Course-Section: GERM 101 01

Title: Elementary German I

Instructor: Sutton, Susanne

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 31

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	1	2	4	14	4.48	725/1644	4.69	4.42	4.32	4.16	4.48
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	9	10	4.38	829/1644	4.61	4.38	4.28	4.23	4.38
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	8	13	4.62	529/1419	4.57	4.55	4.35	4.25	4.62
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	0	6	13	4.68	329/1596	4.51	4.40	4.24	4.09	4.68
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	4	8	8	4.10	896/1535	4.33	4.38	4.15	4.02	4.10
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	5	7	8	4.05	902/1510	4.21	4.34	4.13	3.91	4.05
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	8	12	4.52	501/1620	4.67	4.21	4.20	4.13	4.52
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	2	19	4.90	632/1642	4.95	4.74	4.68	4.68	4.90
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	2	12	6	4.20	768/1596	4.40	4.19	4.12	4.07	4.20
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	6	15	4.71	593/1534	4.75	4.52	4.48	4.45	4.71
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	21	5.00	1/1539	4.96	4.81	4.76	4.72	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	3	12	6	4.14	1086/1531	4.45	4.38	4.33	4.30	4.14
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	4	16	4.71	502/1530	4.77	4.49	4.35	4.30	4.71
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	4	7	10	4.29	598/1409	4.51	4.18	4.08	3.97	4.29
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	5	10	5	3.90	942/1366	3.76	4.33	4.18	3.96	3.90
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	1	1	5	14	4.52	633/1364	4.43	4.56	4.33	4.10	4.52
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	1	0	3	8	9	4.14	985/1361	4.21	4.48	4.39	4.17	4.14
4. Were special techniques successful	0	2	1	0	3	9	6	4.00	559/1019	4.02	4.27	4.09	3.97	4.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: GERM 101 01

Title: Elementary German I

Instructor: Sutton, Susanne

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 31
Questionnaires: 21

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	20	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/209	****	4.80	4.19	4.18	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	19	1	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/72	****	4.83	4.53	4.35	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	20	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/71	****	4.89	4.38	4.21	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	20	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/71	****	4.66	4.40	4.19	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	20	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/73	****	4.39	4.09	3.85	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	3	0.00-0.99	0	Α	10	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	8						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	1	С	1	General	12	Under-grad	21	Non-major	20
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	4	D	1						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	4	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	2				
				?	1						

Course-Section: GERM 101 02

Title: Elementary German I

Instructor: Sutton, Susanne

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 30

				Fre	quend	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	0	2	21	4.91	157/1644	4.69	4.42	4.32	4.16	4.91
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	4	20	4.83	203/1644	4.61	4.38	4.28	4.23	4.83
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	1	0	1	5	16	4.52	614/1419	4.57	4.55	4.35	4.25	4.52
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	2	1	0	5	16	4.33	816/1596	4.51	4.40	4.24	4.09	4.33
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	3	4	16	4.57	393/1535	4.33	4.38	4.15	4.02	4.57
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	0	3	5	15	4.38	577/1510	4.21	4.34	4.13	3.91	4.38
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	1	0	0	0	4	18	4.82	153/1620	4.67	4.21	4.20	4.13	4.82
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	24	5.00	1/1642	4.95	4.74	4.68	4.68	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	0	0	0	8	12	4.60	297/1596	4.40	4.19	4.12	4.07	4.60
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	1	3	20	4.79	456/1534	4.75	4.52	4.48	4.45	4.79
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	1	0	23	4.92	548/1539	4.96	4.81	4.76	4.72	4.92
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	1	4	19	4.75	348/1531	4.45	4.38	4.33	4.30	4.75
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	2	20	4.83	325/1530	4.77	4.49	4.35	4.30	4.83
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	1	0	3	19	4.74	193/1409	4.51	4.18	4.08	3.97	4.74
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	4	2	4	3	11	3.63	1116/1366	3.76	4.33	4.18	3.96	3.63
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	1	0	3	6	14	4.33	817/1364	4.43	4.56	4.33	4.10	4.33
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	1	0	2	8	11	4.27	917/1361	4.21	4.48	4.39	4.17	4.27

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: GERM 101 02

Title: Elementary German I

Instructor: Sutton, Susanne

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 30

Questionnaires: 24

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	1	0	2	0	3	8	10	4.04	551/1019	4.02	4.27	4.09	3.97	4.04

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	4	0.00-0.99	1	Α	10	Required for Majors	4	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	3	1.00-1.99	0	В	9						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	1	С	3	General	13	Under-grad	24	Non-major	24
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	6	F	0	Electives	3	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	3				
				?	1						

Course-Section: GERM 102 01

Title: Elementary German II

Instructor: Sutton, Susanne

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 27

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	2	16	4.79	316/1644	4.80	4.42	4.32	4.16	4.79
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	0	0	1	3	13	4.71	375/1644	4.72	4.38	4.28	4.23	4.71
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	1	1	16	4.83	236/1419	4.78	4.55	4.35	4.25	4.83
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	6	13	4.68	329/1596	4.61	4.40	4.24	4.09	4.68
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	3	15	4.74	239/1535	4.42	4.38	4.15	4.02	4.74
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	2	16	4.89	101/1510	4.54	4.34	4.13	3.91	4.89
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	3	15	4.74	249/1620	4.69	4.21	4.20	4.13	4.74
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	7	12	4.63	1075/1642	4.72	4.74	4.68	4.68	4.63
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	5	1	0	0	0	4	9	4.69	221/1596	4.62	4.19	4.12	4.07	4.69
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	4	15	4.79	473/1534	4.69	4.52	4.48	4.45	4.79
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	19	5.00	1/1539	4.82	4.81	4.76	4.72	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	4	15	4.79	304/1531	4.69	4.38	4.33	4.30	4.79
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	18	4.95	121/1530	4.79	4.49	4.35	4.30	4.95
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	3	16	4.84	129/1409	4.69	4.18	4.08	3.97	4.84
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	1	5	3	9	4.11	826/1366	4.01	4.33	4.18	3.96	4.11
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	5	13	4.72	431/1364	4.41	4.56	4.33	4.10	4.72
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	4	14	4.78	416/1361	4.53	4.48	4.39	4.17	4.78
4. Were special techniques successful	2	2	0	0	1	5	9	4.53	248/1019	4.37	4.27	4.09	3.97	4.53

Course-Section: GERM 102 01

Title: Elementary German II

Instructor: Sutton, Susanne

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 27

Questionnaires: 19

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/185	****	****	4.23	4.19	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/209	****	4.80	4.19	4.18	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/181	****	4.80	4.53	4.68	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/183	****	4.80	4.46	4.50	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/172	****	****	4.14	4.22	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/72	****	4.83	4.53	4.35	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/71	****	4.89	4.38	4.21	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/68	****	4.84	4.41	4.22	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/71	****	4.66	4.40	4.19	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/73	****	4.39	4.09	3.85	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/48	****	4.93	4.16	3.97	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/45	****	4.93	4.19	3.97	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	****	4.93	4.57	4.58	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/27	****	4.87	4.25	4.37	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/25	****	4.90	4.35	4.63	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/51	****	4.50	4.03	4.19	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	****	4.80	4.18	4.46	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/36	****	4.67	4.33	4.38	****

Run Date: 1/30/2014 2:13:03 PM

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: GERM 102 01

Title: Elementary German II

Instructor: Sutton, Susanne

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 27
Questionnaires: 19

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/19	****	4.20	4.17	4.29	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/14	****	4.60	4.17	4.35	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	4	Required for Majors	5	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	3	1.00-1.99	1	В	10						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	5	С	2	General	9	Under-grad	19	Non-major	18
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	4	D	1						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	2				
				?	1						

Course-Section: GERM 102 02

Title: Elementary German II

Instructor: Sutton, Susanne

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 16

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	2	9	4.82	276/1644	4.80	4.42	4.32	4.16	4.82
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	3	8	4.73	346/1644	4.72	4.38	4.28	4.23	4.73
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	3	8	4.73	380/1419	4.78	4.55	4.35	4.25	4.73
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	3	7	4.55	515/1596	4.61	4.40	4.24	4.09	4.55
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	3	3	4	4.10	888/1535	4.42	4.38	4.15	4.02	4.10
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	7	3	4.18	804/1510	4.54	4.34	4.13	3.91	4.18
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	2	8	4.64	364/1620	4.69	4.21	4.20	4.13	4.64
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	1	0	10	4.82	819/1642	4.72	4.74	4.68	4.68	4.82
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	0	4	5	4.56	339/1596	4.62	4.19	4.12	4.07	4.56
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	4	6	4.60	772/1534	4.69	4.52	4.48	4.45	4.60
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	1	2	8	4.64	1174/1539	4.82	4.81	4.76	4.72	4.64
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	4	6	4.60	565/1531	4.69	4.38	4.33	4.30	4.60
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	4	7	4.64	606/1530	4.79	4.49	4.35	4.30	4.64
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	5	6	4.55	347/1409	4.69	4.18	4.08	3.97	4.55
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	1	7	2	3.91	942/1366	4.01	4.33	4.18	3.96	3.91
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	4	2	5	4.09	967/1364	4.41	4.56	4.33	4.10	4.09
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	2	4	5	4.27	917/1361	4.53	4.48	4.39	4.17	4.27

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: GERM 102 02

Title: Elementary German II

Instructor: Sutton, Susanne

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 16

Questionnaires: 11

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	0	1	0	1	1	3	5	4.20	462/1019	4.37	4.27	4.09	3.97	4.20

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	1	Α	6	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	4						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	2	С	1	General	7	Under-grad	11	Non-major	11
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	0						

Course-Section: GERM 301 01

Title: Adv German Studies I

Instructor: May, Brigitte Z

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 10

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1644	5.00	4.42	4.32	4.31	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	9	4.90	143/1644	4.90	4.38	4.28	4.25	4.90
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	8	4.80	265/1419	4.80	4.55	4.35	4.31	4.80
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	131/1596	4.89	4.40	4.24	4.25	4.89
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	9	4.90	105/1535	4.90	4.38	4.15	4.14	4.90
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1510	5.00	4.34	4.13	4.16	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	2	2	6	4.40	685/1620	4.40	4.21	4.20	4.18	4.40
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	2	8	4.80	840/1642	4.80	4.74	4.68	4.65	4.80
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	4	5	4.56	339/1596	4.56	4.19	4.12	4.09	4.56
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	1	9	4.90	248/1534	4.90	4.52	4.48	4.44	4.90
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	1	9	4.90	609/1539	4.90	4.81	4.76	4.74	4.90
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	3	7	4.70	421/1531	4.70	4.38	4.33	4.30	4.70
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	2	8	4.80	356/1530	4.80	4.49	4.35	4.32	4.80
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	1	0	8	4.78	169/1409	4.78	4.18	4.08	4.09	4.78
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1366	5.00	4.33	4.18	4.22	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	6	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1364	5.00	4.56	4.33	4.37	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	6	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1361	5.00	4.48	4.39	4.39	5.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: GERM 301 01

Title: Adv German Studies I

Instructor: May, Brigitte Z

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 10

Questionnaires: 10

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	6	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	145/1019	4.75	4.27	4.09	4.04	4.75

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	1	Α	3	Required for Majors	7	Graduate	0	Major	3
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	4						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	10	Non-major	7
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	3						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: GERM 302 01

Title: Advanced German Studies

Instructor: Sutton, Susanne

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 1

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1644	5.00	4.42	4.32	4.31	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1644	5.00	4.38	4.28	4.25	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1642	5.00	4.74	4.68	4.65	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	971/1596	4.00	4.19	4.12	4.09	4.00
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/48	5.00	4.93	4.16	4.95	5.00
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/45	5.00	4.93	4.19	4.95	5.00
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/30	5.00	4.93	4.57	4.93	5.00
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/27	5.00	4.87	4.25	4.90	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	0	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	1
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	1			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: GERM 315 01

Title: Experiential Learning in

Instructor: Sutton, Susanne

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	tructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1644	5.00	4.42	4.32	4.31	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1644	5.00	4.38	4.28	4.25	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1510	5.00	4.34	4.13	4.16	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1620	5.00	4.21	4.20	4.18	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1642	5.00	4.74	4.68	4.65	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1596	5.00	4.19	4.12	4.09	5.00
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/48	5.00	4.93	4.16	4.95	5.00
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/45	5.00	4.93	4.19	4.95	5.00
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/30	5.00	4.93	4.57	4.93	5.00
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/27	5.00	4.87	4.25	4.90	5.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: GERM 315 01

Title: Experiential Learning in

Instructor: Sutton,Susanne

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 1

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Field Work														
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/25	5.00	4.90	4.35	4.90	5.00

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	0	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	1
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	1			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: GERM 401 01

Title: Studies in German Langua

Instructor: May, Brigitte Z

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 5

	NR NA 1 2 3 4 5 Mean								structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1644	5.00	4.42	4.32	4.47	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1644	5.00	4.38	4.28	4.35	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1419	5.00	4.55	4.35	4.48	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1596	5.00	4.40	4.24	4.34	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1535	5.00	4.38	4.15	4.26	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1510	5.00	4.34	4.13	4.29	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1620	5.00	4.21	4.20	4.25	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1642	5.00	4.74	4.68	4.67	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	178/1596	4.75	4.19	4.12	4.20	4.75
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1534	5.00	4.52	4.48	4.54	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.81	4.76	4.81	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1531	5.00	4.38	4.33	4.38	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1530	5.00	4.49	4.35	4.41	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	4.60	296/1409	4.60	4.18	4.08	4.15	4.60
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1366	5.00	4.33	4.18	4.37	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1364	5.00	4.56	4.33	4.52	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1361	5.00	4.48	4.39	4.59	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	3	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/1019	****	4.27	4.09	4.32	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: GERM 401 01

Title: Studies in German Langua

Instructor: May, Brigitte Z

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 5

Questionnaires: 5

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/72	****	4.83	4.53	4.71	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/71	****	4.89	4.38	4.66	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/68	****	4.84	4.41	4.74	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/71	****	4.66	4.40	4.50	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/73	****	4.39	4.09	4.32	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	0	Major	4
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	2						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	5	Non-major	1
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Run Date: 1/30/2014 2:13:03 PM