Course-Section: MLL 190 01			Term	- Fal	<mark>l 201</mark> 3	3						Enro	lment:	40
Title: The World Of Language I											Q	uestion	naires:	28
Instructor: Westphal,German														
				Fre	queno	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General		_					_			_	_	_		
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	3	0	1	4	9	7	4	3.36	1553/1644	3.87	4.42	4.32	4.16	3.36
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	4	0	1	4	10	6	3	3.25	1554/1644	3.92	4.38	4.28	4.23	3.25
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	4	0	0	4	4	5	11	3.96	1126/1419	4.29	4.55	4.35	4.25	3.96
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	4	17	1	2	0	2	2	3.29	1503/1596	3.98	4.40	4.24	4.09	3.29
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	3	0	2	2	6	3	12	3.84	1111/1535	4.09	4.38	4.15	4.02	3.84
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	3	23	2	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/1510	4.64	4.34	4.13	3.91	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	3	1	3	1	6	5	9	3.67	1366/1620	4.13	4.21	4.20	4.13	3.67
8. How many times was class cancelled	3	1	0	0	3	15	6	4.13	1481/1642	4.54	4.74	4.68	4.68	4.13
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	9	0	1	4	8	6	0	3.00	1524/1596	3.68	4.19	4.12	4.07	3.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	2	2	8	7	6	3.52	1458/1534	4.07	4.52	4.48	4.45	3.52
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	1	1	4	7	12	4.12	1469/1539	4.56	4.81	4.76	4.72	4.12
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	2	3	8	9	3	3.32	1425/1531	3.92	4.38	4.33	4.30	3.32
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	2	3	2	7	7	4	3.30	1438/1530	4.06	4.49	4.35	4.30	3.30
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	4	1	6	3	6	5	3.38	1220/1409	3.98	4.18	4.08	3.97	3.38
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	15	0	3	2	3	1	4	3.08	1272/1366	3.71	4.33	4.18	3.96	3.08
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	16	0	1	5	2	1	3	3.00	1297/1364	3.39	4.56	4.33	4.10	3.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	16	0	2	4	3	2	1	2.67	1333/1361	3.44	4.48	4.39	4.17	2.67

Report Help

Course-Section:	MLL 190 01			Term	ı - Fal	<mark>l 201</mark> 3	3						Enro	llment:	40
Title:	The World Of Language I											Q	uestion	naires:	28
Instructor:	Westphal,German														
					Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Discussion														
4. Were special technique	ies successful	16	8	2	1	0	1	0	2.00	****/1019	****	4.27	4.09	3.97	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	А	3	Required for Majors	14	Graduate	0	Major	11
28-55	3	1.00-1.99	0	В	15						
56-83	3	2.00-2.99	3	С	3	General	2	Under-grad	28	Non-major	17
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	6	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	3				
				?	6						

Course-Section: MLL 190 02			Term	- Fal	<mark>l 201</mark> 3	3						Enro	llment:	45
Title: The World Of Language I											Q	uestion	naires:	25
Instructor: McCray,Stanley														
				Fre	quene	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	2	0	1	5	16	4.38	846/1644	3.87	4.42	4.32	4.16	4.38
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	1	1	5	17	4.58	533/1644	3.92	4.38	4.28	4.23	4.58
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	1	0	0	5	18	4.63	516/1419	4.29	4.55	4.35	4.25	4.63
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	12	0	0	0	4	8	4.67	356/1596	3.98	4.40	4.24	4.09	4.67
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	2	2	6	14	4.33	658/1535	4.09	4.38	4.15	4.02	4.33
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	13	0	0	1	2	8	4.64	304/1510	4.64	4.34	4.13	3.91	4.64
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	1	0	0	2	5	15	4.59	410/1620	4.13	4.21	4.20	4.13	4.59
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	1	0	0	0	1	22	4.96	316/1642	4.54	4.74	4.68	4.68	4.96
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	6	0	0	0	2	8	9	4.37	565/1596	3.68	4.19	4.12	4.07	4.37
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	1	0	0	5	18	4.63	739/1534	4.07	4.52	4.48	4.45	4.63
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	23	5.00	1/1539	4.56	4.81	4.76	4.72	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	1	0	1	5	16	4.52	692/1531	3.92	4.38	4.33	4.30	4.52
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	1	0	0	0	4	18	4.82	340/1530	4.06	4.49	4.35	4.30	4.82
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	2	0	0	1	7	13	4.57	321/1409	3.98	4.18	4.08	3.97	4.57
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	16	0	0	0	2	2	5	4.33	660/1366	3.71	4.33	4.18	3.96	4.33
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	16	0	0	0	5	1	3	3.78	1134/1364	3.39	4.56	4.33	4.10	3.78
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	16	0	0	0	2	3	4	4.22	946/1361	3.44	4.48	4.39	4.17	4.22
4. Were special techniques successful	17	5	2	0	0	0	1	2.33	****/1019	****	4.27	4.09	3.97	****

### Report Help

Course-Section: MLL 190 02			Term	<mark>- Fal</mark>	<mark>l 201</mark> 3	3						Enro	llment:	45
Title: The World Of Language I											Q	uestion	naires:	25
Instructor: McCray,Stanley														
				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	23	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/185	****	****	4.23	4.19	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	24	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/209	****	4.80	4.19	4.18	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	24	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/181	****	4.80	4.53	4.68	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	24	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/183	****	4.80	4.46	4.50	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	24	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/172	****	****	4.14	4.22	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	23	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/72	****	4.83	4.53	4.35	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	23	1	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/71	****	4.89	4.38	4.21	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	23	1	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/68	****	4.84	4.41	4.22	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	23	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/71	****	4.66	4.40	4.19	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	23	1	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/73	****	4.39	4.09	3.85	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	24	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/48	****	4.93	4.16	3.97	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	24	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/45	****	4.93	4.19	3.97	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	23	1	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/30	****	4.93	4.57	4.58	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	23	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/27	****	4.87	4.25	4.37	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	23	1	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/25	****	4.90	4.35	4.63	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	22	0	0	1	0	1	1	3.67	****/51	****	4.50	4.03	4.19	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	22	0	1	0	0	0	2	3.67	****/31	****	4.80	4.18	4.46	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	22	0	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	****/36	****	4.67	4.33	4.38	****

Run Date: 1/30/2014 2:16:09 PM

Term - Fall 2013 Course-Section: MLL 190 02 **Enrollment: 45** Title: The World Of Language I **Questionnaires: 25** Instructor: McCray, Stanley UMBC Level Sect **Frequencies** Instructor Course Org Questions NR NA 1 2 3 5 Mean Rank Mean Mean Mean 4 Mean Self Paced 4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful 22 3.00 \*\*\*\*/19 \*\*\*\* 4.20 4.29 \*\*\*\* 4.17 1 1 0 0 0 1 \*\*\*\*/14 5. Were there enough proctors for all the students 22 3.50 \*\*\*\* 4.60 4.17 4.35 \*\*\*\* 1 0 1 0 0 1

### **Frequency Distribution**

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	1	А	15	Required for Majors	11	Graduate	0	Major	4
28-55	3	1.00-1.99	0	В	4						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	4	Under-grad	25	Non-major	21
84-150	4	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	7	F	0	Electives	4	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	6						

Course-Section: MLL 213 01			Term	- Fal	<mark>l 201</mark> 3	3						Enro	llment:	34
Title: Film And Society Spain							•				Q	uestion	naires:	20
Instructor: Hogan,Erin K.														
				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	1	1	4	14	4.55	626/1644	4.55	4.42	4.32	4.36	4.55
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	6	12	4.50	633/1644	4.50	4.38	4.28	4.35	4.50
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	6	12	4.50	632/1419	4.50	4.55	4.35	4.42	4.50
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	6	12	4.50	567/1596	4.50	4.40	4.24	4.31	4.50
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	0	7	12	4.50	442/1535	4.50	4.38	4.15	4.20	4.50
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	2	8	10	4.40	538/1510	4.40	4.34	4.13	4.17	4.40
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	3	6	11	4.40	685/1620	4.40	4.21	4.20	4.25	4.40
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	19	4.95	316/1642	4.95	4.74	4.68	4.67	4.95
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	1	1	1	2	10	4	3.83	1183/1596	3.83	4.19	4.12	4.13	3.83
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	2	18	4.90	248/1534	4.90	4.52	4.48	4.51	4.90
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	2	18	4.90	609/1539	4.90	4.81	4.76	4.80	4.90
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	3	15	4.83	241/1531	4.83	4.38	4.33	4.38	4.83
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	3	15	4.74	470/1530	4.74	4.49	4.35	4.41	4.74
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	1	0	0	1	2	15	4.78	169/1409	4.78	4.18	4.08	4.23	4.78
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	1	1	1	14	4.65	387/1366	4.65	4.33	4.18	4.24	4.65
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	0	2	1	14	4.71	454/1364	4.71	4.56	4.33	4.39	4.71
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	1	3	13	4.71	503/1361	4.71	4.48	4.39	4.48	4.71
4. Were special techniques successful	3	0	0	0	2	6	9	4.41	331/1019	4.41	4.27	4.09	4.14	4.41

#### Report Help

Course-Section: MLL 213 01			Term	- Fal	<mark>l 201</mark> 3	3						Enro	llment:	34
Title: Film And Society Spain											Q	uestion	naires:	20
Instructor: Hogan,Erin K.														
				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	19	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/185	****	****	4.23	4.42	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	19	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/209	****	4.80	4.19	4.45	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	19	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/181	****	4.80	4.53	4.67	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	19	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/183	****	4.80	4.46	4.64	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	19	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/172	****	****	4.14	4.50	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	19	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/72	****	4.83	4.53	4.71	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	19	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/71	****	4.89	4.38	4.63	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	19	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/68	****	4.84	4.41	4.25	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	19	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/71	****	4.66	4.40	4.47	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	19	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/73	****	4.39	4.09	3.99	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	19	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/48	****	4.93	4.16	4.81	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	19	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/45	****	4.93	4.19	4.58	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	19	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	****	4.93	4.57	4.57	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	19	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/27	****	4.87	4.25	5.00	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	19	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/25	****	4.90	4.35	5.00	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	19	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/51	****	4.50	4.03	5.00	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	19	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	****	4.80	4.18	5.00	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	19	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/36	****	4.67	4.33	****	****

Run Date: 1/30/2014 2:16:09 PM

Term - Fall 2013 Course-Section: MLL 213 01 **Enrollment: 34** Title: Film And Society Spain **Questionnaires: 20 Instructor:** Hogan, Erin K. UMBC Level Sect **Frequencies** Instructor Course Org Questions NR NA 1 2 3 5 Mean Rank Mean Mean Mean 4 Mean Self Paced 4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful 5.00 \*\*\*\*/19 \*\*\*\* 4.20 \*\*\*\* \*\*\*\* 19 4.17 0 0 0 0 0 1 \*\*\*\*/14 5. Were there enough proctors for all the students 19 5.00 \*\*\*\* 4.60 4.17 \*\*\*\* 0 0 0 0 0 1 \*\*\*\*

### **Frequency Distribution**

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	А	9	Required for Majors	4	Graduate	0	Major	3
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	7						
56-83	3	2.00-2.99	2	С	2	General	9	Under-grad	20	Non-major	17
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	5	F	0	Electives	6	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	2						

Course-Section: MLL 230 01			Term	- Fal	<mark>  201</mark> 3	3						Enro	lment:	43
Title: World Lang Communities											Q	uestion	naires:	36
Instructor: May,Brigitte Z														
				Fre	quene	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	2	5	10	18	4.26	975/1644	4.26	4.42	4.32	4.36	4.26
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	3	0	2	1	4	11	15	4.09	1156/1644	4.09	4.38	4.28	4.35	4.09
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	3	6	26	4.66	476/1419	4.66	4.55	4.35	4.42	4.66
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	1	0	1	7	13	13	4.12	1064/1596	4.12	4.40	4.24	4.31	4.12
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	3	9	23	4.57	385/1535	4.57	4.38	4.15	4.20	4.57
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	1	0	1	8	11	14	4.12	866/1510	4.12	4.34	4.13	4.17	4.12
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	1	2	9	6	17	4.03	1118/1620	4.03	4.21	4.20	4.25	4.03
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	23	12	4.34	1335/1642	4.34	4.74	4.68	4.67	4.34
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	5	0	1	1	10	14	5	3.68	1296/1596	3.68	4.19	4.12	4.13	3.68
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	1	1	3	10	20	4.34	1082/1534	4.34	4.52	4.48	4.51	4.34
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	3	9	23	4.57	1238/1539	4.57	4.81	4.76	4.80	4.57
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	3	6	10	16	4.11	1110/1531	4.11	4.38	4.33	4.38	4.11
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	2	0	5	11	17	4.17	1064/1530	4.17	4.49	4.35	4.41	4.17
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	1	3	3	15	13	4.03	813/1409	4.03	4.18	4.08	4.23	4.03
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	18	0	0	0	7	4	7	4.00	862/1366	4.00	4.33	4.18	4.24	4.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	18	0	0	0	2	4	12	4.56	609/1364	4.56	4.56	4.33	4.39	4.56
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	18	0	0	0	2	4	12	4.56	661/1361	4.56	4.48	4.39	4.48	4.56

Report Help

Course-Section:	MLL 230 01			Term	- Fal	<mark>l 201</mark> 3	3						Enro	llment:	43
Title:	World Lang Communities											Q	uestion	naires:	36
Instructor:	May,Brigitte Z														
					Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Discussion														
4. Were special technique	les successful	18	0	0	1	4	3	10	4.22	449/1019	4.22	4.27	4.09	4.14	4.22

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	Α	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	А	21	Required for Majors	28	Graduate	0	Major	18
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	10						
56-83	6	2.00-2.99	3	С	0	General	1	Under-grad	36	Non-major	18
84-150	9	3.00-3.49	3	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	15	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	5						

Course-Section: MLL 240 01			Term	- Fal	<b>201</b> 3	3						Enro	lment:	14
Title: Cult. Sustainability											Q	uestion	naires:	7
Instructor: Deverneil,Marie														
				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General											_			
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	0	6	4.71	414/1644	4.71	4.42	4.32	4.36	4.71
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	2	4	4.43	768/1644	4.43	4.38	4.28	4.35	4.43
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	6	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1419	****	4.55	4.35	4.42	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	292/1596	4.71	4.40	4.24	4.31	4.71
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	1	5	4.57	385/1535	4.57	4.38	4.15	4.20	4.57
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	1	5	4.57	359/1510	4.57	4.34	4.13	4.17	4.57
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	2	1	4	4.29	849/1620	4.29	4.21	4.20	4.25	4.29
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1642	5.00	4.74	4.68	4.67	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1596	5.00	4.19	4.12	4.13	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	1	1	5	4.57	807/1534	4.57	4.52	4.48	4.51	4.57
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	1	0	6	4.71	1066/1539	4.71	4.81	4.76	4.80	4.71
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	218/1531	4.86	4.38	4.33	4.38	4.86
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	0	6	4.71	502/1530	4.71	4.49	4.35	4.41	4.71
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	124/1409	4.86	4.18	4.08	4.23	4.86
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1366	5.00	4.33	4.18	4.24	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	278/1364	4.86	4.56	4.33	4.39	4.86
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	313/1361	4.86	4.48	4.39	4.48	4.86

Report Help

Course-Section:	MLL 240 01			Term	ı - Fal	<mark>l 201</mark> :	3						Enro	llment:	14
Title:	Cult. Sustainability							-				Q	uestion	naires:	7
Instructor:	Deverneil, Marie														
					Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Discussion														
4. Were special techniqu	es successful	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	4.57	229/1019	4.57	4.27	4.09	4.14	4.57

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	А	5	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	2						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	1	Under-grad	7	Non-major	6
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	3	**** - Means ther	e are not ei	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MLL 280 01			Term	- Fal	<mark>l 201</mark> 3	3						Enro	lment:	36
Title: Intro Span Spkng World							•				Q	uestion	naires:	16
Instructor: Poggio,Sara Z														
				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	2	6	5	3	3.56	1499/1644	3.56	4.42	4.32	4.36	3.56
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	2	6	2	6	3.75	1385/1644	3.75	4.38	4.28	4.35	3.75
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	1	0	5	2	8	4.00	1090/1419	4.00	4.55	4.35	4.42	4.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	2	4	5	4	3.73	1320/1596	3.73	4.40	4.24	4.31	3.73
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	1	2	5	7	4.00	970/1535	4.00	4.38	4.15	4.20	4.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	8	4	3	3.67	1182/1510	3.67	4.34	4.13	4.17	3.67
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	4	5	5	2	3.31	1493/1620	3.31	4.21	4.20	4.25	3.31
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	1	11	4	4.19	1444/1642	4.19	4.74	4.68	4.67	4.19
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	0	0	8	3	1	3.42	1428/1596	3.42	4.19	4.12	4.13	3.42
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	2	3	5	5	3.87	1366/1534	3.87	4.52	4.48	4.51	3.87
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	1	14	4.93	426/1539	4.93	4.81	4.76	4.80	4.93
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	2	3	5	5	3.87	1265/1531	3.87	4.38	4.33	4.38	3.87
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	0	5	2	7	3.93	1213/1530	3.93	4.49	4.35	4.41	3.93
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	1	4	7	3	3.80	993/1409	3.80	4.18	4.08	4.23	3.80
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	2	1	2	3	3.75	1047/1366	3.75	4.33	4.18	4.24	3.75
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	8	0	0	0	1	4	3	4.25	877/1364	4.25	4.56	4.33	4.39	4.25
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	8	0	0	0	1	3	4	4.38	842/1361	4.38	4.48	4.39	4.48	4.38
4. Were special techniques successful	8	2	0	0	1	4	1	4.00	559/1019	4.00	4.27	4.09	4.14	4.00

15

15

0

0

0

0

0

0

1

0

0

1

0

0

3.00

4.00

Course-Section: MLL 280 01 Term - Fall 2013 Enrollment: 36 **Ouestionnaires: 16 Title: Intro Span Spkng World Instructor:** Poggio,Sara Z UMBC Level **Frequencies** Instructor Course Ora Sect **Questions** NR NA 3 5 Mean Mean Mean Mean 1 2 4 Rank Mean Mean Laboratory 2. Were you provided with adequate background information 15 \*\*\*\*/209 \*\*\*\* \*\*\*\* 4.45 0 1 0 0 0 0 1.00 4.80 4.19 Seminar 1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme \*\*\*\*/72 \*\*\*\* \*\*\*\* 15 0 0 0 0 0 3.00 4.83 4.53 4.71 1 2. Was the instructor available for individual attention \*\*\*\*/71 \*\*\*\* \*\*\*\* 15 4.38 0 0 0 1 0 0 3.00 4.89 4.63 \*\*\*\*/68 3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned 15 0 0 0 1 0 0 3.00 \*\*\*\* 4.84 4.41 4.25 \*\*\*\* \*\*\*\*/71 4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned 15 0 0 0 3.00 \*\*\*\* \*\*\*\* 0 1 0 4.66 4.40 4.47 5. Were criteria for grading made clear 15 \*\*\*\*/73 \*\*\*\* \*\*\*\* 0 0 0 0 0 3.00 4.39 4.09 3.99 1 **Field Work** 1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned \*\*\*\*/48 \*\*\*\* \*\*\*\* 15 0 0 0 0 0 1.00 4.93 4.16 4.81 1 \*\*\*\*/45 2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria 15 0 1 0 0 0 0 \*\*\*\* 4.93 4.19 4.58 \*\*\*\* 1.00 Self Paced \*\*\*\*/51 \*\*\*\* \*\*\*\* 1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned 15 0 0 0 0 0 3.00 4.50 4.03 5.00 1 \*\*\*\*/31 2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal 15 \*\*\*\* \*\*\*\* 0 0 0 0 0 4.00 4.80 4.18 5.00 1

3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful

4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful

\*\*\*\*

\*\*\*\*

4.67

4.20

4.33

4.17

\*\*\*\*/36

\*\*\*\*/19

**Report Help** 

\*\*\*\*

\*\*\*\*

\*\*\*\*

\*\*\*\*

Report Help

Course-Section:	MLL 280 01			Term	ı - Fal	<mark>l 201</mark> :	3						Enro	llment:	36
Title:	Intro Span Spkng World											Q	uestion	naires:	16
Instructor:	Poggio,Sara Z														
					Fre	quen	cies		Ins	tructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mear
	Self Paced														
5. Were there enough pr	octors for all the students	15	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/14	****	4.60	4.17	****	****

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	А	3	Required for Majors	6	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	6						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	1	General	6	Under-grad	16	Non-major	15
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	2						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	4						

Course-Section: MLL 301 01			Term	- Fal	l 2013	3						Enro	llment:	29
Title: Textual Analysis											Q	uestion	naires:	23
Instructor: Shields, Anna M.														
				Fre	queno	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	3	20	4.87	220/1644	4.87	4.42	4.32	4.31	4.87
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	1	1	20	4.86	177/1644	4.86	4.38	4.28	4.25	4.86
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	3	19	4.86	208/1419	4.86	4.55	4.35	4.31	4.86
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	5	17	4.77	235/1596	4.77	4.40	4.24	4.25	4.77
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	2	1	19	4.77	211/1535	4.77	4.38	4.15	4.14	4.77
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	3	20	4.87	113/1510	4.87	4.34	4.13	4.16	4.87
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	5	18	4.78	186/1620	4.78	4.21	4.20	4.18	4.78
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	22	4.96	316/1642	4.96	4.74	4.68	4.65	4.96
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	5	0	0	0	1	0	17	4.89	104/1596	4.89	4.19	4.12	4.09	4.89
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	2	21	4.91	223/1534	4.91	4.52	4.48	4.44	4.91
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	23	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.81	4.76	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	1	1	21	4.87	207/1531	4.87	4.38	4.33	4.30	4.87
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	2	21	4.91	181/1530	4.91	4.49	4.35	4.32	4.91
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	1	1	20	4.86	118/1409	4.86	4.18	4.08	4.09	4.86
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	0	1	14	4.93	101/1366	4.93	4.33	4.18	4.22	4.93
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	8	0	0	0	0	0	15	5.00	1/1364	5.00	4.56	4.33	4.37	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	8	0	0	0	0	1	14	4.93	173/1361	4.93	4.48	4.39	4.39	4.93

Report Help

Course-Section:	MLL 301 01			Term	ı - Fal	<mark>l 201</mark> 3	3						Enro	llment:	29
Title:	Textual Analysis											Q	uestion	naires:	23
Instructor:	Shields,Anna M.														
					Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Discussion														
4. Were special techniqu	es successful	8	2	0	0	0	2	11	4.85	111/1019	4.85	4.27	4.09	4.04	4.85

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	А	13	Required for Majors	17	Graduate	0	Major	14
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	8						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	3	С	0	General	2	Under-grad	23	Non-major	9
84-150	5	3.00-3.49	3	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	6	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	2						

Course-Section: MLL 305 01			Term	- Fal	<mark>l 201</mark> 3	3						Enro	lment:	30
Title: Intro Intercultural Comm											Q	uestion	naires:	17
Instructor: Kohler,Katherin														
				Fre	quene	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	1	0	1	6	9	4.29	932/1644	4.29	4.42	4.32	4.31	4.29
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	7	10	4.59	533/1644	4.59	4.38	4.28	4.25	4.59
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	9	0	0	0	5	3	4.38	812/1419	4.38	4.55	4.35	4.31	4.38
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	0	7	9	4.41	702/1596	4.41	4.40	4.24	4.25	4.41
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	2	4	11	4.53	425/1535	4.53	4.38	4.15	4.14	4.53
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	5	11	4.59	349/1510	4.59	4.34	4.13	4.16	4.59
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	3	4	10	4.41	669/1620	4.41	4.21	4.20	4.18	4.41
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	17	5.00	1/1642	5.00	4.74	4.68	4.65	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	2	8	6	4.25	705/1596	4.25	4.19	4.12	4.09	4.25
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	1	6	9	4.50	891/1534	4.50	4.52	4.48	4.44	4.50
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	2	14	4.88	694/1539	4.88	4.81	4.76	4.74	4.88
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	1	4	11	4.63	536/1531	4.63	4.38	4.33	4.30	4.63
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	3	12	4.69	544/1530	4.69	4.49	4.35	4.32	4.69
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	2	0	1	7	6	3.94	892/1409	3.94	4.18	4.08	4.09	3.94
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	0	4	7	4.64	396/1366	4.64	4.33	4.18	4.22	4.64
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	6	0	0	0	0	3	8	4.73	431/1364	4.73	4.56	4.33	4.37	4.73
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	6	0	0	0	0	1	10	4.91	247/1361	4.91	4.48	4.39	4.39	4.91
4. Were special techniques successful	6	1	1	0	2	2	5	4.00	559/1019	4.00	4.27	4.09	4.04	4.00

Course-Section: MLL 305 01			Term	- Fall	<mark>  201</mark> 3	3						Enro	llment:	30
Title: Intro Intercultural Comm							J				Q	uestion	naires:	17
Instructor: Kohler,Katherin														
				Fre	quenc	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	15	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/185	****	****	4.23	4.16	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	15	0	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	****/209	****	4.80	4.19	4.18	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	15	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/181	****	4.80	4.53	4.49	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	16	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/72	****	4.83	4.53	4.68	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	16	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/71	****	4.89	4.38	4.61	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	16	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/68	****	4.84	4.41	4.59	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	16	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/71	****	4.66	4.40	4.51	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	16	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/73	****	4.39	4.09	4.57	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	16	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/48	****	4.93	4.16	4.95	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	16	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/45	****	4.93	4.19	4.95	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	16	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/30	****	4.93	4.57	4.93	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	16	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/27	****	4.87	4.25	4.90	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	16	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/25	****	4.90	4.35	4.90	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	16	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/51	****	4.50	4.03	4.75	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	16	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	****	4.80	4.18	4.80	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	16	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/36	****	4.67	4.33	4.83	****
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	16	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/19	****	4.20	4.17	4.20	****

Report Help

Course-Section:	MLL 305 01			Term	ı - Fal	<mark>l 201</mark> 3	3						Enro	llment:	30
Title:	Intro Intercultural Comm											Q	uestion	naires:	17
Instructor:	Kohler,Katherin														
					Fre	quen	cies		Ins	tructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mear
	Self Paced														
5. Were there enough pr	roctors for all the students	16	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/14	****	4.60	4.17	4.60	****

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	А	13	Required for Majors	9	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	4	С	0	General	2	Under-grad	17	Non-major	16
84-150	7	3.00-3.49	3	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	3	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	2						

Course-Section: MLL 311 01			Term	- Fal	<b>201</b> 3	3						Enro	llment:	32
Title: Intro to Korean Culture											Q	uestion	naires:	25
Instructor: Yoon,Kyung-Eun														
	_			Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	3	0	1	1	1	2	17	4.50	688/1644	4.50	4.42	4.32	4.31	4.50
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	3	0	2	0	1	4	15	4.36	856/1644	4.36	4.38	4.28	4.25	4.36
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	3	0	1	1	1	4	15	4.41	775/1419	4.41	4.55	4.35	4.31	4.41
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	3	0	1	0	3	6	12	4.27	890/1596	4.27	4.40	4.24	4.25	4.27
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	2	2	17	4.71	253/1535	4.71	4.38	4.15	4.14	4.71
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	3	0	1	0	4	4	13	4.27	703/1510	4.27	4.34	4.13	4.16	4.27
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	3	0	2	0	0	3	17	4.50	527/1620	4.50	4.21	4.20	4.18	4.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	3	0	0	0	0	1	21	4.95	316/1642	4.95	4.74	4.68	4.65	4.95
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	7	2	0	0	3	6	7	4.25	705/1596	4.25	4.19	4.12	4.09	4.25
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	1	0	1	2	19	4.65	691/1534	4.65	4.52	4.48	4.44	4.65
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	1	4	18	4.74	1028/1539	4.74	4.81	4.76	4.74	4.74
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	1	0	2	4	16	4.48	762/1531	4.48	4.38	4.33	4.30	4.48
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	2	2	1	18	4.52	732/1530	4.52	4.49	4.35	4.32	4.52
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	1	1	1	1	6	12	4.29	598/1409	4.29	4.18	4.08	4.09	4.29
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	21	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	****/1366	****	4.33	4.18	4.22	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	21	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	****/1364	****	4.56	4.33	4.37	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	21	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	****/1361	****	4.48	4.39	4.39	****

Report Help

Course-Section:	MLL 311 01			Term	ı - Fal	<mark>l 201</mark>	3						Enro	llment:	32
Title:	Intro to Korean Culture							-				Q	uestion	naires:	25
Instructor:	Yoon,Kyung-Eun														
					Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Discussion														
4. Were special techniqu	les successful	21	0	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	****/1019	****	4.27	4.09	4.04	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	А	8	Required for Majors	7	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	8						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	1	General	5	Under-grad	25	Non-major	23
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	3	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	3	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	8						

Course-Section: MLL 328 01			Term	- Fal	<mark>l 201</mark> 3	3						Enro	llment:	26
Title: Chinese Fiction & Drama											Q	uestion	naires:	25
Instructor: Brown,William I														
				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	3	0	0	0	2	8	12	4.45	751/1644	4.45	4.42	4.32	4.31	4.45
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	3	0	0	0	3	6	13	4.45	717/1644	4.45	4.38	4.28	4.25	4.45
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	3	0	0	0	1	3	18	4.77	308/1419	4.77	4.55	4.35	4.31	4.77
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	3	3	0	0	1	7	11	4.53	541/1596	4.53	4.40	4.24	4.25	4.53
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	2	4	16	4.64	330/1535	4.64	4.38	4.15	4.14	4.64
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	3	3	1	0	1	10	7	4.16	831/1510	4.16	4.34	4.13	4.16	4.16
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	3	0	0	0	5	5	12	4.32	806/1620	4.32	4.21	4.20	4.18	4.32
8. How many times was class cancelled	3	0	0	0	1	16	5	4.18	1444/1642	4.18	4.74	4.68	4.65	4.18
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	7	0	0	0	1	7	10	4.50	382/1596	4.50	4.19	4.12	4.09	4.50
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	4	0	0	0	2	3	16	4.67	675/1534	4.67	4.52	4.48	4.44	4.67
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	4	0	0	0	1	0	20	4.90	609/1539	4.90	4.81	4.76	4.74	4.90
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	0	0	1	9	11	4.48	762/1531	4.48	4.38	4.33	4.30	4.48
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	2	3	16	4.67	569/1530	4.67	4.49	4.35	4.32	4.67
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	4	4	0	1	3	2	11	4.35	532/1409	4.35	4.18	4.08	4.09	4.35
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	18	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	191/1366	4.86	4.33	4.18	4.22	4.86
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	18	0	0	0	1	0	6	4.71	442/1364	4.71	4.56	4.33	4.37	4.71
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	18	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1361	5.00	4.48	4.39	4.39	5.00

Report Help

Course-Section:	MLL 328 01			Term	- Fal	<mark>l 201</mark>	3						Enro	llment:	26
Title:	Chinese Fiction & Drama											Q	uestion	naires:	25
Instructor:	Brown,William I														
					Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Discussion														
4. Were special techniqu	les successful	18	2	0	0	1	1	3	4.40	****/1019	****	4.27	4.09	4.04	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	А	13	Required for Majors	6	Graduate	0	Major	4
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	5						
56-83	3	2.00-2.99	2	С	1	General	5	Under-grad	25	Non-major	21
84-150	4	3.00-3.49	4	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	7	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	2				
				?	6						

Course-Section: MLL 332 01			Term	- Fal	<mark>l 201</mark> 3	3						Enro	lment:	11
Title: Topics In German Culture											Q	uestion	naires:	8
Instructor: Larkey,Edward														
				Fre	quene	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	1	1	2	4	4.13	1119/1644	4.13	4.42	4.32	4.31	4.13
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	1	3	3	4.00	1210/1644	4.00	4.38	4.28	4.25	4.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	5	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1419	5.00	4.55	4.35	4.31	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	2	5	4.50	567/1596	4.50	4.40	4.24	4.25	4.50
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	3	5	4.63	340/1535	4.63	4.38	4.15	4.14	4.63
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	107/1510	4.88	4.34	4.13	4.16	4.88
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	2	0	0	2	2	2	4.00	1134/1620	4.00	4.21	4.20	4.18	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1642	5.00	4.74	4.68	4.65	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	1	2	1	3	3.86	1171/1596	3.86	4.19	4.12	4.09	3.86
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	4	4	4.50	891/1534	4.50	4.52	4.48	4.44	4.50
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	1	0	7	4.75	990/1539	4.75	4.81	4.76	4.74	4.75
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	1	3	4	4.38	879/1531	4.38	4.38	4.33	4.30	4.38
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	1	1	5	4.25	1004/1530	4.25	4.49	4.35	4.32	4.25
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1409	5.00	4.18	4.08	4.09	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	0	6	4.71	322/1366	4.71	4.33	4.18	4.22	4.71
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	1	2	4	4.43	734/1364	4.43	4.56	4.33	4.37	4.43
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	1	2	4	4.43	795/1361	4.43	4.48	4.39	4.39	4.43

Report Help

Course-Section:	MLL 332 01			Term	ı - Fal	<mark>l 201</mark> :	3						Enro	llment:	11
Title:	Topics In German Culture											Q	uestion	naires:	8
Instructor:	Larkey,Edward														
					Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Discussion														
4. Were special techniqu	les successful	1	2	0	1	1	1	2	3.80	726/1019	3.80	4.27	4.09	4.04	3.80

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	А	3	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	4
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	2						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	С	2	General	2	Under-grad	8	Non-major	4
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	1						

Course-Section: MLL 406 01			Term	- Fal	l 2013	3						Enro	llment:	16
Title: Intercultural Media Thry											Q	uestion	naires:	11
Instructor: Shewbridge,Will														
				Fre	quene	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	3	8	4.73	400/1644	4.73	4.42	4.32	4.47	4.73
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	4	5	4.27	968/1644	4.27	4.38	4.28	4.35	4.27
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	7	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	337/1419	4.75	4.55	4.35	4.48	4.75
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	1	0	0	0	3	6	4.67	356/1596	4.67	4.40	4.24	4.34	4.67
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	3	6	4.50	442/1535	4.50	4.38	4.15	4.26	4.50
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	2	9	4.82	143/1510	4.82	4.34	4.13	4.29	4.82
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	2	1	7	4.27	864/1620	4.27	4.21	4.20	4.25	4.27
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	5.00	1/1642	5.00	4.74	4.68	4.67	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	1	5	3	4.22	742/1596	4.22	4.19	4.12	4.20	4.22
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	1	2	5	4.50	891/1534	4.50	4.52	4.48	4.54	4.50
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	694/1539	4.88	4.81	4.76	4.81	4.88
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	0	0	3	5	4.63	536/1531	4.63	4.38	4.33	4.38	4.63
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	1	0	7	4.75	437/1530	4.75	4.49	4.35	4.41	4.75
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	4	1	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	134/1409	4.83	4.18	4.08	4.15	4.83
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	4	4	4.50	492/1366	4.50	4.33	4.18	4.37	4.50
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	0	2	2	4	4.25	877/1364	4.25	4.56	4.33	4.52	4.25
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1361	5.00	4.48	4.39	4.59	5.00

Report Help

Course-Section:	MLL 406 01			Term	- Fal	l 201:	3						Enro	llment:	16
Title:	Intercultural Media Thry											Q	uestion	naires:	11
Instructor:	Shewbridge, Will														
					Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Discussion														
4. Were special techniqu	es successful	3	4	0	2	0	0	2	3.50	842/1019	3.50	4.27	4.09	4.32	3.50

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	А	6	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	1	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	1	Under-grad	10	Non-major	11
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	1	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	3	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	4						

#### Report Help

Course-Section: MLL 498H 01			Term	- Fal	<mark>l 201</mark> 3	3						Enro	llment:	3
Title: Honors Seminar											Q	uestion	naires:	2
Instructor: Shields, Anna M.														
				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1644	5.00	4.42	4.32	4.47	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1644	5.00	4.38	4.28	4.35	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1596	5.00	4.40	4.24	4.34	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1535	5.00	4.38	4.15	4.26	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1510	5.00	4.34	4.13	4.29	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	3.00	1534/1620	3.00	4.21	4.20	4.25	3.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1642	5.00	4.74	4.68	4.67	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	1524/1596	3.00	4.19	4.12	4.20	3.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1534	5.00	4.52	4.48	4.54	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.81	4.76	4.81	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1531	5.00	4.38	4.33	4.38	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1530	5.00	4.49	4.35	4.41	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1409	5.00	4.18	4.08	4.15	5.00
Discussion								1						
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	862/1366	4.00	4.33	4.18	4.37	4.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1364	5.00	4.56	4.33	4.52	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1361	5.00	4.48	4.39	4.59	5.00
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/72	5.00	4.83	4.53	4.71	5.00
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/71	5.00	4.89	4.38	4.66	5.00

Run Date: 1/30/2014 2:16:10 PM

#### Course-Section: MLL 498H 01 Term - Fall 2013 **Enrollment: 3 Questionnaires: 2 Title: Honors Seminar** Instructor: Shields, Anna M. UMBC Level Sect **Frequencies** Instructor Course Org Questions NR NA 3 5 Mean Rank Mean Mean Mean 2 4 Mean 1 Seminar 0 Δ 2 5 00 1/68 5 00 4 74 Λ Λ Λ Λ 4 84 4 4 1 5 00 3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned

5. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	U	U	U	U	U	U	Z	5.00	1/08	5.00	4.84	4.41	4./4	5.00	
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/71	5.00	4.66	4.40	4.50	5.00	
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	58/73	3.50	4.39	4.09	4.32	3.50	

### **Frequency Distribution**

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	А	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means the	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				Ι	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section:	MLL 499H 01			Term	- Fal	2013	3						Enro	llment:	1
Title:	Honors Project											Q	uestion	naires:	1
Instructor:	Shields,Anna M.														
					Fre	quene	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	General														
1. Did you gain new insi	ghts,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1644	5.00	4.42	4.32	4.47	5.00
2. Did the instructor mal	ke clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1644	5.00	4.38	4.28	4.35	5.00
3. Did the exam question	ns reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1419	5.00	4.55	4.35	4.48	5.00
4. Did other evaluations	reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1596	5.00	4.40	4.24	4.34	5.00
5. Did assigned readings	s contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1535	5.00	4.38	4.15	4.26	5.00
6. Did written assignmer	nts contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1510	5.00	4.34	4.13	4.29	5.00
7. Was the grading system	em clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1620	5.00	4.21	4.20	4.25	5.00
8. How many times was	class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1642	5.00	4.74	4.68	4.67	5.00
9. How would you grade	e the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1596	5.00	4.19	4.12	4.20	5.00
	Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics	relevant to the announced theme	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/72	5.00	4.83	4.53	4.71	5.00
2. Was the instructor av	ailable for individual attention	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/71	5.00	4.89	4.38	4.66	5.00
3. Did research projects	contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/68	5.00	4.84	4.41	4.74	5.00
4. Did presentations con	tribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/71	5.00	4.66	4.40	4.50	5.00

Report Help

Course-Section:	MLL 499H 01			Term	ı - Fal	<mark>l 201</mark> :	3						Enro	lment:	1
Title:	Honors Project											Q	uestion	naires:	1
Instructor:	Shields,Anna M.														
					Fre	quen	cies		Inst	ructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Seminar														
5. Were criteria for grad	ing made clear	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/73	5.00	4.39	4.09	4.32	5.00

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	А	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MLL 603 01			Term	- Fal	<mark>  201</mark> 3	3						Enro	llment:	12
Title: Poli Economy Of Culture											Q	uestion	naires:	11
Instructor: Bazgan,Nicoleta														
				Fre	quene	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	5.00	1/1644	5.00	4.42	4.32	4.42	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	3	8	4.73	346/1644	4.73	4.38	4.28	4.32	4.73
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	10	4.91	170/1419	4.91	4.55	4.35	4.45	4.91
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	3	7	4.70	302/1596	4.70	4.40	4.24	4.32	4.70
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	1	9	4.90	105/1535	4.90	4.38	4.15	4.25	4.90
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	2	8	4.80	149/1510	4.80	4.34	4.13	4.24	4.80
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	1	0	1	1	7	4.30	820/1620	4.30	4.21	4.20	4.29	4.30
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1642	5.00	4.74	4.68	4.82	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	4	6	4.60	297/1596	4.60	4.19	4.12	4.20	4.60
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	3	8	4.73	576/1534	4.73	4.52	4.48	4.52	4.73
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.81	4.76	4.79	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	4	7	4.64	521/1531	4.64	4.38	4.33	4.34	4.64
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	3	8	4.73	486/1530	4.73	4.49	4.35	4.38	4.73
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	1	1	2	7	4.36	523/1409	4.36	4.18	4.08	4.04	4.36
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	2	7	4.60	424/1366	4.60	4.33	4.18	4.26	4.60
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	1	9	4.90	215/1364	4.90	4.56	4.33	4.46	4.90
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	1	2	7	4.60	619/1361	4.60	4.48	4.39	4.49	4.60
4. Were special techniques successful	1	1	1	0	0	3	5	4.22	449/1019	4.22	4.27	4.09	4.12	4.22

#### Course-Section: MLL 603 01 Term - Fall 2013 Enrollment: 12 **Title: Poli Economy Of Culture Ouestionnaires: 11 Instructor:** Bazgan,Nicoleta **Frequencies** Instructor Ora UMBC Level Sect Course Questions NR NA 2 3 Mean Mean Mean Mean 1 4 5 Rank Mean Seminar 1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme \*\*\*\*/72 \*\*\*\* \*\*\*\* 5.00 4.83 4.53 4.53 9 0 0 0 0 0 2 \*\*\*\*/71 \*\*\*\* 4.89 4.38 \*\*\*\* 2. Was the instructor available for individual attention 9 0 0 0 1 0 1 4.00 4.31 \*\*\*\*/68 3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned 9 2 \*\*\*\* 4.37 \*\*\*\* 0 0 0 0 0 5.00 4.84 4.41 4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned 5.00 \*\*\*\*/71 \*\*\*\* \*\*\*\* 9 0 0 2 4.66 4.40 4.53 0 0 0 5. Were criteria for grading made clear \*\*\*\*/73 \*\*\*\* 9 0 1 0 0 0 1 3.00 \*\*\*\* 4.39 4.09 4.09

### **Frequency Distribution**

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	3	0.00-0.99	0	А	6	Required for Majors	11	Graduate	7	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	3						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	4	Non-major	11
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	7	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: MLL 605 01			Term	- Fal	<mark>l 201</mark> 3	3						Enro	llment:	9
Title: Field Of Intercult Comm											Q	uestion	naires:	9
Instructor: Larkey,Edward														
				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	2	2	5	4.33	889/1644	4.33	4.42	4.32	4.42	4.33
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	5	3	4.22	1018/1644	4.22	4.38	4.28	4.32	4.22
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	6	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	462/1419	4.67	4.55	4.35	4.45	4.67
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	3	5	4.44	657/1596	4.44	4.40	4.24	4.32	4.44
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	1	6	4.63	340/1535	4.63	4.38	4.15	4.25	4.63
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	1	2	1	4	4.00	921/1510	4.00	4.34	4.13	4.24	4.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	0	3	5	4.63	375/1620	4.63	4.21	4.20	4.29	4.63
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	694/1642	4.88	4.74	4.68	4.82	4.88
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	3	4	1	3.75	1240/1596	3.75	4.19	4.12	4.20	3.75
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	1	4	3	4.25	1155/1534	4.25	4.52	4.48	4.52	4.25
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	990/1539	4.75	4.81	4.76	4.79	4.75
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	2	2	4	4.25	990/1531	4.25	4.38	4.33	4.34	4.25
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	3	3	3	4.00	1163/1530	4.00	4.49	4.35	4.38	4.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	2	0	1	2	4	3.67	1089/1409	3.67	4.18	4.08	4.04	3.67
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	3	3	3	4.00	862/1366	4.00	4.33	4.18	4.26	4.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	1	0	1	7	4.56	609/1364	4.56	4.56	4.33	4.46	4.56
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	1	0	8	4.78	416/1361	4.78	4.48	4.39	4.49	4.78
4. Were special techniques successful	0	1	0	0	2	2	4	4.25	431/1019	4.25	4.27	4.09	4.12	4.25

Course-Section:	MLL 605 01			Term	- Fal	2013	3						Enro	llment:	9
Title:	Field Of Intercult Comm							8				Q	uestion	naires:	9
Instructor:	Larkey,Edward														
					Fre	queno	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics	relevant to the announced theme	0	0	0	0	0	6	3	4.33	57/72	4.33	4.83	4.53	4.53	4.33
2. Was the instructor av	ailable for individual attention	0	1	0	0	1	1	6	4.63	32/71	4.63	4.89	4.38	4.31	4.63
3. Did research projects	contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	1	3	4	4.38	39/68	4.38	4.84	4.41	4.37	4.38
4. Did presentations con	tribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	3	2	4	4.11	56/71	4.11	4.66	4.40	4.53	4.11
5. Were criteria for grad	ing made clear	0	0	0	1	1	4	3	4.00	44/73	4.00	4.39	4.09	4.09	4.00
	Field Work														
1. Did field experience c	ontribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/48	****	4.93	4.16	3.59	****
2. Did you clearly under	stand your evaluation criteria	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/45	****	4.93	4.19	3.89	****
3. Was the instructor av	ailable for consultation	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	****	4.93	4.57	4.11	****
4. To what degree could	you discuss your evaluations	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/27	****	4.87	4.25	3.29	****
5. Did conferences help	you carry out field activities	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/25	****	4.90	4.35	3.82	****
	Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system	o contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/51	****	4.50	4.03	3.66	****
2. Did study questions n	nake clear the expected goal	7	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/31	****	4.80	4.18	3.73	****
3. Were your contacts w	ith the instructor helpful	7	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/36	****	4.67	4.33	4.41	****

Report Help

Course-Section:	MLL 605 01			Term	ı - Fal	<mark>l 201</mark> 3	3						Enro	llment:	9
Title:	Field Of Intercult Comm											Q	uestion	naires:	9
Instructor:	Larkey,Edward														
					Fre	quen	cies		Ins	tructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tute	oring by proctors helpful	7	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/19	****	4.20	4.17	3.84	****

<b>Credits Earned</b>		Cum. GPA		Expected Grades		Reasons	Туре		Majors		
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	2	А	3	Required for Majors	8	Graduate	6	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	2						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	3	Non-major	9
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	6	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	2						

Course-Section: MLL 626 01			Term	- Fal	<mark>  201</mark> 3	3						Enro	llment:	7
Title: Adv Methods Intercult Tr							J				Q	uestion	naires:	6
Instructor: Young,Nancy D														
				Fre	quene	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	0	5	4.67	482/1644	4.67	4.42	4.32	4.42	4.67
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	4.00	1210/1644	4.00	4.38	4.28	4.32	4.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	4	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1419	5.00	4.55	4.35	4.45	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	0	5	4.67	356/1596	4.67	4.40	4.24	4.32	4.67
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	1	4	4.50	442/1535	4.50	4.38	4.15	4.25	4.50
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	0	0	1	4	4.17	822/1510	4.17	4.34	4.13	4.24	4.17
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	1	2	0	1	2	3.17	1519/1620	3.17	4.21	4.20	4.29	3.17
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	4.50	1203/1642	4.50	4.74	4.68	4.82	4.50
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	144/1596	4.90	4.19	4.12	4.20	4.90
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	675/1534	4.67	4.52	4.48	4.52	4.67
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.81	4.76	4.79	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1531	5.00	4.38	4.33	4.34	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	569/1530	4.67	4.49	4.35	4.38	4.67
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	381/1409	4.50	4.18	4.08	4.04	4.50
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	0	4	4.60	424/1366	4.60	4.33	4.18	4.26	4.60
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	1	0	4	4.60	570/1364	4.60	4.56	4.33	4.46	4.60
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	1	0	4	4.60	619/1361	4.60	4.48	4.39	4.49	4.60
4. Were special techniques successful	1	0	1	0	0	1	3	4.00	559/1019	4.00	4.27	4.09	4.12	4.00

Course-Section: MLL 626 01 Term - Fall 2013 Enrollment: 7 Title: Adv Methods Intercult Tr **Questionnaires:** 6 **Instructor: Young, Nancy D Frequencies** Instructor Ora UMBC Level Sect Course Questions NR NA 1 2 3 5 Mean Mean Mean Mean 4 Rank Mean Seminar 1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme \*\*\*\*/72 \*\*\*\* 4.83 \*\*\*\* 5 5.00 4.53 4.53 0 0 0 0 0 1 5 \*\*\*\*/71 3.00 \*\*\*\* 4.89 4.38 4.31 \*\*\*\* 2. Was the instructor available for individual attention 0 0 0 1 0 0 \*\*\*\*/71 4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned 5 5.00 \*\*\*\* 4.53 \*\*\*\* 0 0 0 0 0 1 4.66 4.40 5. Were criteria for grading made clear 5 3.00 \*\*\*\*/73 \*\*\*\* \*\*\*\* 0 0 0 0 4.39 4.09 4.09 0 1

### **Frequency Distribution**

<b>Credits Earned</b>		Cum. GPA		Expected Grades		Reasons	Туре		Majors		
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	А	4	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	3	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	3	Non-major	6
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	3	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	3	3 **** - Means there are not enou		nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: MLL 626 01			Term	- Fal	l 2013	3						Enro	lment:	7
Title: Adv Methods Intercult Tr											Q	uestion	naires:	6
Instructor: Medina,Adriana														
	Frequencies						Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect	
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	0	5	4.67	482/1644	4.67	4.42	4.32	4.42	4.67
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	4.00	1210/1644	4.00	4.38	4.28	4.32	4.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	4	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1419	5.00	4.55	4.35	4.45	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	0	5	4.67	356/1596	4.67	4.40	4.24	4.32	4.67
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	1	4	4.50	442/1535	4.50	4.38	4.15	4.25	4.50
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	0	0	1	4	4.17	822/1510	4.17	4.34	4.13	4.24	4.17
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	1	2	0	1	2	3.17	1519/1620	3.17	4.21	4.20	4.29	3.17
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	4.50	1203/1642	4.50	4.74	4.68	4.82	4.50
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1596	4.90	4.19	4.12	4.20	4.90
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	0	4	4.60	424/1366	4.60	4.33	4.18	4.26	4.60
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	1	0	4	4.60	570/1364	4.60	4.56	4.33	4.46	4.60
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	1	0	4	4.60	619/1361	4.60	4.48	4.39	4.49	4.60
4. Were special techniques successful	1	0	1	0	0	1	3	4.00	559/1019	4.00	4.27	4.09	4.12	4.00
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/72	****	4.83	4.53	4.53	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	5	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/71	****	4.89	4.38	4.31	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/71	****	4.66	4.40	4.53	****

Report Help

Course-Section:	MLL 626 01			Tern	ı - Fal	<mark>l 201</mark> 3	3						Enro	llment:	7
Title:	Adv Methods Intercult Tr											Q	uestion	naires:	6
Instructor:	Medina,Adriana														
					Fre	quen	cies		Ins	tructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Seminar														
5. Were criteria for gradi	ng made clear	5	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/73	****	4.39	4.09	4.09	****

<b>Credits Earned</b>		Cum. GPA		Expected Grades		Reasons	Туре		Majors		
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	А	4	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	3	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	3	Non-major	6
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	3	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	3	**** - Means ther	e are not ei	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						