.27 | 4.18 | 4.37 | 4.71 |
| 2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 4.86 | 278/1364 | 4.30 | 4.36 | 4.33 | 4.52 | 4.86 |
| 3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 4.14 | 985/1361 | 4.12 | 4.48 | 4.39 | 4.59 | 4.14 |
| 4. Were special techniques successful | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 4.83 | 114/1019 | 4.24 | 4.14 | 4.09 | 4.32 | 4.83 |

Report Help

| Course-Section: ELCA 403 03 | | | Term | ı - Fal | <mark>l 201</mark> | 3 | | | | | | Enro | llment: | 12 |
|---|----|----|------|---------|--------------------|------|---|------|----------|--------|------|---------|---------|------|
| Title: ELC43 | | | | | | | | | | | Q | uestion | naires: | 12 |
| Instructor: Dailey,Diane L | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Fre | quen | cies | | In | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| Laboratory | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4.00 | ****/185 | **** | 3.45 | 4.23 | 4.60 | **** |
| 2. Were you provided with adequate background information | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4.00 | ****/209 | **** | 3.43 | 4.19 | 4.27 | **** |
| 3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4.00 | ****/181 | **** | 3.55 | 4.53 | 4.31 | **** |
| 4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4.00 | ****/183 | **** | 3.57 | 4.46 | 4.63 | **** |
| 5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4.00 | ****/172 | **** | 3.00 | 4.14 | 4.02 | **** |
| Seminar | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3.00 | ****/72 | **** | 3.45 | 4.53 | 4.71 | **** |
| 2. Was the instructor available for individual attention | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3.00 | ****/71 | **** | 3.56 | 4.38 | 4.66 | **** |
| 3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4.00 | ****/68 | **** | 3.56 | 4.41 | 4.74 | **** |
| 4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3.00 | ****/71 | **** | 3.72 | 4.40 | 4.50 | **** |
| 5. Were criteria for grading made clear | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4.00 | ****/73 | **** | 3.53 | 4.09 | 4.32 | **** |
| Field Work | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4.00 | ****/48 | **** | 3.13 | 4.16 | 4.39 | **** |
| 2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4.00 | ****/45 | **** | 3.60 | 4.19 | 4.23 | **** |
| 3. Was the instructor available for consultation | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4.00 | ****/30 | **** | 3.53 | 4.57 | 4.82 | **** |
| 4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4.00 | ****/27 | **** | 2.83 | 4.25 | 4.42 | **** |
| 5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4.00 | ****/25 | **** | 3.00 | 4.35 | 4.36 | **** |
| Self Paced | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4.00 | ****/51 | **** | 3.13 | 4.03 | 3.67 | **** |
| 2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4.00 | ****/31 | **** | 3.07 | 4.18 | 3.94 | **** |
| 3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4.00 | ****/36 | **** | 3.69 | 4.33 | 3.80 | **** |

Run Date: 1/30/2014 11:56:42 AM

Report Help

| Course-Section: | ELCA 403 03 | | | Term | - Fal | 201 3 | 3 | | | | | | Enro | Iment: | 12 |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------|----|----|------|-------|--------------|------|---|------|----------|--------|------|---------|---------|------|
| Title: | ELC43 | | | | | | | | | | | Q | uestion | naires: | 12 |
| Instructor: | Dailey,Diane L | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | Fre | quene | cies | | Ins | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
| | Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| | Self Paced | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. Was the feedback/tute | oring by proctors helpful | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3.00 | ****/19 | **** | 3.73 | 4.17 | 4.75 | **** |
| 5. Were there enough pr | octors for all the students | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3.00 | ****/14 | **** | 4.08 | 4.17 | 5.00 | **** |

| Credits E | arned | Cum. GP/ | 4 | Expected | Grades | Reasons | | Туре | | Majors | |
|-----------|-------|-----------|---|----------|--------|---------------------|---|-------------------|--------------|-----------------|---|
| 00-27 | 2 | 0.00-0.99 | 0 | А | 1 | Required for Majors | 1 | Graduate | 0 | Major | 0 |
| 28-55 | 0 | 1.00-1.99 | 0 | В | 1 | | | | | | |
| 56-83 | 0 | 2.00-2.99 | 0 | С | 0 | General | 0 | Under-grad | 12 | Non-major | 0 |
| 84-150 | 0 | 3.00-3.49 | 1 | D | 0 | | | | | | |
| Grad. | 0 | 3.50-4.00 | 0 | F | 0 | Electives | 0 | **** - Means the | re are not e | nough responses | |
| | | | | Р | 0 | | | to be significant | | | |
| | | | | Ι | 0 | Other | 0 | | | | |
| | | | | ? | 10 | | | | | | |

| Course-Section: ELCA 404 01 | | | Term | - Fal | <mark> 201</mark> 3 | 3 | | | | | | Enro | llment: | 8 |
|---|----|----|------|-------|----------------------|------|---|------|-----------|--------|------|---------|---------|------|
| Title: Cross-Cultural Comm, Lev | | | | | | | | | | | Q | uestion | naires: | 4 |
| Instructor: Milter,Katalin | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Fre | quene | cies | | In | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| General | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4.75 | 358/1644 | 4.71 | 4.17 | 4.32 | 4.47 | 4.75 |
| 2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 4.50 | 633/1644 | 4.67 | 4.20 | 4.28 | 4.35 | 4.50 |
| 3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4.75 | 337/1419 | 4.78 | 4.34 | 4.35 | 4.48 | 4.75 |
| 4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4.75 | 254/1596 | 4.79 | 4.18 | 4.24 | 4.34 | 4.75 |
| 5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4.75 | 225/1535 | 4.58 | 4.15 | 4.15 | 4.26 | 4.75 |
| 6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4.25 | 727/1510 | 4.13 | 4.14 | 4.13 | 4.29 | 4.25 |
| 7. Was the grading system clearly explained | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4.75 | 224/1620 | 4.38 | 4.25 | 4.20 | 4.25 | 4.75 |
| 8. How many times was class cancelled | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4.67 | 1038/1642 | 4.53 | 4.72 | 4.68 | 4.67 | 4.67 |
| 9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | 1/1596 | 5.00 | 4.13 | 4.12 | 4.20 | 5.00 |
| Lecture | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | 1/1534 | 5.00 | 4.50 | 4.48 | 4.54 | 5.00 |
| 2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | 1/1539 | 5.00 | 4.62 | 4.76 | 4.81 | 5.00 |
| 3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | 1/1531 | 5.00 | 4.32 | 4.33 | 4.38 | 5.00 |
| 4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | 1/1530 | 4.92 | 4.26 | 4.35 | 4.41 | 5.00 |
| 5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | 1/1409 | 5.00 | 4.06 | 4.08 | 4.15 | 5.00 |
| Discussion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | 1/1366 | 4.92 | 4.27 | 4.18 | 4.37 | 5.00 |
| 2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | 1/1364 | 4.83 | 4.36 | 4.33 | 4.52 | 5.00 |
| 3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | 1/1361 | 5.00 | 4.48 | 4.39 | 4.59 | 5.00 |

Report Help

| Course-Section: | ELCA 404 01 | | | Term | ı - Fal | <mark>l 201</mark> 3 | 3 | | | | | | Enro | llment: | 8 |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|----|----|------|---------|----------------------|------|---|------|--------|--------|------|---------|---------|------|
| Title: | Cross-Cultural Comm, Lev | | | | | | | | | | | Q | uestion | naires: | 4 |
| Instructor: | Milter,Katalin | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | Fre | quen | cies | | Inst | ructor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
| | Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| | Discussion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. Were special techniqu | es successful | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | 1/1019 | 4.90 | 4.14 | 4.09 | 4.32 | 5.00 |

| Credits Ea | arned | Cum. GP/ | 4 | Expected | Grades | Reasons | | Туре | | Majors | |
|------------|-------|-----------|---|----------|--------|---------------------|---|-------------------|-------------|-----------------|---|
| 00-27 | 0 | 0.00-0.99 | 0 | А | 0 | Required for Majors | 0 | Graduate | 0 | Major | 0 |
| 28-55 | 0 | 1.00-1.99 | 0 | В | 0 | | | | | | |
| 56-83 | 0 | 2.00-2.99 | 0 | С | 0 | General | 0 | Under-grad | 4 | Non-major | 0 |
| 84-150 | 0 | 3.00-3.49 | 0 | D | 0 | | | | | | |
| Grad. | 0 | 3.50-4.00 | 0 | F | 0 | Electives | 0 | **** - Means ther | e are not e | nough responses | |
| | | | | Р | 0 | | | to be significant | | | |
| | | | | I | 0 | Other | 0 | | | | |
| | | | | ? | 4 | | | | | | |

| Course-Section: ELCA 404 03 | | | Term | - Fal | <mark> 201</mark> 3 | 3 | | | | | | Enro | llment: | 9 |
|---|----|----|------|-------|----------------------|------|---|------|-----------|--------|------|---------|---------|------|
| Title: Cross-Cultural Comm, Lev | | | | | | | | | | | Q | uestion | naires: | 6 |
| Instructor: Lee,Brittany AL | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Fre | queno | cies | | In | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| General | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 4.67 | 482/1644 | 4.71 | 4.17 | 4.32 | 4.47 | 4.67 |
| 2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 4.83 | 203/1644 | 4.67 | 4.20 | 4.28 | 4.35 | 4.83 |
| 3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 4.80 | 265/1419 | 4.78 | 4.34 | 4.35 | 4.48 | 4.80 |
| 4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 4.83 | 178/1596 | 4.79 | 4.18 | 4.24 | 4.34 | 4.83 |
| 5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 4.40 | 578/1535 | 4.58 | 4.15 | 4.15 | 4.26 | 4.40 |
| 6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 4.00 | 921/1510 | 4.13 | 4.14 | 4.13 | 4.29 | 4.00 |
| 7. Was the grading system clearly explained | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4.00 | 1134/1620 | 4.38 | 4.25 | 4.20 | 4.25 | 4.00 |
| 8. How many times was class cancelled | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4.40 | 1286/1642 | 4.53 | 4.72 | 4.68 | 4.67 | 4.40 |
| 9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5.00 | 1/1596 | 5.00 | 4.13 | 4.12 | 4.20 | 5.00 |
| Lecture | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 5.00 | 1/1534 | 5.00 | 4.50 | 4.48 | 4.54 | 5.00 |
| 2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 5.00 | 1/1539 | 5.00 | 4.62 | 4.76 | 4.81 | 5.00 |
| 3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 5.00 | 1/1531 | 5.00 | 4.32 | 4.33 | 4.38 | 5.00 |
| 4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 4.83 | 309/1530 | 4.92 | 4.26 | 4.35 | 4.41 | 4.83 |
| 5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 5.00 | 1/1409 | 5.00 | 4.06 | 4.08 | 4.15 | 5.00 |
| Discussion | | - | | - | | - | - | | | | - | | | |
| 1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 4.83 | 209/1366 | 4.92 | 4.27 | 4.18 | 4.37 | 4.83 |
| 2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 4.67 | 500/1364 | 4.83 | 4.36 | 4.33 | 4.52 | 4.67 |
| 3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 5.00 | 1/1361 | 5.00 | 4.48 | 4.39 | 4.59 | 5.00 |
| 4. Were special techniques successful | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 4.80 | 125/1019 | 4.90 | 4.14 | 4.09 | 4.32 | 4.80 |

Report Help

| Course-Section: ELCA 404 03 | | | Term | - Fal | <mark>l 201</mark> 3 | 3 | | | | | | Enro | lment: | 9 |
|---|----|----|------|-------|----------------------|------|---|------|----------|--------|------|---------|---------|------|
| Title: Cross-Cultural Comm, Lev | | | | | | | | | | | Q | uestion | naires: | 6 |
| Instructor: Lee,Brittany AL | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Fre | quen | cies | | Ins | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| Laboratory | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4.00 | 123/185 | 4.00 | 3.45 | 4.23 | 4.60 | 4.00 |
| 2. Were you provided with adequate background information | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 4.33 | 108/209 | 4.33 | 3.43 | 4.19 | 4.27 | 4.33 |
| 3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3.50 | 176/181 | 3.50 | 3.55 | 4.53 | 4.31 | 3.50 |
| 4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 4.33 | 128/183 | 4.33 | 3.57 | 4.46 | 4.63 | 4.33 |
| 5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3.50 | 142/172 | 3.50 | 3.00 | 4.14 | 4.02 | 3.50 |
| Seminar | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4.00 | 64/72 | 4.00 | 3.45 | 4.53 | 4.71 | 4.00 |
| 2. Was the instructor available for individual attention | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 4.33 | 46/71 | 4.33 | 3.56 | 4.38 | 4.66 | 4.33 |
| 3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4.00 | 55/68 | 4.00 | 3.56 | 4.41 | 4.74 | 4.00 |
| 4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4.00 | 59/71 | 4.00 | 3.72 | 4.40 | 4.50 | 4.00 |
| 5. Were criteria for grading made clear | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 4.33 | 35/73 | 4.33 | 3.53 | 4.09 | 4.32 | 4.33 |
| Field Work | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4.67 | 26/48 | 4.67 | 3.13 | 4.16 | 4.39 | 4.67 |
| 2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5.00 | 1/45 | 5.00 | 3.60 | 4.19 | 4.23 | 5.00 |
| 3. Was the instructor available for consultation | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5.00 | 1/30 | 5.00 | 3.53 | 4.57 | 4.82 | 5.00 |
| 4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 4.33 | 19/27 | 4.33 | 2.83 | 4.25 | 4.42 | 4.33 |
| 5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4.00 | 17/25 | 4.00 | 3.00 | 4.35 | 4.36 | 4.00 |
| Self Paced | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4.67 | 19/51 | 4.67 | 3.13 | 4.03 | 3.67 | 4.67 |
| 2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 4.50 | 14/31 | 4.50 | 3.07 | 4.18 | 3.94 | 4.50 |
| 3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 4.33 | 23/36 | 4.33 | 3.69 | 4.33 | 3.80 | 4.33 |

Run Date: 1/30/2014 11:56:42 AM

Term - Fall 2013 Course-Section: ELCA 404 03 **Enrollment: 9** Title: Cross-Cultural Comm, Lev **Questionnaires:** 6 **Instructor:** Lee, Brittany AL UMBC Level Sect **Frequencies** Instructor Course Org Questions NR NA 1 2 3 5 Mean Rank Mean Mean Mean 4 Mean Self Paced 4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful 4.50 4.50 4.75 7/19 3.73 4.17 4.50 4 0 0 0 0 1 1 5. Were there enough proctors for all the students 2 5.00 1/14 5.00 4.08 4.17 5.00 5.00 4 0 0 0 0 0

Frequency Distribution

| Credits E | arned | Cum. GP/ | 4 | Expected | Grades | Reasons | | Туре | | Majors | |
|-----------|-------|-----------|---|----------|--------|---------------------|---|-------------------|-------------|-----------------|---|
| 00-27 | 0 | 0.00-0.99 | 0 | А | 1 | Required for Majors | 0 | Graduate | 0 | Major | 0 |
| 28-55 | 0 | 1.00-1.99 | 0 | В | 1 | | | | | | |
| 56-83 | 0 | 2.00-2.99 | 0 | С | 0 | General | 0 | Under-grad | 6 | Non-major | 0 |
| 84-150 | 0 | 3.00-3.49 | 0 | D | 0 | | | | | | |
| Grad. | 0 | 3.50-4.00 | 0 | F | 0 | Electives | 0 | **** - Means ther | e are not e | nough responses | |
| | | | | Р | 0 | | | to be significant | | | |
| | | | | Ι | 0 | Other | 0 | | | | |
| | | | | ? | 4 | | | | | | |

| Course-Section: ELCA 501 01 | | | Term | - Fal | <mark>l 201</mark> 3 | 3 | | | | | | Enro | llment: | 16 |
|---|----|----|------|-------|----------------------|------|---|------|-----------|--------|------|---------|---------|------|
| Title: ELC51 | | | | | | | | | | | Q | uestion | naires: | 14 |
| Instructor: Lyons,Sherry D | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Fre | quene | cies | | In | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| General | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3.54 | 1510/1644 | 3.78 | 4.17 | 4.32 | 4.42 | 3.54 |
| 2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 3.85 | 1338/1644 | 3.85 | 4.20 | 4.28 | 4.32 | 3.85 |
| 3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 3.92 | 1147/1419 | 4.05 | 4.34 | 4.35 | 4.45 | 3.92 |
| 4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 3.77 | 1298/1596 | 3.71 | 4.18 | 4.24 | 4.32 | 3.77 |
| 5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 3.69 | 1217/1535 | 3.69 | 4.15 | 4.15 | 4.25 | 3.69 |
| 6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 3.77 | 1132/1510 | 3.96 | 4.14 | 4.13 | 4.24 | 3.77 |
| 7. Was the grading system clearly explained | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 3.62 | 1385/1620 | 3.48 | 4.25 | 4.20 | 4.29 | 3.62 |
| 8. How many times was class cancelled | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 8 | 4.54 | 1176/1642 | 4.64 | 4.72 | 4.68 | 4.82 | 4.54 |
| 9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness | 7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4.00 | 971/1596 | 4.33 | 4.13 | 4.12 | 4.20 | 4.00 |
| Lecture | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 4.64 | 723/1534 | 4.25 | 4.50 | 4.48 | 4.52 | 4.64 |
| 2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4.10 | 1473/1539 | 3.98 | 4.62 | 4.76 | 4.79 | 4.10 |
| 3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 4.40 | 852/1531 | 3.95 | 4.32 | 4.33 | 4.34 | 4.40 |
| 4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 2 | 4.00 | 1163/1530 | 3.88 | 4.26 | 4.35 | 4.38 | 4.00 |
| 5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding | 2 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 2.75 | 1364/1409 | 3.10 | 4.06 | 4.08 | 4.04 | 2.75 |
| Discussion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 2 | 3.67 | 1098/1366 | 3.60 | 4.27 | 4.18 | 4.26 | 3.67 |
| 2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3.75 | 1142/1364 | 3.64 | 4.36 | 4.33 | 4.46 | 3.75 |
| 3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 4.00 | 1034/1361 | 3.98 | 4.48 | 4.39 | 4.49 | 4.00 |
| 4. Were special techniques successful | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 2 | 3.83 | 706/1019 | 3.61 | 4.14 | 4.09 | 4.12 | 3.83 |

Report Help

| Course-Section: | ELCA 501 01 | | | Term | - Fal | <mark>l 201</mark> 3 | 3 | | | | | | Enro | llment: | 16 |
|---------------------------|------------------------------|----|----|------|-------|----------------------|------|---|------|----------|--------|------|---------|---------|------|
| Title: | ELC51 | | | | | | | - | | | | Q | uestion | naires: | 14 |
| Instructor: | Lyons,Sherry D | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | - | | | Fre | quen | cies | | Ins | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
| | Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| | Laboratory | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did the lab increase u | nderstanding of the material | 13 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.00 | ****/185 | 3.00 | 3.45 | 4.23 | 4.14 | **** |

| Credits Ea | arned | Cum. GP/ | 4 | Expected | Grades | Reasons | | Туре | | Majors | |
|------------|-------|-----------|---|----------|--------|---------------------|---|-------------------|--------------|-----------------|---|
| 00-27 | 1 | 0.00-0.99 | 0 | А | 1 | Required for Majors | 2 | Graduate | 0 | Major | 0 |
| 28-55 | 0 | 1.00-1.99 | 1 | В | 4 | | | | | | |
| 56-83 | 0 | 2.00-2.99 | 0 | С | 0 | General | 2 | Under-grad | 14 | Non-major | 0 |
| 84-150 | 0 | 3.00-3.49 | 0 | D | 1 | | | | | | |
| Grad. | 0 | 3.50-4.00 | 0 | F | 0 | Electives | 0 | **** - Means the | re are not e | nough responses | |
| | | | | Р | 0 | | | to be significant | | | |
| | | | | Ι | 0 | Other | 2 | | | | |
| | | | | ? | 8 | | | | | | |

| Course-Section: ELCA 501 02 | | | Term | ı - Fal | <mark>l 201</mark> 3 | 3 | | | | | | Enro | llment: | 13 |
|---|----|----|------|---------|----------------------|------|---|------|-----------|--------|------|---------|---------|------|
| Title: ELC51 | | | | | | | | | | | Q | uestion | naires: | 10 |
| Instructor: Milter,Katalin | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Fre | quen | cies | | In | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| General | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3.50 | 1522/1644 | 3.78 | 4.17 | 4.32 | 4.42 | 3.50 |
| 2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 3.20 | 1564/1644 | 3.85 | 4.20 | 4.28 | 4.32 | 3.20 |
| 3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 3.63 | 1260/1419 | 4.05 | 4.34 | 4.35 | 4.45 | 3.63 |
| 4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 3.25 | 1509/1596 | 3.71 | 4.18 | 4.24 | 4.32 | 3.25 |
| 5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 3.60 | 1270/1535 | 3.69 | 4.15 | 4.15 | 4.25 | 3.60 |
| 6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 3.89 | 1048/1510 | 3.96 | 4.14 | 4.13 | 4.24 | 3.89 |
| 7. Was the grading system clearly explained | 1 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2.50 | 1593/1620 | 3.48 | 4.25 | 4.20 | 4.29 | 2.50 |
| 8. How many times was class cancelled | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 4.50 | 1203/1642 | 4.64 | 4.72 | 4.68 | 4.82 | 4.50 |
| 9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 5.00 | 1/1596 | 4.33 | 4.13 | 4.12 | 4.20 | 5.00 |
| Lecture | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3.43 | 1476/1534 | 4.25 | 4.50 | 4.48 | 4.52 | 3.43 |
| 2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3.25 | 1531/1539 | 3.98 | 4.62 | 4.76 | 4.79 | 3.25 |
| 3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly | 3 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2.86 | 1491/1531 | 3.95 | 4.32 | 4.33 | 4.34 | 2.86 |
| 4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 3.14 | 1459/1530 | 3.88 | 4.26 | 4.35 | 4.38 | 3.14 |
| 5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2.67 | 1373/1409 | 3.10 | 4.06 | 4.08 | 4.04 | 2.67 |
| Discussion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2.86 | 1310/1366 | 3.60 | 4.27 | 4.18 | 4.26 | 2.86 |
| 2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2.88 | 1320/1364 | 3.64 | 4.36 | 4.33 | 4.46 | 2.88 |
| 3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 3.38 | 1276/1361 | 3.98 | 4.48 | 4.39 | 4.49 | 3.38 |
| 4. Were special techniques successful | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2.86 | 993/1019 | 3.61 | 4.14 | 4.09 | 4.12 | 2.86 |

Report Help

| Course-Section: ELCA 501 02 | | | Term | <mark>- Fal</mark> | <mark>l 201</mark> 3 | 3 | | | | | | Enro | llment: | 13 |
|---|----|----|------|--------------------|----------------------|------|---|------|----------|--------|------|---------|---------|------|
| Title: ELC51 | | | | | | | | | | | Q | uestion | naires: | 10 |
| Instructor: Milter,Katalin | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Fre | quen | cies | | In | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| Laboratory | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material | 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3.00 | 180/185 | 3.00 | 3.45 | 4.23 | 4.14 | 3.00 |
| 2. Were you provided with adequate background information | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3.50 | 183/209 | 3.50 | 3.43 | 4.19 | 4.03 | 3.50 |
| 3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities | 5 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3.75 | 170/181 | 3.75 | 3.55 | 4.53 | 4.35 | 3.75 |
| 4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance | 5 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2.75 | 182/183 | 2.75 | 3.57 | 4.46 | 4.44 | 2.75 |
| 5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified | 5 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2.75 | 168/172 | 2.75 | 3.00 | 4.14 | 4.27 | 2.75 |
| Seminar | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme | 6 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2.33 | 72/72 | 2.33 | 3.45 | 4.53 | 4.53 | 2.33 |
| 2. Was the instructor available for individual attention | 6 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2.33 | 69/71 | 2.33 | 3.56 | 4.38 | 4.31 | 2.33 |
| 3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned | 6 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2.33 | 68/68 | 2.33 | 3.56 | 4.41 | 4.37 | 2.33 |
| 4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3.50 | ****/71 | **** | 3.72 | 4.40 | 4.53 | **** |
| 5. Were criteria for grading made clear | 7 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2.50 | ****/73 | **** | 3.53 | 4.09 | 4.09 | **** |
| Field Work | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2.50 | 42/48 | 2.50 | 3.13 | 4.16 | 3.59 | 2.50 |
| 2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria | 6 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2.25 | 43/45 | 2.25 | 3.60 | 4.19 | 3.89 | 2.25 |
| 3. Was the instructor available for consultation | 6 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2.33 | 30/30 | 2.33 | 3.53 | 4.57 | 4.11 | 2.33 |
| 4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations | 6 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2.00 | 26/27 | 2.00 | 2.83 | 4.25 | 3.29 | 2.00 |
| 5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities | 6 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2.00 | 25/25 | 2.00 | 3.00 | 4.35 | 3.82 | 2.00 |
| Self Paced | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2.25 | 46/51 | 2.25 | 3.13 | 4.03 | 3.66 | 2.25 |
| 2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal | 6 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2.33 | 29/31 | 2.33 | 3.07 | 4.18 | 3.73 | 2.33 |
| 3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3.67 | 30/36 | 3.67 | 3.69 | 4.33 | 4.41 | 3.67 |

Run Date: 1/30/2014 11:56:43 AM

Report Help

| Course-Section: | ELCA 501 02 | | | Term | - Fal | l 2013 | 3 | | | | | | Enro | Iment: | 13 |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------|----|----|------|-------|--------|------|---|------|----------|--------|------|---------|---------|------|
| Title: | ELC51 | | | | | | | 2 | | | | Q | uestion | naires: | 10 |
| Instructor: | Milter,Katalin | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | Fre | quene | cies | | Ins | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
| | Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| | Self Paced | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. Was the feedback/tute | oring by proctors helpful | 6 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2.33 | 19/19 | 2.33 | 3.73 | 4.17 | 3.84 | 2.33 |
| 5. Were there enough pr | octors for all the students | 6 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2.50 | ****/14 | **** | 4.08 | 4.17 | 3.79 | **** |

| Credits Ea | arned | Cum. GP/ | 4 | Expected | Grades | Reasons | | Туре | | Majors | |
|------------|-------|-----------|---|----------|--------|---------------------|---|-------------------|--------------|-----------------|---|
| 00-27 | 1 | 0.00-0.99 | 0 | А | 1 | Required for Majors | 3 | Graduate | 0 | Major | 0 |
| 28-55 | 0 | 1.00-1.99 | 0 | В | 3 | | | | | | |
| 56-83 | 0 | 2.00-2.99 | 0 | С | 1 | General | 0 | Under-grad | 10 | Non-major | 0 |
| 84-150 | 0 | 3.00-3.49 | 0 | D | 1 | | | | | | |
| Grad. | 0 | 3.50-4.00 | 0 | F | 0 | Electives | 0 | **** - Means the | re are not e | nough responses | |
| | | | | Р | 0 | | | to be significant | | | |
| | | | | I | 0 | Other | 0 | | | | |
| | | | | ? | 4 | | | | | | |

| Course-Section: ELCA 501 03 | | | Term | - Fal | 2013 | 3 | | | | | | Enro | lment: | 16 |
|---|----|----|------|-------|-------|------|---|------|-----------|--------|------|---------|---------|------|
| Title: ELC51 | | | | | | | | | | | Q | uestion | naires: | 10 |
| Instructor: Van Dam,Anna El | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Fre | quene | cies | | In | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| General | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 4.30 | 922/1644 | 3.78 | 4.17 | 4.32 | 4.42 | 4.30 |
| 2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 4.50 | 633/1644 | 3.85 | 4.20 | 4.28 | 4.32 | 4.50 |
| 3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 4.60 | 543/1419 | 4.05 | 4.34 | 4.35 | 4.45 | 4.60 |
| 4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 4.11 | 1064/1596 | 3.71 | 4.18 | 4.24 | 4.32 | 4.11 |
| 5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 3.78 | 1162/1535 | 3.69 | 4.15 | 4.15 | 4.25 | 3.78 |
| 6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 4.22 | 763/1510 | 3.96 | 4.14 | 4.13 | 4.24 | 4.22 |
| 7. Was the grading system clearly explained | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 4.33 | 779/1620 | 3.48 | 4.25 | 4.20 | 4.29 | 4.33 |
| 8. How many times was class cancelled | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 4.89 | 673/1642 | 4.64 | 4.72 | 4.68 | 4.82 | 4.89 |
| 9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 4.00 | 971/1596 | 4.33 | 4.13 | 4.12 | 4.20 | 4.00 |
| Lecture | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 4.70 | 611/1534 | 4.25 | 4.50 | 4.48 | 4.52 | 4.70 |
| 2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 4.60 | 1213/1539 | 3.98 | 4.62 | 4.76 | 4.79 | 4.60 |
| 3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 4.60 | 565/1531 | 3.95 | 4.32 | 4.33 | 4.34 | 4.60 |
| 4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 4.50 | 755/1530 | 3.88 | 4.26 | 4.35 | 4.38 | 4.50 |
| 5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3.89 | 936/1409 | 3.10 | 4.06 | 4.08 | 4.04 | 3.89 |
| Discussion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 4.29 | 699/1366 | 3.60 | 4.27 | 4.18 | 4.26 | 4.29 |
| 2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 4.29 | 857/1364 | 3.64 | 4.36 | 4.33 | 4.46 | 4.29 |
| 3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 4.57 | 644/1361 | 3.98 | 4.48 | 4.39 | 4.49 | 4.57 |

Report Help

| Course-Section: | ELCA 501 03 | | | Term | - Fal | <mark>l 201</mark> | 3 | | | | | | Enro | llment: | 16 |
|--------------------------|-----------------|----|----|------|-------|--------------------|------|---|------|----------|--------|------|---------|---------|------|
| Title: | ELC51 | | | | | | | - | | | | Q | uestion | naires: | 10 |
| Instructor: | Van Dam,Anna El | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | - | | | Fre | quen | cies | | Ins | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
| | Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| | Discussion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. Were special techniqu | les successful | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 4.14 | 508/1019 | 3.61 | 4.14 | 4.09 | 4.12 | 4.14 |

| Credits E | arned | Cum. GP/ | 4 | Expected | Grades | Reasons | | Туре | | Majors | |
|-----------|-------|-----------|---|----------|--------|---------------------|---|-------------------|--------------|-----------------|---|
| 00-27 | 2 | 0.00-0.99 | 0 | А | 6 | Required for Majors | 6 | Graduate | 0 | Major | 0 |
| 28-55 | 0 | 1.00-1.99 | 0 | В | 3 | | | | | | |
| 56-83 | 0 | 2.00-2.99 | 0 | С | 0 | General | 0 | Under-grad | 10 | Non-major | 0 |
| 84-150 | 2 | 3.00-3.49 | 2 | D | 0 | | | | | | |
| Grad. | 0 | 3.50-4.00 | 1 | F | 0 | Electives | 0 | **** - Means the | re are not e | nough responses | |
| | | | | Р | 0 | | | to be significant | | | |
| | | | | Ι | 0 | Other | 2 | | | | |
| | | | | ? | 1 | | | | | | |

| Course-Section: ELCA 502 02 | | | Term | ı - Fal | <mark>l 201</mark> 3 | 3 | | | | | | Enro | llment: | 13 |
|---|-----|----|------|---------|----------------------|------|---|------|-----------|--------|------|---------|---------|------|
| Title: ELC52 | | | | | | | | | | | Q | uestion | naires: | 11 |
| Instructor: Milter,Katalin | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Fre | quen | cies | | In | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| General | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 3.18 | 1586/1644 | 3.69 | 4.17 | 4.32 | 4.42 | 3.18 |
| 2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3.27 | 1550/1644 | 3.89 | 4.20 | 4.28 | 4.32 | 3.27 |
| 3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 3.40 | 1330/1419 | 4.05 | 4.34 | 4.35 | 4.45 | 3.40 |
| 4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals | 0 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3.10 | 1533/1596 | 3.77 | 4.18 | 4.24 | 4.32 | 3.10 |
| 5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 3.20 | 1435/1535 | 3.60 | 4.15 | 4.15 | 4.25 | 3.20 |
| 6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned | d 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 3.33 | 1374/1510 | 3.72 | 4.14 | 4.13 | 4.24 | 3.33 |
| 7. Was the grading system clearly explained | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 3.40 | 1473/1620 | 3.85 | 4.25 | 4.20 | 4.29 | 3.40 |
| 8. How many times was class cancelled | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 4.90 | 632/1642 | 4.95 | 4.72 | 4.68 | 4.82 | 4.90 |
| 9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectivenes | s 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4.20 | 768/1596 | 4.16 | 4.13 | 4.12 | 4.20 | 4.20 |
| Lecture | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared | 5 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3.33 | 1486/1534 | 4.02 | 4.50 | 4.48 | 4.52 | 3.33 |
| 2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject | 4 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3.43 | 1528/1539 | 3.96 | 4.62 | 4.76 | 4.79 | 3.43 |
| 3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3.71 | 1331/1531 | 4.16 | 4.32 | 4.33 | 4.34 | 3.71 |
| 4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned | 4 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 3.29 | 1441/1530 | 3.89 | 4.26 | 4.35 | 4.38 | 3.29 |
| 5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understandin | g 5 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3.33 | 1243/1409 | 3.67 | 4.06 | 4.08 | 4.04 | 3.33 |
| Discussion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned | 3 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3.00 | 1279/1366 | 3.71 | 4.27 | 4.18 | 4.26 | 3.00 |
| 2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate | 3 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2.88 | 1320/1364 | 3.51 | 4.36 | 4.33 | 4.46 | 2.88 |
| 3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion | 3 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 2.88 | 1321/1361 | 3.72 | 4.48 | 4.39 | 4.49 | 2.88 |
| 4. Were special techniques successful | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2.43 | 1008/1019 | 3.21 | 4.14 | 4.09 | 4.12 | 2.43 |

Report Help

| Course-Section: El | LCA 502 02 | | | Term | ı - Fal | <mark>l 201</mark> 3 | 3 | | | | | | Enro | llment: | 13 |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|----|----|------|---------|----------------------|------|---|------|----------|--------|------|---------|---------|------|
| Title: El | LC52 | | | | | | | | | | | Q | uestion | naires: | 11 |
| Instructor: M | ilter,Katalin | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | Fre | quen | cies | | Ins | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
| Qı | uestions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| La | boratory | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did the lab increase unde | erstanding of the material | 6 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2.20 | 184/185 | 2.20 | 3.45 | 4.23 | 4.14 | 2.20 |
| 2. Were you provided with | adequate background information | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 2.40 | 204/209 | 2.40 | 3.43 | 4.19 | 4.03 | 2.40 |
| 3. Were necessary material | s available for lab activities | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3.67 | 172/181 | 3.67 | 3.55 | 4.53 | 4.35 | 3.67 |
| 4. Did the lab instructor pro | ovide assistance | 6 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2.40 | 183/183 | 2.40 | 3.57 | 4.46 | 4.44 | 2.40 |
| 5. Were requirements for la | ab reports clearly specified | 6 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2.60 | 170/172 | 2.60 | 3.00 | 4.14 | 4.27 | 2.60 |
| S | Seminar | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Were assigned topics rele | evant to the announced theme | 7 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2.50 | 70/72 | 2.50 | 3.45 | 4.53 | 4.53 | 2.50 |
| 2. Was the instructor availa | ble for individual attention | 7 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3.00 | 68/71 | 3.00 | 3.56 | 4.38 | 4.31 | 3.00 |
| 3. Did research projects cor | ntribute to what you learned | 7 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2.67 | 67/68 | 2.67 | 3.56 | 4.41 | 4.37 | 2.67 |
| 4. Did presentations contrib | oute to what you learned | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3.50 | ****/71 | **** | 3.72 | 4.40 | 4.53 | **** |
| 5. Were criteria for grading | made clear | 6 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 2.60 | 69/73 | 2.60 | 3.53 | 4.09 | 4.09 | 2.60 |
| Fie | eld Work | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did field experience cont | ribute to what you learned | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 3.00 | 39/48 | 3.00 | 3.13 | 4.16 | 3.59 | 3.00 |
| 2. Did you clearly understar | nd your evaluation criteria | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 2.80 | 41/45 | 2.80 | 3.60 | 4.19 | 3.89 | 2.80 |
| 3. Was the instructor availa | ble for consultation | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 3.25 | 29/30 | 3.25 | 3.53 | 4.57 | 4.11 | 3.25 |
| 4. To what degree could yo | ou discuss your evaluations | 6 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3.00 | 24/27 | 3.00 | 2.83 | 4.25 | 3.29 | 3.00 |
| 5. Did conferences help you | u carry out field activities | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 3.00 | 22/25 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 4.35 | 3.82 | 3.00 |
| Se | elf Paced | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did self-paced system co | ontribute to what you learned | 6 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2.20 | 47/51 | 2.20 | 3.13 | 4.03 | 3.66 | 2.20 |
| 2. Did study questions make | e clear the expected goal | 6 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3.33 | 27/31 | 3.33 | 3.07 | 4.18 | 3.73 | 3.33 |
| 3. Were your contacts with | the instructor helpful | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4.00 | 28/36 | 4.00 | 3.69 | 4.33 | 4.41 | 4.00 |

Run Date: 1/30/2014 11:56:43 AM

Report Help

| Course-Section: | ELCA 502 02 | | | Term | - Fal | l 2013 | 3 | | | | | | Enro | Iment: | 13 |
|--------------------------|------------------------------|----|----|------|-------|--------|------|---|------|----------|--------|------|---------|---------|------|
| Title: | ELC52 | | | | | | | - | | | | Q | uestion | naires: | 11 |
| Instructor: | Milter,Katalin | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | Fre | quen | cies | | Ins | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
| | Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| | Self Paced | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. Was the feedback/tute | oring by proctors helpful | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3.33 | 17/19 | 3.33 | 3.73 | 4.17 | 3.84 | 3.33 |
| 5. Were there enough pr | roctors for all the students | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 2.40 | 14/14 | 2.40 | 4.08 | 4.17 | 3.79 | 2.40 |

| Credits E | arned | Cum. GP/ | 4 | Expected | Grades | Reasons | | Туре | | Majors | |
|-----------|-------|-----------|---|----------|--------|---------------------|---|-------------------|--------------|-----------------|---|
| 00-27 | 2 | 0.00-0.99 | 0 | А | 2 | Required for Majors | 4 | Graduate | 0 | Major | 0 |
| 28-55 | 0 | 1.00-1.99 | 0 | В | 1 | | | | | | |
| 56-83 | 0 | 2.00-2.99 | 0 | С | 2 | General | 0 | Under-grad | 11 | Non-major | 0 |
| 84-150 | 0 | 3.00-3.49 | 0 | D | 0 | | | | | | |
| Grad. | 0 | 3.50-4.00 | 0 | F | 0 | Electives | 0 | **** - Means the | re are not e | nough responses | |
| | | | | Р | 0 | | | to be significant | | | |
| | | | | I | 0 | Other | 0 | | | | |
| | | | | ? | 6 | | | | | | |

| Course-Section: ELCA 502 03 | | | Term | - Fal | l 2013 | 3 | | | | | | Enro | lment: | 12 |
|---|----|----|------|-------|--------|------|----|------|-----------|--------|------|---------|---------|------|
| Title: ELC52 | | | | | | | | | | | Q | uestion | naires: | 10 |
| Instructor: Van Dam,Anna El | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Fre | quen | cies | | In | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| General | | | | | | | | | | _ | _ | | | |
| 1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 4 | 4.20 | 1028/1644 | 3.69 | 4.17 | 4.32 | 4.42 | 4.20 |
| 2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 4.50 | 633/1644 | 3.89 | 4.20 | 4.28 | 4.32 | 4.50 |
| 3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 4.70 | 409/1419 | 4.05 | 4.34 | 4.35 | 4.45 | 4.70 |
| 4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 4.44 | 657/1596 | 3.77 | 4.18 | 4.24 | 4.32 | 4.44 |
| 5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 4.00 | 970/1535 | 3.60 | 4.15 | 4.15 | 4.25 | 4.00 |
| 6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 4.10 | 875/1510 | 3.72 | 4.14 | 4.13 | 4.24 | 4.10 |
| 7. Was the grading system clearly explained | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 4.30 | 820/1620 | 3.85 | 4.25 | 4.20 | 4.29 | 4.30 |
| 8. How many times was class cancelled | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 5.00 | 1/1642 | 4.95 | 4.72 | 4.68 | 4.82 | 5.00 |
| 9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 4.11 | 891/1596 | 4.16 | 4.13 | 4.12 | 4.20 | 4.11 |
| Lecture | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 4.70 | 611/1534 | 4.02 | 4.50 | 4.48 | 4.52 | 4.70 |
| 2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 4.50 | 1298/1539 | 3.96 | 4.62 | 4.76 | 4.79 | 4.50 |
| 3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 4.60 | 565/1531 | 4.16 | 4.32 | 4.33 | 4.34 | 4.60 |
| 4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 4.50 | 755/1530 | 3.89 | 4.26 | 4.35 | 4.38 | 4.50 |
| 5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 4.00 | 825/1409 | 3.67 | 4.06 | 4.08 | 4.04 | 4.00 |
| Discussion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 4.43 | 581/1366 | 3.71 | 4.27 | 4.18 | 4.26 | 4.43 |
| 2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 4.14 | 941/1364 | 3.51 | 4.36 | 4.33 | 4.46 | 4.14 |
| 3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 4.57 | 644/1361 | 3.72 | 4.48 | 4.39 | 4.49 | 4.57 |

Report Help

| Course-Section: | ELCA 502 03 | | | Term | ı - Fal | <mark>l 201</mark> 3 | 3 | | | | | | Enro | llment: | 12 |
|---------------------------|-----------------|----|----|------|---------|----------------------|------|---|------|----------|--------|------|---------|---------|------|
| Title: | ELC52 | | | | | | | | | | | Q | uestion | naires: | 10 |
| Instructor: | Van Dam,Anna El | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | Fre | quen | cies | | Ins | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
| | Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| | Discussion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. Were special technique | les successful | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 4.00 | 559/1019 | 3.21 | 4.14 | 4.09 | 4.12 | 4.00 |

| Credits E | arned | Cum. GPA | | Expected Grades | | Reasons | | Туре | | Majors | | |
|-----------|-------|-----------|---|-----------------|---|---------------------|---|-------------------|----|-----------|---|--|
| 00-27 | 2 | 0.00-0.99 | 0 | А | 4 | Required for Majors | 6 | Graduate | 0 | Major | 0 | |
| 28-55 | 0 | 1.00-1.99 | 0 | В | 4 | | | | | | | |
| 56-83 | 0 | 2.00-2.99 | 0 | С | 0 | General | 0 | Under-grad | 10 | Non-major | 0 | |
| 84-150 | 1 | 3.00-3.49 | 2 | D | 0 | | | | | | | |
| Grad. | 0 | 3.50-4.00 | 1 | F | 0 | Electives | 0 | **** - Means the | | | | |
| | | | | Р | 0 | | | to be significant | | | | |
| | | | | I | 0 | Other | 2 | | | | | |
| | | | | ? | 2 | | | | | | | |

| Course-Section: ELCA 503 02 | | | Term | - Fal | <mark>l 201</mark> 3 | 3 | | | | | | Enro | llment: | 13 |
|---|----|----|------|-------|----------------------|------|---|------|-----------|--------|------|---------|---------|------|
| Title: ELC53 | | | | | | | | | | | Q | uestion | naires: | 7 |
| Instructor: Milter,Katalin | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Fre | quen | cies | | In | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| General | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 4.71 | 414/1644 | 4.71 | 4.17 | 4.32 | 4.42 | 4.71 |
| 2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 4.71 | 360/1644 | 4.71 | 4.20 | 4.28 | 4.32 | 4.71 |
| 3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 4.43 | 746/1419 | 4.43 | 4.34 | 4.35 | 4.45 | 4.43 |
| 4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 4.71 | 292/1596 | 4.71 | 4.18 | 4.24 | 4.32 | 4.71 |
| 5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3.86 | 1104/1535 | 3.86 | 4.15 | 4.15 | 4.25 | 3.86 |
| 6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3.33 | 1374/1510 | 3.33 | 4.14 | 4.13 | 4.24 | 3.33 |
| 7. Was the grading system clearly explained | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 4.29 | 849/1620 | 4.29 | 4.25 | 4.20 | 4.29 | 4.29 |
| 8. How many times was class cancelled | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 4.86 | 736/1642 | 4.86 | 4.72 | 4.68 | 4.82 | 4.86 |
| 9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4.75 | 178/1596 | 4.75 | 4.13 | 4.12 | 4.20 | 4.75 |
| Lecture | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 5.00 | 1/1534 | 5.00 | 4.50 | 4.48 | 4.52 | 5.00 |
| 2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 4.86 | 751/1539 | 4.86 | 4.62 | 4.76 | 4.79 | 4.86 |
| 3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 4.86 | 218/1531 | 4.86 | 4.32 | 4.33 | 4.34 | 4.86 |
| 4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 4.86 | 279/1530 | 4.86 | 4.26 | 4.35 | 4.38 | 4.86 |
| 5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 4.67 | 245/1409 | 4.67 | 4.06 | 4.08 | 4.04 | 4.67 |
| Discussion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 5.00 | 1/1366 | 5.00 | 4.27 | 4.18 | 4.26 | 5.00 |
| 2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 4.83 | 303/1364 | 4.83 | 4.36 | 4.33 | 4.46 | 4.83 |
| 3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 5.00 | 1/1361 | 5.00 | 4.48 | 4.39 | 4.49 | 5.00 |

Report Help

| Course-Section: | ELCA 503 02 | | | Term - Fall 2013 | | | | | | | | | Enro | llment: | 13 |
|--------------------------|----------------|----|----|------------------|-----|------|------|---|------|----------|--------|------|---------|---------|------|
| Title: | ELC53 | | | | | | | | | | | Q | uestion | naires: | 7 |
| Instructor: | Milter,Katalin | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | - | | | Fre | quen | cies | | Ins | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
| | Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| | Discussion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. Were special techniqu | es successful | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 4.83 | 114/1019 | 4.83 | 4.14 | 4.09 | 4.12 | 4.83 |

| Credits Earned | | Cum. GPA | | Expected Grades | | Reasons | | Туре | | Majors | | |
|-----------------------|---|-----------|---|-----------------|---|---------------------|---|-------------------|-------------|-----------------|---|--|
| 00-27 | 1 | 0.00-0.99 | 0 | А | 4 | Required for Majors | 2 | Graduate | 0 | Major | 0 | |
| 28-55 | 0 | 1.00-1.99 | 0 | В | 0 | | | | | | | |
| 56-83 | 0 | 2.00-2.99 | 0 | С | 0 | General | 2 | Under-grad | 7 | Non-major | 0 | |
| 84-150 | 0 | 3.00-3.49 | 0 | D | 0 | | | | | | | |
| Grad. | 0 | 3.50-4.00 | 0 | F | 0 | Electives | 0 | **** - Means ther | e are not e | nough responses | | |
| | | | | Р | 0 | | | to be significant | | | | |
| | | | | I | 0 | Other | 1 | | | | | |
| | | | | ? | 2 | | | | | | | |

| Course-Section: ELCA 601 01 | | | Term | - Fal | <mark>l 201</mark> 3 | 3 | | | | | | Enro | llment: | 7 |
|---|----|----|------|-------|----------------------|------|---|------|-----------|--------|------|---------|---------|------|
| Title: ELC61 | | | | | | | | | | | Q | uestion | naires: | 5 |
| Instructor: Rashed,Doaa H. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Fre | quene | cies | | In | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| General | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3.40 | 1543/1644 | 3.40 | 4.17 | 4.32 | 4.42 | 3.40 |
| 2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3.40 | 1529/1644 | 3.40 | 4.20 | 4.28 | 4.32 | 3.40 |
| 3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3.00 | 1385/1419 | 3.00 | 4.34 | 4.35 | 4.45 | 3.00 |
| 4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3.60 | 1391/1596 | 3.60 | 4.18 | 4.24 | 4.32 | 3.60 |
| 5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3.80 | 1141/1535 | 3.80 | 4.15 | 4.15 | 4.25 | 3.80 |
| 6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4.20 | 787/1510 | 4.20 | 4.14 | 4.13 | 4.24 | 4.20 |
| 7. Was the grading system clearly explained | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3.20 | 1513/1620 | 3.20 | 4.25 | 4.20 | 4.29 | 3.20 |
| 8. How many times was class cancelled | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 4.80 | 840/1642 | 4.80 | 4.72 | 4.68 | 4.82 | 4.80 |
| 9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4.00 | 971/1596 | 4.00 | 4.13 | 4.12 | 4.20 | 4.00 |
| Lecture | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2.75 | 1518/1534 | 2.75 | 4.50 | 4.48 | 4.52 | 2.75 |
| 2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3.75 | 1511/1539 | 3.75 | 4.62 | 4.76 | 4.79 | 3.75 |
| 3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3.75 | 1314/1531 | 3.75 | 4.32 | 4.33 | 4.34 | 3.75 |
| 4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3.25 | 1446/1530 | 3.25 | 4.26 | 4.35 | 4.38 | 3.25 |
| 5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 2.75 | 1364/1409 | 2.75 | 4.06 | 4.08 | 4.04 | 2.75 |
| Discussion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3.75 | 1047/1366 | 3.75 | 4.27 | 4.18 | 4.26 | 3.75 |
| 2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3.50 | 1221/1364 | 3.50 | 4.36 | 4.33 | 4.46 | 3.50 |
| 3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3.67 | 1192/1361 | 3.67 | 4.48 | 4.39 | 4.49 | 3.67 |
| 4. Were special techniques successful | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3.50 | 842/1019 | 3.50 | 4.14 | 4.09 | 4.12 | 3.50 |

Report Help

| Course-Section: | ELCA 601 01 | | Term - Fall 2013 | | | | | | | | 7 | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|----|------------------|---|-----|-------|------|------|---------|----------|--------|------|---------|---------|------|
| Title: | ELC61 | | | | | | | - | | | | Q | uestion | naires: | 5 |
| Instructor: | Rashed,Doaa H. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | Fre | quene | cies | | Ins | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
| | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | |
| | Self Paced | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did self-paced system | contribute to what you learned | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.00 | 50/51 | 1.00 | 3.13 | 4.03 | 3.66 | 1.00 |
| 2. Did study questions m | ake clear the expected goal | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.50 | 31/31 | 1.50 | 3.07 | 4.18 | 3.73 | 1.50 |
| 3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful | | | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.00 | ****/36 | **** | 3.69 | 4.33 | 4.41 | **** |
| 4. Was the feedback/tuto | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4.00 | ****/19 | **** | 3.73 | 4.17 | 3.84 | **** | |
| 5. Were there enough pr | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4.00 | ****/14 | **** | 4.08 | 4.17 | 3.79 | **** | |

| Credits E | Credits Earned Cum. GPA Expected Grades | | Grades | Reasons | | Туре | | Majors | | | |
|-----------|---|-----------|--------|---------|---|---------------------|---|-------------------|---------------|-----------------|---|
| 00-27 | 0 | 0.00-0.99 | 0 | А | 1 | Required for Majors | 1 | Graduate | 1 | Major | 0 |
| 28-55 | 0 | 1.00-1.99 | 0 | В | 0 | | | | | | |
| 56-83 | 0 | 2.00-2.99 | 0 | С | 0 | General | 0 | Under-grad | 4 | Non-major | 0 |
| 84-150 | 0 | 3.00-3.49 | 0 | D | 0 | | | | | | |
| Grad. | 1 | 3.50-4.00 | 0 | F | 0 | Electives | 0 | **** - Means ther | re are not ei | nough responses | |
| | | | | Р | 0 | | | to be significant | | | |
| | | | | Ι | 0 | Other | 0 | | | | |
| | | | | ? | 4 | | | | | | |