**Course-Section: MUSC 101 01** 

**Title: Fundamentals Music Thry** 

**Instructor:** Richards, Kazuko

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 14

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	5	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	358/1644	4.50	4.64	4.32	4.16	4.75
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	5	0	0	0	1	1	6	4.63	481/1644	4.55	4.66	4.28	4.23	4.63
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	5	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	337/1419	4.62	4.85	4.35	4.25	4.75
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	5	1	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1596	4.70	4.70	4.24	4.09	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	5	1	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	253/1535	4.20	4.58	4.15	4.02	4.71
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	5	1	0	0	1	1	5	4.57	359/1510	4.45	4.48	4.13	3.91	4.57
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	5	0	0	2	2	2	2	3.50	1429/1620	4.07	4.43	4.20	4.13	3.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	5	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1642	4.99	4.66	4.68	4.68	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	5	0	0	0	0	3	5	4.63	280/1596	4.36	4.52	4.12	4.07	4.63
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	6	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	593/1534	4.51	4.68	4.48	4.45	4.71
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	6	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1539	4.79	4.94	4.76	4.72	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	6	0	0	0	2	1	4	4.29	962/1531	4.16	4.70	4.33	4.30	4.29
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1530	4.63	4.77	4.35	4.30	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	6	1	0	0	1	1	4	4.50	381/1409	3.97	4.57	4.08	3.97	4.50
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	11	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1366	3.79	4.59	4.18	3.96	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	11	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1364	3.79	4.62	4.33	4.10	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	11	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1361	4.00	4.65	4.39	4.17	****

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

Course-Section: MUSC 101 01

Title: Fundamentals Music Thry

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 14
Questionnaires: 13

**Instructor:** Richards, Kazuko

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	11	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1019	****	4.63	4.09	3.97	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	6	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	1	General	3	Under-grad	13	Non-major	13
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	6						

**Course-Section: MUSC 101 02** 

**Title: Fundamentals Music Thry** 

**Instructor: Hawley JR, Thoma** 

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 60

								structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect	
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	25	0	0	1	7	10	14	4.16	1085/1644	4.50	4.64	4.32	4.16	4.16
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	25	0	0	2	2	5	23	4.53	595/1644	4.55	4.66	4.28	4.23	4.53
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	25	0	0	0	2	5	25	4.72	394/1419	4.62	4.85	4.35	4.25	4.72
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	25	20	1	0	3	0	8	4.17	****/1596	4.70	4.70	4.24	4.09	****
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	25	10	2	3	5	2	10	3.68	1223/1535	4.20	4.58	4.15	4.02	3.68
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	24	25	1	0	0	1	6	4.38	****/1510	4.45	4.48	4.13	3.91	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	25	0	0	0	5	6	21	4.50	527/1620	4.07	4.43	4.20	4.13	4.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	25	0	0	0	0	1	31	4.97	253/1642	4.99	4.66	4.68	4.68	4.97
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	31	1	0	1	4	10	10	4.16	822/1596	4.36	4.52	4.12	4.07	4.16
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	25	0	0	1	3	8	20	4.47	946/1534	4.51	4.68	4.48	4.45	4.47
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	25	0	0	0	0	4	28	4.88	694/1539	4.79	4.94	4.76	4.72	4.88
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	25	0	0	2	2	12	16	4.31	934/1531	4.16	4.70	4.33	4.30	4.31
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	25	1	0	2	0	9	20	4.52	743/1530	4.63	4.77	4.35	4.30	4.52
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	26	8	3	1	4	4	11	3.83	978/1409	3.97	4.57	4.08	3.97	3.83
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	38	0	1	2	4	5	7	3.79	1027/1366	3.79	4.59	4.18	3.96	3.79
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	38	0	2	1	3	6	7	3.79	1130/1364	3.79	4.62	4.33	4.10	3.79
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	38	0	1	2	2	5	9	4.00	1034/1361	4.00	4.65	4.39	4.17	4.00
4. Were special techniques successful	39	10	0	1	1	1	5	4.25	****/1019	****	4.63	4.09	3.97	****

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

**Course-Section: MUSC 101 02** 

**Title: Fundamentals Music Thry** 

**Instructor: Hawley JR, Thoma** 

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 60

Questionnaires: 57

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	56	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/48	****	4.80	4.16	3.97	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	56	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/51	****	4.51	4.03	4.19	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	56	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/31	****	4.76	4.18	4.46	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	25	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	2						
56-83	6	2.00-2.99	2	С	3	General	21	Under-grad	57	Non-major	57
84-150	10	3.00-3.49	7	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	14	F	0	Electives	6	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	1	Other	4				
				?	26						

**Course-Section: MUSC 101 03** 

**Title: Fundamentals Music Thry** 

**Instructor:** Richards, Kazuko

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 30

	14       0       0       0       0       5       5       4.50       633/164         14       0       0       0       1       4       5       4.40       775/141         14       0       0       0       6       4       4.40       717/159         14       0       0       0       1       6       3       4.20       781/153         14       1       0       0       1       4       4       4.33       629/151         14       0       0       1       1       3       5       4.20       968/162         14       0       0       0       0       0       10       5.00       1/1642         14       0       0       0       0       7       3       4.30       642/159						structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect		
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	14	0	0	0	0	4	6	4.60	564/1644	4.50	4.64	4.32	4.16	4.60
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	14	0	0	0	0	5	5	4.50	633/1644	4.55	4.66	4.28	4.23	4.50
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	14	0	0	0	1	4	5	4.40	775/1419	4.62	4.85	4.35	4.25	4.40
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	14	0	0	0	0	6	4	4.40	717/1596	4.70	4.70	4.24	4.09	4.40
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	14	0	0	0	1	6	3	4.20	781/1535	4.20	4.58	4.15	4.02	4.20
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	14	1	0	0	1	4	4	4.33	629/1510	4.45	4.48	4.13	3.91	4.33
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	14	0	0	1	1	3	5	4.20	968/1620	4.07	4.43	4.20	4.13	4.20
8. How many times was class cancelled	14	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1642	4.99	4.66	4.68	4.68	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	14	0	0	0	0	7	3	4.30	642/1596	4.36	4.52	4.12	4.07	4.30
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	15	0	0	0	1	4	4	4.33	1090/1534	4.51	4.68	4.48	4.45	4.33
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	14	0	1	0	0	1	8	4.50	1298/1539	4.79	4.94	4.76	4.72	4.50
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	15	0	0	0	4	2	3	3.89	1256/1531	4.16	4.70	4.33	4.30	3.89
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	16	0	0	0	1	3	4	4.38	906/1530	4.63	4.77	4.35	4.30	4.38
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	14	3	0	2	0	4	1	3.57	1138/1409	3.97	4.57	4.08	3.97	3.57
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	20	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	****/1366	3.79	4.59	4.18	3.96	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	20	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	****/1364	3.79	4.62	4.33	4.10	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	20	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	****/1361	4.00	4.65	4.39	4.17	****

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

Course-Section: MUSC 101 03

Title: Fundamentals Music Thry

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 30

Questionnaires: 24

Instructor: Richards, Kazuko

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	20	0	0	0	2	1	1	3.75	****/1019	****	4.63	4.09	3.97	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	7	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	2	С	1	General	5	Under-grad	24	Non-major	24
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	15						

**Course-Section: MUSC 110 01** 

Title: Musicianship Lab I

**Instructor:** Crossland, James

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 16

'	_	0       0       0       1       3       10       4.64       509/         0       0       0       0       1       13       4.93       114/         0       0       0       0       1       13       4.93       136/         3       0       0       0       1       10       4.91       113/         9       0       0       1       0       4       4.60       361/         9       0       0       0       0       5       5.00       1/1         1       0       0       2       2       8       4.50       527/         1       0       0       0       3       10       4.77       899/					structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect		
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	3	10	4.64	509/1644	4.74	4.64	4.32	4.16	4.64
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	13	4.93	114/1644	4.91	4.66	4.28	4.23	4.93
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	13	4.93	136/1419	4.94	4.85	4.35	4.25	4.93
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	3	0	0	0	1	10	4.91	113/1596	4.83	4.70	4.24	4.09	4.91
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	9	0	0	1	0	4	4.60	361/1535	4.52	4.58	4.15	4.02	4.60
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	9	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1510	4.89	4.48	4.13	3.91	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	1	0	0	2	2	8	4.50	527/1620	4.64	4.43	4.20	4.13	4.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	1	0	0	0	3	10	4.77	899/1642	4.66	4.66	4.68	4.68	4.77
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	1	0	0	0	5	6	4.55	348/1596	4.78	4.52	4.12	4.07	4.63
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	2	10	4.83	381/1534	4.88	4.68	4.48	4.45	4.83
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	2	10	4.83	808/1539	4.90	4.94	4.76	4.72	4.83
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	145/1531	4.94	4.70	4.33	4.30	4.88
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	1	1	10	4.75	437/1530	4.81	4.77	4.35	4.30	4.79
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	6	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	134/1409	4.92	4.57	4.08	3.97	4.83
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	1	0	1	2	6	4.20	757/1366	4.54	4.59	4.18	3.96	4.20
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	0	1	1	8	4.70	454/1364	4.85	4.62	4.33	4.10	4.70
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	0	2	1	7	4.50	703/1361	4.75	4.65	4.39	4.17	4.50
4. Were special techniques successful	4	6	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	145/1019	4.88	4.63	4.09	3.97	4.75

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

**Course-Section: MUSC 110 01** 

Title: Musicianship Lab I

**Instructor:** Crossland, James

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 16

Questionnaires: 14

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	13	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/51	****	4.51	4.03	4.19	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	13	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	****	4.76	4.18	4.46	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	13	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/36	****	4.48	4.33	4.38	****
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	13	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/19	****	4.50	4.17	4.29	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	1	Α	12	Required for Majors	14	Graduate	0	Major	11
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	2						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	14	Non-major	3
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	3	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

**Course-Section: MUSC 110 01** 

Title: Musicianship Lab I

**Instructor:** Revill, David An

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 16

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	3	10	4.64	509/1644	4.74	4.64	4.32	4.16	4.64
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	13	4.93	114/1644	4.91	4.66	4.28	4.23	4.93
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	13	4.93	136/1419	4.94	4.85	4.35	4.25	4.93
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	3	0	0	0	1	10	4.91	113/1596	4.83	4.70	4.24	4.09	4.91
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	9	0	0	1	0	4	4.60	361/1535	4.52	4.58	4.15	4.02	4.60
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	9	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1510	4.89	4.48	4.13	3.91	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	1	0	0	2	2	8	4.50	527/1620	4.64	4.43	4.20	4.13	4.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	1	0	0	0	3	10	4.77	899/1642	4.66	4.66	4.68	4.68	4.77
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	7	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	206/1596	4.78	4.52	4.12	4.07	4.63
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	8	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	381/1534	4.88	4.68	4.48	4.45	4.83
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	8	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	808/1539	4.90	4.94	4.76	4.72	4.83
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	8	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	241/1531	4.94	4.70	4.33	4.30	4.88
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	309/1530	4.81	4.77	4.35	4.30	4.79
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	8	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	134/1409	4.92	4.57	4.08	3.97	4.83
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	1	0	1	2	6	4.20	757/1366	4.54	4.59	4.18	3.96	4.20
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	0	1	1	8	4.70	454/1364	4.85	4.62	4.33	4.10	4.70
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	0	2	1	7	4.50	703/1361	4.75	4.65	4.39	4.17	4.50
4. Were special techniques successful	4	6	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	145/1019	4.88	4.63	4.09	3.97	4.75

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

**Course-Section: MUSC 110 01** 

Title: Musicianship Lab I

**Instructor:** Revill, David An

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 16
Questionnaires: 14

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	13	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/51	****	4.51	4.03	4.19	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	13	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	****	4.76	4.18	4.46	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	13	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/36	****	4.48	4.33	4.38	****
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	13	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/19	****	4.50	4.17	4.29	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	1	Α	12	Required for Majors	14	Graduate	0	Major	11
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	2						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	14	Non-major	3
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	3	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

**Course-Section: MUSC 110 02** 

Title: Musicianship Lab I

**Instructor:** Crossland, James

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 18

		NR NA 1 2 3 4 5 Mean							structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	1	16	4.83	254/1644	4.74	4.64	4.32	4.16	4.83
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	16	4.89	160/1644	4.91	4.66	4.28	4.23	4.89
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	17	4.94	102/1419	4.94	4.85	4.35	4.25	4.94
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	2	0	14	4.75	254/1596	4.83	4.70	4.24	4.09	4.75
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	9	1	0	0	1	7	4.44	523/1535	4.52	4.58	4.15	4.02	4.44
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	4	0	0	0	3	11	4.79	168/1510	4.89	4.48	4.13	3.91	4.79
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	0	1	16	4.78	198/1620	4.64	4.43	4.20	4.13	4.78
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	8	10	4.56	1158/1642	4.66	4.66	4.68	4.68	4.56
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	0	2	13	4.87	114/1596	4.78	4.52	4.12	4.07	4.93
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	4	0	0	0	0	0	14	5.00	1/1534	4.88	4.68	4.48	4.45	4.93
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	4	0	0	0	0	1	13	4.93	487/1539	4.90	4.94	4.76	4.72	4.96
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	0	0	0	0	14	5.00	1/1531	4.94	4.70	4.33	4.30	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	1	13	4.93	161/1530	4.81	4.77	4.35	4.30	4.82
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	5	4	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1409	4.92	4.57	4.08	3.97	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	10	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	172/1366	4.54	4.59	4.18	3.96	4.88
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	10	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1364	4.85	4.62	4.33	4.10	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	10	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1361	4.75	4.65	4.39	4.17	5.00

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

Course-Section: MUSC 110 02

Title: Musicianship Lab I

Instructor: Crossland, James

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 18

Questionnaires: 18

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	10	2	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1019	4.88	4.63	4.09	3.97	5.00

Credits Ea	rned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	1	Α	13	Required for Majors	15	Graduate	0	Major	16
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	3						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	18	Non-major	2
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	2						

**Course-Section: MUSC 110 02** 

Title: Musicianship Lab I

**Instructor:** Revill, David An

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 18

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	1	16	4.83	254/1644	4.74	4.64	4.32	4.16	4.83
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	16	4.89	160/1644	4.91	4.66	4.28	4.23	4.89
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	17	4.94	102/1419	4.94	4.85	4.35	4.25	4.94
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	2	0	14	4.75	254/1596	4.83	4.70	4.24	4.09	4.75
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	9	1	0	0	1	7	4.44	523/1535	4.52	4.58	4.15	4.02	4.44
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	4	0	0	0	3	11	4.79	168/1510	4.89	4.48	4.13	3.91	4.79
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	0	1	16	4.78	198/1620	4.64	4.43	4.20	4.13	4.78
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	8	10	4.56	1158/1642	4.66	4.66	4.68	4.68	4.56
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	11	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1596	4.78	4.52	4.12	4.07	4.93
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	11	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	343/1534	4.88	4.68	4.48	4.45	4.93
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	11	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1539	4.90	4.94	4.76	4.72	4.96
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	11	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1531	4.94	4.70	4.33	4.30	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	11	0	0	0	1	0	6	4.71	502/1530	4.81	4.77	4.35	4.30	4.82
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	11	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1409	4.92	4.57	4.08	3.97	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	10	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	172/1366	4.54	4.59	4.18	3.96	4.88
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	10	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1364	4.85	4.62	4.33	4.10	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	10	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1361	4.75	4.65	4.39	4.17	5.00

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

Course-Section: MUSC 110 02

Title: Musicianship Lab I

Instructor: Revill,David An

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 18

Questionnaires: 18

				Fre	quend	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	10	2	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1019	4.88	4.63	4.09	3.97	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	1	Α	13	Required for Majors	15	Graduate	0	Major	16
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	3						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	18	Non-major	2
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	2						

**Course-Section: MUSC 125 01** 

**Title: Theory I:Basics Of Music** 

**Instructor: Crossland, James** 

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 13

							structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect		
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	2	0	0	0	1	2	8	4.64	523/1644	4.37	4.64	4.32	4.16	4.64
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	0	0	0	0	11	5.00	1/1644	4.50	4.66	4.28	4.23	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	0	0	0	0	0	11	5.00	1/1419	4.86	4.85	4.35	4.25	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	1	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1596	4.60	4.70	4.24	4.09	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	11	5.00	1/1535	4.38	4.58	4.15	4.02	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	3	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1510	4.64	4.48	4.13	3.91	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	0	0	3	2	6	4.27	864/1620	4.22	4.43	4.20	4.13	4.27
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	3	8	4.73	958/1642	4.67	4.66	4.68	4.68	4.73
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	5	1	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	119/1596	4.25	4.52	4.12	4.07	4.86
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	0	11	5.00	1/1534	4.38	4.68	4.48	4.45	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	11	5.00	1/1539	4.88	4.94	4.76	4.72	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	1	10	4.91	162/1531	4.13	4.70	4.33	4.30	4.91
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	11	5.00	1/1530	4.38	4.77	4.35	4.30	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	3	0	0	1	0	6	4.71	205/1409	4.40	4.57	4.08	3.97	4.71
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	10	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/1366	3.00	4.59	4.18	3.96	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	10	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/1364	4.09	4.62	4.33	4.10	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	10	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/1361	4.27	4.65	4.39	4.17	****

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

Course-Section: MUSC 125 01

Title: Theory I:Basics Of Music

Instructor: Crossland,James

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 13

Questionnaires: 13

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	10	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1019	****	4.63	4.09	3.97	****

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	4	Required for Majors	8	Graduate	0	Major	7
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	4						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	13	Non-major	6
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	5						

**Course-Section: MUSC 125 02** 

Title: Theory I:Basics Of Music

**Instructor:** Macaulay, Janice

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 23

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	1	1	4	1	11	4.11	1130/1644	4.37	4.64	4.32	4.16	4.11
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	2	5	2	9	4.00	1210/1644	4.50	4.66	4.28	4.23	4.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	5	13	4.72	380/1419	4.86	4.85	4.35	4.25	4.72
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	2	0	0	4	4	7	4.20	963/1596	4.60	4.70	4.24	4.09	4.20
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	2	7	1	7	3.76	1169/1535	4.38	4.58	4.15	4.02	3.76
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	4	1	0	1	4	8	4.29	691/1510	4.64	4.48	4.13	3.91	4.29
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	5	5	8	4.17	1003/1620	4.22	4.43	4.20	4.13	4.17
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	7	11	4.61	1100/1642	4.67	4.66	4.68	4.68	4.61
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	6	1	0	2	1	7	1	3.64	1320/1596	4.25	4.52	4.12	4.07	3.64
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	4	3	3	7	3.76	1401/1534	4.38	4.68	4.48	4.45	3.76
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	1	2	14	4.76	970/1539	4.88	4.94	4.76	4.72	4.76
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	3	2	4	2	6	3.35	1420/1531	4.13	4.70	4.33	4.30	3.35
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	4	3	3	7	3.76	1291/1530	4.38	4.77	4.35	4.30	3.76
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	5	0	1	3	2	6	4.08	777/1409	4.40	4.57	4.08	3.97	4.08
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	7	0	2	1	5	1	2	3.00	1279/1366	3.00	4.59	4.18	3.96	3.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	7	0	1	0	1	4	5	4.09	967/1364	4.09	4.62	4.33	4.10	4.09
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	7	0	1	0	1	2	7	4.27	917/1361	4.27	4.65	4.39	4.17	4.27

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

Course-Section: MUSC 125 02

**Title: Theory I:Basics Of Music** 

**Instructor:** Macaulay, Janice

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 23

**Questionnaires: 18** 

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	7	7	1	0	1	1	1	3.25	****/1019	****	4.63	4.09	3.97	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	١.	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	1	Α	9	Required for Majors	17	Graduate	0	Major	14
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	6						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	18	Non-major	4
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	2						

**Course-Section: MUSC 170 01** 

**Title: Beginning Voice Class** 

**Instructor:** Jackson, Janice

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 24

	_			Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	7	0	0	0	2	2	10	4.57	601/1644	4.57	4.64	4.32	4.16	4.57
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	8	0	0	0	1	4	8	4.54	595/1644	4.54	4.66	4.28	4.23	4.54
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	7	7	0	0	1	3	3	4.29	917/1419	4.29	4.85	4.35	4.25	4.29
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	7	2	0	1	0	5	6	4.33	816/1596	4.33	4.70	4.24	4.09	4.33
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	3	4	6	4.23	754/1535	4.23	4.58	4.15	4.02	4.23
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	8	9	1	0	1	1	1	3.25	****/1510	****	4.48	4.13	3.91	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	8	3	1	1	2	1	5	3.80	1306/1620	3.80	4.43	4.20	4.13	3.80
8. How many times was class cancelled	8	0	0	0	0	1	12	4.92	505/1642	4.92	4.66	4.68	4.68	4.92
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	10	1	0	0	1	0	9	4.80	144/1596	4.80	4.52	4.12	4.07	4.80
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	9	0	0	0	0	4	8	4.67	675/1534	4.67	4.68	4.48	4.45	4.67
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	9	0	0	0	0	0	12	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.94	4.76	4.72	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	10	0	0	0	1	3	7	4.55	660/1531	4.55	4.70	4.33	4.30	4.55
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	1	2	9	4.67	569/1530	4.67	4.77	4.35	4.30	4.67
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	9	3	0	0	1	2	6	4.56	338/1409	4.56	4.57	4.08	3.97	4.56
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	13	0	0	0	0	3	5	4.63	405/1366	4.63	4.59	4.18	3.96	4.63
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	13	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	398/1364	4.75	4.62	4.33	4.10	4.75
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	13	0	0	1	0	2	5	4.38	842/1361	4.38	4.65	4.39	4.17	4.38
4. Were special techniques successful	13	3	1	0	1	1	2	3.60	****/1019	****	4.63	4.09	3.97	****

**Course-Section: MUSC 170 01** 

**Title: Beginning Voice Class** 

**Instructor: Jackson, Janice** 

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 24

	NR NA 1 2 3 4 5 Mean Rai							structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect	
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	19	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/185	****	4.93	4.23	4.19	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	20	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/209	****	4.14	4.19	4.18	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	20	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/181	****	4.83	4.53	4.68	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	20	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/183	****	4.46	4.46	4.50	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	20	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/172	****	5.00	4.14	4.22	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	20	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/48	****	4.80	4.16	3.97	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	20	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/45	****	4.80	4.19	3.97	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	20	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/27	****	5.00	4.25	4.37	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	20	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/25	****	5.00	4.35	4.63	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	17	0	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	****/51	****	4.51	4.03	4.19	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	17	1	0	1	1	0	1	3.33	****/31	****	4.76	4.18	4.46	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	17	1	0	0	2	0	1	3.67	****/36	****	4.48	4.33	4.38	****
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	17	1	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	****/19	****	4.50	4.17	4.29	****

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

Course-Section: MUSC 170 01

Title: Beginning Voice Class

**Instructor: Jackson, Janice** 

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 24

Questionnaires: 21

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	17	1	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	****/14	****	4.50	4.17	4.35	****

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	8	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	3	1.00-1.99	0	В	2						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	1	С	1	General	2	Under-grad	21	Non-major	21
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	8	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	3				
				?	10						

**Course-Section: MUSC 173 01** 

Title: Intro To Choral Singing

**Instructor:** Jackson, Janice

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 12

·	NR NA 1 2 3 4 5 Mean F								structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	4	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	208/1644	4.88	4.64	4.32	4.16	4.88
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	4	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	302/1644	4.75	4.66	4.28	4.23	4.75
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	4	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	198/1419	4.88	4.85	4.35	4.25	4.88
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	4	2	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1596	5.00	4.70	4.24	4.09	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	4	3	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1535	5.00	4.58	4.15	4.02	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	4	3	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	149/1510	4.80	4.48	4.13	3.91	4.80
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	4	0	0	0	0	3	5	4.63	375/1620	4.63	4.43	4.20	4.13	4.63
8. How many times was class cancelled	4	0	0	0	0	7	1	4.13	1481/1642	4.13	4.66	4.68	4.68	4.13
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	7	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	144/1596	4.80	4.52	4.12	4.07	4.80
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	4	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	525/1534	4.75	4.68	4.48	4.45	4.75
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	4	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.94	4.76	4.72	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	195/1531	4.88	4.70	4.33	4.30	4.88
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	248/1530	4.88	4.77	4.35	4.30	4.88
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	5	3	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	381/1409	4.50	4.57	4.08	3.97	4.50
Discussion	5 5 0 0 1 0 5 4.30													
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1366	****	4.59	4.18	3.96	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1364	****	4.62	4.33	4.10	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1361	****	4.65	4.39	4.17	****

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

Course-Section: MUSC 173 01

Title: Intro To Choral Singing

Instructor: Jackson, Janice

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 12

Questionnaires: 12

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1019	****	4.63	4.09	3.97	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	5	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	1	Major	0
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	3						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	1	Under-grad	11	Non-major	12
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	1	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	4	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	2				
				?	4						

**Course-Section: MUSC 174 01** 

Title: Beg Vocal Methods

**Instructor: Jackson, Janice** 

**Term - Fall 2013** 

**Enrollment: 3** 

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1644	5.00	4.64	4.32	4.16	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	1210/1644	4.00	4.66	4.28	4.23	4.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	1129/1596	4.00	4.70	4.24	4.09	4.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	970/1535	4.00	4.58	4.15	4.02	4.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	921/1510	4.00	4.48	4.13	3.91	4.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	1134/1620	4.00	4.43	4.20	4.13	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1642	5.00	4.66	4.68	4.68	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	603/1596	4.33	4.52	4.12	4.07	4.33
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	891/1534	4.50	4.68	4.48	4.45	4.50
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.94	4.76	4.72	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1531	5.00	4.70	4.33	4.30	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1530	5.00	4.77	4.35	4.30	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	492/1366	4.50	4.59	4.18	3.96	4.50
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1364	5.00	4.62	4.33	4.10	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1361	5.00	4.65	4.39	4.17	5.00

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

Course-Section: MUSC 174 01

Title: Beg Vocal Methods

Instructor: Jackson, Janice

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 3

Questionnaires: 3

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	961/1019	3.00	4.63	4.09	3.97	3.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	3	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	0	Major	3
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	3	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

**Course-Section: MUSC 176 01** 

Title: Keyboard Skills I

**Instructor:** Beith, Nancy S

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 20

	_			Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	5	0	0	0	0	6	9	4.60	564/1644	4.53	4.64	4.32	4.16	4.60
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	5	0	1	0	1	1	12	4.53	595/1644	4.63	4.66	4.28	4.23	4.53
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	5	8	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1419	4.93	4.85	4.35	4.25	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	5	2	0	0	1	1	11	4.77	245/1596	4.82	4.70	4.24	4.09	4.77
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	5	13	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1535	4.33	4.58	4.15	4.02	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	5	9	2	0	3	0	1	2.67	1477/1510	2.67	4.48	4.13	3.91	2.67
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	5	1	0	0	3	1	10	4.50	527/1620	4.40	4.43	4.20	4.13	4.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	5	0	0	0	0	0	15	5.00	1/1642	5.00	4.66	4.68	4.68	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	8	0	0	0	1	7	4	4.25	705/1596	4.24	4.52	4.12	4.07	4.25
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	17	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/1534	4.50	4.68	4.48	4.45	****
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	17	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/1539	4.83	4.94	4.76	4.72	****
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	17	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/1531	4.67	4.70	4.33	4.30	****
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	17	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/1530	4.60	4.77	4.35	4.30	****
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	17	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1409	****	4.57	4.08	3.97	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	17	0	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	****/1366	3.40	4.59	4.18	3.96	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	17	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	****/1364	4.20	4.62	4.33	4.10	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	17	0	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	****/1361	3.40	4.65	4.39	4.17	****
4. Were special techniques successful	17	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	****/1019	****	4.63	4.09	3.97	****

**Course-Section: MUSC 176 01** 

Title: Keyboard Skills I

**Instructor:** Beith, Nancy S

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 20
Questionnaires: 20

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	11	2	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	28/185	4.93	4.93	4.23	4.19	4.86
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	11	0	0	0	2	0	7	4.56	73/209	4.42	4.14	4.19	4.18	4.56
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	11	1	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/181	4.83	4.83	4.53	4.68	5.00
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	11	1	0	1	0	1	6	4.50	94/183	4.46	4.46	4.46	4.50	4.50
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	11	2	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/172	5.00	5.00	4.14	4.22	5.00
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	19	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/48	****	4.80	4.16	3.97	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	19	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/45	****	4.80	4.19	3.97	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	19	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	****	5.00	4.57	4.58	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	19	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/27	****	5.00	4.25	4.37	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	19	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/25	****	5.00	4.35	4.63	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	1	6	6	4.38	23/51	4.62	4.51	4.03	4.19	4.38
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	7	2	0	0	1	0	10	4.82	9/31	4.53	4.76	4.18	4.46	4.82
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	7	0	1	0	2	1	9	4.31	24/36	4.37	4.48	4.33	4.38	4.31
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	7	5	1	1	1	1	4	3.75	16/19	4.18	4.50	4.17	4.29	3.75

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

Course-Section: MUSC 176 01

Title: Keyboard Skills I

**Instructor:** Beith, Nancy S

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 20

Questionnaires: 20

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	7	8	0	0	1	3	1	4.00	9/14	4.00	4.50	4.17	4.35	4.00

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	10	Required for Majors	13	Graduate	0	Major	10
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	3						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	0	С	1	General	0	Under-grad	20	Non-major	10
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	3	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	6						

**Course-Section: MUSC 176 02** 

Title: Keyboard Skills I

**Instructor:** Beith, Nancy S

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 12

'	_			Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	3	0	8	4.45	751/1644	4.53	4.64	4.32	4.16	4.45
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	3	8	4.73	346/1644	4.63	4.66	4.28	4.23	4.73
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	3	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	217/1419	4.93	4.85	4.35	4.25	4.86
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	2	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	141/1596	4.82	4.70	4.24	4.09	4.88
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	8	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	658/1535	4.33	4.58	4.15	4.02	4.33
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	8	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/1510	2.67	4.48	4.13	3.91	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	1	0	0	2	3	5	4.30	820/1620	4.40	4.43	4.20	4.13	4.30
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	0	11	5.00	1/1642	5.00	4.66	4.68	4.68	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	1	0	0	1	5	3	4.22	742/1596	4.24	4.52	4.12	4.07	4.22
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	6	0	0	1	0	0	5	4.50	891/1534	4.50	4.68	4.48	4.45	4.50
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	6	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	808/1539	4.83	4.94	4.76	4.72	4.83
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	6	0	0	0	1	0	5	4.67	478/1531	4.67	4.70	4.33	4.30	4.67
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	6	1	0	0	1	0	4	4.60	644/1530	4.60	4.77	4.35	4.30	4.60
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	6	5	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1409	****	4.57	4.08	3.97	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	7	0	1	0	2	0	2	3.40	1183/1366	3.40	4.59	4.18	3.96	3.40
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	7	0	0	0	2	0	3	4.20	910/1364	4.20	4.62	4.33	4.10	4.20
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	7	0	0	2	1	0	2	3.40	1270/1361	3.40	4.65	4.39	4.17	3.40
4. Were special techniques successful	7	3	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/1019	****	4.63	4.09	3.97	****

**Course-Section: MUSC 176 02** 

Title: Keyboard Skills I

**Instructor:** Beith, Nancy S

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 12

Questionnaires: 12

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	5	1	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/185	4.93	4.93	4.23	4.19	5.00
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	5	0	0	1	1	0	5	4.29	115/209	4.42	4.14	4.19	4.18	4.29
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	5	1	0	0	1	0	5	4.67	80/181	4.83	4.83	4.53	4.68	4.67
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	5	0	0	1	0	1	5	4.43	115/183	4.46	4.46	4.46	4.50	4.43
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	5	2	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/172	5.00	5.00	4.14	4.22	5.00
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	15/51	4.62	4.51	4.03	4.19	4.86
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	5	3	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	18/31	4.53	4.76	4.18	4.46	4.25
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	5	0	0	1	0	1	5	4.43	21/36	4.37	4.48	4.33	4.38	4.43
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	5	2	0	0	1	0	4	4.60	6/19	4.18	4.50	4.17	4.29	4.60
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	5	5	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/14	4.00	4.50	4.17	4.35	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	2	Α	6	Required for Majors	11	Graduate	0	Major	11
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	4						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	12	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	2						

Course-Section: MUSC 178 01

Title: Keyboard Skills III

**Instructor:** Beith, Nancy S

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 7

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	3	0	2	3.80	1387/1644	3.75	4.64	4.32	4.16	3.80
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	2	3	4.60	508/1644	4.20	4.66	4.28	4.23	4.60
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	2	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	816/1596	4.44	4.70	4.24	4.09	4.33
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	2	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	921/1510	3.58	4.48	4.13	3.91	4.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	1	0	1	3	4.20	968/1620	4.29	4.43	4.20	4.13	4.20
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1642	5.00	4.66	4.68	4.68	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	1	3	1	4.00	971/1596	3.75	4.52	4.12	4.07	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1534	5.00	4.68	4.48	4.45	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.94	4.76	4.72	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1531	5.00	4.70	4.33	4.30	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1530	****	4.77	4.35	4.30	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1366	****	4.59	4.18	3.96	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1364	****	4.62	4.33	4.10	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1361	****	4.65	4.39	4.17	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/51	4.75	4.51	4.03	4.19	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	****	4.76	4.18	4.46	****

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

Course-Section: MUSC 178 01

Title: Keyboard Skills III

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 7

Questionnaires: 6

Instructor: Beith, Nancy S

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/36	4.25	4.48	4.33	4.38	****

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	3	Required for Majors	5	Graduate	0	Major	5
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	1	General	0	Under-grad	6	Non-major	1
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

**Course-Section: MUSC 178 02** 

Title: Keyboard Skills III

**Instructor:** Beith, Nancy S

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 11

				Fre	quend	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	1	0	3	3	3	3.70	1439/1644	3.75	4.64	4.32	4.16	3.70
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	1	0	3	2	4	3.80	1360/1644	4.20	4.66	4.28	4.23	3.80
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	8	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/1419	****	4.85	4.35	4.25	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	1	0	0	1	2	6	4.56	502/1596	4.44	4.70	4.24	4.09	4.56
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	8	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	****/1535	****	4.58	4.15	4.02	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	4	1	1	2	0	2	3.17	1422/1510	3.58	4.48	4.13	3.91	3.17
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	2	0	1	0	2	5	4.38	725/1620	4.29	4.43	4.20	4.13	4.38
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1642	5.00	4.66	4.68	4.68	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	1	0	3	5	1	3.50	1388/1596	3.75	4.52	4.12	4.07	3.50
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	10	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1534	5.00	4.68	4.48	4.45	****
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	9	0	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	****/1539	5.00	4.94	4.76	4.72	****
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	10	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1531	5.00	4.70	4.33	4.30	****
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	10	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1530	****	4.77	4.35	4.30	****
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	9	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1409	****	4.57	4.08	3.97	****
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	10	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/209	****	4.14	4.19	4.18	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	10	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/181	****	4.83	4.53	4.68	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	10	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/183	****	4.46	4.46	4.50	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	10	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/172	****	5.00	4.14	4.22	****

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

**Course-Section: MUSC 178 02** 

Title: Keyboard Skills III

**Instructor:** Beith, Nancy S

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 11

Questionnaires: 11

			Frequencies					Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	17/51	4.75	4.51	4.03	4.19	4.75
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	7	2	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/31	****	4.76	4.18	4.46	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	7	0	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	25/36	4.25	4.48	4.33	4.38	4.25
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	7	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/19	****	4.50	4.17	4.29	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	7	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/14	****	4.50	4.17	4.35	****

#### **Frequency Distribution**

<b>Credits Earned</b>		Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors		
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	8	Required for Majors	10	Graduate	0	Major	10	
28-55	3	1.00-1.99	0	В	2							
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	11	Non-major	1	
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0							
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	7	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses		
				Р	0			to be significant				
				I	0	Other	0					
				?	1							

Run Date: 1/30/2014 2:19:27 PM

**Course-Section: MUSC 180 01** 

**Title: Beginning Piano Class** 

**Instructor:** Beith, Nancy S

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 24

Questions				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	6	0	0	0	1	8	9	4.44	763/1644	4.31	4.64	4.32	4.16	4.44
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	6	0	0	0	2	2	14	4.67	428/1644	4.57	4.66	4.28	4.23	4.67
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	6	0	0	0	0	2	16	4.89	189/1419	4.74	4.85	4.35	4.25	4.89
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	6	3	0	0	1	3	11	4.67	356/1596	4.47	4.70	4.24	4.09	4.67
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned		8	0	0	2	1	5	4.38	612/1535	4.11	4.58	4.15	4.02	4.38
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	8	12	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	****/1510	3.83	4.48	4.13	3.91	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	8	0	0	1	5	5	5	3.88	1260/1620	4.14	4.43	4.20	4.13	3.88
8. How many times was class cancelled	8	0	0	0	0	1	15	4.94	442/1642	4.94	4.66	4.68	4.68	4.94
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	11	2	0	0	0	8	3	4.27	679/1596	4.22	4.52	4.12	4.07	4.27
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	7	0	0	0	0	4	13	4.76	507/1534	4.75	4.68	4.48	4.45	4.76
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	7	0	0	0	0	3	14	4.82	837/1539	4.78	4.94	4.76	4.72	4.82
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	7	0	0	0	0	2	15	4.88	184/1531	4.74	4.70	4.33	4.30	4.88
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	0	1	16	4.94	121/1530	4.83	4.77	4.35	4.30	4.94
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	7	2	0	0	1	3	11	4.67	245/1409	4.60	4.57	4.08	3.97	4.67
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	14	0	2	0	3	2	3	3.40	1183/1366	3.33	4.59	4.18	3.96	3.40
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	14	0	0	0	3	3	4	4.10	962/1364	3.55	4.62	4.33	4.10	4.10
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	15	0	1	0	0	2	6	4.33	875/1361	3.98	4.65	4.39	4.17	4.33
4. Were special techniques successful	14	5	0	1	1	1	2	3.80	****/1019	****	4.63	4.09	3.97	****

**Course-Section: MUSC 180 01** 

**Title: Beginning Piano Class** 

**Instructor:** Beith, Nancy S

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 24

**Questionnaires: 24** 

		Frequencies				Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC Level		I Sect		
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material		2	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/185	****	4.93	4.23	4.19	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	19	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	****/209	****	4.14	4.19	4.18	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities		1	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	****/181	****	4.83	4.53	4.68	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance		1	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	****/183	****	4.46	4.46	4.50	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified		1	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	****/172	****	5.00	4.14	4.22	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	21	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/72	****	5.00	4.53	4.35	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	21	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/71	****	5.00	4.38	4.21	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	20	2	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/68	****	5.00	4.41	4.22	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	20	2	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/71	****	5.00	4.40	4.19	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	20	1	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/73	****	4.67	4.09	3.85	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	21	0	1	0	0	1	1	3.33	****/48	****	4.80	4.16	3.97	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	22	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/45	****	4.80	4.19	3.97	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	21	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/30	****	5.00	4.57	4.58	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	21	1	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	****/27	****	5.00	4.25	4.37	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	21	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/25	****	5.00	4.35	4.63	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	22	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/51	4.14	4.51	4.03	4.19	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	22	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/31	****	4.76	4.18	4.46	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	21	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/36	4.17	4.48	4.33	4.38	****

Run Date: 1/30/2014 2:19:27 PM

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

**Course-Section: MUSC 180 01** 

**Title: Beginning Piano Class** 

**Instructor:** Beith, Nancy S

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 24

Questionnaires: 24

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	21	1	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	****/19	****	4.50	4.17	4.29	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	21	1	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/14	****	4.50	4.17	4.35	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	5	0.00-0.99	0	Α	14	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	2						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	4	С	1	General	0	Under-grad	24	Non-major	24
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	11	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	1			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	5				
				?	6						

**Course-Section: MUSC 180 02** 

**Title: Beginning Piano Class** 

**Instructor:** Beith, Nancy S

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 24

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	6	0	0	0	4	6	7	4.18	1062/1644	4.31	4.64	4.32	4.16	4.18
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	6	0	0	0	2	5	10	4.47	683/1644	4.57	4.66	4.28	4.23	4.47
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	6	0	0	0	2	3	12	4.59	560/1419	4.74	4.85	4.35	4.25	4.59
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	6	2	0	0	1	9	5	4.27	900/1596	4.47	4.70	4.24	4.09	4.27
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	6	4	0	1	4	4	4	3.85	1111/1535	4.11	4.58	4.15	4.02	3.85
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	6	11	0	1	2	0	3	3.83	1088/1510	3.83	4.48	4.13	3.91	3.83
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	6	0	0	0	4	2	11	4.41	669/1620	4.14	4.43	4.20	4.13	4.41
8. How many times was class cancelled	6	0	0	0	0	1	16	4.94	379/1642	4.94	4.66	4.68	4.68	4.94
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	11	0	0	0	2	6	4	4.17	822/1596	4.22	4.52	4.12	4.07	4.17
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	8	0	0	0	0	4	11	4.73	559/1534	4.75	4.68	4.48	4.45	4.73
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	8	0	0	0	1	2	12	4.73	1028/1539	4.78	4.94	4.76	4.72	4.73
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	8	0	0	0	0	6	9	4.60	565/1531	4.74	4.70	4.33	4.30	4.60
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	0	4	10	4.71	502/1530	4.83	4.77	4.35	4.30	4.71
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	8	0	0	1	1	2	11	4.53	355/1409	4.60	4.57	4.08	3.97	4.53
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	15	0	1	0	5	0	2	3.25	1233/1366	3.33	4.59	4.18	3.96	3.25
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	15	0	3	0	1	2	2	3.00	1297/1364	3.55	4.62	4.33	4.10	3.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	15	0	1	0	3	1	3	3.63	1208/1361	3.98	4.65	4.39	4.17	3.63
4. Were special techniques successful	15	5	0	0	2	0	1	3.67	****/1019	****	4.63	4.09	3.97	****

**Course-Section: MUSC 180 02** 

**Title: Beginning Piano Class** 

**Instructor:** Beith, Nancy S

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 24

**Questionnaires: 23** 

<u>'</u>				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	21	1	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/185	****	4.93	4.23	4.19	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	21	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/209	****	4.14	4.19	4.18	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	21	0	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	****/181	****	4.83	4.53	4.68	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	21	0	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	****/183	****	4.46	4.46	4.50	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	21	0	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	****/172	****	5.00	4.14	4.22	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	22	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/72	****	5.00	4.53	4.35	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	22	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/71	****	5.00	4.38	4.21	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	22	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/68	****	5.00	4.41	4.22	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	22	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/71	****	5.00	4.40	4.19	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	22	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/73	****	4.67	4.09	3.85	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	22	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/48	****	4.80	4.16	3.97	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	22	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/45	****	4.80	4.19	3.97	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	22	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/30	****	5.00	4.57	4.58	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	22	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/27	****	5.00	4.25	4.37	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	22	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/25	****	5.00	4.35	4.63	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	16	0	0	0	1	4	2	4.14	28/51	4.14	4.51	4.03	4.19	4.14
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	16	3	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	****/31	****	4.76	4.18	4.46	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	16	1	0	0	1	3	2	4.17	27/36	4.17	4.48	4.33	4.38	4.17

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

**Course-Section: MUSC 180 02** 

**Title: Beginning Piano Class** 

**Instructor:** Beith, Nancy S

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 24

Questionnaires: 23

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	16	3	0	0	1	2	1	4.00	****/19	****	4.50	4.17	4.29	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	16	3	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	****/14	****	4.50	4.17	4.35	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	Α	11	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	4						
56-83	3	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	23	Non-major	23
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	3	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	10	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	3				
				?	8						

**Course-Section: MUSC 185 01** 

**Title: Woodwind Mthds: Flute an** 

**Instructor:** Ko, Michelle Y

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 11

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1644	5.00	4.64	4.32	4.16	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	3	7	4.70	375/1644	4.70	4.66	4.28	4.23	4.70
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	1	3	6	4.50	632/1419	4.50	4.85	4.35	4.25	4.50
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	3	7	4.70	302/1596	4.70	4.70	4.24	4.09	4.70
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	1	2	2	5	4.10	888/1535	4.10	4.58	4.15	4.02	4.10
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	1	3	3	3	3.80	1113/1510	3.80	4.48	4.13	3.91	3.80
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	1	0	1	2	6	4.20	968/1620	4.20	4.43	4.20	4.13	4.20
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1642	5.00	4.66	4.68	4.68	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	5	0	0	0	0	4	2	4.33	603/1596	4.33	4.52	4.12	4.07	4.33
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	5	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1534	5.00	4.68	4.48	4.45	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	5	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.94	4.76	4.72	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	5	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	478/1531	4.67	4.70	4.33	4.30	4.67
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	309/1530	4.83	4.77	4.35	4.30	4.83
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	6	3	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	****/1409	****	4.57	4.08	3.97	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	368/1366	4.67	4.59	4.18	3.96	4.67
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	8	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1364	5.00	4.62	4.33	4.10	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	9	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1361	****	4.65	4.39	4.17	****

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

**Course-Section: MUSC 185 01** 

**Title: Woodwind Mthds: Flute an** 

**Instructor: Ko, Michelle Y** 

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 11

**Questionnaires: 11** 

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	8	0	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	381/1019	4.33	4.63	4.09	3.97	4.33

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	7	Required for Majors	10	Graduate	0	Major	8
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	11	Non-major	3
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	6	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	3						

**Course-Section: MUSC 188 01** 

**Title: Percussion Methods** 

**Instructor:** Goldstein, Thoma

**Term - Fall 2013** 

**Enrollment:** 6

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1644	5.00	4.64	4.32	4.16	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	203/1644	4.83	4.66	4.28	4.23	4.83
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	5	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1419	****	4.85	4.35	4.25	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1596	5.00	4.70	4.24	4.09	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	5	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1535	****	4.58	4.15	4.02	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	5	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1510	****	4.48	4.13	3.91	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	139/1620	4.83	4.43	4.20	4.13	4.83
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1642	5.00	4.66	4.68	4.68	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	4	2	4.33	603/1596	4.33	4.52	4.12	4.07	4.33
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1534	5.00	4.68	4.48	4.45	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.94	4.76	4.72	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1531	5.00	4.70	4.33	4.30	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1530	5.00	4.77	4.35	4.30	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1409	5.00	4.57	4.08	3.97	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1366	5.00	4.59	4.18	3.96	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1364	5.00	4.62	4.33	4.10	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1361	5.00	4.65	4.39	4.17	5.00

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

Course-Section: MUSC 188 01

Title: Percussion Methods

Instructor: Goldstein, Thoma

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 6

Questionnaires: 6

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	3	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1019	5.00	4.63	4.09	3.97	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	5	Required for Majors	6	Graduate	0	Major	5
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	6	Non-major	1
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

**Course-Section: MUSC 190 01** 

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Instructor: Andrist, Audrey

**Term - Fall 2013** 

**Enrollment: 2** 

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1644	4.89	4.64	4.32	4.16	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1644	4.83	4.66	4.28	4.23	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1534/1620	4.43	4.43	4.20	4.13	3.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1528/1642	4.57	4.66	4.68	4.68	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1596	4.72	4.52	4.12	4.07	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1534	4.76	4.68	4.48	4.45	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.94	4.76	4.72	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1531	4.80	4.70	4.33	4.30	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1530	4.99	4.77	4.35	4.30	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1279/1366	4.71	4.59	4.18	3.96	3.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1297/1364	4.71	4.62	4.33	4.10	3.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1308/1361	4.71	4.65	4.39	4.17	3.00
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/209	5.00	4.14	4.19	4.18	5.00
Seminar														
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/71	5.00	5.00	4.40	4.19	5.00
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	44/73	4.00	4.67	4.09	3.85	4.00
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	32/48	4.00	4.80	4.16	3.97	4.00

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

**Course-Section: MUSC 190 01** 

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

**Instructor:** Andrist, Audrey

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 2

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Field Work														
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	28/45	4.00	4.80	4.19	3.97	4.00
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	29/51	4.60	4.51	4.03	4.19	4.00
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/36	4.90	4.48	4.33	4.38	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

**Course-Section: MUSC 190 02** 

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

**Instructor: Hawley JR, Thoma** 

**Term - Fall 2013** 

**Enrollment: 9** 

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	254/1644	4.89	4.64	4.32	4.16	4.83
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	0	0	5	4.50	633/1644	4.83	4.66	4.28	4.23	4.50
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	5	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1419	5.00	4.85	4.35	4.25	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1596	5.00	4.70	4.24	4.09	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	3	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	970/1535	4.75	4.58	4.15	4.02	4.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	4	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1510	4.50	4.48	4.13	3.91	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	2	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	779/1620	4.43	4.43	4.20	4.13	4.33
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	3	2	4.40	1286/1642	4.57	4.66	4.68	4.68	4.40
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	382/1596	4.72	4.52	4.12	4.07	4.50
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1534	4.76	4.68	4.48	4.45	****
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1539	5.00	4.94	4.76	4.72	****
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1531	4.80	4.70	4.33	4.30	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/1366	4.71	4.59	4.18	3.96	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	5	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/1364	4.71	4.62	4.33	4.10	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	5	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/1361	4.71	4.65	4.39	4.17	****
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	5	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/209	5.00	4.14	4.19	4.18	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/48	4.00	4.80	4.16	3.97	****

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

**Course-Section: MUSC 190 02** 

**Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art** 

**Instructor: Hawley JR, Thoma** 

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 9

Questionnaires: 6

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Field Work														
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/45	4.00	4.80	4.19	3.97	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	5	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/30	****	5.00	4.57	4.58	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	5	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/25	****	5.00	4.35	4.63	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	1	0	1	2	4.00	29/51	4.60	4.51	4.03	4.19	4.00
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	2	3	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	5.00	4.76	4.18	4.46	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	2	0	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	17/36	4.90	4.48	4.33	4.38	4.50
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	2	1	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	4/19	4.67	4.50	4.17	4.29	4.67
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	2	3	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/14	****	4.50	4.17	4.35	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	1	Α	2	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	6	Non-major	6
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	1			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	2				
				?	2						

Course-Section: MUSC 190 04

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Instructor: Caracciolo, Step

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 2

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1644	4.89	4.64	4.32	4.16	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	1210/1644	4.83	4.66	4.28	4.23	4.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1596	5.00	4.70	4.24	4.09	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	970/1535	4.75	4.58	4.15	4.02	4.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	921/1510	4.50	4.48	4.13	3.91	4.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	3.00	1534/1620	4.43	4.43	4.20	4.13	3.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1642	4.57	4.66	4.68	4.68	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1596	4.72	4.52	4.12	4.07	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1534	4.76	4.68	4.48	4.45	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.94	4.76	4.72	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1163/1531	4.80	4.70	4.33	4.30	4.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1530	4.99	4.77	4.35	4.30	5.00
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/51	4.60	4.51	4.03	4.19	5.00

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

Course-Section: MUSC 190 04

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Instructor: Caracciolo,Step

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 2

Questionnaires: 2

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/36	4.90	4.48	4.33	4.38	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	2
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 190 05

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

**Instructor: Jackson, Janice** 

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 14

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	3	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1644	4.89	4.64	4.32	4.16	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	3	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1644	4.83	4.66	4.28	4.23	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	3	5	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1419	5.00	4.85	4.35	4.25	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	3	5	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/1596	5.00	4.70	4.24	4.09	****
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	3	5	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1535	4.75	4.58	4.15	4.02	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	3	5	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1510	4.50	4.48	4.13	3.91	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	3	4	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1620	4.43	4.43	4.20	4.13	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	3	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	973/1642	4.57	4.66	4.68	4.68	4.71
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1596	4.72	4.52	4.12	4.07	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	7	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1534	4.76	4.68	4.48	4.45	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	7	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.94	4.76	4.72	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	7	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	478/1531	4.80	4.70	4.33	4.30	4.67
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1530	4.99	4.77	4.35	4.30	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	7	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1409	4.80	4.57	4.08	3.97	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1366	4.71	4.59	4.18	3.96	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	8	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1364	4.71	4.62	4.33	4.10	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	8	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1361	4.71	4.65	4.39	4.17	****

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

Course-Section: MUSC 190 05

**Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art** 

**Instructor:** Jackson, Janice

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 14

**Questionnaires: 10** 

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	8	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1019	5.00	4.63	4.09	3.97	****

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	7	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	10	Non-major	10
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	3	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	4				
				?	3						

**Course-Section: MUSC 190 07** 

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

**Instructor:** Markovic,Lorria

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 5

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1644	4.89	4.64	4.32	4.16	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1644	4.83	4.66	4.28	4.23	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1419	5.00	4.85	4.35	4.25	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1596	5.00	4.70	4.24	4.09	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1535	4.75	4.58	4.15	4.02	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1510	4.50	4.48	4.13	3.91	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1620	4.43	4.43	4.20	4.13	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1642	4.57	4.66	4.68	4.68	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1534	4.76	4.68	4.48	4.45	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.94	4.76	4.72	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1531	4.80	4.70	4.33	4.30	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1530	4.99	4.77	4.35	4.30	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1366	4.71	4.59	4.18	3.96	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1364	4.71	4.62	4.33	4.10	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1361	4.71	4.65	4.39	4.17	5.00

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

Course-Section: MUSC 190 07

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 5

Questionnaires: 1

**Instructor:** Markovic,Lorria

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1019	5.00	4.63	4.09	3.97	5.00

			_			_		_			
Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	1
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

**Course-Section: MUSC 190 09** 

**Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art** 

**Instructor:** Tremblay, Christ

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 9

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	tructor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1644	4.89	4.64	4.32	4.16	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1644	4.83	4.66	4.28	4.23	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1535	4.75	4.58	4.15	4.02	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1642	4.57	4.66	4.68	4.68	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1596	4.72	4.52	4.12	4.07	5.00

### **Frequency Distribution**

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

**Course-Section: MUSC 190 11** 

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

**Instructor:** Ladd, Gita

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 5

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1644	4.89	4.64	4.32	4.16	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	302/1644	4.83	4.66	4.28	4.23	4.75
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1419	5.00	4.85	4.35	4.25	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1596	5.00	4.70	4.24	4.09	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1535	4.75	4.58	4.15	4.02	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	3	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1510	4.50	4.48	4.13	3.91	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1620	4.43	4.43	4.20	4.13	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	3	1	4.25	1400/1642	4.57	4.66	4.68	4.68	4.25
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1596	4.72	4.52	4.12	4.07	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1534	4.76	4.68	4.48	4.45	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.94	4.76	4.72	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1531	4.80	4.70	4.33	4.30	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1530	4.99	4.77	4.35	4.30	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1409	4.80	4.57	4.08	3.97	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1366	4.71	4.59	4.18	3.96	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1364	4.71	4.62	4.33	4.10	5.00

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

**Course-Section: MUSC 190 11** 

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

**Instructor:** Ladd, Gita

**Term - Fall 2013** 

**Enrollment: 5** 

**Questionnaires:** 5

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1361	4.71	4.65	4.39	4.17	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	4	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	0	Major	3
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	5	Non-major	2
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

**Course-Section: MUSC 190 12** 

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

**Instructor: Lagana, Thomas V** 

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 3

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1644	4.89	4.64	4.32	4.16	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1644	4.83	4.66	4.28	4.23	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1596	5.00	4.70	4.24	4.09	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1535	4.75	4.58	4.15	4.02	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1134/1620	4.43	4.43	4.20	4.13	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1528/1642	4.57	4.66	4.68	4.68	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1596	4.72	4.52	4.12	4.07	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1534	4.76	4.68	4.48	4.45	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.94	4.76	4.72	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1531	4.80	4.70	4.33	4.30	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1530	4.99	4.77	4.35	4.30	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1409	4.80	4.57	4.08	3.97	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1366	4.71	4.59	4.18	3.96	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1364	4.71	4.62	4.33	4.10	5.00

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

**Course-Section: MUSC 190 12** 

**Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art** 

**Instructor: Lagana, Thomas V** 

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 3

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1361	4.71	4.65	4.39	4.17	5.00

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	0	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	1	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

**Course-Section: MUSC 190 13** 

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

**Instructor:** Forshee, Zane F.

**Term - Fall 2013** 

**Enrollment: 1** 

**Questionnaires: 1** 

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1644	4.89	4.64	4.32	4.16	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1210/1644	4.83	4.66	4.28	4.23	4.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1535	4.75	4.58	4.15	4.02	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1534/1620	4.43	4.43	4.20	4.13	3.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1528/1642	4.57	4.66	4.68	4.68	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1596	4.72	4.52	4.12	4.07	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1534	4.76	4.68	4.48	4.45	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.94	4.76	4.72	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1531	4.80	4.70	4.33	4.30	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1530	4.99	4.77	4.35	4.30	5.00

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

**Course-Section: MUSC 190 13** 

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

**Instructor:** Forshee, Zane F.

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 1

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Lecture														
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1409	4.80	4.57	4.08	3.97	5.00

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	١	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	0						

**Course-Section: MUSC 190 15** 

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

**Instructor:** Kesner, Lori A.

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 4

						structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect			
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1644	4.89	4.64	4.32	4.16	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1644	4.83	4.66	4.28	4.23	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1419	5.00	4.85	4.35	4.25	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1596	5.00	4.70	4.24	4.09	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	331/1620	4.43	4.43	4.20	4.13	4.67
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1642	4.57	4.66	4.68	4.68	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1596	4.72	4.52	4.12	4.07	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1534	4.76	4.68	4.48	4.45	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.94	4.76	4.72	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1531	4.80	4.70	4.33	4.30	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1530	4.99	4.77	4.35	4.30	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1366	4.71	4.59	4.18	3.96	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1364	4.71	4.62	4.33	4.10	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1361	4.71	4.65	4.39	4.17	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1019	5.00	4.63	4.09	3.97	5.00
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/51	4.60	4.51	4.03	4.19	5.00
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/31	5.00	4.76	4.18	4.46	5.00
										_	_	_		

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

Course-Section: MUSC 190 15

**Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art** 

**Instructor:** Kesner, Lori A.

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 4

**Questionnaires: 3** 

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/36	4.90	4.48	4.33	4.38	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	2						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	3	Non-major	2
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	0						

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

**Course-Section: MUSC 190 20** 

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

**Instructor:** Spittel, Richard

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 2

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1644	4.89	4.64	4.32	4.16	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	633/1644	4.83	4.66	4.28	4.23	4.50
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1596	5.00	4.70	4.24	4.09	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	1203/1642	4.57	4.66	4.68	4.68	4.50
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	382/1596	4.72	4.52	4.12	4.07	4.50
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1296/1534	4.76	4.68	4.48	4.45	4.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.94	4.76	4.72	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1163/1531	4.80	4.70	4.33	4.30	4.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1530	4.99	4.77	4.35	4.30	5.00
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/51	4.60	4.51	4.03	4.19	5.00

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

**Course-Section: MUSC 190 20** 

**Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art** 

**Instructor:** Spittel, Richard

**Term - Fall 2013** 

**Enrollment: 2** 

**Questionnaires: 2** 

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/36	4.90	4.48	4.33	4.38	5.00

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	2
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

**Course-Section: MUSC 190 22** 

**Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art** 

**Instructor:** Morin, Joseph C

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 1

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1644	4.89	4.64	4.32	4.16	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1644	4.83	4.66	4.28	4.23	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1596	5.00	4.70	4.24	4.09	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1620	4.43	4.43	4.20	4.13	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1642	4.57	4.66	4.68	4.68	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1596	4.72	4.52	4.12	4.07	5.00

#### **Frequency Distribution**

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	<b>A</b>	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

**Course-Section: MUSC 190 25** 

**Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art** 

**Instructor: Belzer, Matthew** 

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 2

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1644	4.89	4.64	4.32	4.16	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1644	4.83	4.66	4.28	4.23	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1528/1642	4.57	4.66	4.68	4.68	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1596	4.72	4.52	4.12	4.07	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1534	4.76	4.68	4.48	4.45	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.94	4.76	4.72	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1531	4.80	4.70	4.33	4.30	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1530	4.99	4.77	4.35	4.30	5.00

#### **Frequency Distribution**

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

**Course-Section: MUSC 190 26** 

**Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art** 

Instructor: Kaufman, Brian L

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 1
Questionnaires: 1

	Frequencies					Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect		
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1644	4.89	4.64	4.32	4.16	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1644	4.83	4.66	4.28	4.23	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1642	4.57	4.66	4.68	4.68	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	971/1596	4.72	4.52	4.12	4.07	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1296/1534	4.76	4.68	4.48	4.45	4.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.94	4.76	4.72	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1531	4.80	4.70	4.33	4.30	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1530	4.99	4.77	4.35	4.30	5.00

#### **Frequency Distribution**

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors		
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	0	
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	0							
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	1	
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0							
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses		
				Р	0			to be significant				
				I	0	Other	1					
				?	0							

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

**Course-Section: MUSC 190 27** 

**Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art** 

**Instructor:** Goldstein, Thoma

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 3

Questionnaires: 2

			Frequencies					Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	688/1644	4.89	4.64	4.32	4.16	4.50
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1644	4.83	4.66	4.28	4.23	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1620	4.43	4.43	4.20	4.13	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	1203/1642	4.57	4.66	4.68	4.68	4.50
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	382/1596	4.72	4.52	4.12	4.07	4.50
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1296/1534	4.76	4.68	4.48	4.45	4.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.94	4.76	4.72	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1531	4.80	4.70	4.33	4.30	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1530	4.99	4.77	4.35	4.30	5.00

Credits E	Credits Earned Cum. GPA Expected Grades		Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors			
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	2
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	1			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	0						

**Course-Section: MUSC 190 31** 

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

**Instructor: Baldwin, Thomas** 

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 2

Questionnaires: 1

			Frequencies			Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect		
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1644	4.89	4.64	4.32	4.16	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1644	4.83	4.66	4.28	4.23	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1596	5.00	4.70	4.24	4.09	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1528/1642	4.57	4.66	4.68	4.68	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	971/1596	4.72	4.52	4.12	4.07	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1296/1534	4.76	4.68	4.48	4.45	4.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.94	4.76	4.72	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1163/1531	4.80	4.70	4.33	4.30	4.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1530	4.99	4.77	4.35	4.30	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	825/1409	4.80	4.57	4.08	3.97	4.00

#### **Frequency Distribution**

Credits E	edits Earned Cum. GPA Expected Grades		Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors			
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	1
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

**Course-Section: MUSC 190 35** 

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

**Instructor:** Jurkscheit, Kris

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 2

			Frequencies			In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect		
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1218/1644	4.89	4.64	4.32	4.16	4.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1644	4.83	4.66	4.28	4.23	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1596	5.00	4.70	4.24	4.09	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1642	4.57	4.66	4.68	4.68	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1596	4.72	4.52	4.12	4.07	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1534	4.76	4.68	4.48	4.45	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.94	4.76	4.72	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1531	4.80	4.70	4.33	4.30	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1530	4.99	4.77	4.35	4.30	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1409	4.80	4.57	4.08	3.97	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1366	4.71	4.59	4.18	3.96	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1364	4.71	4.62	4.33	4.10	5.00

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

**Course-Section: MUSC 190 35** 

**Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art** 

**Instructor:** Jurkscheit, Kris

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 2

**Questionnaires: 1** 

			Frequencies					Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1361	4.71	4.65	4.39	4.17	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	0	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

**Course-Section: MUSC 190 36** 

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

**Instructor: Dodson, Lisa Ann** 

**Term - Fall 2013** 

**Enrollment:** 9

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	3	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	482/1644	4.89	4.64	4.32	4.16	4.67
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	3	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	428/1644	4.83	4.66	4.28	4.23	4.67
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	3	4	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/1419	5.00	4.85	4.35	4.25	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	3	4	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/1596	5.00	4.70	4.24	4.09	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	3	5	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/1510	4.50	4.48	4.13	3.91	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	3	0	0	0	1	1	4	4.50	527/1620	4.43	4.43	4.20	4.13	4.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	3	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	1038/1642	4.57	4.66	4.68	4.68	4.67
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	0	0	0	3	2	4.40	515/1596	4.72	4.52	4.12	4.07	4.40
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	675/1534	4.76	4.68	4.48	4.45	4.67
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.94	4.76	4.72	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1531	4.80	4.70	4.33	4.30	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	309/1530	4.99	4.77	4.35	4.30	4.83

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

**Course-Section: MUSC 190 36** 

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

**Instructor: Dodson, Lisa Ann** 

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 9

**Questionnaires:** 9

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Lecture														
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	5	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1409	4.80	4.57	4.08	3.97	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	1	Α	5	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	9	Non-major	9
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	3	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	2				
				?	4						

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

**Course-Section: MUSC 190 40** 

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

**Instructor:** Humphreys, Miche

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 5

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1644	4.89	4.64	4.32	4.16	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1644	4.83	4.66	4.28	4.23	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1419	5.00	4.85	4.35	4.25	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	3	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1596	5.00	4.70	4.24	4.09	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	3	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1535	4.75	4.58	4.15	4.02	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1620	4.43	4.43	4.20	4.13	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	4.00	1528/1642	4.57	4.66	4.68	4.68	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1596	4.72	4.52	4.12	4.07	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1534	4.76	4.68	4.48	4.45	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.94	4.76	4.72	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1531	4.80	4.70	4.33	4.30	5.00

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

Course-Section: MUSC 190 40

**Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art** 

**Instructor:** Humphreys, Miche

**Term - Fall 2013** 

**Enrollment: 5** 

**Questionnaires:** 4

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Lecture														
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1530	4.99	4.77	4.35	4.30	5.00

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	3	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	2	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	4	Non-major	3
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	1			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	0						

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

**Course-Section: MUSC 190 43** 

**Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art** 

**Instructor: Young, Alice E** 

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 4

Questionnaires: 3

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	482/1644	4.89	4.64	4.32	4.16	4.67
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1644	4.83	4.66	4.28	4.23	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1596	5.00	4.70	4.24	4.09	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1620	4.43	4.43	4.20	4.13	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1642	4.57	4.66	4.68	4.68	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	382/1596	4.72	4.52	4.12	4.07	4.50
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1534	4.76	4.68	4.48	4.45	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.94	4.76	4.72	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	3	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	3	Non-major	3
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 190 44

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

**Instructor:** Regan, Joseph Co

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 11

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1644	4.89	4.64	4.32	4.16	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1644	4.83	4.66	4.28	4.23	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1596	5.00	4.70	4.24	4.09	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1620	4.43	4.43	4.20	4.13	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1642	4.57	4.66	4.68	4.68	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	971/1596	4.72	4.52	4.12	4.07	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1534	4.76	4.68	4.48	4.45	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.94	4.76	4.72	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1531	4.80	4.70	4.33	4.30	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1530	4.99	4.77	4.35	4.30	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1366	4.71	4.59	4.18	3.96	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1364	4.71	4.62	4.33	4.10	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1361	4.71	4.65	4.39	4.17	5.00

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

**Course-Section: MUSC 190 44** 

**Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art** 

Instructor: Regan, Joseph Co

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 11

**Questionnaires: 2** 

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1019	5.00	4.63	4.09	3.97	5.00

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	2
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 191 03

**Title: Recital Preparation** 

**Instructor:** Wonneberger, Ala

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 25

Questionnaires: 25

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	7	0	3	2	2	1	10	3.72	1428/1644	3.72	4.64	4.32	4.16	3.72
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	8	0	0	0	1	2	14	4.76	288/1644	4.76	4.66	4.28	4.23	4.76
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	7	12	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	****/1419	****	4.85	4.35	4.25	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	7	12	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	****/1596	****	4.70	4.24	4.09	****
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	7	14	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	****/1535	****	4.58	4.15	4.02	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	7	13	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	****/1510	****	4.48	4.13	3.91	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	7	0	0	1	0	2	15	4.72	261/1620	4.72	4.43	4.20	4.13	4.72
8. How many times was class cancelled	8	0	0	0	0	0	17	5.00	1/1642	5.00	4.66	4.68	4.68	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	11	1	0	0	0	0	13	5.00	1/1596	5.00	4.52	4.12	4.07	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	19	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	****/1534	****	4.68	4.48	4.45	****
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	19	0	0	0	1	0	5	4.67	****/1539	****	4.94	4.76	4.72	****
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	19	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	****/1531	****	4.70	4.33	4.30	****
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	19	1	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	****/1530	****	4.77	4.35	4.30	****
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	20	2	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/1409	****	4.57	4.08	3.97	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	22	0	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	****/1366	****	4.59	4.18	3.96	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	22	0	0	0	2	0	1	3.67	****/1364	****	4.62	4.33	4.10	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	22	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	****/1361	****	4.65	4.39	4.17	****

Run Date: 1/30/2014 2:19:29 PM

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

Course-Section: MUSC 191 03

Title: Recital Preparation

Instructor: Wonneberger, Ala

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 25

Questionnaires: 25

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	22	1	0	1	1	0	0	2.50	****/1019	****	4.63	4.09	3.97	****

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	13	Required for Majors	15	Graduate	0	Major	16
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	2						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	1	С	1	General	0	Under-grad	25	Non-major	9
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	3	D	1						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	8						

Course-Section: MUSC 193 01

**Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors** 

**Instructor:** Andrist, Audrey

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 7

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1644	4.89	4.64	4.32	4.16	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1644	4.82	4.66	4.28	4.23	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1419	5.00	4.85	4.35	4.25	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1596	4.50	4.70	4.24	4.09	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1535	4.94	4.58	4.15	4.02	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1620	4.75	4.43	4.20	4.13	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	1203/1642	4.56	4.66	4.68	4.68	4.50
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	971/1596	4.63	4.52	4.12	4.07	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1534	4.50	4.68	4.48	4.45	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.94	4.76	4.72	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1531	4.70	4.70	4.33	4.30	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1530	4.64	4.77	4.35	4.30	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1409	4.20	4.57	4.08	3.97	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1366	4.88	4.59	4.18	3.96	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1364	4.50	4.62	4.33	4.10	5.00

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

Course-Section: MUSC 193 01

**Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors** 

**Instructor: Andrist, Audrey** 

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 7

**Questionnaires: 2** 

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1361	4.88	4.65	4.39	4.17	5.00

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 193 04

**Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors** 

**Instructor:** Caracciolo, Step

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 4

**Questionnaires: 4** 

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	688/1644	4.89	4.64	4.32	4.16	4.50
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	633/1644	4.82	4.66	4.28	4.23	4.50
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	567/1596	4.50	4.70	4.24	4.09	4.50
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	442/1535	4.94	4.58	4.15	4.02	4.50
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	921/1510	4.67	4.48	4.13	3.91	4.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	224/1620	4.75	4.43	4.20	4.13	4.75
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	914/1642	4.56	4.66	4.68	4.68	4.75
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	603/1596	4.63	4.52	4.12	4.07	4.33
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1498/1534	4.50	4.68	4.48	4.45	3.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.94	4.76	4.72	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1163/1531	4.70	4.70	4.33	4.30	4.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1163/1530	4.64	4.77	4.35	4.30	4.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1409	4.20	4.57	4.08	3.97	5.00
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	21/51	4.70	4.51	4.03	4.19	4.50
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	14/31	4.83	4.76	4.18	4.46	4.50
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	17/36	4.17	4.48	4.33	4.38	4.50

Run Date: 1/30/2014 2:19:29 PM

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

Course-Section: MUSC 193 04

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Instructor: Caracciolo, Step

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 4

Questionnaires: 4

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	7/19	4.75	4.50	4.17	4.29	4.50

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	3	Required for Majors	4	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	4	Non-major	3
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: MUSC 193 05

**Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors** 

**Instructor: Jackson, Janice** 

**Term - Fall 2013** 

**Enrollment:** 7

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	3	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1644	4.89	4.64	4.32	4.16	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	3	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1644	4.82	4.66	4.28	4.23	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	3	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1419	5.00	4.85	4.35	4.25	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	3	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1596	4.50	4.70	4.24	4.09	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	3	3	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1535	4.94	4.58	4.15	4.02	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	3	3	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1510	4.67	4.48	4.13	3.91	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	3	2	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	527/1620	4.75	4.43	4.20	4.13	4.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	3	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	914/1642	4.56	4.66	4.68	4.68	4.75
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	5	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	382/1596	4.63	4.52	4.12	4.07	4.50
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	5	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1534	4.50	4.68	4.48	4.45	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	5	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.94	4.76	4.72	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	5	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1531	4.70	4.70	4.33	4.30	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1530	4.64	4.77	4.35	4.30	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	5	0	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	1316/1409	4.20	4.57	4.08	3.97	3.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1366	4.88	4.59	4.18	3.96	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	5	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1364	4.50	4.62	4.33	4.10	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	5	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1361	4.88	4.65	4.39	4.17	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	5	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1019	4.33	4.63	4.09	3.97	****

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

**Course-Section: MUSC 193 05** 

**Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors** 

**Instructor: Jackson, Janice** 

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 7

**Questionnaires: 7** 

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/51	4.70	4.51	4.03	4.19	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/36	4.17	4.48	4.33	4.38	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	3	Required for Majors	4	Graduate	0	Major	4
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	7	Non-major	3
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	3						

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

Course-Section: MUSC 193 07

**Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors** 

**Instructor:** Markovic,Lorria

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 3

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1644	4.89	4.64	4.32	4.16	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1644	4.82	4.66	4.28	4.23	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1134/1620	4.75	4.43	4.20	4.13	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1528/1642	4.56	4.66	4.68	4.68	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1596	4.63	4.52	4.12	4.07	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 193 09

**Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors** 

**Instructor:** Tremblay, Christ

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 5

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1644	4.89	4.64	4.32	4.16	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1644	4.82	4.66	4.28	4.23	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1419	5.00	4.85	4.35	4.25	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1596	4.50	4.70	4.24	4.09	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1535	4.94	4.58	4.15	4.02	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	1429/1620	4.75	4.43	4.20	4.13	3.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	1038/1642	4.56	4.66	4.68	4.68	4.67
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1596	4.63	4.52	4.12	4.07	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1534	4.50	4.68	4.48	4.45	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.94	4.76	4.72	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1531	4.70	4.70	4.33	4.30	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1530	4.64	4.77	4.35	4.30	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1366	4.88	4.59	4.18	3.96	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1364	4.50	4.62	4.33	4.10	5.00

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

Course-Section: MUSC 193 09

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

**Instructor:** Tremblay, Christ

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 5

Questionnaires: 3

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1361	4.88	4.65	4.39	4.17	5.00

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	1	Α	3	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	0	Major	3
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	3	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

**Course-Section: MUSC 193 11** 

**Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors** 

**Instructor:** Ladd, Gita

**Term - Fall 2013** 

**Enrollment:** 5

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1644	4.89	4.64	4.32	4.16	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	302/1644	4.82	4.66	4.28	4.23	4.75
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1419	5.00	4.85	4.35	4.25	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1596	4.50	4.70	4.24	4.09	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	3	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1535	4.94	4.58	4.15	4.02	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	3	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1620	4.75	4.43	4.20	4.13	****
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	1203/1642	4.56	4.66	4.68	4.68	4.50
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1596	4.63	4.52	4.12	4.07	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1534	4.50	4.68	4.48	4.45	****
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.94	4.76	4.72	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1531	4.70	4.70	4.33	4.30	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1530	4.64	4.77	4.35	4.30	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/1409	4.20	4.57	4.08	3.97	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/51	4.70	4.51	4.03	4.19	****

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

Course-Section: MUSC 193 11

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Instructor: Ladd, Gita

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 5

Questionnaires: 5

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/36	4.17	4.48	4.33	4.38	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	3	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	5	Non-major	3
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

**Course-Section: MUSC 193 12** 

**Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors** 

**Instructor: Lagana, Thomas V** 

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 4

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1644	4.89	4.64	4.32	4.16	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1644	4.82	4.66	4.28	4.23	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1419	5.00	4.85	4.35	4.25	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1596	4.50	4.70	4.24	4.09	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1535	4.94	4.58	4.15	4.02	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1510	4.67	4.48	4.13	3.91	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1620	4.75	4.43	4.20	4.13	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1642	4.56	4.66	4.68	4.68	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	382/1596	4.63	4.52	4.12	4.07	4.50
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1534	4.50	4.68	4.48	4.45	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.94	4.76	4.72	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1531	4.70	4.70	4.33	4.30	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1530	4.64	4.77	4.35	4.30	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1366	4.88	4.59	4.18	3.96	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1364	4.50	4.62	4.33	4.10	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1361	4.88	4.65	4.39	4.17	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1019	4.33	4.63	4.09	3.97	5.00
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	207/209	1.00	4.14	4.19	4.18	1.00

**Course-Section: MUSC 193 12** 

**Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors** 

**Instructor: Lagana, Thomas V** 

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 4

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/72	5.00	5.00	4.53	4.35	5.00
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/71	5.00	5.00	4.38	4.21	5.00
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/68	5.00	5.00	4.41	4.22	5.00
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/71	5.00	5.00	4.40	4.19	5.00
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/73	5.00	4.67	4.09	3.85	5.00
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/48	5.00	4.80	4.16	3.97	5.00
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/45	5.00	4.80	4.19	3.97	5.00
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/30	5.00	5.00	4.57	4.58	5.00
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/27	5.00	5.00	4.25	4.37	5.00
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/25	5.00	5.00	4.35	4.63	5.00
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/51	4.70	4.51	4.03	4.19	5.00
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/31	4.83	4.76	4.18	4.46	5.00
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/19	4.75	4.50	4.17	4.29	5.00

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

**Course-Section: MUSC 193 12** 

**Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors** 

Instructor: Lagana, Thomas V

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 4

**Questionnaires: 3** 

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/14	5.00	4.50	4.17	4.35	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	3	Non-major	2
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

**Course-Section: MUSC 193 13** 

**Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors** 

**Instructor:** Forshee, Zane F.

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 4

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	688/1644	4.89	4.64	4.32	4.16	4.50
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	633/1644	4.82	4.66	4.28	4.23	4.50
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1596	4.50	4.70	4.24	4.09	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	527/1620	4.75	4.43	4.20	4.13	4.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	1528/1642	4.56	4.66	4.68	4.68	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1596	4.63	4.52	4.12	4.07	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1498/1534	4.50	4.68	4.48	4.45	3.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.94	4.76	4.72	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1163/1531	4.70	4.70	4.33	4.30	4.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1469/1530	4.64	4.77	4.35	4.30	3.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	862/1366	4.88	4.59	4.18	3.96	4.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1364	4.50	4.62	4.33	4.10	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1034/1361	4.88	4.65	4.39	4.17	4.00
4. Were special techniques successful	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	961/1019	4.33	4.63	4.09	3.97	3.00
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	29/51	4.70	4.51	4.03	4.19	4.00

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

Course-Section: MUSC 193 13

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

**Instructor:** Forshee, Zane F.

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 4

Questionnaires: 2

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	33/36	4.17	4.48	4.33	4.38	3.00

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP	١	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

**Course-Section: MUSC 193 15** 

**Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors** 

**Instructor:** Kesner,Lori A.

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 2

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1644	4.89	4.64	4.32	4.16	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1210/1644	4.82	4.66	4.28	4.23	4.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1620	4.75	4.43	4.20	4.13	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1642	4.56	4.66	4.68	4.68	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	971/1596	4.63	4.52	4.12	4.07	4.00

### **Frequency Distribution**

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Run Date: 1/30/2014 2:19:29 PM

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

**Course-Section: MUSC 193 17** 

**Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors** 

**Instructor:** Richards, Edwin

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 3

Questionnaires: 3

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1644	4.89	4.64	4.32	4.16	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1644	4.82	4.66	4.28	4.23	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1596	4.50	4.70	4.24	4.09	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1620	4.75	4.43	4.20	4.13	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	1528/1642	4.56	4.66	4.68	4.68	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	246/1596	4.63	4.52	4.12	4.07	4.67

### **Frequency Distribution**

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	0	Major	3
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	3	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Run Date: 1/30/2014 2:19:29 PM

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

**Course-Section: MUSC 193 23** 

**Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors** 

**Instructor: Crossland, James** 

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 2

Questionnaires: 2

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1644	4.89	4.64	4.32	4.16	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1644	4.82	4.66	4.28	4.23	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1419	5.00	4.85	4.35	4.25	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1596	4.50	4.70	4.24	4.09	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1620	4.75	4.43	4.20	4.13	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1642	4.56	4.66	4.68	4.68	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1596	4.63	4.52	4.12	4.07	5.00
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/51	4.70	4.51	4.03	4.19	5.00
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/36	4.17	4.48	4.33	4.38	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

**Course-Section: MUSC 193 25** 

**Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors** 

**Instructor: Belzer, Matthew** 

**Term - Fall 2013** 

**Enrollment: 3** 

**Questionnaires: 3** 

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1644	4.89	4.64	4.32	4.16	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1644	4.82	4.66	4.28	4.23	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1596	4.50	4.70	4.24	4.09	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1535	4.94	4.58	4.15	4.02	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1510	4.67	4.48	4.13	3.91	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1620	4.75	4.43	4.20	4.13	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1642	4.56	4.66	4.68	4.68	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1596	4.63	4.52	4.12	4.07	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1534	4.50	4.68	4.48	4.45	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.94	4.76	4.72	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1530	4.64	4.77	4.35	4.30	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1366	4.88	4.59	4.18	3.96	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1364	4.50	4.62	4.33	4.10	5.00

Run Date: 1/30/2014 2:19:29 PM

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

**Course-Section: MUSC 193 25** 

**Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors** 

**Instructor: Belzer, Matthew** 

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 3

**Questionnaires: 3** 

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1361	4.88	4.65	4.39	4.17	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	3	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

**Course-Section: MUSC 193 30** 

**Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors** 

**Instructor:** Pollauf, Jacquel

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 1
Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1644	4.89	4.64	4.32	4.16	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1644	4.82	4.66	4.28	4.23	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1620	4.75	4.43	4.20	4.13	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1642	4.56	4.66	4.68	4.68	5.00
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/51	4.70	4.51	4.03	4.19	5.00
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/31	4.83	4.76	4.18	4.46	5.00

### **Frequency Distribution**

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	0	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	0						

Run Date: 1/30/2014 2:19:29 PM

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

**Course-Section: MUSC 193 31** 

**Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors** 

**Instructor:** Baldwin, Thomas

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 4

			Frequencies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect			
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1644	4.89	4.64	4.32	4.16	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1644	4.82	4.66	4.28	4.23	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1419	5.00	4.85	4.35	4.25	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1596	4.50	4.70	4.24	4.09	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1535	4.94	4.58	4.15	4.02	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1510	4.67	4.48	4.13	3.91	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1620	4.75	4.43	4.20	4.13	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	1344/1642	4.56	4.66	4.68	4.68	4.33
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1596	4.63	4.52	4.12	4.07	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1366	4.88	4.59	4.18	3.96	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1364	4.50	4.62	4.33	4.10	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1361	4.88	4.65	4.39	4.17	5.00

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

**Course-Section: MUSC 193 31** 

**Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors** 

**Instructor: Baldwin, Thomas** 

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 4

**Questionnaires: 3** 

		Fre	quen	cies		Ins	tructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect		
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1019	4.33	4.63	4.09	3.97	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	3	Non-major	1
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

**Course-Section: MUSC 193 35** 

**Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors** 

**Instructor: Jurkscheit, Kris** 

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 1

			Frequencies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect			
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1218/1644	4.89	4.64	4.32	4.16	4.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1210/1644	4.82	4.66	4.28	4.23	4.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1129/1596	4.50	4.70	4.24	4.09	4.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1535	4.94	4.58	4.15	4.02	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	921/1510	4.67	4.48	4.13	3.91	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1528/1642	4.56	4.66	4.68	4.68	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	971/1596	4.63	4.52	4.12	4.07	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1296/1534	4.50	4.68	4.48	4.45	4.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.94	4.76	4.72	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1163/1531	4.70	4.70	4.33	4.30	4.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1163/1530	4.64	4.77	4.35	4.30	4.00

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

**Course-Section: MUSC 193 35** 

**Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors** 

**Instructor:** Jurkscheit, Kris

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 1

**Questionnaires: 1** 

		Frequencies			Instructor		Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect			
Questions		NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Lecture														
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1316/1409	4.20	4.57	4.08	3.97	3.00

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	١	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	1	Α	0	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

**Course-Section: MUSC 193 38** 

**Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors** 

**Instructor:** Forshee, Zane F.

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1644	4.89	4.64	4.32	4.16	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1644	4.82	4.66	4.28	4.23	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1419	5.00	4.85	4.35	4.25	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1596	4.50	4.70	4.24	4.09	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1535	4.94	4.58	4.15	4.02	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1510	4.67	4.48	4.13	3.91	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1620	4.75	4.43	4.20	4.13	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1528/1642	4.56	4.66	4.68	4.68	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1534	4.50	4.68	4.48	4.45	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.94	4.76	4.72	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1531	4.70	4.70	4.33	4.30	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1530	4.64	4.77	4.35	4.30	5.00

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

**Course-Section: MUSC 193 38** 

**Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors** 

**Instructor:** Forshee, Zane F.

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 1

**Questionnaires: 1** 

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Lecture														
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1409	4.20	4.57	4.08	3.97	5.00

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	0	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: MUSC 193 40

**Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors** 

**Instructor:** Humphreys, Miche

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1644	4.89	4.64	4.32	4.16	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1644	4.82	4.66	4.28	4.23	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	1594/1596	4.50	4.70	4.24	4.09	1.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1535	4.94	4.58	4.15	4.02	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1642	4.56	4.66	4.68	4.68	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1596	4.63	4.52	4.12	4.07	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1534	4.50	4.68	4.48	4.45	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.94	4.76	4.72	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1531	4.70	4.70	4.33	4.30	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1530	4.64	4.77	4.35	4.30	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1366	4.88	4.59	4.18	3.96	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	1362/1364	4.50	4.62	4.33	4.10	1.00

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

**Course-Section: MUSC 193 40** 

**Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors** 

**Instructor:** Humphreys, Miche

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 1

**Questionnaires: 1** 

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1361	4.88	4.65	4.39	4.17	5.00

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	0	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	0						

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

Course-Section: MUSC 193 45

**Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors** 

**Instructor: Tiemann, Scott A** 

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 2

Questionnaires: 2

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1644	4.89	4.64	4.32	4.16	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1644	4.82	4.66	4.28	4.23	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1540/1596	4.50	4.70	4.24	4.09	3.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1620	4.75	4.43	4.20	4.13	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	1203/1642	4.56	4.66	4.68	4.68	4.50
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	971/1596	4.63	4.52	4.12	4.07	4.00

## **Frequency Distribution**

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 194 05

**Title: Perf Studies: Musc Maj** 

**Instructor: Jackson, Janice** 

**Term - Fall 2013** 

**Enrollment: 2** 

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1644	5.00	4.64	4.32	4.16	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1644	5.00	4.66	4.28	4.23	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1419	5.00	4.85	4.35	4.25	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1596	5.00	4.70	4.24	4.09	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1535	5.00	4.58	4.15	4.02	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1510	5.00	4.48	4.13	3.91	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1620	5.00	4.43	4.20	4.13	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1642	5.00	4.66	4.68	4.68	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1596	5.00	4.52	4.12	4.07	5.00
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/48	5.00	4.80	4.16	3.97	5.00
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/45	5.00	4.80	4.19	3.97	5.00
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/30	5.00	5.00	4.57	4.58	5.00
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/27	5.00	5.00	4.25	4.37	5.00

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

**Course-Section: MUSC 194 05** 

**Title: Perf Studies: Musc Maj** 

**Instructor: Jackson, Janice** 

**Term - Fall 2013** 

**Enrollment: 2** 

**Questionnaires: 2** 

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Field Work														
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/25	5.00	5.00	4.35	4.63	5.00

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	0						

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

**Course-Section: MUSC 194 45** 

**Title: Perf Studies: Musc Maj** 

**Instructor: Tiemann, Scott A** 

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 1

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1644	5.00	4.64	4.32	4.16	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1644	5.00	4.66	4.28	4.23	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1642	5.00	4.66	4.68	4.68	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1596	5.00	4.52	4.12	4.07	5.00

## **Frequency Distribution**

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

**Course-Section: MUSC 210 01** 

Title: Musicianship Lab III

**Instructor:** Crossland, James

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 7

**Questionnaires:** 7

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	254/1644	4.83	4.64	4.32	4.36	4.83
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	428/1644	4.79	4.66	4.28	4.35	4.67
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	236/1419	4.83	4.85	4.35	4.42	4.83
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	178/1596	4.88	4.70	4.24	4.31	4.83
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	1	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1510	4.93	4.48	4.13	4.17	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	1	1	4	4.50	527/1620	4.46	4.43	4.20	4.25	4.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	777/1642	4.79	4.66	4.68	4.67	4.83
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	144/1596	4.68	4.52	4.12	4.13	4.80
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	5	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1534	5.00	4.68	4.48	4.51	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	5	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.94	4.76	4.80	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	5	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1531	5.00	4.70	4.33	4.38	5.00

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

**Course-Section:** MUSC 210 01

Title: Musicianship Lab III

**Instructor: Crossland, James** 

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 7

**Questionnaires: 7** 

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Lecture														
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1530	5.00	4.77	4.35	4.41	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	5	Required for Majors	6	Graduate	0	Major	6
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	7	Non-major	1
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

**Course-Section: MUSC 210 02** 

Title: Musicianship Lab III

**Instructor:** Crossland, James

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 14

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	2	10	4.83	254/1644	4.83	4.64	4.32	4.36	4.83
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	128/1644	4.79	4.66	4.28	4.35	4.92
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	10	4.83	236/1419	4.83	4.85	4.35	4.42	4.83
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	101/1596	4.88	4.70	4.24	4.31	4.92
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	8	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1535	5.00	4.58	4.15	4.20	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	5	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	119/1510	4.93	4.48	4.13	4.17	4.86
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	2	0	9	4.42	669/1620	4.46	4.43	4.20	4.25	4.42
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	3	9	4.75	914/1642	4.79	4.66	4.68	4.67	4.75
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	1	0	0	0	4	5	4.56	339/1596	4.68	4.52	4.12	4.13	4.56
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	8	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1534	5.00	4.68	4.48	4.51	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	8	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.94	4.76	4.80	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	8	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1531	5.00	4.70	4.33	4.38	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1530	5.00	4.77	4.35	4.41	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	8	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1409	5.00	4.57	4.08	4.23	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	10	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1366	****	4.59	4.18	4.24	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	10	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1364	****	4.62	4.33	4.39	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	10	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1361	****	4.65	4.39	4.48	****

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

Course-Section: MUSC 210 02

Title: Musicianship Lab III

Instructor: Crossland, James

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 14

Questionnaires: 12

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	10	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1019	****	4.63	4.09	4.14	****

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	10	Required for Majors	11	Graduate	0	Major	12
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	12	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	5	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

**Course-Section: MUSC 218 01** 

**Title: Recording Techniques** 

**Instructor:** Revill, David An

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 16

·				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	3	0	0	0	0	3	10	4.77	344/1644	4.77	4.64	4.32	4.36	4.77
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	3	0	0	0	0	4	9	4.69	388/1644	4.69	4.66	4.28	4.35	4.69
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	3	4	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	189/1419	4.89	4.85	4.35	4.42	4.89
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	3	1	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	101/1596	4.92	4.70	4.24	4.31	4.92
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	4	3	1	0	0	2	6	4.33	658/1535	4.33	4.58	4.15	4.20	4.33
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	3	6	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1510	5.00	4.48	4.13	4.17	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	3	0	0	0	1	1	11	4.77	211/1620	4.77	4.43	4.20	4.25	4.77
8. How many times was class cancelled	4	1	0	0	0	1	10	4.91	632/1642	4.91	4.66	4.68	4.67	4.91
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	7	2	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	119/1596	4.86	4.52	4.12	4.13	4.86
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	4	0	1	0	0	1	10	4.58	795/1534	4.58	4.68	4.48	4.51	4.58
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	4	0	0	0	1	0	11	4.83	808/1539	4.83	4.94	4.76	4.80	4.83
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	0	0	1	2	9	4.67	478/1531	4.67	4.70	4.33	4.38	4.67
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	4	1	0	0	1	0	10	4.82	340/1530	4.82	4.77	4.35	4.41	4.82
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	4	0	0	1	0	1	10	4.67	245/1409	4.67	4.57	4.08	4.23	4.67
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	1	1	8	4.70	332/1366	4.70	4.59	4.18	4.24	4.70
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	6	0	0	0	2	1	7	4.50	649/1364	4.50	4.62	4.33	4.39	4.50
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	6	0	0	0	1	1	8	4.70	503/1361	4.70	4.65	4.39	4.48	4.70
4. Were special techniques successful	6	1	0	0	3	0	6	4.33	381/1019	4.33	4.63	4.09	4.14	4.33

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

**Course-Section: MUSC 218 01** 

**Title: Recording Techniques** 

**Instructor:** Revill, David An

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 16

Questionnaires: 16

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	15	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/185	****	4.93	4.23	4.42	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	15	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/209	****	4.14	4.19	4.45	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	15	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/181	****	4.83	4.53	4.67	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	15	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/183	****	4.46	4.46	4.64	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	15	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/172	****	5.00	4.14	4.50	****

## **Frequency Distribution**

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	1	Α	9	Required for Majors	12	Graduate	0	Major	10
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	3						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	16	Non-major	6
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	4						

**Course-Section: MUSC 221 01** 

**Title: Jazz Thry And Aural Skls** 

**Instructor: Belzer, Matthew** 

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 8

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1644	5.00	4.64	4.32	4.36	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1644	5.00	4.66	4.28	4.35	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1419	5.00	4.85	4.35	4.42	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1596	5.00	4.70	4.24	4.31	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1535	5.00	4.58	4.15	4.20	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1510	5.00	4.48	4.13	4.17	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	274/1620	4.71	4.43	4.20	4.25	4.71
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1642	5.00	4.66	4.68	4.67	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	129/1596	4.83	4.52	4.12	4.13	4.83
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1534	5.00	4.68	4.48	4.51	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.94	4.76	4.80	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1531	5.00	4.70	4.33	4.38	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1530	5.00	4.77	4.35	4.41	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	1	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	151/1409	4.80	4.57	4.08	4.23	4.80
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1366	5.00	4.59	4.18	4.24	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	342/1364	4.80	4.62	4.33	4.39	4.80
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1361	5.00	4.65	4.39	4.48	5.00

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

**Course-Section: MUSC 221 01** 

**Title: Jazz Thry And Aural Skls** 

**Instructor: Belzer, Matthew** 

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 8

**Questionnaires: 8** 

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	3	2	0	1	0	0	2	4.00	559/1019	4.00	4.63	4.09	4.14	4.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	3	Required for Majors	6	Graduate	0	Major	6
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	3						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	8	Non-major	2
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	2						

**Course-Section: MUSC 224 01** 

**Title: Instrumentation** 

**Instructor:** Dusman, Linda J

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 15

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	14	4.93	122/1644	4.93	4.64	4.32	4.36	4.93
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	2	12	4.73	331/1644	4.73	4.66	4.28	4.35	4.73
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	0	2	12	4.67	462/1419	4.67	4.85	4.35	4.42	4.67
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	0	2	12	4.67	356/1596	4.67	4.70	4.24	4.31	4.67
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	2	12	4.73	239/1535	4.73	4.58	4.15	4.20	4.73
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	3	11	4.67	278/1510	4.67	4.48	4.13	4.17	4.67
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	1	0	1	2	11	4.47	590/1620	4.47	4.43	4.20	4.25	4.47
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	5.00	1/1642	5.00	4.66	4.68	4.67	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	1	3	10	4.64	263/1596	4.64	4.52	4.12	4.13	4.64
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	5	0	0	0	1	0	9	4.80	439/1534	4.80	4.68	4.48	4.51	4.80
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	5	0	0	0	0	1	9	4.90	609/1539	4.90	4.94	4.76	4.80	4.90
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	5	0	0	1	0	1	8	4.60	565/1531	4.60	4.70	4.33	4.38	4.60
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	1	0	0	9	4.70	519/1530	4.70	4.77	4.35	4.41	4.70
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	5	0	0	0	0	2	8	4.80	151/1409	4.80	4.57	4.08	4.23	4.80
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	1	1	5	4.57	444/1366	4.57	4.59	4.18	4.24	4.57
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	8	0	0	1	0	0	6	4.57	593/1364	4.57	4.62	4.33	4.39	4.57
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	8	0	1	0	0	0	6	4.43	795/1361	4.43	4.65	4.39	4.48	4.43

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

Course-Section: MUSC 224 01

Title: Instrumentation

Instructor: Dusman,Linda J

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 15

Questionnaires: 15

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	8	1	0	1	0	1	4	4.33	381/1019	4.33	4.63	4.09	4.14	4.33

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP.	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	12	Required for Majors	14	Graduate	0	Major	14
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	3						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	15	Non-major	1
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	11	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	1				
				?	0						

**Course-Section: MUSC 225 01** 

**Title: Theory III:Counterpoint** 

**Instructor:** Morin, Joseph C

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 17

**Questionnaires: 17** 

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	6	0	0	1	1	1	8	4.45	751/1644	4.45	4.64	4.32	4.36	4.45
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	5	0	0	0	1	4	7	4.50	633/1644	4.50	4.66	4.28	4.35	4.50
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	5	4	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	254/1596	4.75	4.70	4.24	4.31	4.75
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	0	5	7	4.58	377/1535	4.58	4.58	4.15	4.20	4.58
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	5	2	0	0	1	0	9	4.80	149/1510	4.80	4.48	4.13	4.17	4.80
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	5	1	2	1	2	1	5	3.55	1413/1620	3.55	4.43	4.20	4.25	3.55
8. How many times was class cancelled	5	0	0	0	0	2	10	4.83	777/1642	4.83	4.66	4.68	4.67	4.83
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	7	1	0	0	0	7	2	4.22	742/1596	4.22	4.52	4.12	4.13	4.22
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	7	0	0	0	3	3	4	4.10	1261/1534	4.10	4.68	4.48	4.51	4.10
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	7	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.94	4.76	4.80	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	7	0	0	1	1	4	4	4.10	1119/1531	4.10	4.70	4.33	4.38	4.10
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	1	3	6	4.50	755/1530	4.50	4.77	4.35	4.41	4.50
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	8	1	0	0	1	2	5	4.50	381/1409	4.50	4.57	4.08	4.23	4.50
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	13	0	1	0	1	1	1	3.25	****/1366	****	4.59	4.18	4.24	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	13	0	1	0	0	2	1	3.50	****/1364	****	4.62	4.33	4.39	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	13	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	****/1361	****	4.65	4.39	4.48	****
4. Were special techniques successful	13	3	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/1019	****	4.63	4.09	4.14	****
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	16	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/185	****	4.93	4.23	4.42	****

**Course-Section: MUSC 225 01** 

Title: Theory III:Counterpoint

**Instructor:** Morin, Joseph C

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 17

·				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	16	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/209	****	4.14	4.19	4.45	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	16	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/181	****	4.83	4.53	4.67	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	16	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/183	****	4.46	4.46	4.64	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	16	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/72	****	5.00	4.53	4.71	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	16	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/71	****	5.00	4.38	4.63	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	16	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/68	****	5.00	4.41	4.25	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	16	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/71	****	5.00	4.40	4.47	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	16	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/73	****	4.67	4.09	3.99	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	16	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/48	****	4.80	4.16	4.81	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	16	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/45	****	4.80	4.19	4.58	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	16	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	****	5.00	4.57	4.57	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	16	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/27	****	5.00	4.25	5.00	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	16	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/25	****	5.00	4.35	5.00	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	16	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/51	****	4.51	4.03	5.00	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	16	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	****	4.76	4.18	5.00	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	16	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/36	****	4.48	4.33	****	****
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	16	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/19	****	4.50	4.17	****	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	16	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/14	****	4.50	4.17	****	****

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

## **Frequency Distribution**

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	10	Required for Majors	12	Graduate	0	Major	10
28-55	3	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	0	С	1	General	0	Under-grad	17	Non-major	7
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	7	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	5						

**Course-Section: MUSC 227 01** 

Title: Thry V:20Th Cent Mus Ana

**Instructor:** Rubin, Anna I

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 21

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	3	0	3	1	3	7	4	3.44	1534/1644	3.44	4.64	4.32	4.36	3.44
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	3	0	3	2	3	4	6	3.44	1517/1644	3.44	4.66	4.28	4.35	3.44
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	3	0	1	1	1	7	8	4.11	1047/1419	4.11	4.85	4.35	4.42	4.11
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	3	0	2	0	1	7	8	4.06	1102/1596	4.06	4.70	4.24	4.31	4.06
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	3	0	3	0	6	3	6	3.50	1327/1535	3.50	4.58	4.15	4.20	3.50
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	3	0	2	2	3	5	6	3.61	1209/1510	3.61	4.48	4.13	4.17	3.61
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	4	0	3	3	3	5	3	3.12	1527/1620	3.12	4.43	4.20	4.25	3.12
8. How many times was class cancelled	4	0	0	1	1	14	1	3.88	1597/1642	3.88	4.66	4.68	4.67	3.88
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	5	0	3	2	3	6	2	3.13	1510/1596	3.13	4.52	4.12	4.13	3.13
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	7	0	3	1	1	2	7	3.64	1431/1534	3.64	4.68	4.48	4.51	3.64
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	7	0	0	1	0	2	11	4.64	1162/1539	4.64	4.94	4.76	4.80	4.64
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	7	0	3	2	1	2	6	3.43	1408/1531	3.43	4.70	4.33	4.38	3.43
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	7	0	2	0	2	3	7	3.93	1220/1530	3.93	4.77	4.35	4.41	3.93
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	7	0	1	1	2	0	10	4.21	665/1409	4.21	4.57	4.08	4.23	4.21
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	16	0	1	1	1	0	2	3.20	****/1366	****	4.59	4.18	4.24	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	16	0	1	0	0	0	4	4.20	****/1364	****	4.62	4.33	4.39	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	16	0	1	0	0	1	3	4.00	****/1361	****	4.65	4.39	4.48	****

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

**Course-Section: MUSC 227 01** 

Title: Thry V:20Th Cent Mus Ana

**Instructor: Rubin, Anna I** 

**Term - Fall 2013** 

**Enrollment: 21** 

**Questionnaires: 21** 

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	16	3	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	****/1019	****	4.63	4.09	4.14	****

Credits Ea	rned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	12	Required for Majors	17	Graduate	0	Major	15
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	2						
56-83	4	2.00-2.99	0	С	2	General	0	Under-grad	21	Non-major	6
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	5	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	3						

Course-Section: MUSC 230 01

**Title: Musics Of The World** 

**Instructor: Beck, Gina C** 

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 29

	_	NA         1         2         3         4         5         Mean         R           0         0         0         1         4         18         4.74         386           0         0         0         0         1         22         4.96         72           0         0         0         0         3         20         4.87         208           5         0         0         0         4         14         4.78         235           1         0         2         2         2         16         4.45         510           1         0         0         2         6         14         4.55         389           0         0         0         1         4         18         4.74         249				structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect			
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	6	0	0	0	1	4	18	4.74	386/1644	4.74	4.64	4.32	4.36	4.74
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	6	0	0	0	0	1	22	4.96	72/1644	4.96	4.66	4.28	4.35	4.96
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	6	0	0	0	0	3	20	4.87	208/1419	4.87	4.85	4.35	4.42	4.87
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	6	5	0	0	0	4	14	4.78	235/1596	4.78	4.70	4.24	4.31	4.78
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	6	1	0	2	2	2	16	4.45	510/1535	4.45	4.58	4.15	4.20	4.45
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	6	1	0	0	2	6	14	4.55	389/1510	4.55	4.48	4.13	4.17	4.55
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	6	0	0	0	1	4	18	4.74	249/1620	4.74	4.43	4.20	4.25	4.74
8. How many times was class cancelled	6	0	0	0	0	1	22	4.96	316/1642	4.96	4.66	4.68	4.67	4.96
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	10	1	0	0	2	6	10	4.44	461/1596	4.44	4.52	4.12	4.13	4.44
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	6	0	0	0	0	2	21	4.91	223/1534	4.91	4.68	4.48	4.51	4.91
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	6	0	0	0	0	0	23	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.94	4.76	4.80	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	6	0	0	0	0	3	20	4.87	207/1531	4.87	4.70	4.33	4.38	4.87
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	1	1	21	4.87	263/1530	4.87	4.77	4.35	4.41	4.87
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	6	0	0	0	0	1	22	4.96	49/1409	4.96	4.57	4.08	4.23	4.96
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	19	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1366	5.00	4.59	4.18	4.24	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	19	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1364	5.00	4.62	4.33	4.39	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	19	0	0	0	0	1	9	4.90	247/1361	4.90	4.65	4.39	4.48	4.90

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

Course-Section: MUSC 230 01

Title: Musics Of The World

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 29

Questionnaires: 29

Instructor: Beck, Gina C

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	19	4	1	0	0	0	5	4.33	****/1019	****	4.63	4.09	4.14	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	13	Required for Majors	14	Graduate	0	Major	10
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	8						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	2	С	1	General	3	Under-grad	29	Non-major	19
84-150	8	3.00-3.49	5	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	8	F	0	Electives	3	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	7						

**Course-Section: MUSC 261 01** 

**Title: Teaching Choral Singing** 

**Instructor: Jackson, Janice** 

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 3

Questionnaires: 3

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1644	5.00	4.64	4.32	4.36	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1644	5.00	4.66	4.28	4.35	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1419	5.00	4.85	4.35	4.42	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1596	5.00	4.70	4.24	4.31	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1510	5.00	4.48	4.13	4.17	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1620	5.00	4.43	4.20	4.25	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1528/1642	4.00	4.66	4.68	4.67	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1596	5.00	4.52	4.12	4.13	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1534	5.00	4.68	4.48	4.51	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.94	4.76	4.80	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1531	5.00	4.70	4.33	4.38	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1530	5.00	4.77	4.35	4.41	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1366	5.00	4.59	4.18	4.24	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1364	5.00	4.62	4.33	4.39	5.00

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

Course-Section: MUSC 261 01

**Title: Teaching Choral Singing** 

**Instructor: Jackson, Janice** 

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 3

**Questionnaires: 3** 

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1361	5.00	4.65	4.39	4.48	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	3	Non-major	3
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	2						

**Course-Section: MUSC 301 01** 

**Title: Chambers Players** 

**Instructor:** Goldstein, Thoma

**Term - Fall 2013** 

**Enrollment: 8** 

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	1	1	2	4	4.13	1119/1644	3.28	4.64	4.32	4.31	4.13
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	3	4	4.38	843/1644	4.34	4.66	4.28	4.25	4.38
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	5	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1419	5.00	4.85	4.35	4.31	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	5	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1596	5.00	4.70	4.24	4.25	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	5	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	300/1535	4.67	4.58	4.15	4.14	4.67
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	7	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1510	****	4.48	4.13	4.16	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	2	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	331/1620	2.67	4.43	4.20	4.18	4.67
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1642	4.25	4.66	4.68	4.65	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	1	0	2	4	4.29	667/1596	4.43	4.52	4.12	4.09	4.29
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	5	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1534	5.00	4.68	4.48	4.44	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	5	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.94	4.76	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	5	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1531	5.00	4.70	4.33	4.30	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1530	5.00	4.77	4.35	4.32	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	5	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1409	5.00	4.57	4.08	4.09	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1366	5.00	4.59	4.18	4.22	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	5	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1364	5.00	4.62	4.33	4.37	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	5	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1361	5.00	4.65	4.39	4.39	5.00

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

Course-Section: MUSC 301 01

Title: Chambers Players

Instructor: Goldstein,Thoma

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 8

Questionnaires: 8

				Fre	quend	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	6	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1019	5.00	4.63	4.09	4.04	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	7	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	0	Major	7
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	8	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

Course-Section: MUSC 301 01

**Title: Chambers Players** 

**Instructor:** Tremblay, Christ

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 14

Questionnaires: 3

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	3.00	1603/1644	3.28	4.64	4.32	4.31	3.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	897/1644	4.34	4.66	4.28	4.25	4.33
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	1607/1620	2.67	4.43	4.20	4.18	2.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	1528/1642	4.25	4.66	4.68	4.65	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	971/1596	4.43	4.52	4.12	4.09	4.50

## **Frequency Distribution**

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	3	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	3	Non-major	2
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	1				
				?	0						

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

**Course-Section: MUSC 301 01** 

**Title: Chambers Players** 

**Instructor:** Ladd, Gita

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 14

Questionnaires: 3

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	3.00	1603/1644	3.28	4.64	4.32	4.31	3.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	897/1644	4.34	4.66	4.28	4.25	4.33
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	1607/1620	2.67	4.43	4.20	4.18	2.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	1528/1642	4.25	4.66	4.68	4.65	4.00

## **Frequency Distribution**

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	3	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	3	Non-major	2
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	0						

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

**Course-Section: MUSC 301 01** 

**Title: Chambers Players** 

**Instructor:** Kannen, Maria La

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 14

Questionnaires: 3

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	3.00	1603/1644	3.28	4.64	4.32	4.31	3.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	897/1644	4.34	4.66	4.28	4.25	4.33
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	1607/1620	2.67	4.43	4.20	4.18	2.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	1528/1642	4.25	4.66	4.68	4.65	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1596	4.43	4.52	4.12	4.09	4.50

## **Frequency Distribution**

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	3	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	3	Non-major	2
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	1				
				?	0						

**Course-Section: MUSC 303 01** 

Title: UMBC Camerata--Chamber C

Instructor: Caracciolo, Step

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 42

			Frequencies					In	Instructor		Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	6	0	0	0	7	5	20	4.41	814/1644	4.41	4.64	4.32	4.31	4.41
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	7	0	0	0	2	7	22	4.65	454/1644	4.65	4.66	4.28	4.25	4.65
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	6	23	0	0	1	1	7	4.67	****/1419	****	4.85	4.35	4.31	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	6	9	0	2	3	2	16	4.39	731/1596	4.39	4.70	4.24	4.25	4.39
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	6	23	0	0	2	5	2	4.00	****/1535	****	4.58	4.15	4.14	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	7	28	0	1	1	1	0	3.00	****/1510	****	4.48	4.13	4.16	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	7	1	1	0	3	2	24	4.60	397/1620	4.60	4.43	4.20	4.18	4.60
8. How many times was class cancelled	6	0	0	0	0	0	32	5.00	1/1642	5.00	4.66	4.68	4.65	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	11	1	0	0	1	9	16	4.58	322/1596	4.58	4.52	4.12	4.09	4.58
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	14	0	0	0	0	2	22	4.92	223/1534	4.92	4.68	4.48	4.44	4.92
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	14	0	0	0	0	0	24	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.94	4.76	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	14	0	0	0	1	6	17	4.67	478/1531	4.67	4.70	4.33	4.30	4.67
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	14	0	0	0	0	3	21	4.88	248/1530	4.88	4.77	4.35	4.32	4.88
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	15	14	0	0	1	1	7	4.67	****/1409	****	4.57	4.08	4.09	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	27	0	0	1	2	2	6	4.18	772/1366	4.18	4.59	4.18	4.22	4.18
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	27	0	0	0	0	2	9	4.82	329/1364	4.82	4.62	4.33	4.37	4.82
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	27	0	0	1	0	3	7	4.45	760/1361	4.45	4.65	4.39	4.39	4.45
4. Were special techniques successful	27	4	0	0	1	0	6	4.71	****/1019	****	4.63	4.09	4.04	****

**Course-Section: MUSC 303 01** 

Title: UMBC Camerata--Chamber C

Instructor: Caracciolo, Step

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 42

**Questionnaires: 38** 

	Frequencies						Instructor		Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect	
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	36	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/185	****	4.93	4.23	4.16	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	36	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/209	****	4.14	4.19	4.18	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	36	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/181	****	4.83	4.53	4.49	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	36	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/183	****	4.46	4.46	4.38	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	37	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/172	****	5.00	4.14	4.07	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	37	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/72	****	5.00	4.53	4.68	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	37	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/71	****	5.00	4.38	4.61	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	37	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/68	****	5.00	4.41	4.59	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	37	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/71	****	5.00	4.40	4.51	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	37	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/73	****	4.67	4.09	4.57	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	37	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/48	****	4.80	4.16	4.95	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	37	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/45	****	4.80	4.19	4.95	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	37	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	****	5.00	4.57	4.93	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	37	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/27	****	5.00	4.25	4.90	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	37	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/25	****	5.00	4.35	4.90	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	37	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/51	****	4.51	4.03	4.75	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	37	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	****	4.76	4.18	4.80	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	37	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/36	****	4.48	4.33	4.83	****

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

**Course-Section: MUSC 303 01** 

Title: UMBC Camerata--Chamber C

Instructor: Caracciolo, Step

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 42

**Questionnaires: 38** 

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	37	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/19	****	4.50	4.17	4.20	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	37	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/14	****	4.50	4.17	4.60	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	Α	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors		
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	2	Α	30	Required for Majors	15	Graduate	0	Major	7	
28-55	4	1.00-1.99	0	В	1							
56-83	3	2.00-2.99	1	С	1	General	0	Under-grad	38	Non-major	31	
84-150	4	3.00-3.49	5	D	0							
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	10	F	0	Electives	12	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses		
				Р	0			to be significant				
				1	0	Other	3					
				?	6							

**Course-Section: MUSC 304 01** 

Title: UMBC Jubilee Singers

**Instructor:** Jackson, Janice

**Term - Fall 2013** 

**Enrollment: 37** 

'	_		Frequencies					Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	15	0	0	0	1	2	19	4.82	276/1644	4.82	4.64	4.32	4.31	4.82
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	15	0	0	0	0	2	20	4.91	143/1644	4.91	4.66	4.28	4.25	4.91
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	16	11	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1419	5.00	4.85	4.35	4.31	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	16	7	3	0	0	0	11	4.14	1030/1596	4.14	4.70	4.24	4.25	4.14
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	16	10	0	0	0	0	11	5.00	1/1535	5.00	4.58	4.15	4.14	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	16	13	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	****/1510	****	4.48	4.13	4.16	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	16	1	1	0	1	4	14	4.50	527/1620	4.50	4.43	4.20	4.18	4.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	16	0	0	0	0	14	7	4.33	1344/1642	4.33	4.66	4.68	4.65	4.33
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	17	1	0	0	0	4	15	4.79	157/1596	4.79	4.52	4.12	4.09	4.79
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	19	0	0	0	1	0	17	4.89	286/1534	4.89	4.68	4.48	4.44	4.89
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	19	0	0	0	0	0	18	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.94	4.76	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	19	0	0	0	1	1	16	4.83	241/1531	4.83	4.70	4.33	4.30	4.83
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	19	0	0	0	1	1	16	4.83	309/1530	4.83	4.77	4.35	4.32	4.83
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	20	5	0	0	0	0	12	5.00	1/1409	5.00	4.57	4.08	4.09	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	26	0	1	0	2	0	8	4.27	706/1366	4.27	4.59	4.18	4.22	4.27
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	26	0	1	0	2	0	8	4.27	863/1364	4.27	4.62	4.33	4.37	4.27
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	26	0	1	0	2	0	8	4.27	917/1361	4.27	4.65	4.39	4.39	4.27
4. Were special techniques successful	26	4	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	****/1019	****	4.63	4.09	4.04	****

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

**Course-Section: MUSC 304 01** 

**Title: UMBC Jubilee Singers** 

**Instructor: Jackson, Janice** 

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 37

Questionnaires: 37

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	36	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/209	****	4.14	4.19	4.18	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	36	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/48	****	4.80	4.16	4.95	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	36	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/45	****	4.80	4.19	4.95	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	36	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/51	****	4.51	4.03	4.75	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	36	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/36	****	4.48	4.33	4.83	****

## **Frequency Distribution**

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors		
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	19	Required for Majors	10	Graduate	0	Major	3	
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1							
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	37	Non-major	34	
84-150	6	3.00-3.49	5	D	0							
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	6	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses		
				Р	0			to be significant				
				1	0	Other	2					
				?	17							

**Course-Section: MUSC 305 01** 

Title: UMBC Community Symphony

**Instructor:** Richards, Edwin

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 78

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	1	0	6	17	48	4.54	638/1644	4.54	4.64	4.32	4.31	4.54
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	1	0	2	15	54	4.68	401/1644	4.68	4.66	4.28	4.25	4.68
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	60	0	0	0	0	11	5.00	****/1419	****	4.85	4.35	4.31	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	49	0	0	1	3	18	4.77	235/1596	4.77	4.70	4.24	4.25	4.77
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	49	0	0	2	6	15	4.57	393/1535	4.57	4.58	4.15	4.14	4.57
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	67	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	****/1510	****	4.48	4.13	4.16	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	14	0	1	6	11	40	4.55	462/1620	4.55	4.43	4.20	4.18	4.55
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	5	66	4.93	505/1642	4.93	4.66	4.68	4.65	4.93
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	1	9	62	4.85	124/1596	4.85	4.52	4.12	4.09	4.85
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	43	0	0	0	0	3	26	4.90	267/1534	4.90	4.68	4.48	4.44	4.90
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	40	0	0	0	0	0	32	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.94	4.76	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	42	0	0	1	1	2	26	4.77	333/1531	4.77	4.70	4.33	4.30	4.77
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	43	0	0	0	2	3	24	4.76	437/1530	4.76	4.77	4.35	4.32	4.76
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	44	14	0	1	1	4	8	4.36	****/1409	****	4.57	4.08	4.09	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	65	0	2	0	2	2	1	3.00	****/1366	****	4.59	4.18	4.22	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	65	0	0	0	1	1	5	4.57	****/1364	****	4.62	4.33	4.37	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	65	0	0	0	2	2	3	4.14	****/1361	****	4.65	4.39	4.39	****
4. Were special techniques successful	64	1	1	0	0	0	6	4.43	****/1019	****	4.63	4.09	4.04	****

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

Course-Section: MUSC 305 01

**Title: UMBC Community Symphony** 

**Instructor:** Richards, Edwin

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 78

Questionnaires: 72

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	71	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/185	****	4.93	4.23	4.16	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	71	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/209	****	4.14	4.19	4.18	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	71	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/181	****	4.83	4.53	4.49	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	71	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/183	****	4.46	4.46	4.38	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	71	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/48	****	4.80	4.16	4.95	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	71	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/45	****	4.80	4.19	4.95	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	71	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	****	5.00	4.57	4.93	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	71	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/27	****	5.00	4.25	4.90	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	71	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/51	****	4.51	4.03	4.75	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	7	0.00-0.99	3	Α	57	Required for Majors	22	Graduate	0	Major	22
28-55	8	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	4	Under-grad	72	Non-major	50
84-150	11	3.00-3.49	5	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	23	F	0	Electives	21	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	15				
				?	13						

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

**Course-Section: MUSC 307 06** 

Title: Small Ensemble

**Instructor:** Goldstein, Thoma

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 4

Questionnaires: 4

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	975/1644	4.32	4.64	4.32	4.31	4.25
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	302/1644	4.37	4.66	4.28	4.25	4.75
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	3	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1419	5.00	4.85	4.35	4.31	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	3	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1596	5.00	4.70	4.24	4.25	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	3	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1620	4.75	4.43	4.20	4.18	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	4.00	1528/1642	4.30	4.66	4.68	4.65	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	3.50	1388/1596	4.22	4.52	4.12	4.09	3.50

### **Frequency Distribution**

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	4	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	3
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	4	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	0						

Run Date: 1/30/2014 2:19:31 PM

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

**Course-Section: MUSC 307 07** 

**Title: Small Ensemble** 

**Instructor:** Morin, Joseph C

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 6

**Questionnaires:** 6

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	2	0	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	688/1644	4.32	4.64	4.32	4.31	4.50
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	0	0	2	1	1	3.75	1385/1644	4.37	4.66	4.28	4.25	3.75
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	914/1642	4.30	4.66	4.68	4.65	4.75
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	0	3	1	4.25	705/1596	4.22	4.52	4.12	4.09	4.25
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1534	****	4.68	4.48	4.44	****
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1539	****	4.94	4.76	4.74	****
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1531	****	4.70	4.33	4.30	****
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1530	****	4.77	4.35	4.32	****
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1409	****	4.57	4.08	4.09	****
Discussion										_				
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1366	****	4.59	4.18	4.22	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1364	****	4.62	4.33	4.37	****

Run Date: 1/30/2014 2:19:31 PM

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

Course-Section: MUSC 307 07

Title: Small Ensemble

Instructor: Morin, Joseph C

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 6
Questionnaires: 6

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1361	****	4.65	4.39	4.39	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	4	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	0	Major	3
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	6	Non-major	3
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	2						

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

**Course-Section: MUSC 307 08** 

**Title: Small Ensemble** 

**Instructor: Belzer, Matthew** 

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 4

Questionnaires: 4

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	2	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	1218/1644	4.32	4.64	4.32	4.31	4.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1644	4.37	4.66	4.28	4.25	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1419	5.00	4.85	4.35	4.31	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1596	5.00	4.70	4.24	4.25	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1535	4.75	4.58	4.15	4.14	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1510	5.00	4.48	4.13	4.16	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1620	4.75	4.43	4.20	4.18	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	1203/1642	4.30	4.66	4.68	4.65	4.50
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	246/1596	4.22	4.52	4.12	4.09	4.67

#### **Frequency Distribution**

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	3	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	4	Non-major	3
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Run Date: 1/30/2014 2:19:31 PM

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

**Course-Section: MUSC 307 10** 

**Title: Small Ensemble** 

**Instructor:** Regan, Joseph Co

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 5

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	4	1	4.20	1028/1644	4.32	4.64	4.32	4.31	4.20
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	1	2	4.00	1210/1644	4.37	4.66	4.28	4.25	4.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	4	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1596	5.00	4.70	4.24	4.25	****
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	3	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	442/1535	4.75	4.58	4.15	4.14	4.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	3	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	1134/1620	4.75	4.43	4.20	4.18	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	3	1	4.25	1400/1642	4.30	4.66	4.68	4.65	4.25
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	1	2	0	3.67	1302/1596	4.22	4.52	4.12	4.09	3.67
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/48	****	4.80	4.16	4.95	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/45	****	4.80	4.19	4.95	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	****	5.00	4.57	4.93	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/27	****	5.00	4.25	4.90	****

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

Course-Section: MUSC 307 10

Title: Small Ensemble

Instructor: Regan, Joseph Co

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 5

Questionnaires: 5

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Field Work														
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/25	****	5.00	4.35	4.90	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	4	Required for Majors	4	Graduate	0	Major	3
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	5	Non-major	2
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	1						

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

**Course-Section: MUSC 307 11** 

**Title: Small Ensemble** 

**Instructor:** Forshee, Zane F.

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 6

**Questionnaires: 4** 

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	482/1644	4.32	4.64	4.32	4.31	4.67
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	897/1644	4.37	4.66	4.28	4.25	4.33
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1419	5.00	4.85	4.35	4.31	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1596	5.00	4.70	4.24	4.25	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1620	4.75	4.43	4.20	4.18	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	3	0	4.00	1528/1642	4.30	4.66	4.68	4.65	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1596	4.22	4.52	4.12	4.09	5.00

### **Frequency Distribution**

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	3	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	0	Major	3
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	4	Non-major	1
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Run Date: 1/30/2014 2:19:31 PM

**Course-Section: MUSC 308 01** 

**Title: UMBC Wind Ensemble** 

Instructor: Kaufman, Brian L

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 40

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	5	5	9	6	11	3.36	1553/1644	3.36	4.64	4.32	4.31	3.36
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	1	3	8	10	14	3.92	1296/1644	3.92	4.66	4.28	4.25	3.92
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	28	0	0	0	3	5	4.63	****/1419	****	4.85	4.35	4.31	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	27	0	0	0	3	6	4.67	****/1596	****	4.70	4.24	4.25	****
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	30	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	****/1535	****	4.58	4.15	4.14	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	31	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	****/1510	****	4.48	4.13	4.16	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	10	1	2	3	3	16	4.24	908/1620	4.24	4.43	4.20	4.18	4.24
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	1	35	4.97	190/1642	4.97	4.66	4.68	4.65	4.97
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	7	2	0	4	8	13	3	3.54	1373/1596	3.54	4.52	4.12	4.09	3.54
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	21	0	0	0	2	2	12	4.63	739/1534	4.63	4.68	4.48	4.44	4.63
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	20	0	0	0	0	1	16	4.94	365/1539	4.94	4.94	4.76	4.74	4.94
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	21	0	0	0	3	2	11	4.50	724/1531	4.50	4.70	4.33	4.30	4.50
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	21	0	0	1	2	3	10	4.38	906/1530	4.38	4.77	4.35	4.32	4.38
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	21	7	1	0	1	1	6	4.22	****/1409	****	4.57	4.08	4.09	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	27	0	0	0	2	1	7	4.50	492/1366	4.50	4.59	4.18	4.22	4.50
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	27	0	0	0	2	2	6	4.40	756/1364	4.40	4.62	4.33	4.37	4.40
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	27	0	0	0	1	4	5	4.40	818/1361	4.40	4.65	4.39	4.39	4.40
4. Were special techniques successful	28	2	0	0	1	3	3	4.29	****/1019	****	4.63	4.09	4.04	****

**Course-Section: MUSC 308 01** 

**Title: UMBC Wind Ensemble** 

Instructor: Kaufman, Brian L

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 40

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	35	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/185	****	4.93	4.23	4.16	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	35	0	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	****/209	****	4.14	4.19	4.18	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	35	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/181	****	4.83	4.53	4.49	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	35	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/183	****	4.46	4.46	4.38	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	35	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/172	****	5.00	4.14	4.07	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	35	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/72	****	5.00	4.53	4.68	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	35	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/68	****	5.00	4.41	4.59	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	36	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/71	****	5.00	4.40	4.51	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	35	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/73	****	4.67	4.09	4.57	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	35	0	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	****/48	****	4.80	4.16	4.95	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	35	0	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	****/45	****	4.80	4.19	4.95	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	35	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	****	5.00	4.57	4.93	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	35	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/27	****	5.00	4.25	4.90	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	35	0	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	****/51	****	4.51	4.03	4.75	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	36	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	****	4.76	4.18	4.80	****

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

Course-Section: MUSC 308 01

Title: UMBC Wind Ensemble

Instructor: Kaufman,Brian L

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 40

Questionnaires: 37

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	35	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/36	****	4.48	4.33	4.83	***

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	5	0.00-0.99	1	Α	32	Required for Majors	15	Graduate	1	Major	11
28-55	5	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	4	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	2	Under-grad	36	Non-major	26
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	4	D	1						
Grad.	1	3.50-4.00	11	F	0	Electives	8	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	6				
				?	3						

**Course-Section: MUSC 311 01** 

**Title: Free Composition** 

**Instructor: Rubin, Anna I** 

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 4

Questionnaires: 4

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	358/1644	4.75	4.64	4.32	4.31	4.75
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	3.25	1554/1644	3.25	4.66	4.28	4.25	3.25
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	816/1596	4.33	4.70	4.24	4.25	4.33
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	4.00	970/1535	4.00	4.58	4.15	4.14	4.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	921/1510	4.00	4.48	4.13	4.16	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	3	1	4.25	1400/1642	4.25	4.66	4.68	4.65	4.25
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	1	0	2	0	3.33	1458/1596	3.33	4.52	4.12	4.09	3.33
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	3	0	1	3.50	1463/1534	3.50	4.68	4.48	4.44	3.50
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.94	4.76	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	990/1531	4.25	4.70	4.33	4.30	4.25
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	437/1530	4.75	4.77	4.35	4.32	4.75
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1409	5.00	4.57	4.08	4.09	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1366	5.00	4.59	4.18	4.22	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1364	5.00	4.62	4.33	4.37	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1361	5.00	4.65	4.39	4.39	5.00

Run Date: 1/30/2014 2:19:31 PM

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

Course-Section: MUSC 311 01

Title: Free Composition

Instructor: Rubin, Anna I

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 4

Questionnaires: 4

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1019	5.00	4.63	4.09	4.04	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	4	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	4
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	4	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

**Course-Section: MUSC 318 01** 

**Title: Digital Audio Processing** 

**Instructor:** Wonneberger, Ala

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 10

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	2	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	358/1644	4.75	4.64	4.32	4.31	4.75
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	169/1644	4.88	4.66	4.28	4.25	4.88
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	198/1419	4.88	4.85	4.35	4.31	4.88
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	141/1596	4.88	4.70	4.24	4.25	4.88
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	3	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	191/1535	4.80	4.58	4.15	4.14	4.80
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	3	0	0	1	0	4	4.60	330/1510	4.60	4.48	4.13	4.16	4.60
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	224/1620	4.75	4.43	4.20	4.18	4.75
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1642	5.00	4.66	4.68	4.65	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	1	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1596	5.00	4.52	4.12	4.09	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	3	5	4.63	739/1534	4.63	4.68	4.48	4.44	4.63
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.94	4.76	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	1	0	7	4.75	348/1531	4.75	4.70	4.33	4.30	4.75
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	248/1530	4.88	4.77	4.35	4.32	4.88
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	113/1409	4.88	4.57	4.08	4.09	4.88
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1366	5.00	4.59	4.18	4.22	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	7	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1364	5.00	4.62	4.33	4.37	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	7	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1361	5.00	4.65	4.39	4.39	5.00

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

Course-Section: MUSC 318 01

**Title: Digital Audio Processing** 

**Instructor:** Wonneberger, Ala

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 10

**Questionnaires: 10** 

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	7	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1019	****	4.63	4.09	4.04	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	3	Required for Majors	7	Graduate	0	Major	8
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	4						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	1	General	0	Under-grad	10	Non-major	2
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	2						

**Course-Section: MUSC 320 01** 

**Title: UMBC Jazz Ensemble** 

**Instructor: Belzer, Matthew** 

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 19

	_			Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	2	0	0	0	1	4	12	4.65	509/1644	4.65	4.64	4.32	4.31	4.65
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	0	0	1	2	14	4.76	288/1644	4.76	4.66	4.28	4.25	4.76
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	3	11	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1419	5.00	4.85	4.35	4.31	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	4	10	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	207/1596	4.80	4.70	4.24	4.25	4.80
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	4	11	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	****/1535	****	4.58	4.15	4.14	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	3	12	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	****/1510	****	4.48	4.13	4.16	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	3	4	0	0	0	2	10	4.83	139/1620	4.83	4.43	4.20	4.18	4.83
8. How many times was class cancelled	3	0	0	0	0	9	7	4.44	1261/1642	4.44	4.66	4.68	4.65	4.44
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	2	1	0	1	2	9	4.38	540/1596	4.38	4.52	4.12	4.09	4.38
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	11	0	0	0	1	1	6	4.63	739/1534	4.63	4.68	4.48	4.44	4.63
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	11	0	1	0	0	0	7	4.50	1298/1539	4.50	4.94	4.76	4.74	4.50
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	12	0	0	0	1	0	6	4.71	406/1531	4.71	4.70	4.33	4.30	4.71
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	11	0	1	0	0	0	7	4.50	755/1530	4.50	4.77	4.35	4.32	4.50
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	11	2	0	0	1	0	5	4.67	245/1409	4.67	4.57	4.08	4.09	4.67
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	14	0	1	0	1	1	2	3.60	1125/1366	3.60	4.59	4.18	4.22	3.60
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	14	0	1	0	1	0	3	3.80	1123/1364	3.80	4.62	4.33	4.37	3.80
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	14	0	2	0	0	0	3	3.40	1270/1361	3.40	4.65	4.39	4.39	3.40
4. Were special techniques successful	15	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1019	****	4.63	4.09	4.04	****

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

**Course-Section: MUSC 320 01** 

**Title: UMBC Jazz Ensemble** 

**Instructor: Belzer, Matthew** 

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 19

**Questionnaires: 19** 

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	18	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/209	***	4.14	4.19	4.18	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	13	Required for Majors	8	Graduate	0	Major	11
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	19	Non-major	8
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	6	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	5						

**Course-Section: MUSC 321 01** 

Title: Music History I

Instructor: Morin, Joseph C

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 31

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	4	0	0	1	0	3	23	4.78	330/1644	4.78	4.64	4.32	4.31	4.78
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	4	0	0	2	1	5	19	4.52	620/1644	4.52	4.66	4.28	4.25	4.52
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	4	0	0	2	1	1	23	4.67	462/1419	4.67	4.85	4.35	4.31	4.67
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	4	2	0	1	3	3	18	4.52	541/1596	4.52	4.70	4.24	4.25	4.52
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	2	4	21	4.70	260/1535	4.70	4.58	4.15	4.14	4.70
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	4	0	2	0	5	7	13	4.07	888/1510	4.07	4.48	4.13	4.16	4.07
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	4	0	1	2	2	2	20	4.41	685/1620	4.41	4.43	4.20	4.18	4.41
8. How many times was class cancelled	4	0	0	0	0	0	27	5.00	1/1642	5.00	4.66	4.68	4.65	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	8	0	0	0	1	9	13	4.52	365/1596	4.52	4.52	4.12	4.09	4.52
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	9	0	0	0	1	2	19	4.82	419/1534	4.82	4.68	4.48	4.44	4.82
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	9	0	0	0	0	1	21	4.95	305/1539	4.95	4.94	4.76	4.74	4.95
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	10	0	0	2	1	2	16	4.52	692/1531	4.52	4.70	4.33	4.30	4.52
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	1	0	2	19	4.77	404/1530	4.77	4.77	4.35	4.32	4.77
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	10	0	0	2	2	7	10	4.19	684/1409	4.19	4.57	4.08	4.09	4.19
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	20	0	1	0	1	1	8	4.36	636/1366	4.36	4.59	4.18	4.22	4.36
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	20	0	0	2	0	0	9	4.45	702/1364	4.45	4.62	4.33	4.37	4.45
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	20	0	1	0	0	1	9	4.55	669/1361	4.55	4.65	4.39	4.39	4.55

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

Course-Section: MUSC 321 01

Title: Music History I

Instructor: Morin, Joseph C

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 31

Questionnaires: 31

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	20	5	1	0	1	0	4	4.00	****/1019	****	4.63	4.09	4.04	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	A	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	15	Required for Majors	26	Graduate	0	Major	24
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	8						
56-83	4	2.00-2.99	0	С	2	General	0	Under-grad	31	Non-major	7
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	11	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	5						

**Course-Section: MUSC 324 01** 

Title: Small Ensemble Jazz Grou

**Instructor: Belzer, Matthew** 

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 16

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	22	0	0	0	0	1	9	4.90	175/1644	4.90	4.64	4.32	4.31	4.90
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	22	0	0	0	0	2	8	4.80	230/1644	4.80	4.66	4.28	4.25	4.80
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	22	4	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	****/1419	****	4.85	4.35	4.31	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	22	2	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	141/1596	4.88	4.70	4.24	4.25	4.88
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	22	3	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	****/1535	****	4.58	4.15	4.14	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	22	4	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	****/1510	****	4.48	4.13	4.16	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	22	1	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1620	5.00	4.43	4.20	4.18	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	22	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1642	5.00	4.66	4.68	4.65	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	25	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	****/1596	****	4.52	4.12	4.09	****
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	27	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	****/1534	****	4.68	4.48	4.44	****
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	27	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	****/1539	****	4.94	4.76	4.74	****
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	27	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	****/1531	****	4.70	4.33	4.30	****
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	27	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	****/1530	****	4.77	4.35	4.32	****
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	27	2	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/1409	****	4.57	4.08	4.09	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	27	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	****/1366	****	4.59	4.18	4.22	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	26	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	****/1364	****	4.62	4.33	4.37	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	26	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	****/1361	****	4.65	4.39	4.39	****
4. Were special techniques successful	26	1	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	****/1019	****	4.63	4.09	4.04	****

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

**Course-Section: MUSC 324 01** 

Title: Small Ensemble Jazz Grou

**Instructor: Belzer, Matthew** 

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 16

Questionnaires: 32

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	30	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/209	****	4.14	4.19	4.18	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	31	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/181	****	4.83	4.53	4.49	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	31	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/183	****	4.46	4.46	4.38	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	30	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/172	****	5.00	4.14	4.07	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	31	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/48	****	4.80	4.16	4.95	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	31	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/45	****	4.80	4.19	4.95	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	31	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/51	****	4.51	4.03	4.75	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	31	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/31	****	4.76	4.18	4.80	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	31	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/36	****	4.48	4.33	4.83	****
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	31	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/19	****	4.50	4.17	4.20	****

Run Date: 1/30/2014 2:19:32 PM

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

Course-Section: MUSC 324 01

**Title: Small Ensemble Jazz Grou** 

**Instructor: Belzer, Matthew** 

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 16

**Questionnaires: 32** 

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	31	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/14	****	4.50	4.17	4.60	****

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	8	Required for Majors	5	Graduate	0	Major	7
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	2	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	32	Non-major	25
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	3	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	23						

**Course-Section: MUSC 324 01** 

**Title: Small Ensemble Jazz Grou** 

**Instructor: Lagana, Thomas V** 

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 16

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	22	0	0	0	0	1	9	4.90	175/1644	4.90	4.64	4.32	4.31	4.90
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	22	0	0	0	0	2	8	4.80	230/1644	4.80	4.66	4.28	4.25	4.80
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	22	4	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	****/1419	****	4.85	4.35	4.31	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	22	2	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	141/1596	4.88	4.70	4.24	4.25	4.88
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	22	3	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	****/1535	****	4.58	4.15	4.14	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	22	4	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	****/1510	****	4.48	4.13	4.16	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	22	1	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1620	5.00	4.43	4.20	4.18	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	22	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1642	5.00	4.66	4.68	4.65	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	31	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/1596	****	4.52	4.12	4.09	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	27	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	****/1366	****	4.59	4.18	4.22	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	26	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	****/1364	****	4.62	4.33	4.37	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	26	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	****/1361	****	4.65	4.39	4.39	****
4. Were special techniques successful	26	1	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	****/1019	****	4.63	4.09	4.04	****
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	30	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/209	****	4.14	4.19	4.18	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	31	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/181	****	4.83	4.53	4.49	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	31	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/183	****	4.46	4.46	4.38	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	30	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/172	****	5.00	4.14	4.07	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	31	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/48	****	4.80	4.16	4.95	****

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

**Course-Section: MUSC 324 01** 

Title: Small Ensemble Jazz Grou

**Instructor: Lagana, Thomas V** 

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 16

Questionnaires: 32

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Field Work														
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	31	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/45	****	4.80	4.19	4.95	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	31	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/51	****	4.51	4.03	4.75	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	31	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/31	****	4.76	4.18	4.80	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	31	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/36	****	4.48	4.33	4.83	****
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	31	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/19	****	4.50	4.17	4.20	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	31	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/14	****	4.50	4.17	4.60	****

### **Frequency Distribution**

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	8	Required for Majors	5	Graduate	0	Major	7
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	2	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	32	Non-major	25
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	3	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	23						

Run Date: 1/30/2014 2:19:32 PM

**Course-Section: MUSC 358 01** 

**Title: Music In Performance** 

**Instructor:** Morin, Joseph C

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 37

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	6	0	0	0	7	8	15	4.27	964/1644	4.27	4.64	4.32	4.31	4.27
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	7	0	0	0	2	7	20	4.62	481/1644	4.62	4.66	4.28	4.25	4.62
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	6	28	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/1419	****	4.85	4.35	4.31	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	6	4	0	1	3	6	16	4.42	687/1596	4.42	4.70	4.24	4.25	4.42
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	6	2	1	1	2	7	17	4.36	635/1535	4.36	4.58	4.15	4.14	4.36
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	0	5	24	4.83	137/1510	4.83	4.48	4.13	4.16	4.83
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	6	0	0	1	7	3	19	4.33	779/1620	4.33	4.43	4.20	4.18	4.33
8. How many times was class cancelled	6	1	0	0	0	6	23	4.79	854/1642	4.79	4.66	4.68	4.65	4.79
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	7	3	0	0	1	17	8	4.27	692/1596	4.27	4.52	4.12	4.09	4.27
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	7	0	1	0	3	2	23	4.59	795/1534	4.59	4.68	4.48	4.44	4.59
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	8	0	0	0	0	2	26	4.93	487/1539	4.93	4.94	4.76	4.74	4.93
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	8	0	0	0	3	4	21	4.64	507/1531	4.64	4.70	4.33	4.30	4.64
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	2	6	21	4.66	581/1530	4.66	4.77	4.35	4.32	4.66
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	7	21	0	0	1	1	6	4.63	****/1409	****	4.57	4.08	4.09	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	1	0	3	26	4.80	237/1366	4.80	4.59	4.18	4.22	4.80
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	6	0	0	0	1	6	23	4.73	420/1364	4.73	4.62	4.33	4.37	4.73
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	6	0	0	0	1	1	28	4.90	247/1361	4.90	4.65	4.39	4.39	4.90
4. Were special techniques successful	6	23	2	0	0	2	3	3.57	****/1019	****	4.63	4.09	4.04	****

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

Course-Section: MUSC 358 01

**Title: Music In Performance** 

**Instructor:** Morin, Joseph C

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 37

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	35	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/185	****	4.93	4.23	4.16	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	35	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/209	****	4.14	4.19	4.18	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	35	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/72	****	5.00	4.53	4.68	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	35	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/71	****	5.00	4.38	4.61	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	35	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/68	****	5.00	4.41	4.59	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	35	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/71	****	5.00	4.40	4.51	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	35	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/73	****	4.67	4.09	4.57	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	35	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/48	****	4.80	4.16	4.95	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	35	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/45	****	4.80	4.19	4.95	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	35	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/30	****	5.00	4.57	4.93	****
Self Paced										-				
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	35	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/51	****	4.51	4.03	4.75	****

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

Course-Section: MUSC 358 01

**Title: Music In Performance** 

Instructor: Morin, Joseph C

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 37

**Questionnaires: 36** 

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	35	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/36	****	4.48	4.33	4.83	****

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	3	0.00-0.99	1	Α	24	Required for Majors	24	Graduate	1	Major	24
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	5						
56-83	3	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	3	Under-grad	35	Non-major	12
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	1	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	1				
				?	7						

**Course-Section: MUSC 361 01** 

Title: Sem:K-12 Instru Methods

Instructor: Kaufman, Brian L

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 9

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	3	3	3	4.00	1218/1644	4.00	4.64	4.32	4.31	4.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	2	2	1	4	3.78	1375/1644	3.78	4.66	4.28	4.25	3.78
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	5	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	337/1419	4.75	4.85	4.35	4.31	4.75
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	3	4	4.22	942/1596	4.22	4.70	4.24	4.25	4.22
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	2	1	5	4.11	877/1535	4.11	4.58	4.15	4.14	4.11
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	2	2	1	4	3.78	1127/1510	3.78	4.48	4.13	4.16	3.78
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	3	0	1	0	5	3.44	1455/1620	3.44	4.43	4.20	4.18	3.44
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	1	0	0	0	8	4.56	1158/1642	4.56	4.66	4.68	4.65	4.56
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	5	0	0	0	2	2	0	3.50	1388/1596	3.50	4.52	4.12	4.09	3.50
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	6	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	1090/1534	4.33	4.68	4.48	4.44	4.33
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	6	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.94	4.76	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	7	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1531	****	4.70	4.33	4.30	****
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1530	****	4.77	4.35	4.32	****
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	8	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/1409	****	4.57	4.08	4.09	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1366	****	4.59	4.18	4.22	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1364	****	4.62	4.33	4.37	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1361	****	4.65	4.39	4.39	****

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

**Course-Section: MUSC 361 01** 

Title: Sem:K-12 Instru Methods

Instructor: Kaufman, Brian L

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 9

**Questionnaires:** 9

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1019	***	4.63	4.09	4.04	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	7	Required for Majors	8	Graduate	0	Major	7
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	9	Non-major	2
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	6	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

**Course-Section: MUSC 362 01** 

**Title: Arts In Education** 

**Instructor: Vaughn, Nana Mel** 

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 3

**Questionnaires: 3** 

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	1218/1644	4.00	4.64	4.32	4.31	4.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	4.00	1210/1644	4.00	4.66	4.28	4.25	4.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1090/1419	4.00	4.85	4.35	4.31	4.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	1129/1596	4.00	4.70	4.24	4.25	4.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	658/1535	4.33	4.58	4.15	4.14	4.33
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	429/1510	4.50	4.48	4.13	4.16	4.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	3.00	1534/1620	3.00	4.43	4.20	4.18	3.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1642	5.00	4.66	4.68	4.65	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	971/1596	4.00	4.52	4.12	4.09	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	891/1534	4.50	4.68	4.48	4.44	4.50
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.94	4.76	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	1163/1531	4.00	4.70	4.33	4.30	4.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1530	5.00	4.77	4.35	4.32	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	825/1409	4.00	4.57	4.08	4.09	4.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1366	5.00	4.59	4.18	4.22	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	649/1364	4.50	4.62	4.33	4.37	4.50

Run Date: 1/30/2014 2:19:32 PM

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

Course-Section: MUSC 362 01

Title: Arts In Education

Instructor: Vaughn, Nana Mel

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 3

Questionnaires: 3

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1361	5.00	4.65	4.39	4.39	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	0	Major	3
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	3	Non-major	0
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

**Course-Section: MUSC 380 01** 

Title: Intro To Conducting

**Instructor:** Caracciolo, Step

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 27

	_	Frequencies						In	Instructor		Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	1	2	1	20	4.67	482/1644	4.67	4.64	4.32	4.31	4.67
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	3	0	2	19	4.54	583/1644	4.54	4.66	4.28	4.25	4.54
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	13	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1419	5.00	4.85	4.35	4.31	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	2	0	0	2	1	18	4.76	245/1596	4.76	4.70	4.24	4.25	4.76
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	7	0	1	1	3	12	4.53	425/1535	4.53	4.58	4.15	4.14	4.53
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	3	18	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	****/1510	****	4.48	4.13	4.16	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	1	2	0	21	4.71	287/1620	4.71	4.43	4.20	4.18	4.71
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	4	19	4.83	798/1642	4.83	4.66	4.68	4.65	4.83
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	7	1	1	0	1	6	9	4.29	654/1596	4.29	4.52	4.12	4.09	4.29
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	16	0	0	0	1	0	8	4.78	490/1534	4.78	4.68	4.48	4.44	4.78
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	16	0	0	0	1	0	8	4.78	951/1539	4.78	4.94	4.76	4.74	4.78
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	17	0	0	1	1	0	6	4.38	879/1531	4.38	4.70	4.33	4.30	4.38
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	17	0	1	0	1	0	6	4.25	1004/1530	4.25	4.77	4.35	4.32	4.25
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	17	3	0	0	2	0	3	4.20	****/1409	****	4.57	4.08	4.09	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	18	0	1	0	0	0	6	4.43	581/1366	4.43	4.59	4.18	4.22	4.43
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	17	0	1	0	0	1	6	4.38	782/1364	4.38	4.62	4.33	4.37	4.38
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	18	0	2	0	1	0	4	3.57	1223/1361	3.57	4.65	4.39	4.39	3.57
4. Were special techniques successful	17	1	1	0	0	0	6	4.43	323/1019	4.43	4.63	4.09	4.04	4.43

**Course-Section: MUSC 380 01** 

Title: Intro To Conducting

**Instructor:** Caracciolo, Step

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 27

			Frequencies					Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	24	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/185	****	4.93	4.23	4.16	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	24	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/181	****	4.83	4.53	4.49	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	24	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/183	****	4.46	4.46	4.38	****
Seminar														
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	24	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/71	****	5.00	4.38	4.61	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	24	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/68	****	5.00	4.41	4.59	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	24	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/71	****	5.00	4.40	4.51	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	24	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/73	****	4.67	4.09	4.57	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	24	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/48	****	4.80	4.16	4.95	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	24	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/45	****	4.80	4.19	4.95	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	24	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	****	5.00	4.57	4.93	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	24	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/27	****	5.00	4.25	4.90	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	24	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/25	****	5.00	4.35	4.90	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	24	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/51	****	4.51	4.03	4.75	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	24	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	****	4.76	4.18	4.80	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	24	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/36	****	4.48	4.33	4.83	****
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	24	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/19	****	4.50	4.17	4.20	****

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

Course-Section: MUSC 380 01

Title: Intro To Conducting

Instructor: Caracciolo, Step

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 27

Questionnaires: 25

	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect		
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	24	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/14	****	4.50	4.17	4.60	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	cum. GPA Expected Grades			Reasons		Туре		Majors		
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	14	Required for Majors	19	Graduate	0	Major	22	
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	4							
56-83	3	2.00-2.99	0	С	3	General	0	Under-grad	25	Non-major	3	
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	0	D	0							
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	6	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses		
				Р	0			to be significant				
				1	0	Other	0					
				?	4							

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

**Course-Section: MUSC 390 03** 

Title: Adv.Perf.Stud:Westrn Art

**Instructor:** Appelman, Harold

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 2

Questionnaires: 2

			Frequencies			Instructor		Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect		
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1644	4.67	4.64	4.32	4.31	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1644	4.58	4.66	4.28	4.25	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1510	5.00	4.48	4.13	4.16	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1642	4.67	4.66	4.68	4.65	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1596	4.67	4.52	4.12	4.09	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1534	5.00	4.68	4.48	4.44	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.94	4.76	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1531	5.00	4.70	4.33	4.30	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1530	5.00	4.77	4.35	4.32	5.00

### **Frequency Distribution**

Credits Earned Cum. GPA Exped			Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	Majors		
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	0	
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0							
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	2	
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0							
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses		
				Р	0			to be significant				
				I	0	Other	0					
				?	1							

Run Date: 1/30/2014 2:19:33 PM

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

Course-Section: MUSC 390 05

Title: Adv.Perf.Stud:Westrn Art

**Instructor: Jackson, Janice** 

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 1

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1644	4.67	4.64	4.32	4.31	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1644	4.58	4.66	4.28	4.25	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1419	5.00	4.85	4.35	4.31	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1535	5.00	4.58	4.15	4.14	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1642	4.67	4.66	4.68	4.65	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1596	4.67	4.52	4.12	4.09	5.00

### **Frequency Distribution**

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

**Course-Section: MUSC 390 07** 

Title: Adv.Perf.Stud:Westrn Art

**Instructor:** Markovic, Lorria

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 2

Questionnaires: 2

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1218/1644	4.67	4.64	4.32	4.31	4.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1210/1644	4.58	4.66	4.28	4.25	4.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1134/1620	4.50	4.43	4.20	4.18	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1528/1642	4.67	4.66	4.68	4.65	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1596	4.67	4.52	4.12	4.09	5.00

### **Frequency Distribution**

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

**Course-Section: MUSC 390 13** 

Title: Adv.Perf.Stud:Westrn Art

**Instructor:** Forshee, Zane F.

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 3

Questionnaires: 2

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1644	4.67	4.64	4.32	4.31	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	633/1644	4.58	4.66	4.28	4.25	4.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	1528/1642	4.67	4.66	4.68	4.65	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1596	4.67	4.52	4.12	4.09	5.00

### **Frequency Distribution**

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	0
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

**Course-Section: MUSC 390 17** 

Title: Adv.Perf.Stud:Westrn Art

**Instructor:** Richards, Edwin

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 1

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1218/1644	4.67	4.64	4.32	4.31	4.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1210/1644	4.58	4.66	4.28	4.25	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1642	4.67	4.66	4.68	4.65	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	971/1596	4.67	4.52	4.12	4.09	4.00

### **Frequency Distribution**

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

**Course-Section: MUSC 390 35** 

Title: Adv.Perf.Stud:Westrn Art

Instructor: Jurkscheit, Kris

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 1
Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1644	4.67	4.64	4.32	4.31	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1644	4.58	4.66	4.28	4.25	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1620	4.50	4.43	4.20	4.18	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1642	4.67	4.66	4.68	4.65	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	971/1596	4.67	4.52	4.12	4.09	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1534	5.00	4.68	4.48	4.44	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.94	4.76	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1531	5.00	4.70	4.33	4.30	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1530	5.00	4.77	4.35	4.32	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

**Course-Section: MUSC 391 02** 

**Title: Adv Perf Studies - West** 

**Instructor:** Forshee, Zane F.

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 1

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1644	4.50	4.64	4.32	4.31	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1644	4.50	4.66	4.28	4.25	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1419	5.00	4.85	4.35	4.31	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1596	5.00	4.70	4.24	4.25	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1535	5.00	4.58	4.15	4.14	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1510	5.00	4.48	4.13	4.16	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1620	5.00	4.43	4.20	4.18	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1642	4.50	4.66	4.68	4.65	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1596	4.50	4.52	4.12	4.09	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1534	5.00	4.68	4.48	4.44	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.94	4.76	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1531	5.00	4.70	4.33	4.30	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1530	5.00	4.77	4.35	4.32	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1409	5.00	4.57	4.08	4.09	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1366	5.00	4.59	4.18	4.22	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1364	5.00	4.62	4.33	4.37	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1361	5.00	4.65	4.39	4.39	5.00

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

Course-Section: MUSC 391 02

**Title: Adv Perf Studies - West** 

**Instructor:** Forshee, Zane F.

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 1

**Questionnaires: 1** 

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1019	5.00	4.63	4.09	4.04	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

**Course-Section: MUSC 391 03** 

**Title: Adv Perf Studies - West** 

**Instructor:** Goldstein, Thoma

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 1

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1218/1644	4.50	4.64	4.32	4.31	4.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1210/1644	4.50	4.66	4.28	4.25	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1528/1642	4.50	4.66	4.68	4.65	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	971/1596	4.50	4.52	4.12	4.09	4.00

### **Frequency Distribution**

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

**Course-Section: MUSC 400 01** 

**Title: Special Projects** 

Instructor: Kaufman, Brian L

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 1

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1644	5.00	4.64	4.32	4.47	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1210/1644	4.67	4.66	4.28	4.35	4.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1596	5.00	4.70	4.24	4.34	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1535	5.00	4.58	4.15	4.26	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1510	5.00	4.48	4.13	4.29	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1642	4.67	4.66	4.68	4.67	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	971/1596	4.33	4.52	4.12	4.20	4.00

### **Frequency Distribution**

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

**Course-Section: MUSC 400 02** 

**Title: Special Projects** 

**Instructor: Belzer, Matthew** 

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 2

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1644	5.00	4.64	4.32	4.47	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1644	4.67	4.66	4.28	4.35	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1419	5.00	4.85	4.35	4.48	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1596	5.00	4.70	4.24	4.34	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1535	5.00	4.58	4.15	4.26	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1510	5.00	4.48	4.13	4.29	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1620	5.00	4.43	4.20	4.25	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1528/1642	4.67	4.66	4.68	4.67	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	971/1596	4.33	4.52	4.12	4.20	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1534	5.00	4.68	4.48	4.54	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.94	4.76	4.81	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1531	5.00	4.70	4.33	4.38	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1530	5.00	4.77	4.35	4.41	5.00

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

Course-Section: MUSC 400 02

Title: Special Projects

Instructor: Belzer, Matthew

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 2

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Lecture														
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1409	5.00	4.57	4.08	4.15	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

**Course-Section: MUSC 400 05** 

**Title: Special Projects** 

**Instructor:** Wonneberger, Ala

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 1
Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1644	5.00	4.64	4.32	4.47	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1644	4.67	4.66	4.28	4.35	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1596	5.00	4.70	4.24	4.34	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1535	5.00	4.58	4.15	4.26	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1620	5.00	4.43	4.20	4.25	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1642	4.67	4.66	4.68	4.67	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1596	4.33	4.52	4.12	4.20	5.00

### **Frequency Distribution**

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	1				
				?	0						

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

**Course-Section: MUSC 401 02** 

**Title: Special Projects: Comp** 

**Instructor:** Rubin, Anna I

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 4

**Questionnaires:** 4

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	1218/1644	4.50	4.64	4.32	4.47	4.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	2	0	1	3.67	1432/1644	4.33	4.66	4.28	4.35	3.67
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1419	5.00	4.85	4.35	4.48	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	1429/1596	4.25	4.70	4.24	4.34	3.50
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	1469/1535	4.00	4.58	4.15	4.26	3.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	1261/1510	4.25	4.48	4.13	4.29	3.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1620	5.00	4.43	4.20	4.25	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	3.33	1632/1642	4.17	4.66	4.68	4.67	3.33
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	3.33	1458/1596	4.17	4.52	4.12	4.20	3.33
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	48/51	2.00	4.51	4.03	3.67	2.00

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

**Course-Section: MUSC 401 02** 

Title: Special Projects: Comp

Instructor: Rubin, Anna I

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 4

Questionnaires: 4

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	33/36	3.00	4.48	4.33	3.80	3.00

Credits Ea	rned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	3
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	4	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	2						

**Course-Section: MUSC 401 03** 

**Title: Special Projects: Comp** 

**Instructor:** Dusman, Linda J

**Term - Fall 2013** 

**Enrollment:** 6

**Questionnaires: 2** 

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1644	4.50	4.64	4.32	4.47	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1644	4.33	4.66	4.28	4.35	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1419	5.00	4.85	4.35	4.48	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1596	4.25	4.70	4.24	4.34	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1535	4.00	4.58	4.15	4.26	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1510	4.25	4.48	4.13	4.29	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1620	5.00	4.43	4.20	4.25	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1642	4.17	4.66	4.68	4.67	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1596	4.17	4.52	4.12	4.20	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1534	5.00	4.68	4.48	4.54	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.94	4.76	4.81	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1531	5.00	4.70	4.33	4.38	5.00

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

**Course-Section: MUSC 401 03** 

Title: Special Projects: Comp

**Instructor:** Dusman, Linda J

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 6

**Questionnaires: 2** 

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Lecture														
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1530	5.00	4.77	4.35	4.41	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

**Course-Section: MUSC 417 01** 

**Title: Surround Sound and Video** 

**Instructor:** Wonneberger, Ala

**Term - Fall 2013** 

**Enrollment: 7** 

**Questionnaires: 7** 

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1644	5.00	4.64	4.32	4.47	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	186/1644	4.86	4.66	4.28	4.35	4.86
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	217/1419	4.86	4.85	4.35	4.48	4.86
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	160/1596	4.86	4.70	4.24	4.34	4.86
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	3	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	442/1535	4.50	4.58	4.15	4.26	4.50
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	4	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1510	5.00	4.48	4.13	4.29	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	2	4	4.43	653/1620	4.43	4.43	4.20	4.25	4.43
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	736/1642	4.86	4.66	4.68	4.67	4.86
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1596	5.00	4.52	4.12	4.20	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	4	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	675/1534	4.67	4.68	4.48	4.54	4.67
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	4	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.94	4.76	4.81	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1531	5.00	4.70	4.33	4.38	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1530	5.00	4.77	4.35	4.41	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	4	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1409	5.00	4.57	4.08	4.15	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1366	****	4.59	4.18	4.37	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1364	****	4.62	4.33	4.52	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1361	****	4.65	4.39	4.59	****

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

**Course-Section: MUSC 417 01** 

**Title: Surround Sound and Video** 

**Instructor:** Wonneberger, Ala

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 7

**Questionnaires: 7** 

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1019	***	4.63	4.09	4.32	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	4	Required for Majors	5	Graduate	0	Major	7
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	1	С	1	General	0	Under-grad	7	Non-major	0
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

**Course-Section: MUSC 418 01** 

Title: Music Tech. Internship

**Instructor:** Wonneberger, Ala

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 3

Questionnaires: 3

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	889/1644	4.33	4.64	4.32	4.47	4.33
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1644	5.00	4.66	4.28	4.35	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1535	5.00	4.58	4.15	4.26	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1620	5.00	4.43	4.20	4.25	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1642	5.00	4.66	4.68	4.67	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1596	5.00	4.52	4.12	4.20	5.00

### **Frequency Distribution**

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	0	Major	3
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	3	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	1	Other	0				
				?	0						

**Course-Section: MUSC 427 01** 

**Title: Electronic Music** 

Instructor: Rubin, Anna I

**Term - Fall 2013** 

**Enrollment: 8** 

**Questionnaires:** 6

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	2	1	0	1	2	3.00	1603/1644	3.00	4.64	4.32	4.47	3.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	1	0	2	1	2	3.50	1501/1644	3.50	4.66	4.28	4.35	3.50
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	2	0	3	3.83	1186/1419	3.83	4.85	4.35	4.48	3.83
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	1	0	2	0	3	3.67	1361/1596	3.67	4.70	4.24	4.34	3.67
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	2	1	0	1	0	2	3.50	1327/1535	3.50	4.58	4.15	4.26	3.50
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	4	0	1	0	1	2.00	1505/1510	2.00	4.48	4.13	4.29	2.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	3	0	1	1	1	2.50	1593/1620	2.50	4.43	4.20	4.25	2.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	1	0	4	1	3.83	1605/1642	3.83	4.66	4.68	4.67	3.83
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	1	1	1	1	3.50	1388/1596	3.50	4.52	4.12	4.20	3.50
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	1	0	1	1	1	3.25	1491/1534	3.25	4.68	4.48	4.54	3.25
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	1	0	0	0	3	4.00	1484/1539	4.00	4.94	4.76	4.81	4.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	1	0	1	1	1	3.25	1437/1531	3.25	4.70	4.33	4.38	3.25
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	2	0	1	0	1	2.50	1505/1530	2.50	4.77	4.35	4.41	2.50
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	2	1	0	0	1	2.25	1394/1409	2.25	4.57	4.08	4.15	2.25
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	5	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/1366	****	4.59	4.18	4.37	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	5	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/1364	****	4.62	4.33	4.52	****

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

Course-Section: MUSC 427 01

Title: Electronic Music

Instructor: Rubin, Anna I

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 8

Questionnaires: 6

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	5	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/1361	****	4.65	4.39	4.59	****

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	6	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	0	Major	4
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	6	Non-major	2
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

**Course-Section: MUSC 685 01** 

**Title: El Sistema Music Educati** 

**Instructor:** Trahey, Dan

**Term - Fall 2013** 

**Enrollment: 2** 

**Questionnaires: 1** 

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1644	5.00	4.64	4.32	4.42	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1644	5.00	4.66	4.28	4.32	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1596	5.00	4.70	4.24	4.32	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	970/1535	4.00	4.58	4.15	4.25	4.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	921/1510	4.00	4.48	4.13	4.24	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1642	5.00	4.66	4.68	4.82	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1596	5.00	4.52	4.12	4.20	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1534	5.00	4.68	4.48	4.52	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.94	4.76	4.79	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1531	5.00	4.70	4.33	4.34	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1530	5.00	4.77	4.35	4.38	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1366	5.00	4.59	4.18	4.26	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1364	5.00	4.62	4.33	4.46	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1361	5.00	4.65	4.39	4.49	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1019	5.00	4.63	4.09	4.12	5.00
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/209	5.00	4.14	4.19	4.03	5.00
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/72	5.00	5.00	4.53	4.53	5.00

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

Course-Section: MUSC 685 01

**Title: El Sistema Music Educati** 

**Instructor:** Trahey, Dan

**Term - Fall 2013** 

**Enrollment: 2** 

**Questionnaires: 1** 

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/71	5.00	5.00	4.38	4.31	5.00
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/68	5.00	5.00	4.41	4.37	5.00
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/71	5.00	5.00	4.40	4.53	5.00
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/73	5.00	4.67	4.09	4.09	5.00
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/48	5.00	4.80	4.16	3.59	5.00
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/45	5.00	4.80	4.19	3.89	5.00
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/30	5.00	5.00	4.57	4.11	5.00
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/25	5.00	5.00	4.35	3.82	5.00
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/51	5.00	4.51	4.03	3.66	5.00
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/36	5.00	4.48	4.33	4.41	5.00

### **Frequency Distribution**

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	0	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

**Report Help** 

Run Date: 1/30/2014 2:19:34 PM Page 204 of 210

**Course-Section: MUSC 689 01** 

Title: Internships in Music Org

**Instructor:** Trahey, Dan

**Term - Fall 2013** 

**Enrollment: 2** 

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1644	5.00	4.64	4.32	4.42	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1644	5.00	4.66	4.28	4.32	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1642	5.00	4.66	4.68	4.82	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1596	5.00	4.52	4.12	4.20	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1366	5.00	4.59	4.18	4.26	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1364	5.00	4.62	4.33	4.46	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1361	5.00	4.65	4.39	4.49	5.00
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/209	5.00	4.14	4.19	4.03	5.00
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/48	5.00	4.80	4.16	3.59	5.00
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/45	5.00	4.80	4.19	3.89	5.00
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/30	5.00	5.00	4.57	4.11	5.00
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/25	5.00	5.00	4.35	3.82	5.00
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/51	5.00	4.51	4.03	3.66	5.00

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

Course-Section: MUSC 689 01

Title: Internships in Music Org

Instructor: Trahey, Dan

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 2

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/36	5.00	4.48	4.33	4.41	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	1	Α	0	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

**Course-Section: MUSC 690 01** 

**Title: Sem: Amer Chamber Music** 

**Instructor:** Goldstein, Thoma

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 1

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1644	5.00	4.64	4.32	4.42	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1644	5.00	4.66	4.28	4.32	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1620	5.00	4.43	4.20	4.29	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1642	5.00	4.66	4.68	4.82	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	971/1596	4.00	4.52	4.12	4.20	4.00

### **Frequency Distribution**

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	0	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

**Course-Section: MUSC 693 01** 

**Title: Solo Performance Study** 

**Instructor: Belzer, Matthew** 

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 1

**Questionnaires: 1** 

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1644	5.00	4.64	4.32	4.42	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1644	5.00	4.66	4.28	4.32	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1419	5.00	4.85	4.35	4.45	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1596	5.00	4.70	4.24	4.32	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1510	5.00	4.48	4.13	4.24	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1620	5.00	4.43	4.20	4.29	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1642	5.00	4.66	4.68	4.82	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1596	5.00	4.52	4.12	4.20	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1534	5.00	4.68	4.48	4.52	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.94	4.76	4.79	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1531	5.00	4.70	4.33	4.34	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1409	5.00	4.57	4.08	4.04	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0 0		0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1366	5.00	4.59	4.18	4.26	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0			0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1364	5.00	4.62	4.33	4.46	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1361	5.00	4.65	4.39	4.49	5.00

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

**Course-Section: MUSC 693 01** 

**Title: Solo Performance Study** 

**Instructor: Belzer, Matthew** 

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 1

**Questionnaires: 1** 

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1019	5.00	4.63	4.09	4.12	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	1	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	0	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	1	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

Course-Section: MUSC 693 01

**Title: Solo Performance Study** 

**Instructor:** Cella, Lisa M

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 1

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1644	5.00	4.64	4.32	4.42	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1644	5.00	4.66	4.28	4.32	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1620	5.00	4.43	4.20	4.29	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1642	5.00	4.66	4.68	4.82	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1596	5.00	4.52	4.12	4.20	5.00

### **Frequency Distribution**

<b>Credits Earned</b>		Cum. GPA		<b>Expected Grades</b>		Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means there are not enough responses			
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						