Course-Section: PSYC 100 01

Title: Intro To Psychology

Instructor: Flynn, Katherine

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 172

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	2	0	2	2	12	34	52	4.29	932/1644	4.17	4.35	4.32	4.16	4.29
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	0	7	11	44	40	4.15	1105/1644	4.07	4.23	4.28	4.23	4.15
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	3	0	1	1	6	36	57	4.46	703/1419	4.34	4.31	4.35	4.25	4.46
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	3	31	2	2	17	25	24	3.96	1172/1596	3.93	4.19	4.24	4.09	3.96
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	7	2	0	4	9	15	67	4.53	425/1535	4.37	4.23	4.15	4.02	4.53
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	6	44	5	5	15	16	13	3.50	1261/1510	3.52	4.09	4.13	3.91	3.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	6	0	1	5	10	32	50	4.28	864/1620	4.15	4.28	4.20	4.13	4.28
8. How many times was class cancelled	7	1	0	1	2	20	73	4.72	973/1642	4.68	4.70	4.68	4.68	4.72
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	14	3	1	3	31	37	15	3.71	1270/1596	3.69	4.04	4.12	4.07	3.71
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	5	0	1	2	13	35	48	4.28	1132/1534	4.26	4.49	4.48	4.45	4.28
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	4	0	0	1	6	25	68	4.60	1213/1539	4.59	4.78	4.76	4.72	4.60
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	6	0	1	3	14	34	46	4.23	1009/1531	4.12	4.35	4.33	4.30	4.23
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	5	2	4	9	17	20	47	4.00	1163/1530	3.85	4.33	4.35	4.30	4.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	6	1	2	5	8	29	53	4.30	588/1409	4.22	4.14	4.08	3.97	4.30
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	30	0	12	7	21	18	16	3.26	1233/1366	3.35	4.20	4.18	3.96	3.26
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	31	0	7	12	14	20	20	3.47	1231/1364	3.55	4.33	4.33	4.10	3.47
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	31	0	3	1	16	24	29	4.03	1028/1361	3.99	4.42	4.39	4.17	4.03
4. Were special techniques successful	31	11	3	5	18	22	14	3.63	798/1019	3.57	3.91	4.09	3.97	3.63

Course-Section: PSYC 100 01

Title: Intro To Psychology

Instructor: Flynn, Katherine

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 172

Questionnaires: 104

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	84	3	0	1	2	6	8	4.24	****/185	****	3.86	4.23	4.19	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	86	0	0	1	2	10	5	4.06	****/209	****	4.19	4.19	4.18	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	85	3	0	1	0	7	8	4.38	****/181	****	4.35	4.53	4.68	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	85	7	0	0	1	4	7	4.50	****/183	****	4.18	4.46	4.50	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	85	10	0	0	3	1	5	4.22	****/172	****	4.21	4.14	4.22	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	86	7	0	0	1	5	5	4.36	****/72	****	4.78	4.53	4.35	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	86	7	0	0	2	2	7	4.45	****/71	****	4.42	4.38	4.21	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	86	11	0	0	3	1	3	4.00	****/68	****	4.15	4.41	4.22	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	86	9	0	1	2	2	4	4.00	****/71	****	4.46	4.40	4.19	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	85	10	0	1	2	3	3	3.89	****/73	****	3.45	4.09	3.85	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	92	0	1	0	2	4	5	4.00	****/48	****	****	4.16	3.97	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	91	0	3	0	2	4	4	3.46	****/45	****	****	4.19	3.97	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	89	7	0	0	1	3	4	4.38	****/30	****	****	4.57	4.58	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	89	7	0	0	2	3	3	4.13	****/27	****	****	4.25	4.37	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	90	7	0	0	2	2	3	4.14	****/25	****	****	4.35	4.63	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	85	0	0	0	2	6	11	4.47	****/51	****	****	4.03	4.19	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	84	1	0	0	1	7	11	4.53	****/31	****	****	4.18	4.46	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	85	3	0	1	2	5	8	4.25	****/36	****	****	4.33	4.38	****

Run Date: 1/30/2014 2:26:49 PM

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: PSYC 100 01

Title: Intro To Psychology

Instructor: Flynn, Katherine

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 172

Questionnaires: 104

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	85	1	0	4	3	5	6	3.72	****/19	****	****	4.17	4.29	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	85	1	0	1	4	7	6	4.00	****/14	****	****	4.17	4.35	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	١.	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	26	0.00-0.99	6	Α	63	Required for Majors	46	Graduate	1	Major	10
28-55	9	1.00-1.99	0	В	30						
56-83	5	2.00-2.99	4	С	2	General	35	Under-grad	103	Non-major	94
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	8	D	0						
Grad.	1	3.50-4.00	9	F	0	Electives	7	**** - Means the	ere are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant	t		
				1	0	Other	7				
				?	7						

Course-Section: PSYC 100 02

Title: Intro To Psychology

Instructor: O'Brien, Eileen

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 205

		2 0 2 8 12 28 53 4 3 0 5 7 14 31 45 4 3 0 1 1 15 32 53 4 3 23 1 8 16 25 29 3 2 4 2 1 9 24 63 4 1 42 4 7 14 20 17 3 2 0 2 8 16 24 53 4 4 0 0 1 0 35 65 4 18 4 4 4 13 37 25 3							structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	2	0	2	8	12	28	53	4.18	1050/1644	4.17	4.35	4.32	4.16	4.18
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	3	0	5	7	14	31	45	4.02	1204/1644	4.07	4.23	4.28	4.23	4.02
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	3	0	1	1	15	32	53	4.32	875/1419	4.34	4.31	4.35	4.25	4.32
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	3	23	1	8	16	25	29	3.92	1197/1596	3.93	4.19	4.24	4.09	3.92
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	4	2	1	9	24	63	4.46	496/1535	4.37	4.23	4.15	4.02	4.46
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	42	4	7	14	20	17	3.63	1204/1510	3.52	4.09	4.13	3.91	3.63
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	2	8	16	24	53	4.15	1021/1620	4.15	4.28	4.20	4.13	4.15
8. How many times was class cancelled	4	0	0	1	0	35	65	4.62	1088/1642	4.68	4.70	4.68	4.68	4.62
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	18	4	4	4	13	37	25	3.90	1139/1596	3.69	4.04	4.12	4.07	3.90
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	4	0	1	7	9	20	64	4.38	1056/1534	4.26	4.49	4.48	4.45	4.38
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	5	0	0	2	6	15	77	4.67	1124/1539	4.59	4.78	4.76	4.72	4.67
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	3	5	10	28	56	4.26	981/1531	4.12	4.35	4.33	4.30	4.26
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	11	6	13	21	51	3.93	1213/1530	3.85	4.33	4.35	4.30	3.93
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	6	3	3	5	7	23	58	4.33	551/1409	4.22	4.14	4.08	3.97	4.33
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	40	0	8	8	12	19	18	3.48	1160/1366	3.35	4.20	4.18	3.96	3.48
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	40	0	5	9	17	15	19	3.52	1216/1364	3.55	4.33	4.33	4.10	3.52
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	40	0	5	4	10	20	26	3.89	1098/1361	3.99	4.42	4.39	4.17	3.89
4. Were special techniques successful	40	7	8	2	11	20	17	3.62	798/1019	3.57	3.91	4.09	3.97	3.62

Course-Section: PSYC 100 02

Title: Intro To Psychology

Instructor: O'Brien, Eileen

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 205

Questionnaires: 105

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	92	0	0	0	2	2	9	4.54	****/185	****	3.86	4.23	4.19	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	92	0	0	0	1	4	8	4.54	****/209	****	4.19	4.19	4.18	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	92	0	0	0	2	4	7	4.38	****/181	****	4.35	4.53	4.68	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	92	3	0	0	2	4	4	4.20	****/183	****	4.18	4.46	4.50	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	92	1	0	0	2	3	7	4.42	****/172	****	4.21	4.14	4.22	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	95	1	0	1	0	4	4	4.22	****/72	****	4.78	4.53	4.35	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	96	4	0	0	1	2	2	4.20	****/71	****	4.42	4.38	4.21	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	96	4	0	0	3	1	1	3.60	****/68	****	4.15	4.41	4.22	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	96	2	0	0	2	0	5	4.43	****/71	****	4.46	4.40	4.19	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	96	3	0	1	1	1	3	4.00	****/73	****	3.45	4.09	3.85	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	97	0	0	0	3	3	2	3.88	****/48	****	****	4.16	3.97	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	98	0	0	0	3	3	1	3.71	****/45	****	****	4.19	3.97	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	97	3	0	0	1	1	3	4.40	****/30	****	****	4.57	4.58	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	97	3	0	0	1	1	3	4.40	****/27	****	****	4.25	4.37	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	97	3	0	1	1	1	2	3.80	****/25	****	****	4.35	4.63	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	87	0	0	2	1	6	9	4.22	****/51	****	****	4.03	4.19	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	89	1	1	1	0	7	6	4.07	****/31	****	****	4.18	4.46	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	90	4	1	1	4	2	3	3.45	****/36	****	****	4.33	4.38	****

Run Date: 1/30/2014 2:26:49 PM

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: PSYC 100 02

Title: Intro To Psychology

Instructor: O'Brien, Eileen

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 205
Questionnaires: 105

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	90	2	0	1	4	4	4	3.85	****/19	****	****	4.17	4.29	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	90	2	1	0	4	2	6	3.92	****/14	****	****	4.17	4.35	****

Credits E	Earned	Cum. GP.	A	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	26	0.00-0.99	3	Α	45	Required for Majors	37	Graduate	0	Major	5
28-55	10	1.00-1.99	0	В	35						
56-83	6	2.00-2.99	0	С	6	General	38	Under-grad	105	Non-major	100
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	5	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	11	F	0	Electives	4	**** - Means the	ere are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant	t		
				1	0	Other	2				
				?	19						

Course-Section: PSYC 100 03

Title: Intro To Psychology

Instructor: Reyner, Jacqueli

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 173

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	1	6	11	38	28	4.02	1202/1644	4.17	4.35	4.32	4.16	4.02
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	3	3	12	36	30	4.04	1192/1644	4.07	4.23	4.28	4.23	4.04
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	0	2	1	12	28	39	4.23	959/1419	4.34	4.31	4.35	4.25	4.23
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	18	2	3	18	19	24	3.91	1215/1596	3.93	4.19	4.24	4.09	3.91
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	1	1	5	16	20	39	4.12	866/1535	4.37	4.23	4.15	4.02	4.12
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	32	1	11	13	15	10	3.44	1309/1510	3.52	4.09	4.13	3.91	3.44
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	3	4	20	16	39	4.02	1118/1620	4.15	4.28	4.20	4.13	4.02
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	1	2	18	62	4.70	1000/1642	4.68	4.70	4.68	4.68	4.70
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	22	4	3	4	22	22	7	3.45	1415/1596	3.69	4.04	4.12	4.07	3.45
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	1	7	11	25	37	4.11	1254/1534	4.26	4.49	4.48	4.45	4.11
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	3	7	18	53	4.49	1304/1539	4.59	4.78	4.76	4.72	4.49
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	5	0	5	3	16	28	27	3.87	1260/1531	4.12	4.35	4.33	4.30	3.87
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	4	2	11	9	9	19	30	3.62	1345/1530	3.85	4.33	4.35	4.30	3.62
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	5	0	5	3	13	22	36	4.03	813/1409	4.22	4.14	4.08	3.97	4.03
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	29	0	8	5	16	13	13	3.33	1213/1366	3.35	4.20	4.18	3.96	3.33
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	30	0	5	5	12	13	19	3.67	1175/1364	3.55	4.33	4.33	4.10	3.67
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	30	0	2	1	14	13	24	4.04	1025/1361	3.99	4.42	4.39	4.17	4.04
4. Were special techniques successful	30	6	4	7	11	15	11	3.46	865/1019	3.57	3.91	4.09	3.97	3.46

Course-Section: PSYC 100 03

Title: Intro To Psychology

Instructor: Reyner, Jacqueli

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 173

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	69	0	1	1	0	3	10	4.33	****/185	****	3.86	4.23	4.19	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	69	0	2	0	1	5	7	4.00	****/209	****	4.19	4.19	4.18	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	69	3	1	1	1	2	7	4.08	****/181	****	4.35	4.53	4.68	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	69	5	2	1	0	1	6	3.80	****/183	****	4.18	4.46	4.50	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	69	4	1	1	0	1	8	4.27	****/172	****	4.21	4.14	4.22	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	81	1	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/72	****	4.78	4.53	4.35	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	81	1	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/71	****	4.42	4.38	4.21	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	81	1	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/68	****	4.15	4.41	4.22	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	81	1	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	****/71	****	4.46	4.40	4.19	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	81	1	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	****/73	****	3.45	4.09	3.85	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	82	0	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	****/48	****	****	4.16	3.97	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	82	0	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	****/45	****	****	4.19	3.97	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	82	1	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/30	****	****	4.57	4.58	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	82	1	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/27	****	****	4.25	4.37	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	82	1	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/25	****	****	4.35	4.63	****
Self Paced										_				
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	83	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/51	****	****	4.03	4.19	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: PSYC 100 03

Title: Intro To Psychology

Instructor: Reyner, Jacqueli

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 173

Questionnaires: 84

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	83	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	****	****	4.18	4.46	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	A	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	24	0.00-0.99	5	Α	52	Required for Majors	25	Graduate	0	Major	8
28-55	7	1.00-1.99	0	В	23						
56-83	4	2.00-2.99	2	С	2	General	39	Under-grad	84	Non-major	76
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	14	F	0	Electives	5	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	4				
				?	7						

Course-Section: PSYC 200 01

Title: Child Developmental Psyc

Instructor: Schultz, David A

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 89

	eral					cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	3	17	39	4.61	550/1644	4.31	4.35	4.32	4.36	4.61
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	10	11	38	4.47	683/1644	4.28	4.23	4.28	4.35	4.47
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	1	14	44	4.73	380/1419	4.45	4.31	4.35	4.42	4.73
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	10	1	4	5	12	27	4.22	942/1596	4.13	4.19	4.24	4.31	4.22
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	1	0	0	2	11	45	4.74	232/1535	4.63	4.23	4.15	4.20	4.74
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	14	0	0	5	9	31	4.58	359/1510	4.19	4.09	4.13	4.17	4.58
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	5	9	13	32	4.22	938/1620	4.39	4.28	4.20	4.25	4.22
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	0	59	5.00	1/1642	4.99	4.70	4.68	4.67	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	7	0	0	0	9	25	19	4.19	795/1596	3.84	4.04	4.12	4.13	4.19
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	3	18	36	4.58	807/1534	4.40	4.49	4.48	4.51	4.58
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	0	7	50	4.88	694/1539	4.81	4.78	4.76	4.80	4.88
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	0	6	18	33	4.47	762/1531	4.31	4.35	4.33	4.38	4.47
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	3	0	7	17	30	4.25	1012/1530	3.93	4.33	4.35	4.41	4.25
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	0	1	0	8	13	35	4.42	465/1409	4.09	4.14	4.08	4.23	4.42
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	12	0	2	2	12	19	13	3.81	1006/1366	3.89	4.20	4.18	4.24	3.81
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	13	0	0	2	10	15	20	4.13	951/1364	4.37	4.33	4.33	4.39	4.13
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	13	0	0	1	5	10	31	4.51	694/1361	4.65	4.42	4.39	4.48	4.51
4. Were special techniques successful	13	25	2	1	9	5	5	3.45	865/1019	3.92	3.91	4.09	4.14	3.45

Course-Section: PSYC 200 01

Title: Child Developmental Psyc

Instructor: Schultz, David A

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 89

			NA 1 2 3 4 5 Mean 0 1 0 0 0 2 3.67 2 0 0 2 0 0 3.00						structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	57	0	1	0	0	0	2	3.67	****/209	****	4.19	4.19	4.45	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	56	2	0	0	2	0	0	3.00	****/181	****	4.35	4.53	4.67	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	57	1	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	****/183	****	4.18	4.46	4.64	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	54	3	0	0	1	2	0	3.67	****/72	****	4.78	4.53	4.71	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	54	4	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/71	****	4.46	4.40	4.47	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	54	4	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/73	****	3.45	4.09	3.99	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	56	0	1	0	1	1	1	3.25	****/48	****	****	4.16	4.81	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	56	0	0	0	3	0	1	3.50	****/45	****	****	4.19	4.58	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	56	1	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	****/30	****	****	4.57	4.57	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	56	0	1	1	1	1	0	2.50	****/27	****	****	4.25	5.00	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	56	1	0	1	2	0	0	2.67	****/25	****	****	4.35	5.00	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	53	0	1	0	0	3	3	4.00	****/51	****	****	4.03	5.00	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	53	1	0	0	1	1	4	4.50	****/31	****	****	4.18	5.00	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	53	1	0	1	2	2	1	3.50	****/36	****	****	4.33	****	****
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	53	2	1	0	1	1	2	3.60	****/19	****	****	4.17	****	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: PSYC 200 01

Title: Child Developmental Psyc

Instructor: Schultz, David A

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 89

Questionnaires: 60

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	53	1	0	1	2	2	1	3.50	****/14	****	****	4.17	****	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	10	0.00-0.99	0	Α	21	Required for Majors	39	Graduate	0	Major	28
28-55	8	1.00-1.99	0	В	21						
56-83	7	2.00-2.99	2	С	6	General	6	Under-grad	60	Non-major	32
84-150	4	3.00-3.49	8	D	2						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	9	F	0	Electives	5	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	3				
				?	10						

Course-Section: PSYC 200 02

Title: Child Developmental Psyc

Instructor: Katenkamp, Angel

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 95

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	4	3	5	12	22	3.98	1246/1644	4.31	4.35	4.32	4.36	3.98
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	1	2	5	20	18	4.13	1116/1644	4.28	4.23	4.28	4.35	4.13
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	1	1	1	8	13	21	4.18	998/1419	4.45	4.31	4.35	4.42	4.18
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	3	3	0	6	19	14	3.98	1154/1596	4.13	4.19	4.24	4.31	3.98
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	2	0	2	2	10	30	4.55	409/1535	4.63	4.23	4.15	4.20	4.55
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	5	3	7	14	17	3.76	1132/1510	4.19	4.09	4.13	4.17	3.76
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	1	1	4	12	28	4.41	669/1620	4.39	4.28	4.20	4.25	4.41
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	1	0	44	4.96	316/1642	4.99	4.70	4.68	4.67	4.96
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	7	0	2	3	8	23	4	3.60	1338/1596	3.84	4.04	4.12	4.13	3.60
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	2	2	5	11	25	4.22	1178/1534	4.40	4.49	4.48	4.51	4.22
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	1	2	4	39	4.76	970/1539	4.81	4.78	4.76	4.80	4.76
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	2	3	6	11	22	4.09	1123/1531	4.31	4.35	4.33	4.38	4.09
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	8	1	8	10	18	3.64	1333/1530	3.93	4.33	4.35	4.41	3.64
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	5	3	5	3	6	9	16	3.72	1057/1409	4.09	4.14	4.08	4.23	3.72
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	21	0	5	1	6	3	11	3.54	1143/1366	3.89	4.20	4.18	4.24	3.54
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	22	0	0	1	4	5	15	4.36	791/1364	4.37	4.33	4.33	4.39	4.36
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	22	0	0	0	1	6	18	4.68	526/1361	4.65	4.42	4.39	4.48	4.68
4. Were special techniques successful	23	2	1	4	2	5	10	3.86	687/1019	3.92	3.91	4.09	4.14	3.86

Course-Section: PSYC 200 02

Title: Child Developmental Psyc

Instructor: Katenkamp, Angel

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 95

Questionnaires: 47

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	45	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/185	****	3.86	4.23	4.42	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	46	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/209	****	4.19	4.19	4.45	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	46	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/181	****	4.35	4.53	4.67	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	45	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/183	****	4.18	4.46	4.64	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	46	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/172	****	4.21	4.14	4.50	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	45	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/72	****	4.78	4.53	4.71	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	46	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/71	****	4.42	4.38	4.63	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	46	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/68	****	4.15	4.41	4.25	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	46	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/71	****	4.46	4.40	4.47	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	46	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/73	****	3.45	4.09	3.99	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	46	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/48	****	****	4.16	4.81	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	46	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/45	****	****	4.19	4.58	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	46	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	****	****	4.57	4.57	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	46	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/27	****	****	4.25	5.00	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	46	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/25	****	****	4.35	5.00	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	45	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/51	****	****	4.03	5.00	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	45	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/31	****	****	4.18	5.00	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	45	0	0	1	0	1	0	3.00	****/36	****	****	4.33	****	****

Run Date: 1/30/2014 2:26:49 PM

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: PSYC 200 02

Title: Child Developmental Psyc

Instructor: Katenkamp, Angel

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 95

Questionnaires: 47

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	45	0	1	0	1	0	0	2.00	****/19	****	****	4.17	****	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	46	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/14	****	****	4.17	****	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	4	0.00-0.99	2	Α	31	Required for Majors	32	Graduate	0	Major	20
28-55	4	1.00-1.99	0	В	11						
56-83	7	2.00-2.99	5	С	2	General	3	Under-grad	47	Non-major	27
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	6	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	7	F	0	Electives	6	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	2				
				?	3						

Course-Section: PSYC 200 03

Title: Child Developmental Psyc

Instructor: Katenkamp, Angel

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 95

		0 0 1 1 4 15 25 4.35 878/						structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect	
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	1	1	4	15	25	4.35	878/1644	4.31	4.35	4.32	4.36	4.35
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	1	1	8	12	24	4.24	1008/1644	4.28	4.23	4.28	4.35	4.24
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	2	1	0	5	10	27	4.44	717/1419	4.45	4.31	4.35	4.42	4.44
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	4	1	3	5	10	22	4.20	974/1596	4.13	4.19	4.24	4.31	4.20
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	0	3	8	33	4.60	361/1535	4.63	4.23	4.15	4.20	4.60
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	2	2	6	10	26	4.22	775/1510	4.19	4.09	4.13	4.17	4.22
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	2	14	29	4.54	475/1620	4.39	4.28	4.20	4.25	4.54
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	46	5.00	1/1642	4.99	4.70	4.68	4.67	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	8	0	1	1	8	25	3	3.74	1255/1596	3.84	4.04	4.12	4.13	3.74
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	1	1	5	10	29	4.41	1016/1534	4.40	4.49	4.48	4.51	4.41
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	9	37	4.80	894/1539	4.81	4.78	4.76	4.80	4.80
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	2	1	3	11	28	4.38	879/1531	4.31	4.35	4.33	4.38	4.38
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	3	4	10	7	22	3.89	1239/1530	3.93	4.33	4.35	4.41	3.89
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	3	1	5	5	8	24	4.14	738/1409	4.09	4.14	4.08	4.23	4.14
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	17	0	0	2	4	6	17	4.31	676/1366	3.89	4.20	4.18	4.24	4.31
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	16	0	0	1	1	6	22	4.63	535/1364	4.37	4.33	4.33	4.39	4.63
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	16	0	0	1	1	2	26	4.77	429/1361	4.65	4.42	4.39	4.48	4.77
4. Were special techniques successful	16	1	0	0	5	6	18	4.45	308/1019	3.92	3.91	4.09	4.14	4.45

Course-Section: PSYC 200 03

Title: Child Developmental Psyc

Instructor: Katenkamp, Angel

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 95

·	NR NA 1 2 3 4 5 Mean I 44 1 0 0 0 0 1 5.00 ** 44 0 0 0 0 2 5.00 **						structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect		
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	44	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/185	****	3.86	4.23	4.42	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	44	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/209	****	4.19	4.19	4.45	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	44	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/181	****	4.35	4.53	4.67	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	44	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/183	****	4.18	4.46	4.64	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	45	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/172	****	4.21	4.14	4.50	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	43	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/72	****	4.78	4.53	4.71	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	44	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/71	****	4.42	4.38	4.63	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	44	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/68	****	4.15	4.41	4.25	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	44	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/71	****	4.46	4.40	4.47	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	44	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/73	****	3.45	4.09	3.99	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	44	0	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	****/48	****	****	4.16	4.81	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	44	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/45	****	****	4.19	4.58	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	44	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	****	****	4.57	4.57	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	44	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/27	****	****	4.25	5.00	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	44	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/25	****	****	4.35	5.00	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	43	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/51	****	****	4.03	5.00	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	43	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	****/31	****	****	4.18	5.00	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	43	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/36	****	****	4.33	****	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: PSYC 200 03

Title: Child Developmental Psyc

Instructor: Katenkamp, Angel

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 95

Questionnaires: 46

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	43	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/19	****	****	4.17	****	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	43	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/14	****	****	4.17	****	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	A	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	6	0.00-0.99	1	Α	27	Required for Majors	29	Graduate	2	Major	17
28-55	3	1.00-1.99	0	В	12						
56-83	4	2.00-2.99	3	С	3	General	8	Under-grad	44	Non-major	29
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	4	D	0						
Grad.	2	3.50-4.00	11	F	0	Electives	6	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	1				
				?	3						

Course-Section: PSYC 210 01

Title: Psychology Of Learning

Instructor: Becraft, Jessica

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 100

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	2	5	20	29	4.36	868/1644	4.33	4.35	4.32	4.36	4.36
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	0	1	4	17	33	4.49	649/1644	4.42	4.23	4.28	4.35	4.49
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	1	2	15	38	4.61	543/1419	4.34	4.31	4.35	4.42	4.61
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	19	0	2	4	10	20	4.33	816/1596	4.15	4.19	4.24	4.31	4.33
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	1	5	4	15	16	14	3.56	1298/1535	3.77	4.23	4.15	4.20	3.56
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	29	1	4	4	9	8	3.73	1146/1510	3.74	4.09	4.13	4.17	3.73
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	3	0	1	1	4	11	37	4.52	514/1620	4.58	4.28	4.20	4.25	4.52
8. How many times was class cancelled	3	0	0	0	0	7	47	4.87	694/1642	4.73	4.70	4.68	4.67	4.87
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	8	1	0	0	9	20	19	4.21	768/1596	4.14	4.04	4.12	4.13	4.21
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	4	0	1	0	2	8	42	4.70	627/1534	4.75	4.49	4.48	4.51	4.70
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	4	0	0	2	2	5	44	4.72	1066/1539	4.81	4.78	4.76	4.80	4.72
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	0	2	5	9	37	4.53	692/1531	4.62	4.35	4.33	4.38	4.53
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	1	4	6	42	4.68	556/1530	4.59	4.33	4.35	4.41	4.68
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	6	1	1	0	1	10	38	4.68	228/1409	4.43	4.14	4.08	4.23	4.68
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	34	0	3	3	6	5	6	3.35	1205/1366	3.81	4.20	4.18	4.24	3.35
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	34	0	3	2	6	4	8	3.52	1216/1364	3.95	4.33	4.33	4.39	3.52
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	36	0	1	2	2	9	7	3.90	1094/1361	4.16	4.42	4.39	4.48	3.90
4. Were special techniques successful	34	10	2	4	1	2	4	3.15	****/1019	3.70	3.91	4.09	4.14	****

Course-Section: PSYC 210 01

Title: Psychology Of Learning

Instructor: Becraft, Jessica

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 100

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	55	0	1	0	0	1	0	2.50	****/209	****	4.19	4.19	4.45	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	55	1	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/181	****	4.35	4.53	4.67	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	55	1	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/183	****	4.18	4.46	4.64	****
Seminar														
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	55	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/68	****	4.15	4.41	4.25	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	56	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/71	****	4.46	4.40	4.47	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	55	0	1	0	0	1	0	2.50	****/48	****	****	4.16	4.81	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	55	0	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	****/45	****	****	4.19	4.58	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	55	1	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/30	****	****	4.57	4.57	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	55	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/27	****	****	4.25	5.00	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	55	1	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/25	****	****	4.35	5.00	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	55	0	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	****/51	****	****	4.03	5.00	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	55	0	0	1	0	1	0	3.00	****/31	****	****	4.18	5.00	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	55	0	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	****/36	****	****	4.33	****	****
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	55	0	0	1	0	1	0	3.00	****/19	****	****	4.17	****	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: PSYC 210 01

Title: Psychology Of Learning

Instructor: Becraft, Jessica

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 100

Questionnaires: 57

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	55	0	0	1	0	1	0	3.00	****/14	****	****	4.17	****	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP.	A	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	29	Required for Majors	38	Graduate	0	Major	33
28-55	8	1.00-1.99	0	В	17						
56-83	4	2.00-2.99	6	С	3	General	5	Under-grad	57	Non-major	24
84-150	10	3.00-3.49	9	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	10	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	3				
				?	7						

Course-Section: PSYC 210 02

Title: Psychology Of Learning

Instructor: Hausman, Nicole

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 100

'	0 0 3 4 8 19 30 4.08 1164/ 0 0 1 6 7 21 29 4.11 1150/ 0 0 2 5 12 15 30 4.03 1079/ 0 18 3 1 7 16 19 4.02 1118/ 1 0 13 4 16 14 16 3.25 1420/ 2 34 2 2 7 6 11 3.79 1122/ 4 0 1 2 1 7 49 4.68 309/1 2 0 0 1 0 13 48 4.74 928/1 1 0 1 1 1 1 8 52 4.73 559/1 1 0 0 2 1 5 55 4.79 913/1 3 0 1 2 4 8 46 4.57 612/1 1					structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect			
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	3	4	8	19	30	4.08	1164/1644	4.33	4.35	4.32	4.36	4.08
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	1	6	7	21	29	4.11	1150/1644	4.42	4.23	4.28	4.35	4.11
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	2	5	12	15	30	4.03	1079/1419	4.34	4.31	4.35	4.42	4.03
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	18	3	1	7	16	19	4.02	1118/1596	4.15	4.19	4.24	4.31	4.02
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	13	4	16	14	16	3.25	1420/1535	3.77	4.23	4.15	4.20	3.25
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	34	2	2	7	6	11	3.79	1122/1510	3.74	4.09	4.13	4.17	3.79
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	4	0	1	2	1	7	49	4.68	309/1620	4.58	4.28	4.20	4.25	4.68
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	1	0	13	48	4.74	928/1642	4.73	4.70	4.68	4.67	4.74
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	13	3	1	1	12	24	10	3.85	1171/1596	4.14	4.04	4.12	4.13	3.85
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	1	1	1	8	52	4.73	559/1534	4.75	4.49	4.48	4.51	4.73
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	2	1	5	55	4.79	913/1539	4.81	4.78	4.76	4.80	4.79
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	1	2	4	8	46	4.57	612/1531	4.62	4.35	4.33	4.38	4.57
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	3	2	5	8	45	4.43	856/1530	4.59	4.33	4.35	4.41	4.43
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	0	5	1	3	7	45	4.41	486/1409	4.43	4.14	4.08	4.23	4.41
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	23	0	7	2	10	8	14	3.49	1157/1366	3.81	4.20	4.18	4.24	3.49
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	21	0	4	1	16	8	14	3.63	1189/1364	3.95	4.33	4.33	4.39	3.63
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	21	0	2	5	9	9	18	3.84	1125/1361	4.16	4.42	4.39	4.48	3.84
4. Were special techniques successful	21	25	2	0	8	2	6	3.56	824/1019	3.70	3.91	4.09	4.14	3.56

Course-Section: PSYC 210 02

Title: Psychology Of Learning

Instructor: Hausman, Nicole

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 100

Questionnaires: 64

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	53	5	0	0	0	4	2	4.33	****/185	****	3.86	4.23	4.42	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	54	0	0	1	3	2	4	3.90	****/209	****	4.19	4.19	4.45	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	55	2	0	0	3	1	3	4.00	****/181	****	4.35	4.53	4.67	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	55	1	1	2	0	1	4	3.63	****/183	****	4.18	4.46	4.64	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	55	1	2	1	0	0	5	3.63	****/172	****	4.21	4.14	4.50	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	54	1	1	0	2	1	5	4.00	****/72	****	4.78	4.53	4.71	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	54	1	1	0	1	4	3	3.89	****/71	****	4.42	4.38	4.63	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	55	1	1	0	2	2	3	3.75	****/68	****	4.15	4.41	4.25	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	55	2	0	0	1	2	4	4.43	****/71	****	4.46	4.40	4.47	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	55	1	1	0	1	2	4	4.00	****/73	****	3.45	4.09	3.99	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	56	0	1	0	0	3	4	4.13	****/48	****	****	4.16	4.81	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	55	0	2	0	1	3	3	3.56	****/45	****	****	4.19	4.58	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	56	1	1	0	1	2	3	3.86	****/30	****	****	4.57	4.57	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	56	0	1	0	1	3	3	3.88	****/27	****	****	4.25	5.00	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	56	0	1	2	0	2	3	3.50	****/25	****	****	4.35	5.00	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	54	0	1	0	3	3	3	3.70	****/51	****	****	4.03	5.00	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	54	1	0	0	2	4	3	4.11	****/31	****	****	4.18	5.00	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	55	0	1	1	1	3	3	3.67	****/36	****	****	4.33	****	****

Run Date: 1/30/2014 2:26:50 PM

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: PSYC 210 02

Title: Psychology Of Learning

Instructor: Hausman, Nicole

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 100

Questionnaires: 64

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	55	1	1	0	2	2	3	3.75	****/19	****	****	4.17	****	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	55	1	1	0	2	2	3	3.75	****/14	****	****	4.17	****	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	8	0.00-0.99	4	Α	26	Required for Majors	45	Graduate	0	Major	43
28-55	7	1.00-1.99	2	В	19						
56-83	8	2.00-2.99	4	С	7	General	4	Under-grad	64	Non-major	21
84-150	7	3.00-3.49	5	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	5	F	1	Electives	4	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	3				
				?	11						

Course-Section: PSYC 210 03

Title: Psychology Of Learning

Instructor: Tarner, Nina L.

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 35

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	6	0	1	0	2	5	21	4.55	626/1644	4.33	4.35	4.32	4.36	4.55
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	6	0	0	0	2	6	21	4.66	441/1644	4.42	4.23	4.28	4.35	4.66
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	7	0	0	2	3	5	18	4.39	787/1419	4.34	4.31	4.35	4.42	4.39
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	6	1	2	1	4	6	15	4.11	1076/1596	4.15	4.19	4.24	4.31	4.11
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	6	1	1	1	0	7	19	4.50	442/1535	3.77	4.23	4.15	4.20	4.50
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	7	1	3	2	5	7	10	3.70	1161/1510	3.74	4.09	4.13	4.17	3.70
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	6	0	0	2	2	3	22	4.55	462/1620	4.58	4.28	4.20	4.25	4.55
8. How many times was class cancelled	7	0	0	0	0	12	16	4.57	1140/1642	4.73	4.70	4.68	4.67	4.57
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	15	1	0	0	2	8	9	4.37	565/1596	4.14	4.04	4.12	4.13	4.37
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	8	0	0	0	0	5	22	4.81	419/1534	4.75	4.49	4.48	4.51	4.81
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	7	0	0	0	0	2	26	4.93	487/1539	4.81	4.78	4.76	4.80	4.93
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	9	0	0	1	0	3	22	4.77	333/1531	4.62	4.35	4.33	4.38	4.77
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	8	0	1	1	0	2	23	4.67	569/1530	4.59	4.33	4.35	4.41	4.67
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	9	1	2	2	0	6	15	4.20	675/1409	4.43	4.14	4.08	4.23	4.20
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	7	0	1	0	0	7	20	4.61	424/1366	3.81	4.20	4.18	4.24	4.61
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	7	0	0	0	2	4	22	4.71	442/1364	3.95	4.33	4.33	4.39	4.71
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	8	0	0	1	0	4	22	4.74	453/1361	4.16	4.42	4.39	4.48	4.74
4. Were special techniques successful	7	9	1	3	3	3	9	3.84	700/1019	3.70	3.91	4.09	4.14	3.84

Course-Section: PSYC 210 03

Title: Psychology Of Learning

Instructor: Tarner, Nina L.

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 35

	NR NA 1 2 3 4 5 Mean 31 2 0 2 0 0 0 2.00 ** 33 0 0 1 1 0 0 2.50 ** 33 1 0 1 0 0 0 2.00 ** 34 0 0 1 0 0 3.00 ** 33 1 0 0 1 0 0 3.00 ** 34 0 0 1 0 0 2.00 * 34 0 0 1 0 0 2.00 * 34 0 0 1 0 0 0 2.00 * 34 0 0 1 0 0 0 2.00 * 34 0 0 1 0 0 0 2.00 <								structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	31	2	0	2	0	0	0	2.00	****/185	****	3.86	4.23	4.42	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	33	0	0	1	1	0	0	2.50	****/209	****	4.19	4.19	4.45	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	33	1	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/181	****	4.35	4.53	4.67	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	34	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/183	****	4.18	4.46	4.64	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	34	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/172	****	4.21	4.14	4.50	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	33	1	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/72	****	4.78	4.53	4.71	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	34	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/71	****	4.42	4.38	4.63	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	34	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/68	****	4.15	4.41	4.25	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	34	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/71	****	4.46	4.40	4.47	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	34	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/73	****	3.45	4.09	3.99	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	34	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/48	****	****	4.16	4.81	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	34	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/45	****	****	4.19	4.58	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	34	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/30	****	****	4.57	4.57	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	34	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/27	****	****	4.25	5.00	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	34	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/25	****	****	4.35	5.00	****
Self Paced														
Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	34	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/51	****	****	4.03	5.00	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	34	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/31	****	****	4.18	5.00	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	34	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/36	****	****	4.33	****	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: PSYC 210 03

Title: Psychology Of Learning

Instructor: Tarner, Nina L.

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 35
Questionnaires: 35

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	34	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/19	****	****	4.17	****	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	34	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/14	****	****	4.17	****	****

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	1	Α	5	Required for Majors	16	Graduate	0	Major	15
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	14						
56-83	3	2.00-2.99	1	С	3	General	2	Under-grad	35	Non-major	20
84-150	6	3.00-3.49	4	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	4	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	13						

Course-Section: PSYC 215 01

Title: Paraprofessional Res Com

Instructor: Leisey, Kimberly

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 32

·							structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect		
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	1	5	21	4.74	372/1644	4.78	4.35	4.32	4.36	4.74
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	1	1	11	14	4.41	802/1644	4.66	4.23	4.28	4.35	4.41
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	1	2	10	14	4.37	812/1419	4.61	4.31	4.35	4.42	4.37
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	1	4	7	15	4.33	816/1596	4.55	4.19	4.24	4.31	4.33
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	2	1	3	8	13	4.07	912/1535	4.39	4.23	4.15	4.20	4.07
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	1	7	10	9	4.00	921/1510	4.43	4.09	4.13	4.17	4.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	1	8	18	4.63	375/1620	4.60	4.28	4.20	4.25	4.63
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	7	20	4.74	928/1642	4.82	4.70	4.68	4.67	4.74
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	6	0	0	0	3	7	12	4.41	515/1596	4.53	4.04	4.12	4.13	4.41
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	0	6	19	4.76	507/1534	4.86	4.49	4.48	4.51	4.76
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	0	1	24	4.96	244/1539	4.93	4.78	4.76	4.80	4.96
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	0	1	1	4	18	4.63	536/1531	4.76	4.35	4.33	4.38	4.63
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	1	7	17	4.64	594/1530	4.75	4.33	4.35	4.41	4.64
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	5	0	0	2	3	7	11	4.17	702/1409	4.39	4.14	4.08	4.23	4.17
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	18	0	0	0	0	1	9	4.90	145/1366	4.67	4.20	4.18	4.24	4.90
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	18	0	0	0	0	1	9	4.90	215/1364	4.84	4.33	4.33	4.39	4.90
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	18	0	0	0	0	1	9	4.90	247/1361	4.89	4.42	4.39	4.48	4.90

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: PSYC 215 01

Title: Paraprofessional Res Com

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 32

Questionnaires: 28

Instructor: Leisey, Kimberly

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	18	0	0	0	1	1	8	4.70	166/1019	4.57	3.91	4.09	4.14	4.70

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	14	Required for Majors	15	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	7						
56-83	3	2.00-2.99	1	С	1	General	0	Under-grad	28	Non-major	27
84-150	5	3.00-3.49	7	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	9	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	1				
				?	6						

Course-Section: PSYC 215 02

Title: Paraprofessional Res Com

Instructor: Leisey, Kimberly

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 34

·				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	2	18	4.81	288/1644	4.78	4.35	4.32	4.36	4.81
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	19	4.90	143/1644	4.66	4.23	4.28	4.35	4.90
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	3	18	4.86	217/1419	4.61	4.31	4.35	4.42	4.86
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	3	17	4.76	245/1596	4.55	4.19	4.24	4.31	4.76
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	6	15	4.71	253/1535	4.39	4.23	4.15	4.20	4.71
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	3	18	4.86	119/1510	4.43	4.09	4.13	4.17	4.86
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	2	5	14	4.57	436/1620	4.60	4.28	4.20	4.25	4.57
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	2	19	4.90	632/1642	4.82	4.70	4.68	4.67	4.90
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	1	0	0	0	6	11	4.65	263/1596	4.53	4.04	4.12	4.13	4.65
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	1	19	4.95	124/1534	4.86	4.49	4.48	4.51	4.95
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	2	18	4.90	609/1539	4.93	4.78	4.76	4.80	4.90
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	2	18	4.90	162/1531	4.76	4.35	4.33	4.38	4.90
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	3	17	4.85	279/1530	4.75	4.33	4.35	4.41	4.85
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	1	0	5	14	4.60	296/1409	4.39	4.14	4.08	4.23	4.60
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	1	1	0	7	4.44	559/1366	4.67	4.20	4.18	4.24	4.44
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	12	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	375/1364	4.84	4.33	4.33	4.39	4.78
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	12	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	273/1361	4.89	4.42	4.39	4.48	4.89
4. Were special techniques successful	12	0	0	1	1	0	7	4.44	308/1019	4.57	3.91	4.09	4.14	4.44

Course-Section: PSYC 215 02

Title: Paraprofessional Res Com

Instructor: Leisey, Kimberly

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 34

Questionnaires: 21

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	20	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/185	****	3.86	4.23	4.42	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	20	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/209	****	4.19	4.19	4.45	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	20	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/181	****	4.35	4.53	4.67	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	20	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/183	****	4.18	4.46	4.64	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	20	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/172	****	4.21	4.14	4.50	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	20	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/72	****	4.78	4.53	4.71	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	20	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/71	****	4.42	4.38	4.63	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	20	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/68	****	4.15	4.41	4.25	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	20	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/71	****	4.46	4.40	4.47	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	20	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/73	****	3.45	4.09	3.99	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	16	Required for Majors	16	Graduate	0	Major	4
28-55	4	1.00-1.99	0	В	5						
56-83	4	2.00-2.99	5	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	21	Non-major	17
84-150	5	3.00-3.49	5	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	8	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	3				
				?	0						

Course-Section: PSYC 230 01

Title: Psychology And Culture

Instructor: Knight, Donald E

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 75

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	5	21	4.81	288/1644	4.22	4.35	4.32	4.36	4.81
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	10	16	4.62	494/1644	4.25	4.23	4.28	4.35	4.62
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	3	8	14	4.44	717/1419	4.35	4.31	4.35	4.42	4.44
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	13	0	1	2	3	6	4.17	1008/1596	4.06	4.19	4.24	4.31	4.17
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	3	23	4.88	122/1535	4.30	4.23	4.15	4.20	4.88
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	22	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	****/1510	4.00	4.09	4.13	4.17	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	5	21	4.81	161/1620	4.48	4.28	4.20	4.25	4.81
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	13	13	4.50	1203/1642	4.73	4.70	4.68	4.67	4.50
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	5	1	0	0	4	10	6	4.10	905/1596	3.82	4.04	4.12	4.13	4.10
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	1	4	20	4.76	507/1534	4.60	4.49	4.48	4.51	4.76
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	2	22	4.92	548/1539	4.70	4.78	4.76	4.80	4.92
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	2	6	17	4.60	565/1531	4.38	4.35	4.33	4.38	4.60
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	1	0	7	17	4.60	644/1530	4.50	4.33	4.35	4.41	4.60
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	3	1	1	2	3	15	4.36	523/1409	4.40	4.14	4.08	4.23	4.36
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	10	0	0	0	1	3	12	4.69	350/1366	4.34	4.20	4.18	4.24	4.69
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	10	0	0	0	0	1	15	4.94	150/1364	4.58	4.33	4.33	4.39	4.94
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	10	0	0	0	0	2	14	4.88	286/1361	4.67	4.42	4.39	4.48	4.88
4. Were special techniques successful	10	4	0	1	5	1	5	3.83	706/1019	3.42	3.91	4.09	4.14	3.83

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: PSYC 230 01

Title: Psychology And Culture

Instructor: Knight, Donald E

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 75

Questionnaires: 26

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	25	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/185	****	3.86	4.23	4.42	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	25	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/209	****	4.19	4.19	4.45	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	25	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/72	****	4.78	4.53	4.71	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	25	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/71	****	4.46	4.40	4.47	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	25	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/73	****	3.45	4.09	3.99	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	25	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/48	****	****	4.16	4.81	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	25	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/45	****	****	4.19	4.58	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	25	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/25	****	****	4.35	5.00	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	25	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/51	****	****	4.03	5.00	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	25	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	****	****	4.18	5.00	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	25	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/36	****	****	4.33	****	****

Run Date: 1/30/2014 2:26:50 PM

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: PSYC 230 01

Title: Psychology And Culture

Instructor: Knight, Donald E

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 75

Questionnaires: 26

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	25	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/14	****	****	4.17	****	****

Credits Ea	rned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	12	Required for Majors	12	Graduate	0	Major	14
28-55	4	1.00-1.99	1	В	10						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	3	С	2	General	7	Under-grad	26	Non-major	12
84-150	4	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	3	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	2				
				?	2						

Course-Section: PSYC 230 02

Title: Psychology And Culture

Instructor: Zhou, Nan

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 68

									structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	3	2	5	6	9	3.64	1466/1644	4.22	4.35	4.32	4.36	3.64
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	2	0	5	9	8	3.88	1322/1644	4.25	4.23	4.28	4.35	3.88
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	1	3	0	5	15	4.25	942/1419	4.35	4.31	4.35	4.42	4.25
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	3	1	3	3	13	3.96	1172/1596	4.06	4.19	4.24	4.31	3.96
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	4	3	1	5	12	3.72	1197/1535	4.30	4.23	4.15	4.20	3.72
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	3	0	4	5	13	4.00	921/1510	4.00	4.09	4.13	4.17	4.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	1	2	3	5	14	4.16	1003/1620	4.48	4.28	4.20	4.25	4.16
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	24	4.96	253/1642	4.73	4.70	4.68	4.67	4.96
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	5	4	9	4	3.55	1368/1596	3.82	4.04	4.12	4.13	3.55
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	1	0	2	6	16	4.44	974/1534	4.60	4.49	4.48	4.51	4.44
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	1	0	1	7	16	4.48	1311/1539	4.70	4.78	4.76	4.80	4.48
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	2	0	3	7	13	4.16	1069/1531	4.38	4.35	4.33	4.38	4.16
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	1	3	2	18	4.40	882/1530	4.50	4.33	4.35	4.41	4.40
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	1	0	4	2	18	4.44	444/1409	4.40	4.14	4.08	4.23	4.44
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	13	0	1	0	3	2	6	4.00	862/1366	4.34	4.20	4.18	4.24	4.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	12	0	1	0	2	2	8	4.23	890/1364	4.58	4.33	4.33	4.39	4.23
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	12	0	1	0	0	3	9	4.46	749/1361	4.67	4.42	4.39	4.48	4.46

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: PSYC 230 02

Title: Psychology And Culture

Instructor: Zhou, Nan

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 68

Questionnaires: 25

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	12	2	3	2	1	2	3	3.00	961/1019	3.42	3.91	4.09	4.14	3.00

Credits Earned		Cum. GPA		Expected Grades		Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	14	Required for Majors	17	Graduate	0	Major	16
28-55	4	1.00-1.99	0	В	4						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	2	С	3	General	3	Under-grad	25	Non-major	9
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	6	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	7	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means there are not enough responses			
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	4						

Course-Section: PSYC 285 01

Title: Abnormal Psychology

Instructor: Murphy, Julie

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 90

·				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	2	4	11	41	4.57	613/1644	4.68	4.35	4.32	4.36	4.57
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	2	2	13	40	4.60	520/1644	4.60	4.23	4.28	4.35	4.60
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	1	2	6	13	36	4.40	787/1419	4.59	4.31	4.35	4.42	4.40
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	14	1	1	5	11	26	4.36	773/1596	4.48	4.19	4.24	4.31	4.36
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	2	6	19	29	4.34	658/1535	4.35	4.23	4.15	4.20	4.34
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	14	1	4	4	14	21	4.14	848/1510	4.28	4.09	4.13	4.17	4.14
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	0	0	3	11	42	4.70	298/1620	4.58	4.28	4.20	4.25	4.70
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	2	29	26	4.42	1269/1642	4.68	4.70	4.68	4.67	4.42
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	7	0	0	2	3	13	33	4.51	382/1596	4.46	4.04	4.12	4.13	4.51
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	1	11	43	4.76	507/1534	4.78	4.49	4.48	4.51	4.76
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	4	0	0	0	0	3	51	4.94	365/1539	4.88	4.78	4.76	4.80	4.94
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	0	2	9	44	4.76	333/1531	4.72	4.35	4.33	4.38	4.76
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	4	8	43	4.71	519/1530	4.67	4.33	4.35	4.41	4.71
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	4	0	0	1	4	14	35	4.54	355/1409	4.24	4.14	4.08	4.23	4.54
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	38	0	0	0	1	7	12	4.55	458/1366	4.43	4.20	4.18	4.24	4.55
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	38	0	0	1	3	3	13	4.40	756/1364	4.47	4.33	4.33	4.39	4.40
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	38	0	0	0	1	5	14	4.65	561/1361	4.62	4.42	4.39	4.48	4.65
4. Were special techniques successful	38	4	0	2	2	4	8	4.13	523/1019	4.13	3.91	4.09	4.14	4.13

Course-Section: PSYC 285 01

Title: Abnormal Psychology

Instructor: Murphy, Julie

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 90

Questionnaires: 58

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	55	1	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	****/185	****	3.86	4.23	4.42	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	56	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	****/209	****	4.19	4.19	4.45	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	56	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/181	****	4.35	4.53	4.67	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	56	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/183	****	4.18	4.46	4.64	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	56	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/172	****	4.21	4.14	4.50	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	55	0	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	****/72	****	4.78	4.53	4.71	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	55	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/71	****	4.42	4.38	4.63	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	55	1	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/68	****	4.15	4.41	4.25	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	55	0	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	****/71	****	4.46	4.40	4.47	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	55	0	0	0	1	2	0	3.67	****/73	****	3.45	4.09	3.99	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	55	0	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	****/48	****	****	4.16	4.81	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	55	0	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	****/45	****	****	4.19	4.58	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	55	0	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	****/30	****	****	4.57	4.57	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	55	0	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	****/27	****	****	4.25	5.00	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	55	0	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	****/25	****	****	4.35	5.00	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	55	0	0	0	0	3	0	4.00	****/51	****	****	4.03	5.00	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	55	0	0	0	0	3	0	4.00	****/31	****	****	4.18	5.00	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	55	0	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	****/36	****	****	4.33	****	****

Run Date: 1/30/2014 2:26:51 PM

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: PSYC 285 01

Title: Abnormal Psychology

Instructor: Murphy, Julie

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 90

Questionnaires: 58

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	55	0	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	****/19	****	****	4.17	****	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	55	0	0	0	0	3	0	4.00	****/14	****	****	4.17	****	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP.	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	8	0.00-0.99	1	Α	32	Required for Majors	30	Graduate	0	Major	21
28-55	5	1.00-1.99	1	В	20						
56-83	4	2.00-2.99	6	С	1	General	8	Under-grad	58	Non-major	37
84-150	6	3.00-3.49	6	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	14	F	0	Electives	11	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	3				
				?	5						

Course-Section: PSYC 285 02

Title: Abnormal Psychology

Instructor: Dahlquist,Lynnd

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 90

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	12	38	4.76	344/1644	4.68	4.35	4.32	4.36	4.76
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	11	37	4.70	375/1644	4.60	4.23	4.28	4.35	4.70
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	2	11	36	4.64	489/1419	4.59	4.31	4.35	4.42	4.64
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	30	0	0	1	7	12	4.55	502/1596	4.48	4.19	4.24	4.31	4.55
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	2	0	1	11	9	26	4.28	719/1535	4.35	4.23	4.15	4.20	4.28
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	34	0	2	1	3	10	4.31	655/1510	4.28	4.09	4.13	4.17	4.31
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	4	9	37	4.66	331/1620	4.58	4.28	4.20	4.25	4.66
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	50	5.00	1/1642	4.68	4.70	4.68	4.67	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	8	0	0	0	1	17	24	4.55	348/1596	4.46	4.04	4.12	4.13	4.55
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	4	46	4.92	198/1534	4.78	4.49	4.48	4.51	4.92
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	3	47	4.94	365/1539	4.88	4.78	4.76	4.80	4.94
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	3	6	41	4.76	333/1531	4.72	4.35	4.33	4.38	4.76
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	2	5	43	4.82	325/1530	4.67	4.33	4.35	4.41	4.82
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	1	1	0	9	12	27	4.31	579/1409	4.24	4.14	4.08	4.23	4.31
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	27	0	1	3	3	1	15	4.13	810/1366	4.43	4.20	4.18	4.24	4.13
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	27	0	0	0	3	3	17	4.61	570/1364	4.47	4.33	4.33	4.39	4.61
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	27	0	0	0	2	5	16	4.61	619/1361	4.62	4.42	4.39	4.48	4.61
4. Were special techniques successful	27	13	0	1	2	1	6	4.20	****/1019	4.13	3.91	4.09	4.14	****

Course-Section: PSYC 285 02

Title: Abnormal Psychology

Instructor: Dahlquist,Lynnd

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 90

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	49	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/185	****	3.86	4.23	4.42	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	49	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/209	****	4.19	4.19	4.45	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	49	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/181	****	4.35	4.53	4.67	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	49	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/183	****	4.18	4.46	4.64	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	49	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/172	****	4.21	4.14	4.50	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	49	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/72	****	4.78	4.53	4.71	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	49	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/71	****	4.42	4.38	4.63	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	49	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/68	****	4.15	4.41	4.25	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	49	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/71	****	4.46	4.40	4.47	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	49	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/73	****	3.45	4.09	3.99	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	49	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/48	****	****	4.16	4.81	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	49	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/45	****	****	4.19	4.58	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	49	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	****	****	4.57	4.57	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	49	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/27	****	****	4.25	5.00	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	49	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/25	****	****	4.35	5.00	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	49	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/51	****	****	4.03	5.00	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	49	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	****	****	4.18	5.00	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	49	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/36	****	****	4.33	****	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: PSYC 285 02

Title: Abnormal Psychology

Instructor: Dahlquist,Lynnd

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 90

Questionnaires: 50

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	49	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/19	****	****	4.17	****	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	49	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/14	****	****	4.17	****	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	4	0.00-0.99	0	Α	13	Required for Majors	32	Graduate	0	Major	25
28-55	8	1.00-1.99	1	В	25						
56-83	5	2.00-2.99	3	С	7	General	3	Under-grad	50	Non-major	25
84-150	4	3.00-3.49	6	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	10	F	0	Electives	4	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	4				
				?	5						

Course-Section: PSYC 285 03

Title: Abnormal Psychology

Instructor: Barry, Robin A

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 30

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	3	14	4.72	400/1644	4.68	4.35	4.32	4.36	4.72
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	5	11	4.50	633/1644	4.60	4.23	4.28	4.35	4.50
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	5	13	4.72	380/1419	4.59	4.31	4.35	4.42	4.72
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	5	0	1	0	3	9	4.54	528/1596	4.48	4.19	4.24	4.31	4.54
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	2	3	12	4.44	523/1535	4.35	4.23	4.15	4.20	4.44
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	4	0	0	1	6	6	4.38	564/1510	4.28	4.09	4.13	4.17	4.38
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	2	4	11	4.39	712/1620	4.58	4.28	4.20	4.25	4.39
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	1	0	0	3	14	4.61	1100/1642	4.68	4.70	4.68	4.67	4.61
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	1	0	0	1	7	5	4.31	642/1596	4.46	4.04	4.12	4.13	4.31
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	1	4	12	4.65	707/1534	4.78	4.49	4.48	4.51	4.65
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	4	13	4.76	970/1539	4.88	4.78	4.76	4.80	4.76
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	1	4	12	4.65	507/1531	4.72	4.35	4.33	4.38	4.65
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	4	1	12	4.47	793/1530	4.67	4.33	4.35	4.41	4.47
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	1	2	0	2	6	6	3.88	943/1409	4.24	4.14	4.08	4.23	3.88
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	13	0	0	0	1	0	4	4.60	424/1366	4.43	4.20	4.18	4.24	4.60
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	13	0	0	1	0	0	4	4.40	756/1364	4.47	4.33	4.33	4.39	4.40
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	13	0	0	0	1	0	4	4.60	619/1361	4.62	4.42	4.39	4.48	4.60

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: PSYC 285 03

Title: Abnormal Psychology
Instructor: Barry,Robin A

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 30

Questionnaires: 18

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	13	2	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/1019	4.13	3.91	4.09	4.14	***

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	13	Required for Majors	7	Graduate	0	Major	4
28-55	4	1.00-1.99	0	В	3						
56-83	3	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	3	Under-grad	18	Non-major	14
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	6	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	1				
				?	2						

Course-Section: PSYC 304 01

Title: Adolescent Psychology

Instructor: O'Brien, Eileen

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 50

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	2	4	15	4.62	550/1644	4.62	4.35	4.32	4.31	4.62
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	2	1	7	11	4.29	958/1644	4.29	4.23	4.28	4.25	4.29
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	2	2	2	15	4.43	746/1419	4.43	4.31	4.35	4.31	4.43
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	2	0	2	6	11	4.14	1030/1596	4.14	4.19	4.24	4.25	4.14
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	2	0	6	13	4.43	550/1535	4.43	4.23	4.15	4.14	4.43
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	2	1	5	6	7	3.71	1156/1510	3.71	4.09	4.13	4.16	3.71
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	2	0	5	6	8	3.86	1273/1620	3.86	4.28	4.20	4.18	3.86
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	20	4.95	316/1642	4.95	4.70	4.68	4.65	4.95
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	6	10	4	3.90	1139/1596	3.90	4.04	4.12	4.09	3.90
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	1	4	1	14	4.40	1030/1534	4.40	4.49	4.48	4.44	4.40
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	4	16	4.80	894/1539	4.80	4.78	4.76	4.74	4.80
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	1	4	3	12	4.30	944/1531	4.30	4.35	4.33	4.30	4.30
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	2	1	4	1	12	4.00	1163/1530	4.00	4.33	4.35	4.32	4.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	3	6	11	4.40	486/1409	4.40	4.14	4.08	4.09	4.40
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	13	0	0	1	1	1	5	4.25	721/1366	4.25	4.20	4.18	4.22	4.25
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	13 0		0	0	1	0	7	4.75	398/1364	4.75	4.33	4.33	4.37	4.75
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	13	0	0	0	1	0	7	4.75	441/1361	4.75	4.42	4.39	4.39	4.75
4. Were special techniques successful	13	0	0	1	1	3	3	4.00	559/1019	4.00	3.91	4.09	4.04	4.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: PSYC 304 01

Title: Adolescent Psychology

Instructor: O'Brien, Eileen

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 50

Questionnaires: 21

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	20	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/72	****	4.78	4.53	4.68	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	20	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/71	****	4.42	4.38	4.61	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	20	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/68	****	4.15	4.41	4.59	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	20	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/71	****	4.46	4.40	4.51	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	20	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/73	****	3.45	4.09	4.57	****
Field Work														
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	20	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/27	****	****	4.25	4.90	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	20	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/51	****	****	4.03	4.75	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	20	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	****	****	4.18	4.80	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	14	Required for Majors	16	Graduate	0	Major	13
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	5						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	2	С	0	General	1	Under-grad	21	Non-major	8
84-150	6	3.00-3.49	4	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	5	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	2						

Course-Section: PSYC 307 01

Title: Psychology Of Aging

Instructor: Else-Quest, Nico

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 64

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	2	0	0	0	4	9	17	4.43	776/1644	3.80	4.35	4.32	4.31	4.43
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	0	2	2	5	21	4.50	633/1644	3.87	4.23	4.28	4.25	4.50
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	0	0	0	3	8	19	4.53	605/1419	3.91	4.31	4.35	4.31	4.53
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	20	0	1	1	2	6	4.30	859/1596	3.71	4.19	4.24	4.25	4.30
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	1	1	1	4	8	15	4.21	781/1535	3.79	4.23	4.15	4.14	4.21
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	22	1	2	0	2	3	3.50	1261/1510	3.44	4.09	4.13	4.16	3.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	1	1	1	4	23	4.57	449/1620	4.06	4.28	4.20	4.18	4.57
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	1	0	0	6	22	1	3.83	1606/1642	4.16	4.70	4.68	4.65	3.83
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	6	0	1	0	4	13	8	4.04	951/1596	3.38	4.04	4.12	4.09	4.04
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	2	5	22	4.69	643/1534	4.09	4.49	4.48	4.44	4.69
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	2	3	24	4.76	990/1539	4.50	4.78	4.76	4.74	4.76
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	0	0	0	6	22	4.79	304/1531	3.83	4.35	4.33	4.30	4.79
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	2	8	19	4.59	666/1530	3.70	4.33	4.35	4.32	4.59
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	4	0	0	0	3	6	19	4.57	321/1409	3.75	4.14	4.08	4.09	4.57
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	15	0	1	0	2	5	9	4.24	735/1366	3.84	4.20	4.18	4.22	4.24
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	15	0	0	1	3	0	13	4.47	681/1364	4.11	4.33	4.33	4.37	4.47
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	15	0	0	1	1	1	14	4.65	572/1361	4.17	4.42	4.39	4.39	4.65
4. Were special techniques successful	15	4	2	2	4	1	4	3.23	937/1019	3.19	3.91	4.09	4.04	3.23

Course-Section: PSYC 307 01

Title: Psychology Of Aging

Instructor: Else-Quest, Nico

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 64

	_			Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	30	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/185	****	3.86	4.23	4.16	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	30	0	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	****/209	****	4.19	4.19	4.18	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	30	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/181	****	4.35	4.53	4.49	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	30	0	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	****/183	****	4.18	4.46	4.38	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	30	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/172	****	4.21	4.14	4.07	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	30	0	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	****/72	****	4.78	4.53	4.68	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	30	0	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	****/71	****	4.42	4.38	4.61	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	30	0	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	****/68	****	4.15	4.41	4.59	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	30	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/71	****	4.46	4.40	4.51	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	30	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/73	****	3.45	4.09	4.57	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	30	0	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	****/48	****	****	4.16	4.95	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	30	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/45	****	****	4.19	4.95	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	30	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/30	****	****	4.57	4.93	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	30	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/27	****	****	4.25	4.90	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	30	0	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	****/25	****	****	4.35	4.90	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	30	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/51	****	****	4.03	4.75	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	30	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/31	****	****	4.18	4.80	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	30	0	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	****/36	****	****	4.33	4.83	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: PSYC 307 01

Title: Psychology Of Aging

Instructor: Else-Quest, Nico

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 64

Questionnaires: 32

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	30	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/19	****	****	4.17	4.20	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	30	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/14	****	****	4.17	4.60	****

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	8	Required for Majors	19	Graduate	0	Major	13
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	17						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	4	С	2	General	2	Under-grad	32	Non-major	19
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	4	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	4	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	5						

Course-Section: PSYC 307 02

Title: Psychology Of Aging

Instructor: Kronheim, Steven

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 21

Questionnaires: 18

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	3	2	3	7	2	3.18	1587/1644	3.80	4.35	4.32	4.31	3.18
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	3	2	6	0	6	3.24	1558/1644	3.87	4.23	4.28	4.25	3.24
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	2	2	5	5	3	3.29	1352/1419	3.91	4.31	4.35	4.31	3.29
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	2	3	4	7	1	3.12	1531/1596	3.71	4.19	4.24	4.25	3.12
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	0	4	1	2	3	6	3.38	1384/1535	3.79	4.23	4.15	4.14	3.38
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	0	3	0	3	8	2	3.38	1355/1510	3.44	4.09	4.13	4.16	3.38
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	3	1	3	2	7	3.56	1405/1620	4.06	4.28	4.20	4.18	3.56
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	8	8	4.50	1203/1642	4.16	4.70	4.68	4.65	4.50
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	7	0	3	1	3	4	0	2.73	1566/1596	3.38	4.04	4.12	4.09	2.73
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	3	0	5	2	6	3.50	1463/1534	4.09	4.49	4.48	4.44	3.50
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	1	3	3	9	4.25	1439/1539	4.50	4.78	4.76	4.74	4.25
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	4	4	3	2	4	2.88	1488/1531	3.83	4.35	4.33	4.30	2.88
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	7	0	3	3	4	2.82	1493/1530	3.70	4.33	4.35	4.32	2.82
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	5	1	3	4	3	2.94	1338/1409	3.75	4.14	4.08	4.09	2.94
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	2	2	4	3	5	3.44	1173/1366	3.84	4.20	4.18	4.22	3.44
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	2	2	1	4	7	3.75	1142/1364	4.11	4.33	4.33	4.37	3.75
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	1	3	2	4	6	3.69	1184/1361	4.17	4.42	4.39	4.39	3.69
4. Were special techniques successful	3	1	4	1	3	1	5	3.14	951/1019	3.19	3.91	4.09	4.04	3.14

Run Date: 1/30/2014 2:26:51 PM

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: PSYC 307 02

Title: Psychology Of Aging

Instructor: Kronheim, Steven

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 21

Questionnaires: 18

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	17	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/51	****	****	4.03	4.75	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	17	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	****	****	4.18	4.80	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	17	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/36	****	****	4.33	4.83	****
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	17	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/19	****	****	4.17	4.20	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	17	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/14	****	****	4.17	4.60	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	2	Α	5	Required for Majors	8	Graduate	0	Major	14
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	5						
56-83	5	2.00-2.99	0	С	1	General	2	Under-grad	18	Non-major	4
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	5	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	4						

Run Date: 1/30/2014 2:26:51 PM

Course-Section: PSYC 308 01

Title: Child Maltreatment

Instructor: O'Brien, Eileen

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 45

·				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	2	1	7	22	4.53	650/1644	4.53	4.35	4.32	4.31	4.53
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	1	1	3	6	21	4.41	802/1644	4.41	4.23	4.28	4.25	4.41
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	8	7	16	4.19	998/1419	4.19	4.31	4.35	4.31	4.19
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	6	11	15	4.28	879/1596	4.28	4.19	4.24	4.25	4.28
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	4	9	19	4.47	496/1535	4.47	4.23	4.15	4.14	4.47
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	3	0	3	6	6	14	4.07	893/1510	4.07	4.09	4.13	4.16	4.07
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	5	7	20	4.47	590/1620	4.47	4.28	4.20	4.18	4.47
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	1	30	4.97	253/1642	4.97	4.70	4.68	4.65	4.97
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	7	0	1	0	6	9	9	4.00	971/1596	4.00	4.04	4.12	4.09	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	1	0	1	27	4.86	324/1534	4.86	4.49	4.48	4.44	4.86
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	2	28	4.93	426/1539	4.93	4.78	4.76	4.74	4.93
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	1	1	7	21	4.60	565/1531	4.60	4.35	4.33	4.30	4.60
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	1	1	5	23	4.67	569/1530	4.67	4.33	4.35	4.32	4.67
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	0	0	1	5	24	4.77	175/1409	4.77	4.14	4.08	4.09	4.77
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	18	0	0	0	2	5	7	4.36	644/1366	4.36	4.20	4.18	4.22	4.36
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	18	0	0	0	0	4	10	4.71	442/1364	4.71	4.33	4.33	4.37	4.71
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	18	0	0	0	0	2	12	4.86	313/1361	4.86	4.42	4.39	4.39	4.86
4. Were special techniques successful	18	1	0	2	3	1	7	4.00	559/1019	4.00	3.91	4.09	4.04	4.00

Course-Section: PSYC 308 01

Title: Child Maltreatment

Instructor: O'Brien, Eileen

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 45

Questionnaires: 32

<u>'</u>				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	31	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/185	****	3.86	4.23	4.16	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	31	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/209	****	4.19	4.19	4.18	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	31	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/181	****	4.35	4.53	4.49	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	31	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/183	****	4.18	4.46	4.38	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	31	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/172	****	4.21	4.14	4.07	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	31	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/72	****	4.78	4.53	4.68	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	31	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/71	****	4.42	4.38	4.61	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	31	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/68	****	4.15	4.41	4.59	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	31	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/71	****	4.46	4.40	4.51	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	31	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/73	****	3.45	4.09	4.57	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	31	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/48	****	****	4.16	4.95	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	31	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/45	****	****	4.19	4.95	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	31	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	****	****	4.57	4.93	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	31	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/27	****	****	4.25	4.90	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	31	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/25	****	****	4.35	4.90	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	31	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/51	****	****	4.03	4.75	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	31	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	****	****	4.18	4.80	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	31	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/36	****	****	4.33	4.83	****

Run Date: 1/30/2014 2:26:51 PM

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: PSYC 308 01

Title: Child Maltreatment

Instructor: O'Brien, Eileen

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 45

Questionnaires: 32

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	31	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/19	****	****	4.17	4.20	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	31	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/14	****	****	4.17	4.60	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	8	Required for Majors	18	Graduate	0	Major	17
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	18						
56-83	3	2.00-2.99	1	С	2	General	1	Under-grad	32	Non-major	15
84-150	10	3.00-3.49	6	D	1						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	7	F	0	Electives	5	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	1				
				?	3						

Course-Section: PSYC 316 01

Title: Language And Thought

Instructor: Baker,Linda

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 32

·				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	1	4	8	7	4.05	1180/1644	4.05	4.35	4.32	4.31	4.05
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	1	6	3	6	4	3.30	1544/1644	3.30	4.23	4.28	4.25	3.30
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	3	3	5	4	5	3.25	1360/1419	3.25	4.31	4.35	4.31	3.25
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	1	4	3	2	9	3.74	1320/1596	3.74	4.19	4.24	4.25	3.74
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	2	1	5	7	5	3.60	1270/1535	3.60	4.23	4.15	4.14	3.60
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	3	2	1	4	7	2	3.38	1355/1510	3.38	4.09	4.13	4.16	3.38
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	1	1	0	9	9	4.20	968/1620	4.20	4.28	4.20	4.18	4.20
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	2	18	4.90	632/1642	4.90	4.70	4.68	4.65	4.90
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	1	1	6	5	3	3.50	1388/1596	3.50	4.04	4.12	4.09	3.50
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	1	2	6	11	4.35	1073/1534	4.35	4.49	4.48	4.44	4.35
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	3	17	4.85	751/1539	4.85	4.78	4.76	4.74	4.85
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	2	1	5	9	4.24	1009/1531	4.24	4.35	4.33	4.30	4.24
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	1	1	3	2	10	4.12	1106/1530	4.12	4.33	4.35	4.32	4.12
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	1	3	6	9	4.21	665/1409	4.21	4.14	4.08	4.09	4.21
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	1	2	3	6	4	3.63	1116/1366	3.63	4.20	4.18	4.22	3.63
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	1	3	3	9	4.25	877/1364	4.25	4.33	4.33	4.37	4.25
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	2	1	2	11	4.38	842/1361	4.38	4.42	4.39	4.39	4.38
4. Were special techniques successful	4	6	0	2	3	3	2	3.50	842/1019	3.50	3.91	4.09	4.04	3.50

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: PSYC 316 01

Title: Language And Thought

Instructor: Baker,Linda

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 32
Questionnaires: 20

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	tructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	3	0	0	1	2	4	10	4.35	56/72	4.35	4.78	4.53	4.68	4.35
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	3	0	0	1	1	2	13	4.59	33/71	4.59	4.42	4.38	4.61	4.59
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	3	0	1	1	2	4	9	4.12	54/68	4.12	4.15	4.41	4.59	4.12
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	3	0	1	1	2	5	8	4.06	58/71	4.06	4.46	4.40	4.51	4.06
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	3	0	0	2	1	3	11	4.35	33/73	4.35	3.45	4.09	4.57	4.35

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	7	Required for Majors	11	Graduate	0	Major	10
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	8						
56-83	3	2.00-2.99	1	С	4	General	1	Under-grad	20	Non-major	10
84-150	4	3.00-3.49	3	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	7	F	0	Electives	4	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	2				
				?	1						

Run Date: 1/30/2014 2:26:51 PM

Course-Section: PSYC 317 01

Title: Cognitive Psychology

Instructor: Gleiberman-Kowa

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 62

·				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	1	0	3	6	24	4.53	663/1644	4.51	4.35	4.32	4.31	4.53
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	1	0	2	5	25	4.61	508/1644	4.58	4.23	4.28	4.25	4.61
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	1	1	1	4	27	4.62	529/1419	4.53	4.31	4.35	4.31	4.62
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	9	1	0	0	5	17	4.61	437/1596	4.54	4.19	4.24	4.25	4.61
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	1	1	5	23	4.67	300/1535	4.55	4.23	4.15	4.14	4.67
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	29	1	0	0	0	2	3.67	****/1510	4.40	4.09	4.13	4.16	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	4	4	25	4.56	462/1620	4.55	4.28	4.20	4.18	4.56
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	22	12	4.35	1327/1642	4.46	4.70	4.68	4.65	4.35
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	2	0	1	2	16	11	4.23	730/1596	4.12	4.04	4.12	4.09	4.23
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	1	0	1	3	28	4.73	576/1534	4.63	4.49	4.48	4.44	4.73
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	1	0	2	30	4.85	780/1539	4.77	4.78	4.76	4.74	4.85
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	1	0	1	8	23	4.58	612/1531	4.50	4.35	4.33	4.30	4.58
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	0	1	7	24	4.61	644/1530	4.57	4.33	4.35	4.32	4.61
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	1	0	2	5	24	4.59	304/1409	4.58	4.14	4.08	4.09	4.59
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	17	0	0	1	2	3	11	4.41	592/1366	4.43	4.20	4.18	4.22	4.41
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	17	0	1	0	3	2	11	4.29	850/1364	4.52	4.33	4.33	4.37	4.29
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	17	0	0	1	3	2	11	4.35	859/1361	4.52	4.42	4.39	4.39	4.35
4. Were special techniques successful	16	13	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	****/1019	3.63	3.91	4.09	4.04	****

Course-Section: PSYC 317 01

Title: Cognitive Psychology

Instructor: Gleiberman-Kowa

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 62

'				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	30	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/185	****	3.86	4.23	4.16	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	31	0	1	0	0	0	2	3.67	****/209	****	4.19	4.19	4.18	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	31	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/181	****	4.35	4.53	4.49	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	31	1	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	****/183	****	4.18	4.46	4.38	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	31	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/172	****	4.21	4.14	4.07	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	30	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/72	****	4.78	4.53	4.68	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	31	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/71	****	4.42	4.38	4.61	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	31	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/68	****	4.15	4.41	4.59	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	31	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/71	****	4.46	4.40	4.51	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	31	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/73	****	3.45	4.09	4.57	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	30	0	2	0	1	0	1	2.50	****/48	****	****	4.16	4.95	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	31	0	1	0	1	0	1	3.00	****/45	****	****	4.19	4.95	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	31	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	****	****	4.57	4.93	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	31	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/27	****	****	4.25	4.90	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	31	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/25	****	****	4.35	4.90	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	32	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/51	****	****	4.03	4.75	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	32	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/31	****	****	4.18	4.80	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	32	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/36	****	****	4.33	4.83	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: PSYC 317 01

Title: Cognitive Psychology

Instructor: Gleiberman-Kowa

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 62

Questionnaires: 34

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	32	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/19	****	****	4.17	4.20	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	32	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/14	****	****	4.17	4.60	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	13	Required for Majors	23	Graduate	0	Major	8
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	8						
56-83	5	2.00-2.99	2	С	7	General	4	Under-grad	34	Non-major	26
84-150	6	3.00-3.49	6	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	5						

Course-Section: PSYC 317 02

Title: Cognitive Psychology

Instructor: Alonso, Diane L

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 34

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	3	0	0	0	3	9	18	4.50	688/1644	4.51	4.35	4.32	4.31	4.50
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	4	0	0	1	3	4	21	4.55	570/1644	4.58	4.23	4.28	4.25	4.55
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	3	0	0	1	2	10	17	4.43	732/1419	4.53	4.31	4.35	4.31	4.43
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	3	2	0	0	2	11	15	4.46	627/1596	4.54	4.19	4.24	4.25	4.46
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	4	9	17	4.43	537/1535	4.55	4.23	4.15	4.14	4.43
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	4	4	1	1	1	6	16	4.40	538/1510	4.40	4.09	4.13	4.16	4.40
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	3	0	0	0	2	10	18	4.53	488/1620	4.55	4.28	4.20	4.18	4.53
8. How many times was class cancelled	3	0	0	1	0	10	19	4.57	1149/1642	4.46	4.70	4.68	4.65	4.57
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	9	0	0	1	7	7	9	4.00	971/1596	4.12	4.04	4.12	4.09	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	1	2	7	20	4.53	855/1534	4.63	4.49	4.48	4.44	4.53
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	2	5	23	4.70	1086/1539	4.77	4.78	4.76	4.74	4.70
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	1	3	8	18	4.43	813/1531	4.50	4.35	4.33	4.30	4.43
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	1	3	5	21	4.53	721/1530	4.57	4.33	4.35	4.32	4.53
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	0	0	1	1	8	20	4.57	330/1409	4.58	4.14	4.08	4.09	4.57
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	11	0	0	0	3	6	13	4.45	548/1366	4.43	4.20	4.18	4.22	4.45
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	10	0	0	0	1	4	18	4.74	420/1364	4.52	4.33	4.33	4.37	4.74
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	10	0	0	0	2	3	18	4.70	514/1361	4.52	4.42	4.39	4.39	4.70
4. Were special techniques successful	10	4	2	1	6	3	7	3.63	795/1019	3.63	3.91	4.09	4.04	3.63

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: PSYC 317 02

Title: Cognitive Psychology

Instructor: Alonso, Diane L

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 34

Questionnaires: 33

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	32	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/72	****	4.78	4.53	4.68	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	32	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/71	****	4.42	4.38	4.61	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	32	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/68	****	4.15	4.41	4.59	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	32	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/71	****	4.46	4.40	4.51	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	32	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/73	****	3.45	4.09	4.57	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	3	0.00-0.99	2	Α	9	Required for Majors	17	Graduate	0	Major	22
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	12						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	2	С	1	General	4	Under-grad	33	Non-major	11
84-150	4	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	9						

Run Date: 1/30/2014 2:26:52 PM

Course-Section: PSYC 330 01

Title: Child Devel And Culture

Instructor: Watkins-Lewis,K

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 14

·				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	3	4	7	4.29	943/1644	4.24	4.35	4.32	4.31	4.29
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	4	3	7	4.21	1028/1644	4.34	4.23	4.28	4.25	4.21
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	4	5	4	3.86	1179/1419	4.07	4.31	4.35	4.31	3.86
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	1	2	1	6	4	3.71	1334/1596	3.84	4.19	4.24	4.25	3.71
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	3	4	7	4.29	710/1535	4.22	4.23	4.15	4.14	4.29
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	0	4	5	4	3.79	1122/1510	3.69	4.09	4.13	4.16	3.79
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	3	5	5	4.00	1134/1620	4.17	4.28	4.20	4.18	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	12	2	4.14	1469/1642	4.54	4.70	4.68	4.65	4.14
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	2	6	4	4.17	822/1596	4.05	4.04	4.12	4.09	4.17
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	2	3	8	4.46	946/1534	4.55	4.49	4.48	4.44	4.46
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	1	13	4.93	487/1539	4.83	4.78	4.76	4.74	4.93
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	2	3	9	4.50	724/1531	4.45	4.35	4.33	4.30	4.50
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	2	0	11	4.50	755/1530	4.43	4.33	4.35	4.32	4.50
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	1	2	3	8	4.29	598/1409	4.40	4.14	4.08	4.09	4.29
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	3	10	4.64	387/1366	4.67	4.20	4.18	4.22	4.64
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	2	12	4.86	278/1364	4.78	4.33	4.33	4.37	4.86
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	2	12	4.86	313/1361	4.83	4.42	4.39	4.39	4.86
4. Were special techniques successful	0	3	1	0	2	8	0	3.55	827/1019	3.82	3.91	4.09	4.04	3.55

Course-Section: PSYC 330 01

Title: Child Devel And Culture

Instructor: Watkins-Lewis,K

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 14

Questionnaires: 14

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	13	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/185	****	3.86	4.23	4.16	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	13	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/209	****	4.19	4.19	4.18	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	13	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/181	****	4.35	4.53	4.49	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	13	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/183	****	4.18	4.46	4.38	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	13	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/172	****	4.21	4.14	4.07	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	13	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/72	****	4.78	4.53	4.68	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	13	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/71	****	4.42	4.38	4.61	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	13	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/68	****	4.15	4.41	4.59	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	13	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/71	****	4.46	4.40	4.51	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	13	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/73	****	3.45	4.09	4.57	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	13	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/48	****	****	4.16	4.95	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	13	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/45	****	****	4.19	4.95	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	13	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/30	****	****	4.57	4.93	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	13	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/27	****	****	4.25	4.90	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	13	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/25	****	****	4.35	4.90	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	13	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/51	****	****	4.03	4.75	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	13	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/31	****	****	4.18	4.80	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	13	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/36	****	****	4.33	4.83	****

Run Date: 1/30/2014 2:26:52 PM

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: PSYC 330 01

Title: Child Devel And Culture

Instructor: Watkins-Lewis,K

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 14

Questionnaires: 14

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	13	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/19	****	****	4.17	4.20	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	13	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/14	****	****	4.17	4.60	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	3	Required for Majors	8	Graduate	0	Major	7
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	5						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	3	С	1	General	0	Under-grad	14	Non-major	7
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	3	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	4						

Course-Section: PSYC 330 02

Title: Child Devel And Culture

Instructor: Cheah, Charissa

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 52

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	3	0	3	8	18	4.19	1050/1644	4.24	4.35	4.32	4.31	4.19
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	2	2	7	21	4.47	700/1644	4.34	4.23	4.28	4.25	4.47
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	2	2	4	1	23	4.28	917/1419	4.07	4.31	4.35	4.31	4.28
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	1	3	4	10	12	3.97	1163/1596	3.84	4.19	4.24	4.25	3.97
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	1	6	7	16	4.16	823/1535	4.22	4.23	4.15	4.14	4.16
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	4	1	10	6	11	3.59	1219/1510	3.69	4.09	4.13	4.16	3.59
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	1	2	3	5	21	4.34	766/1620	4.17	4.28	4.20	4.18	4.34
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	2	30	4.94	442/1642	4.54	4.70	4.68	4.65	4.94
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	1	1	1	6	12	9	3.93	1088/1596	4.05	4.04	4.12	4.09	3.93
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	3	5	23	4.65	707/1534	4.55	4.49	4.48	4.44	4.65
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	1	6	23	4.73	1028/1539	4.83	4.78	4.76	4.74	4.73
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	1	2	2	4	21	4.40	852/1531	4.45	4.35	4.33	4.30	4.40
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	2	0	4	4	21	4.35	923/1530	4.43	4.33	4.35	4.32	4.35
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	0	1	0	2	6	20	4.52	372/1409	4.40	4.14	4.08	4.09	4.52
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	22	0	0	0	0	3	7	4.70	332/1366	4.67	4.20	4.18	4.22	4.70
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	22	0	0	0	0	3	7	4.70	454/1364	4.78	4.33	4.33	4.37	4.70
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	22	0	0	0	1	0	9	4.80	380/1361	4.83	4.42	4.39	4.39	4.80

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: PSYC 330 02

Title: Child Devel And Culture

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 52

Questionnaires: 32

Instructor: Cheah, Charissa

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	22	0	1	0	2	1	6	4.10	539/1019	3.82	3.91	4.09	4.04	4.10

Credits E	Earned	Cum. GP	A	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	14	Required for Majors	25	Graduate	0	Major	18
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	10						
56-83	4	2.00-2.99	3	С	5	General	3	Under-grad	32	Non-major	14
84-150	17	3.00-3.49	7	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	13	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	3						

Course-Section: PSYC 331 01

Title: Experimental Psych I

Instructor: Warren, Kimberly

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 58

	_			Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	2	1	9	15	8	3.74	1418/1644	4.12	4.35	4.32	4.31	3.74
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	1	4	14	9	7	3.49	1506/1644	4.12	4.23	4.28	4.25	3.49
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	4	7	8	13	3	3.11	1380/1419	4.14	4.31	4.35	4.31	3.11
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	7	5	1	8	8	6	3.32	1493/1596	3.97	4.19	4.24	4.25	3.32
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	3	3	4	6	5	10	5	3.20	1435/1535	3.73	4.23	4.15	4.14	3.20
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	3	13	3	3	5	3	6	3.30	1389/1510	3.92	4.09	4.13	4.16	3.30
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	4	0	2	14	15	4.03	1118/1620	4.31	4.28	4.20	4.18	4.03
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	1	2	31	4.88	673/1642	4.91	4.70	4.68	4.65	4.88
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	7	0	4	3	14	7	1	2.93	1542/1596	3.70	4.04	4.12	4.09	2.93
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	4	9	6	12	3	3.03	1497/1534	4.20	4.49	4.48	4.44	3.03
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	3	3	10	18	4.26	1436/1539	4.65	4.78	4.76	4.74	4.26
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	5	11	6	8	2	2.72	1503/1531	3.75	4.35	4.33	4.30	2.72
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	3	5	7	10	9	3.50	1382/1530	4.16	4.33	4.35	4.32	3.50
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	4	4	1	4	8	8	7	3.57	1138/1409	3.79	4.14	4.08	4.09	3.57
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	12	0	4	5	5	5	5	3.08	1271/1366	3.60	4.20	4.18	4.22	3.08
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	11	0	0	3	8	8	6	3.68	1168/1364	3.82	4.33	4.33	4.37	3.68
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	11	0	2	2	6	6	9	3.72	1169/1361	3.82	4.42	4.39	4.39	3.72
4. Were special techniques successful	11	16	5	1	0	2	1	2.22	1011/1019	2.99	3.91	4.09	4.04	2.22

Course-Section: PSYC 331 01

Title: Experimental Psych I

Instructor: Warren, Kimberly

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 58

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	13	0	7	2	6	8	0	2.65	182/185	3.86	3.86	4.23	4.16	2.65
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	13	0	0	5	7	8	3	3.39	188/209	4.26	4.19	4.19	4.18	3.39
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	13	3	2	2	5	4	7	3.60	174/181	4.30	4.35	4.53	4.49	3.60
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	13	2	3	3	5	7	3	3.19	176/183	4.27	4.18	4.46	4.38	3.19
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	14	9	4	1	3	4	1	2.77	168/172	4.04	4.21	4.14	4.07	2.77
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	30	0	0	0	2	2	2	4.00	****/72	****	4.78	4.53	4.68	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	29	4	1	1	0	1	0	2.33	****/71	****	4.42	4.38	4.61	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	29	2	0	1	4	0	0	2.80	****/71	****	4.46	4.40	4.51	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	29	3	0	2	2	0	0	2.50	****/73	****	3.45	4.09	4.57	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	33	0	2	0	1	0	0	1.67	****/48	****	****	4.16	4.95	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	33	0	1	1	1	0	0	2.00	****/45	****	****	4.19	4.95	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	32	1	0	2	0	1	0	2.67	****/30	****	****	4.57	4.93	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	33	2	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/27	****	****	4.25	4.90	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	32	3	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/25	****	****	4.35	4.90	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	32	0	1	0	1	2	0	3.00	****/51	****	****	4.03	4.75	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	32	1	2	1	0	0	0	1.33	****/31	****	****	4.18	4.80	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	32	1	2	0	1	0	0	1.67	****/36	****	****	4.33	4.83	****
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	32	2	1	1	0	0	0	1.50	****/19	****	****	4.17	4.20	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: PSYC 331 01

Title: Experimental Psych I

Instructor: Warren, Kimberly

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 58

Questionnaires: 36

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	32	1	1	2	0	0	0	1.67	****/14	***	****	4.17	4.60	****

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP.	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	Α	12	Required for Majors	29	Graduate	0	Major	21
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	1	В	13						
56-83	8	2.00-2.99	5	С	5	General	0	Under-grad	36	Non-major	15
84-150	4	3.00-3.49	3	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	10	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	5						

Course-Section: PSYC 331 05

Title: Experimental Psych I

Instructor: Sun, Shuyan

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 75

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	2	5	14	23	4.32	911/1644	4.12	4.35	4.32	4.31	4.32
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	6	8	30	4.55	583/1644	4.12	4.23	4.28	4.25	4.55
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	3	5	36	4.75	337/1419	4.14	4.31	4.35	4.31	4.75
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	18	1	0	2	4	18	4.52	541/1596	3.97	4.19	4.24	4.25	4.52
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	10	4	3	5	6	14	3.72	1204/1535	3.73	4.23	4.15	4.14	3.72
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	29	1	0	1	1	11	4.50	429/1510	3.92	4.09	4.13	4.16	4.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	7	36	4.80	173/1620	4.31	4.28	4.20	4.18	4.80
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	1	0	1	42	4.91	632/1642	4.91	4.70	4.68	4.65	4.91
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	9	0	0	1	8	14	12	4.06	938/1596	3.70	4.04	4.12	4.09	4.06
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	1	0	1	41	4.91	248/1534	4.20	4.49	4.48	4.44	4.91
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	1	1	2	39	4.84	808/1539	4.65	4.78	4.76	4.74	4.84
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	4	7	32	4.65	493/1531	3.75	4.35	4.33	4.30	4.65
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	2	1	3	8	30	4.43	843/1530	4.16	4.33	4.35	4.32	4.43
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	5	0	1	4	10	23	4.45	444/1409	3.79	4.14	4.08	4.09	4.45
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	10	0	6	2	7	7	12	3.50	1151/1366	3.60	4.20	4.18	4.22	3.50
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	11	0	3	2	5	6	17	3.97	1043/1364	3.82	4.33	4.33	4.37	3.97
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	12	0	6	1	5	5	15	3.69	1184/1361	3.82	4.42	4.39	4.39	3.69
4. Were special techniques successful	13	20	3	1	1	2	4	3.27	928/1019	2.99	3.91	4.09	4.04	3.27

Course-Section: PSYC 331 05

Title: Experimental Psych I

Instructor: Sun, Shuyan

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 75

Questionnaires: 44

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	20	0	1	1	1	5	16	4.42	84/185	3.86	3.86	4.23	4.16	4.42
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	21	0	0	0	1	4	18	4.74	41/209	4.26	4.19	4.19	4.18	4.74
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	21	2	1	1	0	2	17	4.57	104/181	4.30	4.35	4.53	4.49	4.57
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	21	1	0	0	2	3	17	4.68	62/183	4.27	4.18	4.46	4.38	4.68
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	21	9	0	0	0	1	13	4.93	12/172	4.04	4.21	4.14	4.07	4.93
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	40	1	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	****/72	****	4.78	4.53	4.68	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	39	2	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/71	****	4.42	4.38	4.61	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	38	3	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	****/68	****	4.15	4.41	4.59	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	38	3	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	****/71	****	4.46	4.40	4.51	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	39	3	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/73	****	3.45	4.09	4.57	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	38	0	1	0	1	1	3	3.83	****/48	****	****	4.16	4.95	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	39	0	1	0	2	0	2	3.40	****/45	****	****	4.19	4.95	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	38	3	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	****/30	****	****	4.57	4.93	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	38	3	0	1	0	0	2	4.00	****/27	****	****	4.25	4.90	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	38	4	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/25	****	****	4.35	4.90	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	39	0	1	0	0	3	1	3.60	****/51	****	****	4.03	4.75	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	39	0	0	0	1	1	3	4.40	****/31	****	****	4.18	4.80	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	40	1	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	****/36	****	****	4.33	4.83	****

Run Date: 1/30/2014 2:26:52 PM

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: PSYC 331 05

Title: Experimental Psych I

Instructor: Sun, Shuyan

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 75

Questionnaires: 44

	Frequencies				Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect			
Questions		NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	40	1	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/19	****	****	4.17	4.20	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	40	1	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/14	****	****	4.17	4.60	****

Credits Earned		Cum. GPA		Expected Grades		Reasons		Туре		Majors			
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	Α	26	Required for Majors	39	Graduate	0	Major	30		
28-55	5	1.00-1.99	0	В	9								
56-83	7	2.00-2.99	1	С	5	General	2	Under-grad	44	Non-major	14		
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	8	D	0								
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	11	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	**** - Means there are not enough responses				
				Р	0			to be significant					
				1	0	Other	0						
				?	3								

Course-Section: PSYC 331 09

Title: Experimental Psych I

Instructor: Michael, Kerry C

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 41

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	6	12	13	4.23	1006/1644	4.12	4.35	4.32	4.31	4.23
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	6	11	13	4.16	1082/1644	4.12	4.23	4.28	4.25	4.16
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	3	14	13	4.26	942/1419	4.14	4.31	4.35	4.31	4.26
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	1	1	5	13	9	3.97	1163/1596	3.97	4.19	4.24	4.25	3.97
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	2	4	6	10	9	3.65	1246/1535	3.73	4.23	4.15	4.14	3.65
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	6	2	4	3	9	7	3.60	1215/1510	3.92	4.09	4.13	4.16	3.60
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	1	1	4	9	16	4.23	938/1620	4.31	4.28	4.20	4.18	4.23
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	3	28	4.90	632/1642	4.91	4.70	4.68	4.65	4.90
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	0	0	7	15	5	3.93	1105/1596	3.70	4.04	4.12	4.09	3.93
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	2	8	20	4.60	772/1534	4.20	4.49	4.48	4.44	4.60
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	3	27	4.90	609/1539	4.65	4.78	4.76	4.74	4.90
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	3	6	10	11	3.97	1196/1531	3.75	4.35	4.33	4.30	3.97
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	5	7	18	4.43	843/1530	4.16	4.33	4.35	4.32	4.43
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	7	4	2	4	3	10	3.57	1142/1409	3.79	4.14	4.08	4.09	3.57
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	11	0	0	0	7	5	8	4.05	848/1366	3.60	4.20	4.18	4.22	4.05
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	11	0	2	1	7	5	5	3.50	1221/1364	3.82	4.33	4.33	4.37	3.50
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	11	0	1	0	9	6	4	3.60	1216/1361	3.82	4.42	4.39	4.39	3.60
4. Were special techniques successful	11	8	2	0	5	2	3	3.33	911/1019	2.99	3.91	4.09	4.04	3.33

Course-Section: PSYC 331 09

Title: Experimental Psych I

Instructor: Michael, Kerry C

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 41

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	4	0	0	0	0	7	20	4.74	40/185	3.86	3.86	4.23	4.16	4.74
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	4	0	0	0	2	5	20	4.67	52/209	4.26	4.19	4.19	4.18	4.67
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	4	2	0	0	0	7	18	4.72	64/181	4.30	4.35	4.53	4.49	4.72
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	4	1	0	0	0	5	21	4.81	45/183	4.27	4.18	4.46	4.38	4.81
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	4	5	1	0	2	5	14	4.41	66/172	4.04	4.21	4.14	4.07	4.41
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	30	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/72	****	4.78	4.53	4.68	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	30	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/71	****	4.42	4.38	4.61	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	30	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/68	****	4.15	4.41	4.59	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	30	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/71	****	4.46	4.40	4.51	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	30	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/73	****	3.45	4.09	4.57	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	30	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/48	****	****	4.16	4.95	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	30	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/45	****	****	4.19	4.95	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	30	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/30	****	****	4.57	4.93	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	30	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/27	****	****	4.25	4.90	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	30	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/25	****	****	4.35	4.90	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	29	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/51	****	****	4.03	4.75	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	29	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	****/31	****	****	4.18	4.80	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	29	0	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	****/36	****	****	4.33	4.83	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: PSYC 331 09

Title: Experimental Psych I

Instructor: Michael, Kerry C

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 41

Questionnaires: 31

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	29	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	****/19	****	****	4.17	4.20	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	29	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	****/14	****	****	4.17	4.60	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	1	Α	11	Required for Majors	27	Graduate	0	Major	27
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	1	В	9						
56-83	6	2.00-2.99	5	С	8	General	0	Under-grad	31	Non-major	4
84-150	8	3.00-3.49	4	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	5	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	2						

Course-Section: PSYC 331 13

Title: Experimental Psych I

Instructor: Alonso, Diane L

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 45

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	7	0	0	0	9	13	16	4.18	1050/1644	4.12	4.35	4.32	4.31	4.18
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	8	0	0	0	6	14	17	4.30	948/1644	4.12	4.23	4.28	4.25	4.30
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	7	0	0	0	5	12	21	4.42	746/1419	4.14	4.31	4.35	4.31	4.42
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	8	1	0	2	6	15	13	4.08	1086/1596	3.97	4.19	4.24	4.25	4.08
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	6	12	20	4.37	624/1535	3.73	4.23	4.15	4.14	4.37
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	2	23	13	4.29	691/1510	3.92	4.09	4.13	4.16	4.29
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	7	0	0	4	5	9	20	4.18	985/1620	4.31	4.28	4.20	4.18	4.18
8. How many times was class cancelled	7	0	0	0	0	2	36	4.95	379/1642	4.91	4.70	4.68	4.65	4.95
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	11	0	0	0	10	18	6	3.88	1151/1596	3.70	4.04	4.12	4.09	3.88
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	9	0	0	0	6	14	16	4.28	1140/1534	4.20	4.49	4.48	4.44	4.28
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	7	0	0	0	3	9	26	4.61	1213/1539	4.65	4.78	4.76	4.74	4.61
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	9	0	1	3	14	7	11	3.67	1348/1531	3.75	4.35	4.33	4.30	3.67
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	1	6	11	18	4.28	988/1530	4.16	4.33	4.35	4.32	4.28
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	8	3	4	1	9	11	9	3.59	1134/1409	3.79	4.14	4.08	4.09	3.59
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	17	0	1	2	8	9	8	3.75	1047/1366	3.60	4.20	4.18	4.22	3.75
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	17	0	1	1	4	9	13	4.14	941/1364	3.82	4.33	4.33	4.37	4.14
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	17	0	0	2	4	6	16	4.29	911/1361	3.82	4.42	4.39	4.39	4.29
4. Were special techniques successful	17	12	3	2	3	6	2	3.13	954/1019	2.99	3.91	4.09	4.04	3.13

Course-Section: PSYC 331 13

Title: Experimental Psych I

Instructor: Alonso, Diane L

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 45

Questionnaires: 45

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	11	0	3	3	6	13	9	3.65	157/185	3.86	3.86	4.23	4.16	3.65
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	11	0	0	1	5	13	15	4.24	122/209	4.26	4.19	4.19	4.18	4.24
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	11	3	0	0	6	10	15	4.29	147/181	4.30	4.35	4.53	4.49	4.29
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	11	0	0	1	2	13	18	4.41	118/183	4.27	4.18	4.46	4.38	4.41
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	11	11	1	1	3	9	9	4.04	105/172	4.04	4.21	4.14	4.07	4.04
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	40	0	0	1	0	2	2	4.00	****/72	****	4.78	4.53	4.68	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	40	0	0	0	1	2	2	4.20	****/71	****	4.42	4.38	4.61	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	40	0	0	0	2	1	2	4.00	****/68	****	4.15	4.41	4.59	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	40	1	1	0	0	1	2	3.75	****/71	****	4.46	4.40	4.51	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	40	1	0	1	0	1	2	4.00	****/73	****	3.45	4.09	4.57	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	41	0	0	0	1	2	1	4.00	****/48	****	****	4.16	4.95	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	41	0	0	0	1	2	1	4.00	****/45	****	****	4.19	4.95	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	41	1	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	****/30	****	****	4.57	4.93	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	41	1	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	****/27	****	****	4.25	4.90	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	41	1	0	1	0	1	1	3.67	****/25	****	****	4.35	4.90	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	40	0	0	0	1	2	2	4.20	****/51	****	****	4.03	4.75	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	40	0	0	1	0	2	2	4.00	****/31	****	****	4.18	4.80	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	40	1	0	1	0	1	2	4.00	****/36	****	****	4.33	4.83	****

Run Date: 1/30/2014 2:26:52 PM

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: PSYC 331 13

Title: Experimental Psych I

Instructor: Alonso, Diane L

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 45

Questionnaires: 45

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	40	0	0	0	2	1	2	4.00	****/19	****	****	4.17	4.20	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	40	1	0	1	0	1	2	4.00	****/14	****	****	4.17	4.60	****

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	1	Α	7	Required for Majors	29	Graduate	0	Major	33
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	12						
56-83	3	2.00-2.99	2	С	6	General	0	Under-grad	45	Non-major	12
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	18						

Course-Section: PSYC 332 01

Title: Experimental Psych II

Instructor: Blass, Thomas

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 45

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	2	0	5	10	9	8	2	2.76	1630/1644	3.78	4.35	4.32	4.31	2.76
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	8	11	10	3	3	2.49	1627/1644	3.69	4.23	4.28	4.25	2.49
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	0	2	6	14	10	2	3.12	1380/1419	3.97	4.31	4.35	4.31	3.12
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	0	3	5	10	8	8	3.38	1475/1596	3.89	4.19	4.24	4.25	3.38
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	1	5	5	12	7	4	3.00	1469/1535	3.41	4.23	4.15	4.14	3.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	0	2	4	9	13	6	3.50	1261/1510	3.98	4.09	4.13	4.16	3.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	4	7	7	7	6	4	2.77	1568/1620	3.84	4.28	4.20	4.18	2.77
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	3	0	13	19	4.37	1310/1642	4.55	4.70	4.68	4.65	4.37
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	6	0	6	7	7	7	3	2.80	1562/1596	3.54	4.04	4.12	4.09	2.80
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	3	6	12	8	7	3.28	1490/1534	4.29	4.49	4.48	4.44	3.28
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	2	3	1	10	20	4.19	1455/1539	4.55	4.78	4.76	4.74	4.19
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	7	10	6	9	4	2.81	1495/1531	3.85	4.35	4.33	4.30	2.81
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	1	6	8	10	6	5	2.89	1487/1530	3.67	4.33	4.35	4.32	2.89
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	23	2	2	2	3	4	3.38	1220/1409	3.87	4.14	4.08	4.09	3.38
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	14	0	2	5	5	5	5	3.27	1228/1366	3.67	4.20	4.18	4.22	3.27
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	14	0	3	0	5	7	7	3.68	1168/1364	4.05	4.33	4.33	4.37	3.68
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	14	0	3	1	4	3	11	3.82	1134/1361	4.18	4.42	4.39	4.39	3.82
4. Were special techniques successful	14	14	2	2	2	1	1	2.63	****/1019	3.42	3.91	4.09	4.04	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: PSYC 332 01

Title: Experimental Psych II

Instructor: Blass, Thomas

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 45

Questionnaires: 36

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	32	1	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/185	3.86	3.86	4.23	4.16	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	32	0	1	0	0	1	2	3.75	****/209	4.06	4.19	4.19	4.18	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	32	1	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/181	4.45	4.35	4.53	4.49	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	32	1	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/183	4.01	4.18	4.46	4.38	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	32	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/172	4.55	4.21	4.14	4.07	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	16	Required for Majors	33	Graduate	0	Major	27
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	10						
56-83	4	2.00-2.99	3	С	6	General	0	Under-grad	36	Non-major	9
84-150	5	3.00-3.49	4	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	8	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	2						

Run Date: 1/30/2014 2:26:52 PM

Course-Section: PSYC 332 05

Title: Experimental Psych II

Instructor: Rose, Laura E.

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 52

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	1	0	6	17	16	4.18	1062/1644	3.78	4.35	4.32	4.31	4.18
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	1	3	5	14	17	4.08	1168/1644	3.69	4.23	4.28	4.25	4.08
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	32	1	0	0	2	5	4.25	****/1419	3.97	4.31	4.35	4.31	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	1	0	5	14	20	4.30	859/1596	3.89	4.19	4.24	4.25	4.30
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	7	4	5	11	2	11	3.33	1396/1535	3.41	4.23	4.15	4.14	3.33
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	2	5	12	20	4.20	787/1510	3.98	4.09	4.13	4.16	4.20
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	1	3	6	29	4.62	386/1620	3.84	4.28	4.20	4.18	4.62
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	6	34	4.85	736/1642	4.55	4.70	4.68	4.65	4.85
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	6	0	0	1	8	18	7	3.91	1122/1596	3.54	4.04	4.12	4.09	3.91
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	4	35	4.90	267/1534	4.29	4.49	4.48	4.44	4.90
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	1	3	4	32	4.68	1124/1539	4.55	4.78	4.76	4.74	4.68
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	2	2	8	28	4.55	644/1531	3.85	4.35	4.33	4.30	4.55
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	1	3	8	5	8	15	3.62	1345/1530	3.67	4.33	4.35	4.32	3.62
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	6	2	3	5	6	18	4.03	813/1409	3.87	4.14	4.08	4.09	4.03
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	29	0	1	0	1	2	7	4.27	706/1366	3.67	4.20	4.18	4.22	4.27
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	29	0	1	0	0	3	7	4.36	791/1364	4.05	4.33	4.33	4.37	4.36
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	28	0	1	0	1	2	8	4.33	875/1361	4.18	4.42	4.39	4.39	4.33
4. Were special techniques successful	29	4	0	0	1	1	5	4.57	****/1019	3.42	3.91	4.09	4.04	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: PSYC 332 05

Title: Experimental Psych II

Instructor: Rose, Laura E.

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 52

Questionnaires: 40

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	tructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	7	0	0	0	2	10	21	4.58	55/185	3.86	3.86	4.23	4.16	4.58
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	7	0	0	1	0	7	25	4.70	46/209	4.06	4.19	4.19	4.18	4.70
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	7	5	0	0	0	3	25	4.89	23/181	4.45	4.35	4.53	4.49	4.89
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	7	1	0	0	1	2	29	4.88	34/183	4.01	4.18	4.46	4.38	4.88
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	7	11	0	0	2	1	19	4.77	19/172	4.55	4.21	4.14	4.07	4.77
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	38	1	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/72	****	4.78	4.53	4.68	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	39	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/48	****	****	4.16	4.95	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP.	A	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	23	Required for Majors	38	Graduate	1	Major	35
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	10						
56-83	5	2.00-2.99	14	С	4	General	0	Under-grad	39	Non-major	5
84-150	15	3.00-3.49	11	D	0						
Grad.	1	3.50-4.00	5	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	3						

Course-Section: PSYC 332 09

Title: Experimental Psych II

Instructor: Scaletti, Laura

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 35

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	2	1	4	8	5	3.65	1461/1644	3.78	4.35	4.32	4.31	3.65
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	7	6	8	4.05	1186/1644	3.69	4.23	4.28	4.25	4.05
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	1	0	3	5	12	4.29	917/1419	3.97	4.31	4.35	4.31	4.29
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	4	11	6	4.10	1081/1596	3.89	4.19	4.24	4.25	4.10
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	2	4	6	5	3	3.15	1448/1535	3.41	4.23	4.15	4.14	3.15
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	2	1	1	9	8	3.95	976/1510	3.98	4.09	4.13	4.16	3.95
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	2	1	6	11	4.30	820/1620	3.84	4.28	4.20	4.18	4.30
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	1	16	4	4.14	1469/1642	4.55	4.70	4.68	4.65	4.14
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	1	7	9	1	3.56	1363/1596	3.54	4.04	4.12	4.09	3.56
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	1	5	15	4.67	675/1534	4.29	4.49	4.48	4.44	4.67
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	1	3	17	4.76	970/1539	4.55	4.78	4.76	4.74	4.76
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	2	3	7	8	4.05	1141/1531	3.85	4.35	4.33	4.30	4.05
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	3	2	6	10	4.10	1118/1530	3.67	4.33	4.35	4.32	4.10
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	2	1	0	2	8	8	4.16	720/1409	3.87	4.14	4.08	4.09	4.16
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	11	0	2	1	2	3	2	3.20	1246/1366	3.67	4.20	4.18	4.22	3.20
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	11	0	1	2	0	5	2	3.50	1221/1364	4.05	4.33	4.33	4.37	3.50
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	11	0	2	0	0	4	4	3.80	1139/1361	4.18	4.42	4.39	4.39	3.80
4. Were special techniques successful	11	4	1	2	1	2	0	2.67	999/1019	3.42	3.91	4.09	4.04	2.67

Course-Section: PSYC 332 09

Title: Experimental Psych II

Instructor: Scaletti,Laura

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 35

Questionnaires: 21

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	tructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	14	0	1	1	2	2	1	3.14	178/185	3.86	3.86	4.23	4.16	3.14
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	14	0	0	2	1	3	1	3.43	187/209	4.06	4.19	4.19	4.18	3.43
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	14	1	0	0	1	4	1	4.00	162/181	4.45	4.35	4.53	4.49	4.00
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	14	0	2	0	2	1	2	3.14	178/183	4.01	4.18	4.46	4.38	3.14
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	14	1	0	0	0	4	2	4.33	77/172	4.55	4.21	4.14	4.07	4.33
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	20	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/72	****	4.78	4.53	4.68	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	20	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/71	****	4.42	4.38	4.61	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	20	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/68	****	4.15	4.41	4.59	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	20	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/71	****	4.46	4.40	4.51	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	20	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/73	****	3.45	4.09	4.57	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	5	Required for Majors	17	Graduate	0	Major	15
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	8						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	4	С	3	General	0	Under-grad	21	Non-major	6
84-150	7	3.00-3.49	4	D	1						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	4						

Course-Section: PSYC 332 13

Title: Experimental Psych II

Instructor: Watkins-Lewis,K

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 15

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	1	3	7	4.55	638/1644	3.78	4.35	4.32	4.31	4.55
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	2	3	6	4.17	1082/1644	3.69	4.23	4.28	4.25	4.17
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	0	3	8	4.50	632/1419	3.97	4.31	4.35	4.31	4.50
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	1	1	1	1	3	4	3.80	1269/1596	3.89	4.19	4.24	4.25	3.80
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	1	5	5	4.17	823/1535	3.41	4.23	4.15	4.14	4.17
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	0	6	5	4.25	727/1510	3.98	4.09	4.13	4.16	4.25
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	2	0	2	4	4	3.67	1366/1620	3.84	4.28	4.20	4.18	3.67
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	2	10	4.83	777/1642	4.55	4.70	4.68	4.65	4.83
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	1	2	5	3	3.91	1139/1596	3.54	4.04	4.12	4.09	3.91
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	2	4	6	4.33	1090/1534	4.29	4.49	4.48	4.44	4.33
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	1	3	8	4.58	1230/1539	4.55	4.78	4.76	4.74	4.58
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	4	4	4	4.00	1163/1531	3.85	4.35	4.33	4.30	4.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	2	4	5	4.08	1123/1530	3.67	4.33	4.35	4.32	4.08
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	1	1	1	1	3	5	3.91	922/1409	3.87	4.14	4.08	4.09	3.91
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	1	1	4	5	3.92	934/1366	3.67	4.20	4.18	4.22	3.92
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	1	0	1	10	4.67	500/1364	4.05	4.33	4.33	4.37	4.67
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	1	0	0	11	4.75	441/1361	4.18	4.42	4.39	4.39	4.75

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: PSYC 332 13

Title: Experimental Psych II

Instructor: Watkins-Lewis,K

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 15

Questionnaires: 12

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	0	6	0	1	0	2	3	4.17	492/1019	3.42	3.91	4.09	4.04	4.17

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	6	Required for Majors	12	Graduate	0	Major	12
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	5						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	2	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	12	Non-major	0
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	3	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: PSYC 335 01

Title: Physiological Psychology

Instructor: Forsyth, Zoe War

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 150

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	1	2	13	24	4.50	688/1644	4.45	4.35	4.32	4.31	4.50
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	2	11	26	4.55	570/1644	4.44	4.23	4.28	4.25	4.55
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	1	2	11	25	4.54	605/1419	4.35	4.31	4.35	4.31	4.54
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	8	1	0	1	15	14	4.32	830/1596	4.26	4.19	4.24	4.25	4.32
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	4	4	9	21	4.15	834/1535	4.55	4.23	4.15	4.14	4.15
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	5	3	2	7	13	9	3.68	1177/1510	3.88	4.09	4.13	4.16	3.68
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	0	0	2	4	32	4.79	186/1620	4.69	4.28	4.20	4.18	4.79
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	23	16	4.41	1277/1642	4.69	4.70	4.68	4.65	4.41
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	4	4	21	8	3.89	1145/1596	3.88	4.04	4.12	4.09	3.89
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	2	2	35	4.85	362/1534	4.64	4.49	4.48	4.44	4.85
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	3	36	4.92	487/1539	4.73	4.78	4.76	4.74	4.92
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	1	1	0	7	30	4.64	507/1531	4.32	4.35	4.33	4.30	4.64
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	1	2	3	33	4.74	453/1530	4.39	4.33	4.35	4.32	4.74
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	0	1	2	8	27	4.61	296/1409	4.31	4.14	4.08	4.09	4.61
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	22	0	2	1	3	4	8	3.83	992/1366	3.91	4.20	4.18	4.22	3.83
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	23	0	2	3	1	1	10	3.82	1116/1364	4.27	4.33	4.33	4.37	3.82
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	23	0	2	2	5	0	8	3.59	1220/1361	4.25	4.42	4.39	4.39	3.59
4. Were special techniques successful	22	9	0	0	1	1	7	4.67	****/1019	4.29	3.91	4.09	4.04	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: PSYC 335 01

Title: Physiological Psychology

Instructor: Forsyth, Zoe War

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 150
Questionnaires: 40

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	39	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/209	****	4.19	4.19	4.18	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	39	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/181	****	4.35	4.53	4.49	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	39	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/183	****	4.18	4.46	4.38	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	39	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/72	****	4.78	4.53	4.68	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	39	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/71	****	4.42	4.38	4.61	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	39	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/73	****	3.45	4.09	4.57	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	39	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/48	****	****	4.16	4.95	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	39	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/45	****	****	4.19	4.95	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	1	Α	22	Required for Majors	31	Graduate	0	Major	28
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	11						
56-83	7	2.00-2.99	2	С	6	General	4	Under-grad	40	Non-major	12
84-150	8	3.00-3.49	6	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	9	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: PSYC 335 02

Title: Physiological Psychology

Instructor: Bachus, Susan Et

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 20

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	3	0	0	1	1	2	11	4.53	650/1644	4.45	4.35	4.32	4.31	4.53
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	3	0	0	1	2	2	10	4.40	802/1644	4.44	4.23	4.28	4.25	4.40
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	3	0	0	1	3	1	10	4.33	862/1419	4.35	4.31	4.35	4.31	4.33
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	3	1	1	0	2	3	8	4.21	952/1596	4.26	4.19	4.24	4.25	4.21
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	1	2	12	4.73	239/1535	4.55	4.23	4.15	4.14	4.73
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	1	2	4	8	4.27	715/1510	3.88	4.09	4.13	4.16	4.27
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	3	0	0	1	2	2	10	4.40	685/1620	4.69	4.28	4.20	4.18	4.40
8. How many times was class cancelled	3	0	0	0	0	5	10	4.67	1038/1642	4.69	4.70	4.68	4.65	4.67
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	1	1	1	3	8	4.14	850/1596	3.88	4.04	4.12	4.09	4.14
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	2	2	11	4.60	772/1534	4.64	4.49	4.48	4.44	4.60
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	1	0	14	4.87	723/1539	4.73	4.78	4.76	4.74	4.87
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	1	2	4	8	4.27	981/1531	4.32	4.35	4.33	4.30	4.27
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	1	0	0	1	5	8	4.50	755/1530	4.39	4.33	4.35	4.32	4.50
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	6	0	0	1	3	2	6	4.08	777/1409	4.31	4.14	4.08	4.09	4.08
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	10	0	0	0	3	0	5	4.25	721/1366	3.91	4.20	4.18	4.22	4.25
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	10	0	0	0	1	1	6	4.63	546/1364	4.27	4.33	4.33	4.37	4.63
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	10	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	286/1361	4.25	4.42	4.39	4.39	4.88

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: PSYC 335 02

Title: Physiological Psychology

Instructor: Bachus, Susan Et

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 20

Questionnaires: 18

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	10	1	0	0	2	1	4	4.29	412/1019	4.29	3.91	4.09	4.04	4.29

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	Α	4	Required for Majors	9	Graduate	0	Major	13
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	2						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	1	С	1	General	1	Under-grad	18	Non-major	5
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	9						

Course-Section: PSYC 335 03

Title: Physiological Psychology

Instructor: Synowski, Stephe

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 61

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	1	2	4	9	4.31	911/1644	4.45	4.35	4.32	4.31	4.31
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	8	7	4.38	843/1644	4.44	4.23	4.28	4.25	4.38
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	2	6	7	4.19	998/1419	4.35	4.31	4.35	4.31	4.19
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	3	1	0	0	6	6	4.23	931/1596	4.26	4.19	4.24	4.25	4.23
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	4	12	4.75	225/1535	4.55	4.23	4.15	4.14	4.75
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	3	2	0	2	5	4	3.69	1166/1510	3.88	4.09	4.13	4.16	3.69
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	2	14	4.88	109/1620	4.69	4.28	4.20	4.18	4.88
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	5.00	1/1642	4.69	4.70	4.68	4.65	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	1	0	3	8	1	3.62	1332/1596	3.88	4.04	4.12	4.09	3.62
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	1	2	1	11	4.47	946/1534	4.64	4.49	4.48	4.44	4.47
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	1	0	1	3	10	4.40	1367/1539	4.73	4.78	4.76	4.74	4.40
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	1	1	2	3	8	4.07	1136/1531	4.32	4.35	4.33	4.30	4.07
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	1	1	1	2	4	6	3.93	1220/1530	4.39	4.33	4.35	4.32	3.93
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	1	0	2	4	9	4.25	627/1409	4.31	4.14	4.08	4.09	4.25
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	5	0	1	1	3	2	4	3.64	1111/1366	3.91	4.20	4.18	4.22	3.64
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	5	0	1	0	1	1	8	4.36	791/1364	4.27	4.33	4.33	4.37	4.36
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	5	0	1	0	1	2	7	4.27	917/1361	4.25	4.42	4.39	4.39	4.27
4. Were special techniques successful	5	9	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/1019	4.29	3.91	4.09	4.04	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: PSYC 335 03

Title: Physiological Psychology

Instructor: Synowski, Stephe

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 61

Questionnaires: 16

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	tructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	15	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/209	****	4.19	4.19	4.18	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	14	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/72	****	4.78	4.53	4.68	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	14	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/71	****	4.46	4.40	4.51	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	14	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/73	****	3.45	4.09	4.57	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	15	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/48	****	****	4.16	4.95	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	15	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/45	****	****	4.19	4.95	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	15	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/51	****	****	4.03	4.75	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	15	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	****	****	4.18	4.80	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	7	Required for Majors	12	Graduate	0	Major	7
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	6						
56-83	3	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	1	Under-grad	16	Non-major	9
84-150	4	3.00-3.49	3	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	5	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	2				
				?	2						

Course-Section: PSYC 340 01

Title: Social Psychology

Instructor: Blass, Thomas

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 62

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	3	4	8	10	10	3.57	1495/1644	4.13	4.35	4.32	4.31	3.57
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	6	7	14	4	4	2.80	1609/1644	3.83	4.23	4.28	4.25	2.80
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	4	6	12	6	7	3.17	1373/1419	3.91	4.31	4.35	4.31	3.17
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	3	3	5	6	11	7	3.44	1457/1596	3.83	4.19	4.24	4.25	3.44
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	5	11	6	12	3.66	1241/1535	3.97	4.23	4.15	4.14	3.66
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	25	1	1	3	3	2	3.40	1341/1510	3.83	4.09	4.13	4.16	3.40
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	2	0	2	11	20	4.34	766/1620	4.15	4.28	4.20	4.18	4.34
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	2	16	17	4.43	1269/1642	4.67	4.70	4.68	4.65	4.43
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	6	0	3	4	11	8	3	3.14	1508/1596	3.64	4.04	4.12	4.09	3.14
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	4	5	3	11	12	3.63	1435/1534	4.32	4.49	4.48	4.44	3.63
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	1	1	1	6	26	4.57	1238/1539	4.74	4.78	4.76	4.74	4.57
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	6	7	5	11	6	3.11	1461/1531	4.08	4.35	4.33	4.30	3.11
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	6	4	6	10	9	3.34	1427/1530	4.01	4.33	4.35	4.32	3.34
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	3	4	2	8	9	9	3.53	1155/1409	3.91	4.14	4.08	4.09	3.53
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	11	0	9	2	4	5	4	2.71	1324/1366	3.65	4.20	4.18	4.22	2.71
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	11	0	5	6	2	4	7	3.08	1295/1364	3.12	4.33	4.33	4.37	3.08
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	11	0	3	5	2	9	5	3.33	1284/1361	3.15	4.42	4.39	4.39	3.33

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: PSYC 340 01

Title: Social Psychology

Instructor: Blass,Thomas

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 62

Questionnaires: 35

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	11	15	2	1	3	1	2	3.00	961/1019	3.00	3.91	4.09	4.04	3.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	6	Required for Majors	28	Graduate	0	Major	23
28-55	4	1.00-1.99	1	В	15						
56-83	6	2.00-2.99	4	С	14	General	2	Under-grad	35	Non-major	12
84-150	9	3.00-3.49	6	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	9	F	0	Electives	4	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	1				
				?	0						

Course-Section: PSYC 340 02

Title: Social Psychology

Instructor: Resta,S P

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 75

'	_			Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	1	0	5	18	4.67	482/1644	4.13	4.35	4.32	4.31	4.67
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	3	5	16	4.54	583/1644	3.83	4.23	4.28	4.25	4.54
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	8	14	4.50	632/1419	3.91	4.31	4.35	4.31	4.50
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	3	1	0	5	5	9	4.05	1102/1596	3.83	4.19	4.24	4.25	4.05
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	1	0	1	3	6	12	4.32	681/1535	3.97	4.23	4.15	4.14	4.32
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	1	4	8	10	4.17	813/1510	3.83	4.09	4.13	4.16	4.17
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	1	3	3	16	4.48	574/1620	4.15	4.28	4.20	4.18	4.48
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	1	0	0	0	4	17	4.81	840/1642	4.67	4.70	4.68	4.65	4.81
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	10	0	0	0	0	6	8	4.57	322/1596	3.64	4.04	4.12	4.09	4.57
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	4	0	0	0	1	5	14	4.65	691/1534	4.32	4.49	4.48	4.44	4.65
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	4	0	0	0	0	3	17	4.85	751/1539	4.74	4.78	4.76	4.74	4.85
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	0	0	2	5	13	4.55	644/1531	4.08	4.35	4.33	4.30	4.55
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	3	3	14	4.55	699/1530	4.01	4.33	4.35	4.32	4.55
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	5	0	3	1	0	2	13	4.11	765/1409	3.91	4.14	4.08	4.09	4.11
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	16	0	1	0	0	2	5	4.25	721/1366	3.65	4.20	4.18	4.22	4.25
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	16	0	2	2	1	0	3	3.00	1297/1364	3.12	4.33	4.33	4.37	3.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	16	0	3	2	0	0	3	2.75	1328/1361	3.15	4.42	4.39	4.39	2.75
4. Were special techniques successful	16	5	0	1	0	0	2	4.00	****/1019	3.00	3.91	4.09	4.04	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: PSYC 340 02

Title: Social Psychology

Instructor: Resta,S P

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 75

Questionnaires: 24

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	22	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/72	****	4.78	4.53	4.68	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	23	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/71	****	4.46	4.40	4.51	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	9	Required for Majors	10	Graduate	0	Major	10
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	6						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	24	Non-major	14
84-150	6	3.00-3.49	4	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	9						

Course-Section: PSYC 340 03

Title: Social Psychology

Instructor: Resta,S P

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 22

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	7	0	0	1	1	7	5	4.14	1096/1644	4.13	4.35	4.32	4.31	4.14
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	7	0	1	0	1	6	6	4.14	1105/1644	3.83	4.23	4.28	4.25	4.14
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	7	0	0	1	2	6	5	4.07	1064/1419	3.91	4.31	4.35	4.31	4.07
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	7	0	0	0	3	8	3	4.00	1129/1596	3.83	4.19	4.24	4.25	4.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	7	1	0	0	4	6	3	3.92	1048/1535	3.97	4.23	4.15	4.14	3.92
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	5	5	4	3.93	1009/1510	3.83	4.09	4.13	4.16	3.93
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	7	0	1	1	3	6	3	3.64	1373/1620	4.15	4.28	4.20	4.18	3.64
8. How many times was class cancelled	7	0	0	0	0	3	11	4.79	869/1642	4.67	4.70	4.68	4.65	4.79
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	12	0	0	2	3	4	0	3.22	1489/1596	3.64	4.04	4.12	4.09	3.22
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	8	0	0	0	0	4	9	4.69	627/1534	4.32	4.49	4.48	4.44	4.69
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	7	0	0	0	0	3	11	4.79	932/1539	4.74	4.78	4.76	4.74	4.79
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	7	0	0	0	1	4	9	4.57	612/1531	4.08	4.35	4.33	4.30	4.57
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	7	0	1	0	1	6	6	4.14	1085/1530	4.01	4.33	4.35	4.32	4.14
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	8	1	1	1	1	2	7	4.08	777/1409	3.91	4.14	4.08	4.09	4.08
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	10	0	1	0	1	5	4	4.00	862/1366	3.65	4.20	4.18	4.22	4.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	10	0	3	1	1	2	4	3.27	1267/1364	3.12	4.33	4.33	4.37	3.27
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	10	0	3	0	1	4	3	3.36	1278/1361	3.15	4.42	4.39	4.39	3.36
4. Were special techniques successful	10	6	1	0	0	2	2	3.80	****/1019	3.00	3.91	4.09	4.04	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: PSYC 340 03

Title: Social Psychology

Instructor: Resta,S P

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 22
Questionnaires: 21

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	20	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/72	****	4.78	4.53	4.68	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	20	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/71	****	4.42	4.38	4.61	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	20	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/68	****	4.15	4.41	4.59	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	20	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/71	****	4.46	4.40	4.51	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	3	Required for Majors	5	Graduate	0	Major	8
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	6						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	1	Under-grad	21	Non-major	13
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	3	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	12						

Course-Section: PSYC 342 01

Title: Psych Of Aggression

Instructor: Anderson, Robert

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 62

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	1	8	30	4.74	372/1644	4.74	4.35	4.32	4.31	4.74
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	0	3	3	10	22	4.34	884/1644	4.34	4.23	4.28	4.25	4.34
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	0	0	0	5	13	20	4.39	787/1419	4.39	4.31	4.35	4.31	4.39
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	6	1	1	4	7	19	4.31	844/1596	4.31	4.19	4.24	4.25	4.31
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	1	1	1	4	10	22	4.34	647/1535	4.34	4.23	4.15	4.14	4.34
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	1	0	8	4	9	17	3.92	1009/1510	3.92	4.09	4.13	4.16	3.92
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	4	3	9	5	18	3.77	1324/1620	3.77	4.28	4.20	4.18	3.77
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	7	32	4.82	798/1642	4.82	4.70	4.68	4.65	4.82
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	2	13	24	4.56	331/1596	4.56	4.04	4.12	4.09	4.56
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	4	10	24	4.53	867/1534	4.53	4.49	4.48	4.44	4.53
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	3	35	4.92	487/1539	4.92	4.78	4.76	4.74	4.92
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	1	4	8	25	4.50	724/1531	4.50	4.35	4.33	4.30	4.50
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	1	1	6	30	4.71	502/1530	4.71	4.33	4.35	4.32	4.71
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	5	1	1	1	3	7	22	4.41	475/1409	4.41	4.14	4.08	4.09	4.41
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	25	0	0	0	1	2	12	4.73	303/1366	4.73	4.20	4.18	4.22	4.73
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	25	0	0	0	2	1	12	4.67	500/1364	4.67	4.33	4.33	4.37	4.67
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	25	0	0	1	0	2	12	4.67	549/1361	4.67	4.42	4.39	4.39	4.67
4. Were special techniques successful	25	9	1	0	0	1	4	4.17	****/1019	****	3.91	4.09	4.04	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: PSYC 342 01

Title: Psych Of Aggression

Instructor: Anderson, Robert

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 62

Questionnaires: 40

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	39	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/209	****	4.19	4.19	4.18	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP.	A	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	26	Required for Majors	30	Graduate	0	Major	13
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	9						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	3	С	0	General	1	Under-grad	40	Non-major	27
84-150	21	3.00-3.49	5	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	16	F	0	Electives	3	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	2				
				?	3						

Course-Section: PSYC 345 01

Title: Intro Clinical Psych

Instructor: Deluty, Robert H

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 45

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	1	0	2	4	16	4.48	725/1644	4.49	4.35	4.32	4.31	4.48
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	1	1	3	1	17	4.39	815/1644	4.40	4.23	4.28	4.25	4.39
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	1	1	5	4	12	4.09	1061/1419	4.27	4.31	4.35	4.31	4.09
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	7	2	1	3	4	5	3.60	1391/1596	3.83	4.19	4.24	4.25	3.60
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	2	3	3	15	4.35	647/1535	4.31	4.23	4.15	4.14	4.35
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	9	2	2	3	2	5	3.43	1325/1510	3.67	4.09	4.13	4.16	3.43
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	3	4	16	4.57	449/1620	4.44	4.28	4.20	4.18	4.57
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	7	16	4.70	1000/1642	4.55	4.70	4.68	4.65	4.70
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	1	0	1	1	4	14	4.55	339/1596	4.33	4.04	4.12	4.09	4.55
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	2	21	4.91	223/1534	4.62	4.49	4.48	4.44	4.91
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	23	5.00	1/1539	4.88	4.78	4.76	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	3	4	16	4.57	628/1531	4.38	4.35	4.33	4.30	4.57
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	1	2	19	4.70	531/1530	4.48	4.33	4.35	4.32	4.70
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	5	0	2	4	3	9	4.06	795/1409	4.19	4.14	4.08	4.09	4.06
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	2	4	6	11	4.13	810/1366	4.21	4.20	4.18	4.22	4.13
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	1	2	5	15	4.48	681/1364	4.59	4.33	4.33	4.37	4.48
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	3	2	4	14	4.26	923/1361	4.46	4.42	4.39	4.39	4.26
4. Were special techniques successful	0	21	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1019	4.00	3.91	4.09	4.04	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: PSYC 345 01

Title: Intro Clinical Psych

Instructor: Deluty, Robert H

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 45

Questionnaires: 23

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	22	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/209	****	4.19	4.19	4.18	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	22	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/72	****	4.78	4.53	4.68	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	22	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/71	****	4.42	4.38	4.61	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	22	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/68	****	4.15	4.41	4.59	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	5	Required for Majors	19	Graduate	0	Major	23
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	11						
56-83	4	2.00-2.99	2	С	4	General	1	Under-grad	23	Non-major	0
84-150	6	3.00-3.49	4	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	6	F	1	Electives	1	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	2						

Run Date: 1/30/2014 2:26:53 PM

Course-Section: PSYC 345 02

Title: Intro Clinical Psych

Instructor: Murphy,Julie

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 45

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	3	29	4.91	175/1644	4.49	4.35	4.32	4.31	4.91
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	5	27	4.84	195/1644	4.40	4.23	4.28	4.25	4.84
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	2	7	22	4.56	578/1419	4.27	4.31	4.35	4.31	4.56
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	2	1	3	2	8	15	4.14	1042/1596	3.83	4.19	4.24	4.25	4.14
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	1	0	5	25	4.74	232/1535	4.31	4.23	4.15	4.14	4.74
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	2	3	2	9	3	12	3.66	1188/1510	3.67	4.09	4.13	4.16	3.66
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	0	5	26	4.84	139/1620	4.44	4.28	4.20	4.18	4.84
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	2	14	15	4.42	1277/1642	4.55	4.70	4.68	4.65	4.42
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	2	0	1	0	5	21	4.70	213/1596	4.33	4.04	4.12	4.09	4.70
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	3	6	21	4.60	772/1534	4.62	4.49	4.48	4.44	4.60
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	30	5.00	1/1539	4.88	4.78	4.76	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	1	8	21	4.67	478/1531	4.38	4.35	4.33	4.30	4.67
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	1	1	0	3	25	4.67	569/1530	4.48	4.33	4.35	4.32	4.67
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	1	1	2	10	17	4.32	560/1409	4.19	4.14	4.08	4.09	4.32
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	28	0	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	****/1366	4.21	4.20	4.18	4.22	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	28	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	****/1364	4.59	4.33	4.33	4.37	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	28	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	****/1361	4.46	4.42	4.39	4.39	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: PSYC 345 02
Title: Intro Clinical Psych

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 45

Questionnaires: 32

Instructor: Murphy,Julie

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	28	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	****/1019	4.00	3.91	4.09	4.04	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	19	Required for Majors	26	Graduate	0	Major	18
28-55	3	1.00-1.99	0	В	8						
56-83	3	2.00-2.99	4	С	2	General	0	Under-grad	32	Non-major	14
84-150	5	3.00-3.49	4	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	9	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	3						

Course-Section: PSYC 345 03

Title: Intro Clinical Psych

Instructor: Martinez, Marian

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 26

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	3	5	4	13	4.08	1157/1644	4.49	4.35	4.32	4.31	4.08
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	1	4	4	2	14	3.96	1248/1644	4.40	4.23	4.28	4.25	3.96
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	3	2	8	12	4.16	1012/1419	4.27	4.31	4.35	4.31	4.16
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	3	1	3	10	8	3.76	1298/1596	3.83	4.19	4.24	4.25	3.76
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	2	4	3	3	13	3.84	1111/1535	4.31	4.23	4.15	4.14	3.84
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	3	3	8	10	3.92	1009/1510	3.67	4.09	4.13	4.16	3.92
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	2	2	3	6	11	3.92	1230/1620	4.44	4.28	4.20	4.18	3.92
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	12	13	4.52	1185/1642	4.55	4.70	4.68	4.65	4.52
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	7	1	2	2	2	5	7	3.72	1263/1596	4.33	4.04	4.12	4.09	3.72
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	1	6	1	17	4.36	1064/1534	4.62	4.49	4.48	4.44	4.36
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	1	1	4	19	4.64	1162/1539	4.88	4.78	4.76	4.74	4.64
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	1	3	5	4	12	3.92	1230/1531	4.38	4.35	4.33	4.30	3.92
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	2	5	3	14	4.08	1123/1530	4.48	4.33	4.35	4.32	4.08
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	1	0	3	10	11	4.20	675/1409	4.19	4.14	4.08	4.09	4.20
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	1	5	4	14	4.29	692/1366	4.21	4.20	4.18	4.22	4.29
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	1	0	4	19	4.71	454/1364	4.59	4.33	4.33	4.37	4.71
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	1	0	5	18	4.67	549/1361	4.46	4.42	4.39	4.39	4.67
4. Were special techniques successful	2	5	2	0	1	9	7	4.00	559/1019	4.00	3.91	4.09	4.04	4.00

Course-Section: PSYC 345 03

Title: Intro Clinical Psych

Instructor: Martinez, Marian

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 26

Questionnaires: 26

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	25	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/72	****	4.78	4.53	4.68	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	25	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/71	****	4.42	4.38	4.61	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	25	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/68	****	4.15	4.41	4.59	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	25	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/71	****	4.46	4.40	4.51	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	25	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/73	****	3.45	4.09	4.57	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	24	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/48	****	****	4.16	4.95	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	25	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/45	****	****	4.19	4.95	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	25	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	****	****	4.57	4.93	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	25	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/27	****	****	4.25	4.90	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	25	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/25	****	****	4.35	4.90	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors		
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	6	Required for Majors	14	Graduate	0	Major	19	
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	12							
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	1	Under-grad	26	Non-major	7	
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	0	D	0							
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	3	**** - Means the	*** - Means there are not enough responses			
				Р	0			to be significant				
				1	0	Other	0					
				?	8							

Course-Section: PSYC 357 01

Title: Psyc Of Women

Instructor: Else-Quest, Nico

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 46

		Frequencies			Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect			
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	5	30	4.86	231/1644	4.80	4.35	4.32	4.31	4.86
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	3	9	23	4.57	545/1644	4.70	4.23	4.28	4.25	4.57
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	7	26	4.69	435/1419	4.63	4.31	4.35	4.31	4.69
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	1	0	1	8	25	4.60	437/1596	4.63	4.19	4.24	4.25	4.60
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	0	2	10	22	4.49	469/1535	4.59	4.23	4.15	4.14	4.49
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	5	9	20	4.37	577/1510	4.37	4.09	4.13	4.16	4.37
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	2	6	27	4.71	274/1620	4.74	4.28	4.20	4.18	4.71
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	7	18	9	4.06	1511/1642	4.21	4.70	4.68	4.65	4.06
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	6	0	0	0	0	10	19	4.66	255/1596	4.59	4.04	4.12	4.09	4.66
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	3	32	4.91	223/1534	4.92	4.49	4.48	4.44	4.91
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	2	33	4.94	365/1539	4.95	4.78	4.76	4.74	4.94
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	5	30	4.86	218/1531	4.88	4.35	4.33	4.30	4.86
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	3	32	4.91	181/1530	4.87	4.33	4.35	4.32	4.91
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	2	6	26	4.71	211/1409	4.51	4.14	4.08	4.09	4.71
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	24	0	0	1	0	1	9	4.64	396/1366	4.68	4.20	4.18	4.22	4.64
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	24	0	0	0	2	1	8	4.55	617/1364	4.67	4.33	4.33	4.37	4.55
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	24	0	0	1	2	0	8	4.36	850/1361	4.59	4.42	4.39	4.39	4.36

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: PSYC 357 01

Title: Psyc Of Women

Instructor: Else-Quest,Nico

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 46

Questionnaires: 35

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	24	5	0	0	1	1	4	4.50	****/1019	4.04	3.91	4.09	4.04	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	16	Required for Majors	22	Graduate	0	Major	21
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	13						
56-83	3	2.00-2.99	3	С	2	General	3	Under-grad	35	Non-major	14
84-150	10	3.00-3.49	9	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	7	F	0	Electives	5	**** - Means there are not enough responses			
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	3						

Course-Section: PSYC 357 02

Title: Psyc Of Women

Instructor: Tarner, Nina L.

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 35

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	4	0	1	0	0	4	25	4.73	386/1644	4.80	4.35	4.32	4.31	4.73
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	4	0	0	0	0	5	25	4.83	203/1644	4.70	4.23	4.28	4.25	4.83
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	4	0	0	0	3	7	20	4.57	578/1419	4.63	4.31	4.35	4.31	4.57
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	4	0	0	1	1	5	23	4.67	356/1596	4.63	4.19	4.24	4.25	4.67
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	5	0	1	0	1	3	24	4.69	280/1535	4.59	4.23	4.15	4.14	4.69
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	4	5	2	0	2	4	17	4.36	590/1510	4.37	4.09	4.13	4.16	4.36
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	4	0	0	0	2	3	25	4.77	211/1620	4.74	4.28	4.20	4.18	4.77
8. How many times was class cancelled	4	0	0	0	0	19	11	4.37	1319/1642	4.21	4.70	4.68	4.65	4.37
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	10	1	0	1	1	6	15	4.52	365/1596	4.59	4.04	4.12	4.09	4.52
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	4	0	0	0	0	2	28	4.93	173/1534	4.92	4.49	4.48	4.44	4.93
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	4	0	0	0	0	1	29	4.97	244/1539	4.95	4.78	4.76	4.74	4.97
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	0	0	0	3	27	4.90	162/1531	4.88	4.35	4.33	4.30	4.90
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	4	0	1	0	0	1	28	4.83	309/1530	4.87	4.33	4.35	4.32	4.83
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	5	3	2	1	1	5	17	4.31	579/1409	4.51	4.14	4.08	4.09	4.31
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	5	0	1	0	0	4	24	4.72	313/1366	4.68	4.20	4.18	4.22	4.72
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	6	0	0	0	2	2	24	4.79	364/1364	4.67	4.33	4.33	4.37	4.79
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	6	0	0	0	2	1	25	4.82	353/1361	4.59	4.42	4.39	4.39	4.82
4. Were special techniques successful	6	3	2	1	3	7	12	4.04	551/1019	4.04	3.91	4.09	4.04	4.04

Course-Section: PSYC 357 02

Title: Psyc Of Women

Instructor: Tarner, Nina L.

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 35

Questionnaires: 34

<u>'</u>				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	30	1	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/185	****	3.86	4.23	4.16	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	31	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	****/209	****	4.19	4.19	4.18	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	31	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/181	****	4.35	4.53	4.49	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	31	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/183	****	4.18	4.46	4.38	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	31	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/172	****	4.21	4.14	4.07	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	30	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/72	****	4.78	4.53	4.68	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	31	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/71	****	4.42	4.38	4.61	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	31	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/68	****	4.15	4.41	4.59	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	31	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/71	****	4.46	4.40	4.51	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	31	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	****/73	****	3.45	4.09	4.57	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	30	0	2	0	1	0	1	2.50	****/48	****	****	4.16	4.95	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	31	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/45	****	****	4.19	4.95	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	31	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	****	****	4.57	4.93	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	31	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/27	****	****	4.25	4.90	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	31	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/25	****	****	4.35	4.90	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	30	0	1	0	0	1	2	3.75	****/51	****	****	4.03	4.75	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	32	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/31	****	****	4.18	4.80	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	31	1	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/36	****	****	4.33	4.83	****

Run Date: 1/30/2014 2:26:53 PM

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: PSYC 357 02

Title: Psyc Of Women

Instructor: Tarner, Nina L.

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 35

Questionnaires: 34

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	31	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/19	****	****	4.17	4.20	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	31	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/14	****	****	4.17	4.60	****

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	3	0.00-0.99	0	Α	12	Required for Majors	18	Graduate	0	Major	15
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	9						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	1	С	2	General	0	Under-grad	34	Non-major	19
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	3	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	2				
				?	11						

Course-Section: PSYC 360 01

Title: Psychology Of Motivation

Instructor: Schnur, Paul

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 30

·				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	15	0	0	0	2	3	10	4.53	650/1644	4.53	4.35	4.32	4.31	4.53
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	15	0	0	1	1	5	8	4.33	897/1644	4.33	4.23	4.28	4.25	4.33
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	15	0	0	1	0	1	13	4.73	365/1419	4.73	4.31	4.35	4.31	4.73
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	15	9	0	0	1	2	3	4.33	****/1596	****	4.19	4.24	4.25	****
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	15	2	0	1	1	1	10	4.54	417/1535	4.54	4.23	4.15	4.14	4.54
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	15	13	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/1510	****	4.09	4.13	4.16	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	15	1	0	0	3	1	10	4.50	527/1620	4.50	4.28	4.20	4.18	4.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	15	0	0	0	0	1	14	4.93	442/1642	4.93	4.70	4.68	4.65	4.93
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	17	0	0	0	5	4	4	3.92	1105/1596	3.92	4.04	4.12	4.09	3.92
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	15	0	0	1	0	3	11	4.60	772/1534	4.60	4.49	4.48	4.44	4.60
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	15	0	0	0	1	3	11	4.67	1136/1539	4.67	4.78	4.76	4.74	4.67
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	15	0	0	0	1	2	12	4.73	377/1531	4.73	4.35	4.33	4.30	4.73
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	15	1	0	2	0	0	12	4.57	677/1530	4.57	4.33	4.35	4.32	4.57
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	16	2	0	0	1	3	8	4.58	313/1409	4.58	4.14	4.08	4.09	4.58
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	16	0	0	1	1	3	9	4.43	581/1366	4.43	4.20	4.18	4.22	4.43
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	16	0	0	1	3	3	7	4.14	941/1364	4.14	4.33	4.33	4.37	4.14
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	16	0	0	0	2	2	10	4.57	644/1361	4.57	4.42	4.39	4.39	4.57
4. Were special techniques successful	16	13	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/1019	****	3.91	4.09	4.04	****

Course-Section: PSYC 360 01

Title: Psychology Of Motivation

Instructor: Schnur, Paul

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 30

Questionnaires: 30

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	29	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/185	****	3.86	4.23	4.16	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	29	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/209	****	4.19	4.19	4.18	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	29	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/181	****	4.35	4.53	4.49	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	29	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/183	****	4.18	4.46	4.38	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	29	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/72	****	4.78	4.53	4.68	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	29	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/71	****	4.46	4.40	4.51	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	29	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/73	****	3.45	4.09	4.57	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	29	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/48	****	****	4.16	4.95	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	29	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/45	****	****	4.19	4.95	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	29	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/30	****	****	4.57	4.93	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	29	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/27	****	****	4.25	4.90	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	29	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/25	****	****	4.35	4.90	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	29	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/51	****	****	4.03	4.75	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	29	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/31	****	****	4.18	4.80	****

Run Date: 1/30/2014 2:26:53 PM

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: PSYC 360 01

Title: Psychology Of Motivation

Instructor: Schnur,Paul

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 30

Questionnaires: 30

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	29	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/36	****	****	4.33	4.83	****

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	Α	6	Required for Majors	7	Graduate	0	Major	12
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	3						
56-83	5	2.00-2.99	1	С	2	General	2	Under-grad	30	Non-major	18
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	5	F	0	Electives	3	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	17						

Course-Section: PSYC 365 01

Title: Children with Disabiliti

Instructor: Hussey-Gardner,

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 39

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	1	3	32	4.86	220/1644	4.86	4.35	4.32	4.31	4.86
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	2	1	33	4.86	177/1644	4.86	4.23	4.28	4.25	4.86
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	1	0	0	0	4	31	4.89	189/1419	4.89	4.31	4.35	4.31	4.89
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	1	3	11	21	4.44	657/1596	4.44	4.19	4.24	4.25	4.44
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	2	2	0	3	6	23	4.41	564/1535	4.41	4.23	4.15	4.14	4.41
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	1	4	9	21	4.33	629/1510	4.33	4.09	4.13	4.16	4.33
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	3	0	1	2	2	3	26	4.50	527/1620	4.50	4.28	4.20	4.18	4.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	1	34	4.97	190/1642	4.97	4.70	4.68	4.65	4.97
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	7	0	1	0	0	8	21	4.60	297/1596	4.60	4.04	4.12	4.09	4.60
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	1	0	35	4.94	149/1534	4.94	4.49	4.48	4.44	4.94
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	36	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.78	4.76	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	2	1	33	4.86	207/1531	4.86	4.35	4.33	4.30	4.86
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	1	35	4.97	61/1530	4.97	4.33	4.35	4.32	4.97
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	0	0	0	2	2	30	4.82	140/1409	4.82	4.14	4.08	4.09	4.82
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	18	0	0	0	0	3	16	4.84	200/1366	4.84	4.20	4.18	4.22	4.84
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	18	0	0	1	0	6	12	4.53	633/1364	4.53	4.33	4.33	4.37	4.53
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	18	0	0	0	0	2	17	4.89	260/1361	4.89	4.42	4.39	4.39	4.89

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: PSYC 365 01

Title: Children with Disabiliti

Instructor: Hussey-Gardner,

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 39

Questionnaires: 37

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	18	0	0	0	1	7	11	4.53	252/1019	4.53	3.91	4.09	4.04	4.53

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	A	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	18	Required for Majors	22	Graduate	0	Major	12
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	13						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	4	С	0	General	1	Under-grad	37	Non-major	25
84-150	16	3.00-3.49	10	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	7	F	0	Electives	6	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	1				
				?	6						

Course-Section: PSYC 370 01

Title: Sensation And Perception

Instructor: Quiton, Raimi L

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 44

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	4	27	4.87	208/1644	4.87	4.35	4.32	4.31	4.87
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	4	27	4.87	169/1644	4.87	4.23	4.28	4.25	4.87
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	0	2	28	4.84	236/1419	4.84	4.31	4.35	4.31	4.84
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	2	8	20	4.60	437/1596	4.60	4.19	4.24	4.25	4.60
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	4	8	19	4.48	469/1535	4.48	4.23	4.15	4.14	4.48
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	1	11	18	4.48	450/1510	4.48	4.09	4.13	4.16	4.48
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	7	24	4.77	198/1620	4.77	4.28	4.20	4.18	4.77
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	8	23	4.74	928/1642	4.74	4.70	4.68	4.65	4.74
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	5	0	0	1	1	6	18	4.58	322/1596	4.58	4.04	4.12	4.09	4.58
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	1	1	28	4.90	248/1534	4.90	4.49	4.48	4.44	4.90
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	1	2	27	4.87	723/1539	4.87	4.78	4.76	4.74	4.87
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	0	1	3	24	4.82	252/1531	4.82	4.35	4.33	4.30	4.82
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	3	26	4.83	309/1530	4.83	4.33	4.35	4.32	4.83
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	2	5	23	4.70	211/1409	4.70	4.14	4.08	4.09	4.70
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	19	0	0	0	2	1	9	4.58	437/1366	4.58	4.20	4.18	4.22	4.58
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	20	0	0	0	1	0	10	4.82	329/1364	4.82	4.33	4.33	4.37	4.82
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	20	0	0	0	1	0	10	4.82	366/1361	4.82	4.42	4.39	4.39	4.82

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: PSYC 370 01

Title: Sensation And Perception

Instructor: Quiton, Raimi L

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 44

Questionnaires: 31

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	20	4	0	1	0	1	5	4.43	****/1019	****	3.91	4.09	4.04	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	20	Required for Majors	23	Graduate	0	Major	24
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	1	В	7						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	6	С	3	General	2	Under-grad	31	Non-major	7
84-150	9	3.00-3.49	6	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	7	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	3				
				?	1						

Course-Section: PSYC 375 01

Title: Neuroanatomy

Instructor: Lynch, Minda R

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 24

·				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	6	0	1	0	1	1	14	4.59	588/1644	4.59	4.35	4.32	4.31	4.59
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	6	0	1	1	1	5	9	4.18	1071/1644	4.18	4.23	4.28	4.25	4.18
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	7	0	0	1	3	5	7	4.13	1040/1419	4.13	4.31	4.35	4.31	4.13
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	6	0	0	0	1	3	13	4.71	302/1596	4.71	4.19	4.24	4.25	4.71
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	2	3	12	4.59	377/1535	4.59	4.23	4.15	4.14	4.59
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	0	6	11	4.65	295/1510	4.65	4.09	4.13	4.16	4.65
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	6	0	0	0	1	1	15	4.82	146/1620	4.82	4.28	4.20	4.18	4.82
8. How many times was class cancelled	6	0	0	0	0	7	10	4.59	1131/1642	4.59	4.70	4.68	4.65	4.59
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	10	0	1	0	0	6	6	4.23	730/1596	4.23	4.04	4.12	4.09	4.23
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	6	0	0	0	0	4	13	4.76	507/1534	4.76	4.49	4.48	4.44	4.76
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	6	0	0	0	0	1	16	4.94	365/1539	4.94	4.78	4.76	4.74	4.94
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	6	0	0	1	1	6	9	4.35	898/1531	4.35	4.35	4.33	4.30	4.35
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	6	0	1	0	0	5	11	4.47	793/1530	4.47	4.33	4.35	4.32	4.47
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	6	0	0	0	1	2	14	4.76	175/1409	4.76	4.14	4.08	4.09	4.76
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	7	0	1	2	3	5	5	3.69	1089/1366	3.69	4.20	4.18	4.22	3.69
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	7	0	1	3	3	2	7	3.69	1168/1364	3.69	4.33	4.33	4.37	3.69
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	8	0	1	1	3	3	7	3.93	1076/1361	3.93	4.42	4.39	4.39	3.93
4. Were special techniques successful	8	10	1	0	1	2	1	3.40	****/1019	****	3.91	4.09	4.04	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: PSYC 375 01

Title: Neuroanatomy

Instructor: Lynch, Minda R

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 24

Questionnaires: 23

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	22	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/209	****	4.19	4.19	4.18	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	21	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/72	****	4.78	4.53	4.68	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	6	Required for Majors	11	Graduate	0	Major	14
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	6						
56-83	4	2.00-2.99	1	С	5	General	2	Under-grad	23	Non-major	9
84-150	6	3.00-3.49	3	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	3	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	1				
				?	6						

Course-Section: PSYC 380 01

Title: Personality

Instructor: Resta,S P

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 84

'	NR NA 1 2 3 4 5 Mean 0 0 0 0 5 1 5 24 4.37 84 1 0 0 0 2 5 27 4.74 33 1 0 0 1 1 3 29 4.76 32 0 3 1 1 2 4 24 4.53 52 2 4 3 3 2 3 18 4.03 94 3 2 1 3 1 4 21 4.37 59 3 0 0 1 4 2 25 4.59 42 1 0 0 1 0 9 24 4.65 10 13 0 1 1 0 7 13 4.36 56 2 0 0 0				structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect				
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	5	1	5	24	4.37	846/1644	4.54	4.35	4.32	4.31	4.37
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	2	5	27	4.74	331/1644	4.72	4.23	4.28	4.25	4.74
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	1	1	3	29	4.76	322/1419	4.67	4.31	4.35	4.31	4.76
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	3	1	1	2	4	24	4.53	528/1596	4.12	4.19	4.24	4.25	4.53
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	4	3	3	2	3	18	4.03	945/1535	4.35	4.23	4.15	4.14	4.03
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	3	2	1	3	1	4	21	4.37	590/1510	4.18	4.09	4.13	4.16	4.37
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	3	0	0	1	4	2	25	4.59	410/1620	4.44	4.28	4.20	4.18	4.59
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	1	0	9	24	4.65	1063/1642	4.75	4.70	4.68	4.65	4.65
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	13	0	1	1	0	7	13	4.36	565/1596	4.28	4.04	4.12	4.09	4.36
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	3	4	26	4.70	627/1534	4.63	4.49	4.48	4.44	4.70
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	2	3	29	4.79	913/1539	4.75	4.78	4.76	4.74	4.79
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	1	2	6	25	4.62	550/1531	4.67	4.35	4.33	4.30	4.62
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	3	0	3	27	4.64	606/1530	4.68	4.33	4.35	4.32	4.64
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	4	2	2	1	6	7	13	3.97	863/1409	4.05	4.14	4.08	4.09	3.97
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	16	0	2	1	2	2	12	4.11	834/1366	4.27	4.20	4.18	4.22	4.11
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	18	0	3	0	3	4	7	3.71	1162/1364	3.57	4.33	4.33	4.37	3.71
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	18	0	3	0	1	3	10	4.00	1034/1361	3.79	4.42	4.39	4.39	4.00
4. Were special techniques successful	20	10	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	****/1019	****	3.91	4.09	4.04	****

Course-Section: PSYC 380 01

Title: Personality

Instructor: Resta,S P

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 84

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	34	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/185	****	3.86	4.23	4.16	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	34	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/209	****	4.19	4.19	4.18	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	34	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/181	****	4.35	4.53	4.49	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	34	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/183	****	4.18	4.46	4.38	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	34	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/172	****	4.21	4.14	4.07	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	33	0	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	****/72	****	4.78	4.53	4.68	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	33	0	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	****/71	****	4.42	4.38	4.61	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	33	0	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	****/68	****	4.15	4.41	4.59	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	33	0	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	****/71	****	4.46	4.40	4.51	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	33	0	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	****/73	****	3.45	4.09	4.57	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	34	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/48	****	****	4.16	4.95	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	34	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/45	****	****	4.19	4.95	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	34	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/30	****	****	4.57	4.93	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	34	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/51	****	****	4.03	4.75	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	34	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	****	****	4.18	4.80	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	34	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/36	****	****	4.33	4.83	****
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	34	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/19	****	****	4.17	4.20	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: PSYC 380 01

Title: Personality

Instructor: Resta,S P

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 84

Questionnaires: 35

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	34	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/14	****	****	4.17	4.60	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	26	Required for Majors	22	Graduate	0	Major	13
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	3						
56-83	6	2.00-2.99	3	С	1	General	1	Under-grad	35	Non-major	22
84-150	11	3.00-3.49	8	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	6	F	0	Electives	3	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	5						

Course-Section: PSYC 380 03

Title: Personality

Instructor: Resta,S P

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 11

				Fre	quend	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	4	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	414/1644	4.54	4.35	4.32	4.31	4.71
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	4	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	360/1644	4.72	4.23	4.28	4.25	4.71
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	4	0	0	0	1	1	5	4.57	569/1419	4.67	4.31	4.35	4.31	4.57
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	4	0	1	0	1	3	2	3.71	1334/1596	4.12	4.19	4.24	4.25	3.71
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	4	1	0	0	1	0	5	4.67	300/1535	4.35	4.23	4.15	4.14	4.67
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	2	3	2	4.00	921/1510	4.18	4.09	4.13	4.16	4.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	4	0	0	0	1	3	3	4.29	849/1620	4.44	4.28	4.20	4.18	4.29
8. How many times was class cancelled	4	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	736/1642	4.75	4.70	4.68	4.65	4.86
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	5	1	0	0	1	2	2	4.20	768/1596	4.28	4.04	4.12	4.09	4.20
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	4	0	0	0	0	3	4	4.57	807/1534	4.63	4.49	4.48	4.44	4.57
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	4	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	1066/1539	4.75	4.78	4.76	4.74	4.71
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	406/1531	4.67	4.35	4.33	4.30	4.71
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	502/1530	4.68	4.33	4.35	4.32	4.71
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	4	0	0	1	0	3	3	4.14	729/1409	4.05	4.14	4.08	4.09	4.14
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	1	2	4	4.43	581/1366	4.27	4.20	4.18	4.22	4.43
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	1	3	2	1	3.43	1241/1364	3.57	4.33	4.33	4.37	3.43
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	1	2	3	1	3.57	1223/1361	3.79	4.42	4.39	4.39	3.57

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: PSYC 380 03

Title: Personality

Instructor: Resta,S P

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 11
Questionnaires: 11

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	4	5	0	0	2	0	0	3.00	****/1019	****	3.91	4.09	4.04	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	0	Major	3
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	2						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	1	Under-grad	11	Non-major	8
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	7						

Course-Section: PSYC 382 02

Title: Child/Adol Psychopathlgy

Instructor: Goldstein, Robin

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 14

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	2	0	1	1	0	1	9	4.33	889/1644	4.33	4.35	4.32	4.31	4.33
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	0	0	1	3	8	4.58	533/1644	4.58	4.23	4.28	4.25	4.58
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	3	6	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1419	5.00	4.31	4.35	4.31	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	1	0	0	0	3	8	4.73	283/1596	4.73	4.19	4.24	4.25	4.73
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	1	0	0	1	3	7	4.55	409/1535	4.55	4.23	4.15	4.14	4.55
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	2	10	4.83	131/1510	4.83	4.09	4.13	4.16	4.83
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	0	0	2	1	9	4.58	423/1620	4.58	4.28	4.20	4.18	4.58
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	568/1642	4.92	4.70	4.68	4.65	4.92
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	6	2	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	246/1596	4.67	4.04	4.12	4.09	4.67
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	3	9	4.75	525/1534	4.75	4.49	4.48	4.44	4.75
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	12	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.78	4.76	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	145/1531	4.92	4.35	4.33	4.30	4.92
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	2	10	4.83	309/1530	4.83	4.33	4.35	4.32	4.83
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	0	1	0	3	2	5	3.91	922/1409	3.91	4.14	4.08	4.09	3.91
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	1	0	11	4.83	209/1366	4.83	4.20	4.18	4.22	4.83
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	0	2	10	4.83	303/1364	4.83	4.33	4.33	4.37	4.83
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	0	1	10	4.91	247/1361	4.91	4.42	4.39	4.39	4.91

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: PSYC 382 02

Title: Child/Adol Psychopathlgy

Instructor: Goldstein, Robin

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 14

Questionnaires: 14

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	3	2	0	0	1	1	7	4.67	186/1019	4.67	3.91	4.09	4.04	4.67

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	١	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	7	Required for Majors	5	Graduate	0	Major	11
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	2						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	1	General	3	Under-grad	14	Non-major	3
84-150	4	3.00-3.49	4	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	4						

Course-Section: PSYC 385 02

Title: Health Psychology

Instructor: Anderson, Robert

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 46

	_			Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	1	0	2	5	16	4.46	751/1644	4.62	4.35	4.32	4.31	4.46
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	4	7	13	4.38	843/1644	4.53	4.23	4.28	4.25	4.38
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	7	15	4.54	596/1419	4.61	4.31	4.35	4.31	4.54
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	21	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/1596	4.32	4.19	4.24	4.25	****
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	1	1	3	5	13	4.22	772/1535	4.27	4.23	4.15	4.14	4.22
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	22	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/1510	4.83	4.09	4.13	4.16	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	1	2	5	8	8	3.83	1286/1620	4.11	4.28	4.20	4.18	3.83
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	2	16	6	4.17	1456/1642	4.58	4.70	4.68	4.65	4.17
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	12	12	4.50	382/1596	4.65	4.04	4.12	4.09	4.50
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	4	4	14	4.45	960/1534	4.58	4.49	4.48	4.44	4.45
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	1	21	4.95	305/1539	4.97	4.78	4.76	4.74	4.95
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	7	15	4.68	449/1531	4.75	4.35	4.33	4.30	4.68
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	4	18	4.82	340/1530	4.87	4.33	4.35	4.32	4.82
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	6	0	0	4	4	8	4.25	627/1409	4.27	4.14	4.08	4.09	4.25
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	16	0	0	0	0	3	5	4.63	405/1366	4.74	4.20	4.18	4.22	4.63
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	16	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1364	4.89	4.33	4.33	4.37	5.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: PSYC 385 02

Title: Health Psychology

Instructor: Anderson, Robert

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 46

Questionnaires: 24

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	16	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1361	4.94	4.42	4.39	4.39	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	15	Required for Majors	17	Graduate	0	Major	11
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	7						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	2	С	1	General	2	Under-grad	24	Non-major	13
84-150	12	3.00-3.49	5	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	9	F	0	Electives	3	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	2				
				?	1						

Course-Section: PSYC 385 03

Title: Health Psychology

Instructor: Anderson, Robert

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 62

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	1	7	34	4.79	316/1644	4.62	4.35	4.32	4.31	4.79
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	4	5	33	4.69	388/1644	4.53	4.23	4.28	4.25	4.69
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	0	0	0	2	9	30	4.68	435/1419	4.61	4.31	4.35	4.31	4.68
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	20	2	0	2	3	15	4.32	844/1596	4.32	4.19	4.24	4.25	4.32
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	3	5	9	24	4.32	681/1535	4.27	4.23	4.15	4.14	4.32
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	3	28	0	0	1	0	11	4.83	131/1510	4.83	4.09	4.13	4.16	4.83
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	3	3	11	25	4.38	712/1620	4.11	4.28	4.20	4.18	4.38
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	0	42	5.00	1/1642	4.58	4.70	4.68	4.65	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	8	34	4.81	144/1596	4.65	4.04	4.12	4.09	4.81
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	1	2	5	34	4.71	593/1534	4.58	4.49	4.48	4.44	4.71
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	1	41	4.98	183/1539	4.97	4.78	4.76	4.74	4.98
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	1	0	5	36	4.81	275/1531	4.75	4.35	4.33	4.30	4.81
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	1	40	4.93	161/1530	4.87	4.33	4.35	4.32	4.93
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	4	1	0	7	8	21	4.30	588/1409	4.27	4.14	4.08	4.09	4.30
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	16	0	0	0	0	4	23	4.85	191/1366	4.74	4.20	4.18	4.22	4.85
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	16	0	0	1	1	1	24	4.78	375/1364	4.89	4.33	4.33	4.37	4.78
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	17	0	0	0	1	1	24	4.88	273/1361	4.94	4.42	4.39	4.39	4.88
4. Were special techniques successful	18	11	1	0	2	1	10	4.36	369/1019	4.36	3.91	4.09	4.04	4.36

Course-Section: PSYC 385 03

Title: Health Psychology

Instructor: Anderson, Robert

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 62

Questionnaires: 43

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	40	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/185	****	3.86	4.23	4.16	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	40	0	1	0	0	0	2	3.67	****/209	****	4.19	4.19	4.18	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	40	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/181	****	4.35	4.53	4.49	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	40	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/183	****	4.18	4.46	4.38	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	40	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/172	****	4.21	4.14	4.07	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	41	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/72	****	4.78	4.53	4.68	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	41	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/71	****	4.42	4.38	4.61	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	41	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/68	****	4.15	4.41	4.59	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	41	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	****/71	****	4.46	4.40	4.51	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	41	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/73	****	3.45	4.09	4.57	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	41	0	1	0	0	1	0	2.50	****/48	****	****	4.16	4.95	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	41	0	1	0	0	1	0	2.50	****/45	****	****	4.19	4.95	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	41	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	****/30	****	****	4.57	4.93	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	42	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/27	****	****	4.25	4.90	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	41	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/25	****	****	4.35	4.90	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	41	0	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	****/51	****	****	4.03	4.75	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	41	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/31	****	****	4.18	4.80	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	41	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/36	****	****	4.33	4.83	****

Run Date: 1/30/2014 2:26:54 PM

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: PSYC 385 03

Title: Health Psychology

Instructor: Anderson, Robert

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 62

Questionnaires: 43

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	41	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/19	****	****	4.17	4.20	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	41	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/14	****	****	4.17	4.60	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	18	Required for Majors	25	Graduate	0	Major	25
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	14						
56-83	3	2.00-2.99	10	С	6	General	3	Under-grad	43	Non-major	18
84-150	10	3.00-3.49	8	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	5	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	5						

Course-Section: PSYC 387 01

Title: Community Psychology

Instructor: Scheibler, Jill

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 45

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	6	10	11	5	3.47	1530/1644	3.47	4.35	4.32	4.31	3.47
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	0	3	8	14	5	3.70	1410/1644	3.70	4.23	4.28	4.25	3.70
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	1	0	0	6	13	10	4.14	1033/1419	4.14	4.31	4.35	4.31	4.14
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	2	5	11	13	4.13	1053/1596	4.13	4.19	4.24	4.25	4.13
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	2	1	6	11	11	3.90	1068/1535	3.90	4.23	4.15	4.14	3.90
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	0	1	1	7	13	8	3.87	1064/1510	3.87	4.09	4.13	4.16	3.87
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	0	1	4	8	17	4.37	739/1620	4.37	4.28	4.20	4.18	4.37
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	18	12	4.40	1286/1642	4.40	4.70	4.68	4.65	4.40
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	5	1	1	2	12	8	3	3.38	1440/1596	3.38	4.04	4.12	4.09	3.38
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	1	1	7	21	4.60	772/1534	4.60	4.49	4.48	4.44	4.60
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	3	7	20	4.57	1247/1539	4.57	4.78	4.76	4.74	4.57
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	5	3	9	13	4.00	1163/1531	4.00	4.35	4.33	4.30	4.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	3	5	11	11	4.00	1163/1530	4.00	4.33	4.35	4.32	4.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	0	2	4	9	15	4.23	646/1409	4.23	4.14	4.08	4.09	4.23
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	18	0	0	0	6	5	3	3.79	1027/1366	3.79	4.20	4.18	4.22	3.79
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	18	0	0	1	3	3	7	4.14	941/1364	4.14	4.33	4.33	4.37	4.14
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	18	0	0	0	2	3	9	4.50	703/1361	4.50	4.42	4.39	4.39	4.50

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: PSYC 387 01

Title: Community Psychology

Instructor: Scheibler, Jill

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 45

Questionnaires: 32

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	18	5	0	0	2	4	3	4.11	531/1019	4.11	3.91	4.09	4.04	4.11

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP.	A	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	12	Required for Majors	21	Graduate	0	Major	16
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	10						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	4	С	5	General	1	Under-grad	32	Non-major	16
84-150	9	3.00-3.49	3	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	11	F	0	Electives	3	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	4						

Course-Section: PSYC 390 01

Title: Neuropsychopharmacology

Instructor: Rabin,B M

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 54

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	1	1	5	11	12	4.07	1172/1644	4.07	4.35	4.32	4.31	4.07
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	5	1	10	8	6	3.30	1544/1644	3.30	4.23	4.28	4.25	3.30
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	1	7	4	8	10	3.63	1256/1419	3.63	4.31	4.35	4.31	3.63
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	23	0	1	2	2	2	3.71	****/1596	****	4.19	4.24	4.25	****
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	4	3	4	3	10	6	3.46	1346/1535	3.46	4.23	4.15	4.14	3.46
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	24	0	0	2	3	1	3.83	****/1510	****	4.09	4.13	4.16	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	1	2	3	8	16	4.20	968/1620	4.20	4.28	4.20	4.18	4.20
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	2	12	6	10	3.80	1610/1642	3.80	4.70	4.68	4.65	3.80
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	6	0	0	3	7	12	2	3.54	1368/1596	3.54	4.04	4.12	4.09	3.54
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	1	6	22	4.72	576/1534	4.72	4.49	4.48	4.44	4.72
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	1	1	10	17	4.48	1311/1539	4.48	4.78	4.76	4.74	4.48
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	3	7	15	4	3.69	1342/1531	3.69	4.35	4.33	4.30	3.69
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	2	1	5	10	11	3.93	1213/1530	3.93	4.33	4.35	4.32	3.93
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	8	0	0	3	10	8	4.24	646/1409	4.24	4.14	4.08	4.09	4.24
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	16	0	4	1	2	6	1	2.93	1300/1366	2.93	4.20	4.18	4.22	2.93
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	16	0	6	2	2	3	1	2.36	1349/1364	2.36	4.33	4.33	4.37	2.36
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	16	0	2	3	3	5	1	3.00	1308/1361	3.00	4.42	4.39	4.39	3.00
4. Were special techniques successful	16	9	1	0	1	2	1	3.40	****/1019	****	3.91	4.09	4.04	****

Course-Section: PSYC 390 01

Title: Neuropsychopharmacology

Instructor: Rabin,B M

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 54

Questionnaires: 30

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	29	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/185	****	3.86	4.23	4.16	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	29	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/209	****	4.19	4.19	4.18	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	29	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/181	****	4.35	4.53	4.49	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	29	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/183	****	4.18	4.46	4.38	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	29	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/172	****	4.21	4.14	4.07	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	28	0	0	1	0	1	0	3.00	****/72	****	4.78	4.53	4.68	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	28	0	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	****/71	****	4.42	4.38	4.61	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	28	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/68	****	4.15	4.41	4.59	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	28	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/71	****	4.46	4.40	4.51	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	28	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/73	****	3.45	4.09	4.57	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	29	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/48	****	****	4.16	4.95	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	29	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/45	****	****	4.19	4.95	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	29	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/30	****	****	4.57	4.93	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	29	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/27	****	****	4.25	4.90	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	29	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/25	****	****	4.35	4.90	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	29	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/51	****	****	4.03	4.75	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	29	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/31	****	****	4.18	4.80	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	29	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/36	****	****	4.33	4.83	****

Run Date: 1/30/2014 2:26:54 PM

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: PSYC 390 01

Title: Neuropsychopharmacology

Instructor: Rabin,B M

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 54

Questionnaires: 30

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	29	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/19	****	****	4.17	4.20	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	29	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/14	****	****	4.17	4.60	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	17	Graduate	0	Major	20
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	7						
56-83	4	2.00-2.99	2	С	14	General	2	Under-grad	30	Non-major	10
84-150	11	3.00-3.49	7	D	1						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	5	F	0	Electives	6	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	1				
				?	6						

Course-Section: PSYC 393 01

Title: Special Topics In Psych

Instructor: Rudow, Edward

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 23

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	3	0	0	1	1	6	12	4.45	751/1644	4.23	4.35	4.32	4.31	4.45
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	3	0	1	0	1	4	14	4.50	633/1644	4.20	4.23	4.28	4.25	4.50
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	3	0	0	0	2	2	16	4.70	409/1419	4.30	4.31	4.35	4.31	4.70
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	3	0	0	0	3	3	14	4.55	502/1596	4.25	4.19	4.24	4.25	4.55
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	1	1	6	12	4.45	510/1535	4.25	4.23	4.15	4.14	4.45
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	3	6	0	0	1	5	8	4.50	429/1510	4.30	4.09	4.13	4.16	4.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	3	0	1	1	0	3	15	4.50	527/1620	4.08	4.28	4.20	4.18	4.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	3	0	0	0	0	4	16	4.80	840/1642	4.48	4.70	4.68	4.65	4.80
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	5	1	0	0	2	8	7	4.29	654/1596	3.95	4.04	4.12	4.09	4.29
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	1	6	13	4.60	772/1534	4.40	4.49	4.48	4.44	4.60
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	1	4	15	4.70	1086/1539	4.67	4.78	4.76	4.74	4.70
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	1	1	5	13	4.50	724/1531	4.36	4.35	4.33	4.30	4.50
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	1	1	5	13	4.50	755/1530	4.20	4.33	4.35	4.32	4.50
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	0	0	1	5	5	9	4.10	765/1409	4.13	4.14	4.08	4.09	4.10
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	7	12	4.63	396/1366	4.43	4.20	4.18	4.22	4.63
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	0	1	3	15	4.74	420/1364	4.62	4.33	4.33	4.37	4.74
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	0	0	2	17	4.89	260/1361	4.64	4.42	4.39	4.39	4.89
4. Were special techniques successful	4	3	1	0	2	3	10	4.31	393/1019	3.96	3.91	4.09	4.04	4.31

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: PSYC 393 01

Title: Special Topics In Psych

Instructor: Rudow, Edward

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 23

Questionnaires: 23

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	22	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/209	****	4.19	4.19	4.18	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	16	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	0	Major	14
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	1	Under-grad	23	Non-major	9
84-150	7	3.00-3.49	8	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	9	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	3				
				?	7						

Course-Section: PSYC 393 02

Title: Special Topics In Psych

Instructor: Watkins-Lewis,K

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 27

·				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	6	0	1	2	2	6	9	4.00	1218/1644	4.23	4.35	4.32	4.31	4.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	6	0	2	1	3	5	9	3.90	1306/1644	4.20	4.23	4.28	4.25	3.90
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	6	0	2	2	1	6	9	3.90	1162/1419	4.30	4.31	4.35	4.31	3.90
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	6	0	2	1	1	8	8	3.95	1172/1596	4.25	4.19	4.24	4.25	3.95
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	7	1	0	2	3	5	8	4.06	929/1535	4.25	4.23	4.15	4.14	4.06
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	6	1	0	2	3	5	9	4.11	875/1510	4.30	4.09	4.13	4.16	4.11
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	6	0	1	2	6	5	6	3.65	1370/1620	4.08	4.28	4.20	4.18	3.65
8. How many times was class cancelled	8	0	0	0	1	13	4	4.17	1456/1642	4.48	4.70	4.68	4.65	4.17
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	11	0	1	2	2	7	3	3.60	1338/1596	3.95	4.04	4.12	4.09	3.60
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	6	0	0	3	0	7	10	4.20	1194/1534	4.40	4.49	4.48	4.44	4.20
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	7	0	0	0	1	5	13	4.63	1174/1539	4.67	4.78	4.76	4.74	4.63
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	8	0	0	2	1	6	9	4.22	1018/1531	4.36	4.35	4.33	4.30	4.22
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	7	0	2	1	2	6	8	3.89	1239/1530	4.20	4.33	4.35	4.32	3.89
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	7	1	0	2	2	5	9	4.17	711/1409	4.13	4.14	4.08	4.09	4.17
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	8	0	1	0	2	6	9	4.22	742/1366	4.43	4.20	4.18	4.22	4.22
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	8	0	0	0	1	7	10	4.50	649/1364	4.62	4.33	4.33	4.37	4.50
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	8	0	0	1	1	6	10	4.39	834/1361	4.64	4.42	4.39	4.39	4.39
4. Were special techniques successful	9	2	2	0	3	7	3	3.60	806/1019	3.96	3.91	4.09	4.04	3.60

Course-Section: PSYC 393 02

Title: Special Topics In Psych

Instructor: Watkins-Lewis,K

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 27

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	23	1	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/185	****	3.86	4.23	4.16	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	24	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/209	****	4.19	4.19	4.18	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	24	0	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	****/181	****	4.35	4.53	4.49	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	25	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/183	****	4.18	4.46	4.38	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	25	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/72	****	4.78	4.53	4.68	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	25	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/71	****	4.42	4.38	4.61	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	25	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/68	****	4.15	4.41	4.59	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	25	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/71	****	4.46	4.40	4.51	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	25	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/48	****	****	4.16	4.95	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	25	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/45	****	****	4.19	4.95	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	25	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/30	****	****	4.57	4.93	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	25	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/27	****	****	4.25	4.90	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	25	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/51	****	****	4.03	4.75	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	25	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/31	****	****	4.18	4.80	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	25	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/36	****	****	4.33	4.83	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: PSYC 393 02

Title: Special Topics In Psych

Instructor: Watkins-Lewis,K

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 27

Questionnaires: 26

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	25	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/19	***	****	4.17	4.20	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	Α	13	Required for Majors	8	Graduate	0	Major	17
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	5						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	2	Under-grad	26	Non-major	9
84-150	7	3.00-3.49	3	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	6	F	0	Electives	7	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	8						

Course-Section: PSYC 405 01

Title: Hist & Systems Of Psyc

Instructor: Bediako, Shawn M

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 24

	Frequencies				Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect			
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	1	2	3	3	7	3.81	1379/1644	3.81	4.35	4.32	4.47	3.81
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	2	3	2	2	7	3.56	1479/1644	3.56	4.23	4.28	4.35	3.56
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	10	0	0	1	3	2	4.17	1012/1419	4.17	4.31	4.35	4.48	4.17
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	1	1	2	7	4	3.80	1269/1596	3.80	4.19	4.24	4.34	3.80
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	2	2	2	5	5	3.56	1292/1535	3.56	4.23	4.15	4.26	3.56
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	0	6	2	7	3.88	1056/1510	3.88	4.09	4.13	4.29	3.88
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	1	2	5	5	3	3.44	1459/1620	3.44	4.28	4.20	4.25	3.44
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	7	9	4.56	1149/1642	4.56	4.70	4.68	4.67	4.56
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	1	0	1	8	2	3.83	1183/1596	3.83	4.04	4.12	4.20	3.83
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	2	1	1	4	8	3.94	1334/1534	3.94	4.49	4.48	4.54	3.94
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	2	0	14	4.75	990/1539	4.75	4.78	4.76	4.81	4.75
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	3	4	2	7	3.81	1287/1531	3.81	4.35	4.33	4.38	3.81
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	4	1	7	4	3.69	1318/1530	3.69	4.33	4.35	4.41	3.69
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	3	2	2	6	1	3.00	1316/1409	3.00	4.14	4.08	4.15	3.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	7	0	1	0	1	5	2	3.78	1034/1366	3.78	4.20	4.18	4.37	3.78
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	7	0	0	1	1	2	5	4.22	896/1364	4.22	4.33	4.33	4.52	4.22
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	7	0	0	1	1	0	7	4.44	772/1361	4.44	4.42	4.39	4.59	4.44

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: PSYC 405 01

Title: Hist & Systems Of Psyc

Instructor: Bediako, Shawn M

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 24

Questionnaires: 16

					Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Discussion														
	4. Were special techniques successful	8	1	1	0	2	3	1	3.43	878/1019	3.43	3.91	4.09	4.32	3.43

Credits Earned		Cum. GPA		Expected Grades		Reasons		Туре		Majors		
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	3	Required for Majors	11	Graduate	0	Major	14	
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	4							
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	4	С	6	General	1	Under-grad	16	Non-major	2	
84-150	7	3.00-3.49	3	D	0							
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	6	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses		
				Р	0			to be significant				
				I	0	Other	0					
				?	2							

Course-Section: PSYC 406 01

Title: Adv Behavior Pathology

Instructor: Murphy, Julie

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 27

		NR NA 1 2 3 4 5 Mean 0 0 0 0 1 1 22 4.88 20 0 0 0 0 1 2 21 4.83 20							structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	1	22	4.88	208/1644	4.88	4.35	4.32	4.47	4.88
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	2	21	4.83	203/1644	4.83	4.23	4.28	4.35	4.83
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	3	19	4.71	409/1419	4.71	4.31	4.35	4.48	4.71
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	1	5	17	4.58	463/1596	4.58	4.19	4.24	4.34	4.58
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	2	22	4.92	94/1535	4.92	4.23	4.15	4.26	4.92
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	2	6	16	4.58	349/1510	4.58	4.09	4.13	4.29	4.58
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	1	23	4.96	44/1620	4.96	4.28	4.20	4.25	4.96
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	16	8	4.33	1344/1642	4.33	4.70	4.68	4.67	4.33
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	1	4	16	4.71	206/1596	4.71	4.04	4.12	4.20	4.71
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	1	0	3	17	4.71	593/1534	4.71	4.49	4.48	4.54	4.71
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	0	2	19	4.90	609/1539	4.90	4.78	4.76	4.81	4.90
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	0	2	1	1	16	4.55	644/1531	4.55	4.35	4.33	4.38	4.55
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	2	0	0	1	18	4.57	677/1530	4.57	4.33	4.35	4.41	4.57
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	15	2	1	1	1	1	2.67	1373/1409	2.67	4.14	4.08	4.15	2.67
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	9	0	1	0	0	1	13	4.67	368/1366	4.67	4.20	4.18	4.37	4.67
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	9	0	0	0	0	2	13	4.87	265/1364	4.87	4.33	4.33	4.52	4.87
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	9	0	0	0	0	1	14	4.93	173/1361	4.93	4.42	4.39	4.59	4.93

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: PSYC 406 01

Title: Adv Behavior Pathology

Instructor: Murphy,Julie

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 27

Questionnaires: 24

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	9	2	0	0	0	0	13	5.00	1/1019	5.00	3.91	4.09	4.32	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	19	Required for Majors	18	Graduate	0	Major	23
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	4						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	2	С	0	General	3	Under-grad	24	Non-major	1
84-150	13	3.00-3.49	5	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	9	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: PSYC 407 01

Title: Adv Child Psychology

Instructor: Goldstein, Robin

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 16

	NR NA 1 2 3 4 5 Mean 2 0 1 0 0 1 12 4.64 50 2 0 1 0 0 2 11 4.57 54							Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	2	0	1	0	0	1	12	4.64	509/1644	4.64	4.35	4.32	4.47	4.64
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	1	0	0	2	11	4.57	545/1644	4.57	4.23	4.28	4.35	4.57
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	9	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	265/1419	4.80	4.31	4.35	4.48	4.80
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	0	1	0	1	1	11	4.50	567/1596	4.50	4.19	4.24	4.34	4.50
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	1	0	0	0	2	11	4.85	156/1535	4.85	4.23	4.15	4.26	4.85
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	1	13	4.93	71/1510	4.93	4.09	4.13	4.29	4.93
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	1	0	1	0	1	11	4.69	298/1620	4.69	4.28	4.20	4.25	4.69
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	0	14	5.00	1/1642	5.00	4.70	4.68	4.67	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	1	1	0	0	3	7	4.36	565/1596	4.36	4.04	4.12	4.20	4.36
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	1	0	1	1	11	4.50	891/1534	4.50	4.49	4.48	4.54	4.50
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	14	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.78	4.76	4.81	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	1	0	0	1	12	4.64	507/1531	4.64	4.35	4.33	4.38	4.64
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	1	0	1	0	12	4.57	677/1530	4.57	4.33	4.35	4.41	4.57
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	2	0	0	1	1	9	4.73	199/1409	4.73	4.14	4.08	4.15	4.73
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	1	0	0	0	11	4.67	368/1366	4.67	4.20	4.18	4.37	4.67
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	1	0	0	0	11	4.67	500/1364	4.67	4.33	4.33	4.52	4.67
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	1	0	0	1	10	4.58	635/1361	4.58	4.42	4.39	4.59	4.58

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: PSYC 407 01

Title: Adv Child Psychology

Instructor: Goldstein, Robin

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 16

Questionnaires: 16

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	4	3	2	0	0	1	6	4.00	559/1019	4.00	3.91	4.09	4.32	4.00

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	8	Required for Majors	9	Graduate	0	Major	13
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	4						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	С	1	General	2	Under-grad	16	Non-major	3
84-150	6	3.00-3.49	3	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	3						

Course-Section: PSYC 409 01

Title: Development And Educatn

Instructor: Sonnenschein, Su

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 18

		NR NA 1 2 3 4 5 Mean 1 0 0 1 2 4 4 4.00 1 1 0 0 1 3 6 1 3.64 1 1 0 1 0 3 5 2 3.64 1							structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	1	2	4	4	4.00	1218/1644	4.00	4.35	4.32	4.47	4.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	1	3	6	1	3.64	1448/1644	3.64	4.23	4.28	4.35	3.64
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	1	0	3	5	2	3.64	1256/1419	3.64	4.31	4.35	4.48	3.64
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	5	4	2	3.73	1327/1596	3.73	4.19	4.24	4.34	3.73
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	3	7	1	3.82	1133/1535	3.82	4.23	4.15	4.26	3.82
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	0	3	3	4	3.82	1104/1510	3.82	4.09	4.13	4.29	3.82
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	0	1	2	3	4	4.00	1134/1620	4.00	4.28	4.20	4.25	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	2	6	2	4.00	1528/1642	4.00	4.70	4.68	4.67	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	5	0	0	0	5	2	0	3.29	1474/1596	3.29	4.04	4.12	4.20	3.29
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	2	2	5	4.33	1090/1534	4.33	4.49	4.48	4.54	4.33
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	2	2	6	4.40	1367/1539	4.40	4.78	4.76	4.81	4.40
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	0	1	1	4	2	3.88	1260/1531	3.88	4.35	4.33	4.38	3.88
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	3	4	2	3.89	1243/1530	3.89	4.33	4.35	4.41	3.89
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	0	1	2	2	2	2	3.22	1279/1409	3.22	4.14	4.08	4.15	3.22
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	1	1	5	4.57	444/1366	4.57	4.20	4.18	4.37	4.57
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	5	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	442/1364	4.71	4.33	4.33	4.52	4.71
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	5	0	0	0	1	1	5	4.57	644/1361	4.57	4.42	4.39	4.59	4.57

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: PSYC 409 01

Title: Development And Educatn

Instructor: Sonnenschein,Su

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 18

Questionnaires: 12

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	5	1	1	0	0	4	1	3.67	784/1019	3.67	3.91	4.09	4.32	3.67

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	6	Graduate	0	Major	6
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	3						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	2	С	2	General	1	Under-grad	12	Non-major	6
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	4						

Course-Section: PSYC 421 01

Title: Parenting

Instructor: Cheah, Charissa

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 25

·		0 0 0 0 2 1 8 4.55 638 0 0 0 0 2 1 8 4.55 583 9 0 0 0 0 2 5.00 **** 0 0 0 1 2 8 4.64 396 0 0 0 1 3 7 4.55 409 0 0 0 1 3 7 4.55 389 0 0 1 0 3 7 4.45 606 0 0 0 1 5 5 4.36 1319 1 0 0 0 3 6 4.67 246 0 0 0 0 2 9 4.82 419 0 0 0 0 2 9 4.82 865 0 0 0 0 2 9 4.82 865 0 0 0 1						structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect	
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	2	1	8	4.55	638/1644	4.55	4.35	4.32	4.47	4.55
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	1	8	4.55	583/1644	4.55	4.23	4.28	4.35	4.55
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	9	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1419	****	4.31	4.35	4.48	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	2	8	4.64	396/1596	4.64	4.19	4.24	4.34	4.64
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	3	7	4.55	409/1535	4.55	4.23	4.15	4.26	4.55
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	3	7	4.55	389/1510	4.55	4.09	4.13	4.29	4.55
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	0	3	7	4.45	606/1620	4.45	4.28	4.20	4.25	4.45
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	1	5	5	4.36	1319/1642	4.36	4.70	4.68	4.67	4.36
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	1	0	0	0	3	6	4.67	246/1596	4.67	4.04	4.12	4.20	4.67
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	2	9	4.82	419/1534	4.82	4.49	4.48	4.54	4.82
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	2	9	4.82	865/1539	4.82	4.78	4.76	4.81	4.82
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	1	2	8	4.64	521/1531	4.64	4.35	4.33	4.38	4.64
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	2	8	4.64	606/1530	4.64	4.33	4.35	4.41	4.64
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	1	2	8	4.64	270/1409	4.64	4.14	4.08	4.15	4.64
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	191/1366	4.86	4.20	4.18	4.37	4.86
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	278/1364	4.86	4.33	4.33	4.52	4.86
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	313/1361	4.86	4.42	4.39	4.59	4.86
4. Were special techniques successful	4	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	161/1019	4.71	3.91	4.09	4.32	4.71

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: PSYC 421 01

Title: Parenting

Instructor: Cheah, Charissa

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 25

Questionnaires: 11

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	9	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/72	****	4.78	4.53	4.71	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	9	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/71	****	4.42	4.38	4.66	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/68	****	4.15	4.41	4.74	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/71	****	4.46	4.40	4.50	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	9	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/73	****	3.45	4.09	4.32	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	4	Required for Majors	8	Graduate	0	Major	10
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	5						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	11	Non-major	1
84-150	5	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	6	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	2						

Course-Section: PSYC 437 01

Title: Making A Difference

Instructor: Kronheim, Steven

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 7

·	•			Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	975/1644	4.25	4.35	4.32	4.47	4.25
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	302/1644	4.75	4.23	4.28	4.35	4.75
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	254/1596	4.75	4.19	4.24	4.34	4.75
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	0	0	0	3	4.00	970/1535	4.00	4.23	4.15	4.26	4.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	727/1510	4.25	4.09	4.13	4.29	4.25
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	3	0	1	3.50	1429/1620	3.50	4.28	4.20	4.25	3.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1642	5.00	4.70	4.68	4.67	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	603/1596	4.33	4.04	4.12	4.20	4.33
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1534	5.00	4.49	4.48	4.54	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.78	4.76	4.81	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	724/1531	4.50	4.35	4.33	4.38	4.50
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	3	1	4.25	1004/1530	4.25	4.33	4.35	4.41	4.25
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	1	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	825/1409	4.00	4.14	4.08	4.15	4.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	492/1366	4.50	4.20	4.18	4.37	4.50
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1364	5.00	4.33	4.33	4.52	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1361	5.00	4.42	4.39	4.59	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	3.50	842/1019	3.50	3.91	4.09	4.32	3.50
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/72	5.00	4.78	4.53	4.71	5.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: PSYC 437 01

Title: Making A Difference

Instructor: Kronheim, Steven

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 7

Questionnaires: 4

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/71	5.00	4.42	4.38	4.66	5.00
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	42/68	4.33	4.15	4.41	4.74	4.33
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	27/71	4.67	4.46	4.40	4.50	4.67
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	3.00	62/73	3.00	3.45	4.09	4.32	3.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	0	Major	4
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	2						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	4	Non-major	0
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	1						

Course-Section: PSYC 441 01

Title: Social/Health Psyc

Instructor: Beatty, Danielle

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 14

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	1	0	3	2	4	3.80	1387/1644	3.80	4.35	4.32	4.47	3.80
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	2	1	5	1	1	2.80	1609/1644	2.80	4.23	4.28	4.35	2.80
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	9	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/1419	****	4.31	4.35	4.48	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	2	2	1	4	3.78	1290/1596	3.78	4.19	4.24	4.34	3.78
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	2	2	5	4.10	888/1535	4.10	4.23	4.15	4.26	4.10
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	3	3	4	4.10	875/1510	4.10	4.09	4.13	4.29	4.10
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	2	2	4	2	3.60	1389/1620	3.60	4.28	4.20	4.25	3.60
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1642	5.00	4.70	4.68	4.67	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	0	1	3	1	1	3.33	1458/1596	3.33	4.04	4.12	4.20	3.33
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	1	0	4	1	2	3.38	1482/1534	3.38	4.49	4.48	4.54	3.38
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	1	0	3	1	4	3.78	1510/1539	3.78	4.78	4.76	4.81	3.78
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	1	0	3	3	2	3.56	1380/1531	3.56	4.35	4.33	4.38	3.56
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	1	0	1	1	3	3	4.00	1163/1530	4.00	4.33	4.35	4.41	4.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	1	0	1	5	2	3.78	1014/1409	3.78	4.14	4.08	4.15	3.78
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	1	0	1	3	2	3.71	1074/1366	3.71	4.20	4.18	4.37	3.71
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	1	0	1	3	2	3.71	1158/1364	3.71	4.33	4.33	4.52	3.71
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	1	0	0	3	3	4.00	1034/1361	4.00	4.42	4.39	4.59	4.00
4. Were special techniques successful	3	2	0	0	4	1	0	3.20	944/1019	3.20	3.91	4.09	4.32	3.20

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: PSYC 441 01

Title: Social/Health Psyc

Instructor: Beatty, Danielle

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 14

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	9	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/209	****	4.19	4.19	4.27	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	9	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/72	****	4.78	4.53	4.71	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	9	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/71	****	4.42	4.38	4.66	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	9	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/68	****	4.15	4.41	4.74	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	9	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/71	****	4.46	4.40	4.50	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	9	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/73	****	3.45	4.09	4.32	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	9	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/48	****	****	4.16	4.39	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	9	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/45	****	****	4.19	4.23	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	9	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/30	****	****	4.57	4.82	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	9	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/51	****	****	4.03	3.67	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	9	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/31	****	****	4.18	3.94	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: PSYC 441 01

Title: Social/Health Psyc

Instructor: Beatty, Danielle

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 14

Questionnaires: 10

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	9	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/36	****	****	4.33	3.80	****

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	5	Required for Majors	8	Graduate	0	Major	8
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	5						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	2	С	0	General	1	Under-grad	10	Non-major	2
84-150	6	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: PSYC 493 01

Title: Advanced Topics In Psyc

Instructor: Anderson, Robert

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 12

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	3	7	4.70	428/1644	4.82	4.35	4.32	4.47	4.70
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	3	5	4.30	939/1644	4.54	4.23	4.28	4.35	4.30
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	8	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1419	4.89	4.31	4.35	4.48	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	2	7	4.60	437/1596	4.62	4.19	4.24	4.34	4.60
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	1	0	2	6	4.44	523/1535	4.64	4.23	4.15	4.26	4.44
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	1	3	5	4.44	494/1510	4.53	4.09	4.13	4.29	4.44
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	2	3	1	3	3.56	1409/1620	4.14	4.28	4.20	4.25	3.56
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1642	4.97	4.70	4.68	4.67	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1596	4.91	4.04	4.12	4.20	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	1	9	4.90	248/1534	4.92	4.49	4.48	4.54	4.90
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.78	4.76	4.81	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	2	8	4.80	275/1531	4.87	4.35	4.33	4.38	4.80
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	9	4.90	202/1530	4.95	4.33	4.35	4.41	4.90
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1409	4.85	4.14	4.08	4.15	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1366	4.87	4.20	4.18	4.37	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	5	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1364	5.00	4.33	4.33	4.52	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	5	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1361	4.93	4.42	4.39	4.59	5.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: PSYC 493 01

Title: Advanced Topics In Psyc

Instructor: Anderson, Robert

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 12

Questionnaires: 10

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	5	0	1	0	0	1	3	4.00	559/1019	3.80	3.91	4.09	4.32	4.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	7	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	7
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	2						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	1	Under-grad	10	Non-major	3
84-150	4	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	5	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: PSYC 493 03

Title: Advanced Topics In Psyc

Instructor: Declemente, Car

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 18

Questionnaires: 18

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	17	4.94	105/1644	4.82	4.35	4.32	4.47	4.94
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	2	15	4.78	273/1644	4.54	4.23	4.28	4.35	4.78
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	0	17	4.89	189/1419	4.89	4.31	4.35	4.48	4.89
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	1	4	12	4.65	383/1596	4.62	4.19	4.24	4.34	4.65
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	3	15	4.83	165/1535	4.64	4.23	4.15	4.26	4.83
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	5	12	4.61	321/1510	4.53	4.09	4.13	4.29	4.61
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	2	1	15	4.72	261/1620	4.14	4.28	4.20	4.25	4.72
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	17	4.94	379/1642	4.97	4.70	4.68	4.67	4.94
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	3	14	4.82	134/1596	4.91	4.04	4.12	4.20	4.82
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	1	17	4.94	149/1534	4.92	4.49	4.48	4.54	4.94
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.78	4.76	4.81	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	1	17	4.94	97/1531	4.87	4.35	4.33	4.38	4.94
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	5.00	1/1530	4.95	4.33	4.35	4.41	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	1	3	13	4.71	211/1409	4.85	4.14	4.08	4.15	4.71
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	4	11	4.73	303/1366	4.87	4.20	4.18	4.37	4.73
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	0	0	0	15	5.00	1/1364	5.00	4.33	4.33	4.52	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	0	2	13	4.87	300/1361	4.93	4.42	4.39	4.59	4.87
4. Were special techniques successful	3	10	1	0	1	1	2	3.60	806/1019	3.80	3.91	4.09	4.32	3.60

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: PSYC 493 03

Title: Advanced Topics In Psyc

Instructor: Declemente, Car

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 18

Questionnaires: 18

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	17	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/72	****	4.78	4.53	4.71	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	17	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/71	****	4.42	4.38	4.66	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	17	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/68	****	4.15	4.41	4.74	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	17	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/71	****	4.46	4.40	4.50	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	17	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/73	****	3.45	4.09	4.32	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	1	Α	9	Required for Majors	8	Graduate	0	Major	9
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	6						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	3	С	1	General	2	Under-grad	18	Non-major	9
84-150	8	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	5	F	0	Electives	6	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: PSYC 601 01

Title: Special Topics In Psych

Instructor: Milatzo, John P

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 12

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	2	1	8	4.55	638/1644	4.59	4.35	4.32	4.42	4.55
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	1	3	6	4.27	968/1644	4.32	4.23	4.28	4.32	4.27
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	1	0	2	1	6	4.10	1054/1419	4.45	4.31	4.35	4.45	4.10
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	1	2	7	4.36	773/1596	4.58	4.19	4.24	4.32	4.36
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	1	1	8	4.45	510/1535	4.38	4.23	4.15	4.25	4.45
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	2	1	8	4.55	389/1510	4.48	4.09	4.13	4.24	4.55
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	2	8	4.64	364/1620	4.17	4.28	4.20	4.29	4.64
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	5.00	1/1642	4.93	4.70	4.68	4.82	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	2	4	3	4.11	891/1596	4.18	4.04	4.12	4.20	4.11
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	1	2	8	4.64	723/1534	4.57	4.49	4.48	4.52	4.64
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.78	4.76	4.79	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	4	7	4.64	521/1531	4.51	4.35	4.33	4.34	4.64
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	2	8	4.64	606/1530	4.56	4.33	4.35	4.38	4.64
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	1	1	1	2	2	4	3.70	1065/1409	3.81	4.14	4.08	4.04	3.70
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	1	4	0	5	3.90	942/1366	4.53	4.20	4.18	4.26	3.90
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	3	7	4.70	454/1364	4.90	4.33	4.33	4.46	4.70
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	2	8	4.80	380/1361	4.87	4.42	4.39	4.49	4.80
4. Were special techniques successful	1	1	1	2	2	0	4	3.44	869/1019	4.23	3.91	4.09	4.12	3.44

Course-Section: PSYC 601 01

Title: Special Topics In Psych

Instructor: Milatzo, John P

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 12

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	10	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/209	****	4.19	4.19	4.03	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	9	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/72	****	4.78	4.53	4.53	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	9	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/71	****	4.42	4.38	4.31	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/68	****	4.15	4.41	4.37	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/71	****	4.46	4.40	4.53	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	9	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/73	****	3.45	4.09	4.09	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	10	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/48	****	****	4.16	3.59	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	10	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/45	****	****	4.19	3.89	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	10	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	****	****	4.57	4.11	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	10	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/27	****	****	4.25	3.29	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	10	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/25	****	****	4.35	3.82	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	10	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/51	****	****	4.03	3.66	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	10	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	****	****	4.18	3.73	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	10	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/36	****	****	4.33	4.41	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: PSYC 601 01

Title: Special Topics In Psych

Instructor: Milatzo, John P

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 12

Questionnaires: 11

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	10	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/19	****	****	4.17	3.84	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	4	0.00-0.99	0	Α	5	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	4	Major	5
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	3						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	1	Under-grad	7	Non-major	6
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	4	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	3	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	2						

Course-Section: PSYC 601 03

Title: Special Topics In Psych

Instructor: Sanders, Ethan S

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 10

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	9	4.90	175/1644	4.59	4.35	4.32	4.42	4.90
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	1	8	4.70	375/1644	4.32	4.23	4.28	4.32	4.70
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	5	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	265/1419	4.45	4.31	4.35	4.45	4.80
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	1	8	4.70	302/1596	4.58	4.19	4.24	4.32	4.70
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	0	1	2	6	4.20	781/1535	4.38	4.23	4.15	4.25	4.20
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	0	0	2	7	4.40	538/1510	4.48	4.09	4.13	4.24	4.40
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	1	0	1	2	6	4.20	968/1620	4.17	4.28	4.20	4.29	4.20
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	2	8	4.80	840/1642	4.93	4.70	4.68	4.82	4.80
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	4	6	4.60	297/1596	4.18	4.04	4.12	4.20	4.60
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	1	9	4.90	248/1534	4.57	4.49	4.48	4.52	4.90
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.78	4.76	4.79	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	3	1	6	4.30	944/1531	4.51	4.35	4.33	4.34	4.30
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	1	8	4.70	519/1530	4.56	4.33	4.35	4.38	4.70
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	1	1	0	1	1	6	4.22	655/1409	3.81	4.14	4.08	4.04	4.22
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	9	4.90	145/1366	4.53	4.20	4.18	4.26	4.90
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1364	4.90	4.33	4.33	4.46	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1361	4.87	4.42	4.39	4.49	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	0	1	0	0	1	3	5	4.44	308/1019	4.23	3.91	4.09	4.12	4.44

Course-Section: PSYC 601 03

Title: Special Topics In Psych

Instructor: Sanders, Ethan S

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 10

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	8	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/209	****	4.19	4.19	4.03	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	8	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/72	****	4.78	4.53	4.53	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	8	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/71	****	4.42	4.38	4.31	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/68	****	4.15	4.41	4.37	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/71	****	4.46	4.40	4.53	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	8	0	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	****/73	****	3.45	4.09	4.09	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	****/48	****	****	4.16	3.59	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	8	0	1	0	1	0	0	2.00	****/45	****	****	4.19	3.89	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	8	1	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/30	****	****	4.57	4.11	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	8	1	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/25	****	****	4.35	3.82	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/51	****	****	4.03	3.66	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	8	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	****	****	4.18	3.73	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	8	0	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	****/36	****	****	4.33	4.41	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: PSYC 601 03 Title: Special Topics In Psych

Instructor: Sanders, Ethan S

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 10 Questionnaires: 10

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	8	1	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/19	****	****	4.17	3.84	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	4	0.00-0.99	1	Α	9	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	3	Major	7
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	7	Non-major	3
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	3	3.50-4.00	5	F	0	Electives	5	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: PSYC 601 04

Title: Special Topics In Psych

Instructor: Dahlquist,Lynnd

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 6

	NR NA 1 2 3 4 5 Mean 0 0 0 0 1 2 3 4.33 0 0 0 1 1 1 3 4.00 0 5 0 0 0 0 1 5.00 0 0 0 0 0 2 4 4.67 0 0 0 0 3 3 4.50 0 0 0 1 1 4 4.50 0 0 0 1 2 1 2 3.67 0 0 0 0 0 6 5.00 0 0 0 0 1 5 0 3.83							Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	2	3	4.33	889/1644	4.59	4.35	4.32	4.42	4.33
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	1	1	3	4.00	1210/1644	4.32	4.23	4.28	4.32	4.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	5	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1419	4.45	4.31	4.35	4.45	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	356/1596	4.58	4.19	4.24	4.32	4.67
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	4.50	442/1535	4.38	4.23	4.15	4.25	4.50
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	1	4	4.50	429/1510	4.48	4.09	4.13	4.24	4.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	2	1	2	3.67	1366/1620	4.17	4.28	4.20	4.29	3.67
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1642	4.93	4.70	4.68	4.82	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	1	5	0	3.83	1183/1596	4.18	4.04	4.12	4.20	3.83
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	2	1	3	4.17	1220/1534	4.57	4.49	4.48	4.52	4.17
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.78	4.76	4.79	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	2	3	4.60	565/1531	4.51	4.35	4.33	4.34	4.60
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	0	1	4	4.33	940/1530	4.56	4.33	4.35	4.38	4.33
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	2	0	1	1	1	1	3.50	1168/1409	3.81	4.14	4.08	4.04	3.50
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	237/1366	4.53	4.20	4.18	4.26	4.80
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1364	4.90	4.33	4.33	4.46	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	380/1361	4.87	4.42	4.39	4.49	4.80

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: PSYC 601 04

Title: Special Topics In Psych

Instructor: Dahlquist,Lynnd

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 6

Questionnaires: 6

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	1	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	125/1019	4.23	3.91	4.09	4.12	4.80

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	3	Α	6	Required for Majors	6	Graduate	4	Major	2
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	4
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	4	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: PSYC 602 01

Title: Bio,Cog. & Dev Bases

Instructor: Baker,Linda

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 16

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	2	4	5	4.27	953/1644	4.27	4.35	4.32	4.42	4.27
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	3	6	4.36	856/1644	4.36	4.23	4.28	4.32	4.36
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	9	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/1419	****	4.31	4.35	4.45	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	4	7	4.64	396/1596	4.64	4.19	4.24	4.32	4.64
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	2	2	7	4.45	510/1535	4.45	4.23	4.15	4.25	4.45
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	6	4	4.27	703/1510	4.27	4.09	4.13	4.24	4.27
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	4	6	4.45	606/1620	4.45	4.28	4.20	4.29	4.45
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	5.00	1/1642	5.00	4.70	4.68	4.82	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	1	0	0	3	4	3	4.00	971/1596	4.17	4.04	4.12	4.20	4.17
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	1	3	6	4.50	891/1534	4.50	4.49	4.48	4.52	4.50
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	2	8	4.80	894/1539	4.90	4.78	4.76	4.79	4.90
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	5	5	4.50	724/1531	4.58	4.35	4.33	4.34	4.58
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	5	5	4.50	755/1530	4.58	4.33	4.35	4.38	4.58
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	1	0	0	1	3	5	4.44	444/1409	4.56	4.14	4.08	4.04	4.56
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	2	2	7	4.45	548/1366	4.45	4.20	4.18	4.26	4.45
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	1	2	8	4.64	535/1364	4.64	4.33	4.33	4.46	4.64
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	1	10	4.91	247/1361	4.91	4.42	4.39	4.49	4.91

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: PSYC 602 01

Title: Bio,Cog. & Dev Bases

Instructor: Baker,Linda

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 16

Questionnaires: 11

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	0	3	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	101/1019	4.88	3.91	4.09	4.12	4.88

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	8	Required for Majors	9	Graduate	3	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	8	Non-major	11
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	3	3.50-4.00	5	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: PSYC 602 01

Title: Bio,Cog. & Dev Bases

Instructor: Waldstein, Shari

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 16

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	2	4	5	4.27	953/1644	4.27	4.35	4.32	4.42	4.27
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	3	6	4.36	856/1644	4.36	4.23	4.28	4.32	4.36
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	9	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/1419	****	4.31	4.35	4.45	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	4	7	4.64	396/1596	4.64	4.19	4.24	4.32	4.64
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	2	2	7	4.45	510/1535	4.45	4.23	4.15	4.25	4.45
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	6	4	4.27	703/1510	4.27	4.09	4.13	4.24	4.27
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	4	6	4.45	606/1620	4.45	4.28	4.20	4.29	4.45
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	5.00	1/1642	5.00	4.70	4.68	4.82	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	1	0	0	0	6	3	4.33	603/1596	4.17	4.04	4.12	4.20	4.17
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	5	0	0	0	1	1	4	4.50	891/1534	4.50	4.49	4.48	4.52	4.50
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	5	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1539	4.90	4.78	4.76	4.79	4.90
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	5	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	478/1531	4.58	4.35	4.33	4.34	4.58
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	569/1530	4.58	4.33	4.35	4.38	4.58
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	5	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	245/1409	4.56	4.14	4.08	4.04	4.56
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	2	2	7	4.45	548/1366	4.45	4.20	4.18	4.26	4.45
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	1	2	8	4.64	535/1364	4.64	4.33	4.33	4.46	4.64
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	1	10	4.91	247/1361	4.91	4.42	4.39	4.49	4.91

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: PSYC 602 01

Title: Bio,Cog. & Dev Bases

Instructor: Waldstein, Shari

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 16

Questionnaires: 11

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	0	3	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	101/1019	4.88	3.91	4.09	4.12	4.88

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	8	Required for Majors	9	Graduate	3	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	8	Non-major	11
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	3	3.50-4.00	5	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: PSYC 605 01

Title: Learning And Cognition

Instructor: Borrero, John C

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 12

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	0	11	4.83	254/1644	4.83	4.35	4.32	4.42	4.83
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	2	9	4.67	428/1644	4.67	4.23	4.28	4.32	4.67
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	0	3	8	4.50	632/1419	4.50	4.31	4.35	4.45	4.50
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	3	8	4.58	463/1596	4.58	4.19	4.24	4.32	4.58
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	0	3	8	4.50	442/1535	4.50	4.23	4.15	4.25	4.50
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	1	1	2	6	4.30	668/1510	4.30	4.09	4.13	4.24	4.30
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	1	1	9	4.50	527/1620	4.50	4.28	4.20	4.29	4.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	11	1	4.08	1500/1642	4.08	4.70	4.68	4.82	4.08
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	1	0	8	4.78	164/1596	4.78	4.04	4.12	4.20	4.78
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	1	1	10	4.75	525/1534	4.75	4.49	4.48	4.52	4.75
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	548/1539	4.92	4.78	4.76	4.79	4.92
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	1	0	2	9	4.58	596/1531	4.58	4.35	4.33	4.34	4.58
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	0	11	4.83	309/1530	4.83	4.33	4.35	4.38	4.83
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	1	0	0	1	5	5	4.36	523/1409	4.36	4.14	4.08	4.04	4.36
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	0	2	9	4.58	437/1366	4.58	4.20	4.18	4.26	4.58
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	1	5	6	4.42	745/1364	4.42	4.33	4.33	4.46	4.42
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	1	2	9	4.67	549/1361	4.67	4.42	4.39	4.49	4.67
4. Were special techniques successful	0	5	1	0	2	2	2	3.57	816/1019	3.57	3.91	4.09	4.12	3.57

Course-Section: PSYC 605 01

Title: Learning And Cognition

Instructor: Borrero, John C

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 12

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	11	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/185	****	3.86	4.23	4.14	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/209	****	4.19	4.19	4.03	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	11	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/181	****	4.35	4.53	4.35	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	11	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/183	****	4.18	4.46	4.44	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	10	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/72	****	4.78	4.53	4.53	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	10	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/71	****	4.42	4.38	4.31	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	10	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/68	****	4.15	4.41	4.37	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	10	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/71	****	4.46	4.40	4.53	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	10	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	****/73	****	3.45	4.09	4.09	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	10	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/48	****	****	4.16	3.59	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	10	0	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	****/45	****	****	4.19	3.89	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	10	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/27	****	****	4.25	3.29	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	10	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/51	****	****	4.03	3.66	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	10	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/31	****	****	4.18	3.73	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: PSYC 605 01

Title: Learning And Cognition

Instructor: Borrero, John C

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 12

Questionnaires: 12

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	10	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/36	****	****	4.33	4.41	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	Α	7	Required for Majors	10	Graduate	6	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	3						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	1	General	0	Under-grad	6	Non-major	12
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	6	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means the	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	0						

Course-Section: PSYC 606 01

Title: Adult Psychopathology

Instructor: Murphy,Christop

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 7

Questionnaires: 7

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	0	6	4.71	414/1644	4.71	4.35	4.32	4.42	4.71
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	3	3	4.29	958/1644	4.29	4.23	4.28	4.32	4.29
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	5	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1419	5.00	4.31	4.35	4.45	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	2	4	4.43	687/1596	4.43	4.19	4.24	4.32	4.43
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	2	4	4.43	550/1535	4.43	4.23	4.15	4.25	4.43
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	3	3	4.29	691/1510	4.29	4.09	4.13	4.24	4.29
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	3	3	4.29	849/1620	4.29	4.28	4.20	4.29	4.29
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1642	5.00	4.70	4.68	4.82	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	1	3	3	4.29	667/1596	4.29	4.04	4.12	4.20	4.29
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	1	2	3	4.33	1090/1534	4.33	4.49	4.48	4.52	4.33
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	751/1539	4.86	4.78	4.76	4.79	4.86
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	4.57	612/1531	4.57	4.35	4.33	4.34	4.57
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	279/1530	4.86	4.33	4.35	4.38	4.86
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	2	2	3	4.14	729/1409	4.14	4.14	4.08	4.04	4.14
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	1	4	4.50	492/1366	4.50	4.20	4.18	4.26	4.50
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	303/1364	4.83	4.33	4.33	4.46	4.83
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	3	3	4.50	703/1361	4.50	4.42	4.39	4.49	4.50
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	4	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/72	5.00	4.78	4.53	4.53	5.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: PSYC 606 01

Title: Adult Psychopathology

Instructor: Murphy, Christop

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 7

Questionnaires: 7

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	4	0	0	1	0	1	1	3.67	62/71	3.67	4.42	4.38	4.31	3.67
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	55/68	4.00	4.15	4.41	4.37	4.00
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	27/71	4.67	4.46	4.40	4.53	4.67
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	4	0	0	1	1	1	0	3.00	62/73	3.00	3.45	4.09	4.09	3.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned		Cum. GPA		Expected Grades		Reasons	Туре		Majors		
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	5	Α	6	Required for Majors	7	Graduate	3	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	4	Non-major	7
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	3	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: PSYC 615 01

Title: Meth Appl Behav An

Instructor: Kahng, Sungwoo

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 11

Questionnaires: 8

'	_			Fre	equencies			In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	5	2	4.13	1119/1644	4.13	4.35	4.32	4.42	4.13
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	4	2	4.00	1210/1644	4.00	4.23	4.28	4.32	4.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	3	2	3	4.00	1090/1419	4.00	4.31	4.35	4.45	4.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	4	3	4.25	911/1596	4.25	4.19	4.24	4.32	4.25
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	2	3	3	4.13	866/1535	4.13	4.23	4.15	4.25	4.13
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	1	1	2	2	3.83	1088/1510	3.83	4.09	4.13	4.24	3.83
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	224/1620	4.75	4.28	4.20	4.29	4.75
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1642	5.00	4.70	4.68	4.82	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	2	6	0	3.75	1240/1596	3.75	4.04	4.12	4.20	3.75
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	1	1	3	3	4.00	1296/1534	4.00	4.49	4.48	4.52	4.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	990/1539	4.75	4.78	4.76	4.79	4.75
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	1	1	3	3	4.00	1163/1531	4.00	4.35	4.33	4.34	4.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	3	3	2	3.88	1248/1530	3.88	4.33	4.35	4.38	3.88
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	3	3	2	3.88	943/1409	3.88	4.14	4.08	4.04	3.88
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	4	4	4.50	492/1366	4.50	4.20	4.18	4.26	4.50
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	2	2	4	4.25	877/1364	4.25	4.33	4.33	4.46	4.25
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	1	1	6	4.63	595/1361	4.63	4.42	4.39	4.49	4.63
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	7	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/72	****	4.78	4.53	4.53	****

Course-Section: PSYC 615 01

Title: Meth Appl Behav An

Instructor: Kahng, Sungwoo

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 11

Questionnaires: 8

	Frequencie		cies		Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect			
Questions			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	7	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/71	****	4.42	4.38	4.31	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/68	****	4.15	4.41	4.37	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/71	****	4.46	4.40	4.53	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	7	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/73	****	3.45	4.09	4.09	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/48	****	****	4.16	3.59	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	7	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/45	****	****	4.19	3.89	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations		0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/27	****	****	4.25	3.29	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/51	****	****	4.03	3.66	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal		0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/31	****	****	4.18	3.73	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	7	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/36	****	****	4.33	4.41	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned		Cum. GPA		Expected Grades		Reasons	Туре		Majors		
00-27	3	0.00-0.99	0	Α	7	Required for Majors	8	Graduate	4	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	4	Non-major	8
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	4	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Run Date: 1/30/2014 2:26:56 PM

Page 180 of 209

Report Help

Run Date: 1/30/2014 2:26:56 PM Page 181 of 209

Course-Section: PSYC 620 01

Title: Methods Of Assessment I

Instructor: Schiffman, Jason

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 6

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	482/1644	4.67	4.35	4.32	4.42	4.67
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	1	3	4.17	1082/1644	4.17	4.23	4.28	4.32	4.17
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	236/1419	4.83	4.31	4.35	4.45	4.83
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	356/1596	4.67	4.19	4.24	4.32	4.67
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	300/1535	4.67	4.23	4.15	4.25	4.67
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	429/1510	4.50	4.09	4.13	4.24	4.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	4	1	4.00	1134/1620	4.00	4.28	4.20	4.29	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	1038/1642	4.67	4.70	4.68	4.82	4.67
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	246/1596	4.67	4.04	4.12	4.20	4.67
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	1	2	3	4.33	1090/1534	4.33	4.49	4.48	4.52	4.33
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	808/1539	4.83	4.78	4.76	4.79	4.83
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	1	1	4	4.50	724/1531	4.50	4.35	4.33	4.34	4.50
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	0	5	4.67	569/1530	4.67	4.33	4.35	4.38	4.67
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	245/1409	4.67	4.14	4.08	4.04	4.67
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	237/1366	4.80	4.20	4.18	4.26	4.80
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1364	5.00	4.33	4.33	4.46	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1361	5.00	4.42	4.39	4.49	5.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: PSYC 620 01

Title: Methods Of Assessment I

Instructor: Schiffman, Jason

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 6

Questionnaires: 6

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	1	0	0	0	0	2	3	4.60	216/1019	4.60	3.91	4.09	4.12	4.60

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	3	Α	6	Required for Majors	6	Graduate	3	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	3	Non-major	6
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	3	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: PSYC 623 01

Title: Clinical Interventn II

Instructor: Barry, Robin A

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 12

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	1	0	1	1	3	3.83	1364/1644	3.83	4.35	4.32	4.42	3.83
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	2	0	2	2	3.67	1432/1644	3.67	4.23	4.28	4.32	3.67
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	3	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	1303/1419	3.50	4.31	4.35	4.45	3.50
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	1	4	4.50	567/1596	4.50	4.19	4.24	4.32	4.50
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	165/1535	4.83	4.23	4.15	4.25	4.83
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	0	2	3	4.17	822/1510	4.17	4.09	4.13	4.24	4.17
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	0	4	1	3.83	1286/1620	3.83	4.28	4.20	4.29	3.83
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	777/1642	4.83	4.70	4.68	4.82	4.83
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	2	1	0	2	1	2.83	1558/1596	2.83	4.04	4.12	4.20	2.83
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	2	0	0	2	2	3.33	1486/1534	3.33	4.49	4.48	4.52	3.33
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	808/1539	4.83	4.78	4.76	4.79	4.83
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	1	1	0	1	3	3.67	1348/1531	3.67	4.35	4.33	4.34	3.67
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	2	0	0	2	2	3.33	1430/1530	3.33	4.33	4.35	4.38	3.33
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	1	1	0	2	2	3.50	1168/1409	3.50	4.14	4.08	4.04	3.50
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	4.50	492/1366	4.50	4.20	4.18	4.26	4.50
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	1	0	1	4	4.33	817/1364	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.46	4.33
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	1	1	0	0	4	3.83	1125/1361	3.83	4.42	4.39	4.49	3.83
4. Were special techniques successful	0	2	0	0	0	3	1	4.25	431/1019	4.25	3.91	4.09	4.12	4.25

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: PSYC 623 01

Title: Clinical Interventn II

Instructor: Barry, Robin A

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 12

Questionnaires: 6

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	5	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/72	****	4.78	4.53	4.53	****

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	5	Required for Majors	6	Graduate	2	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	4	Non-major	6
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	2	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: PSYC 635 01

Title: Community Psychology

Instructor: Sto. Domingo, Ma

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 12

	NR NA 1 2 3 4 5 Mean 0 0 0 0 3 9 4.75 3							structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect	
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	3	9	4.75	358/1644	4.75	4.35	4.32	4.42	4.75
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	7	5	4.42	785/1644	4.42	4.23	4.28	4.32	4.42
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	10	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	****/1419	****	4.31	4.35	4.45	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	1	0	3	7	4.45	642/1596	4.45	4.19	4.24	4.32	4.45
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	2	10	4.83	165/1535	4.83	4.23	4.15	4.25	4.83
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	0	1	3	7	4.25	727/1510	4.25	4.09	4.13	4.24	4.25
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	0	4	7	4.42	669/1620	4.42	4.28	4.20	4.29	4.42
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	5.00	1/1642	5.00	4.70	4.68	4.82	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	1	0	0	0	8	3	4.27	679/1596	4.27	4.04	4.12	4.20	4.27
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	1	1	9	4.73	576/1534	4.73	4.49	4.48	4.52	4.73
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.78	4.76	4.79	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	1	2	8	4.64	521/1531	4.64	4.35	4.33	4.34	4.64
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	2	3	6	4.36	915/1530	4.36	4.33	4.35	4.38	4.36
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	9	0	0	2	1	0	3.33	1243/1409	3.33	4.14	4.08	4.04	3.33
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	5.00	1/1366	5.00	4.20	4.18	4.26	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	5.00	1/1364	5.00	4.33	4.33	4.46	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	5.00	1/1361	5.00	4.42	4.39	4.49	5.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: PSYC 635 01

Title: Community Psychology

Instructor: Sto. Domingo, Ma

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 12

Questionnaires: 12

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	0	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	82/1019	4.92	3.91	4.09	4.12	4.92

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	12	Required for Majors	9	Graduate	7	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	5	Non-major	12
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	7	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	3	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: PSYC 655 01

Title: Adv Top A Behav An

Instructor: Trahan, Maranda

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 13

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	1	2	4	5	4.08	1157/1644	4.08	4.35	4.32	4.42	4.08
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	6	4	2	3.67	1432/1644	3.67	4.23	4.28	4.32	3.67
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	5	0	2	2	1	2	3.43	1324/1419	3.43	4.31	4.35	4.45	3.43
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	6	2	4	3.83	1252/1596	3.83	4.19	4.24	4.32	3.83
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	1	8	2	3.92	1058/1535	3.92	4.23	4.15	4.25	3.92
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	5	3	4	3.92	1020/1510	3.92	4.09	4.13	4.24	3.92
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	3	4	1	4	3.50	1429/1620	3.50	4.28	4.20	4.29	3.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	568/1642	4.92	4.70	4.68	4.82	4.92
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	1	0	0	2	4	1	3.86	1171/1596	3.86	4.04	4.12	4.20	3.86
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	2	3	5	4.30	1117/1534	4.30	4.49	4.48	4.52	4.30
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	548/1539	4.92	4.78	4.76	4.79	4.92
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	7	3	4.30	944/1531	4.30	4.35	4.33	4.34	4.30
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	1	2	3	4	4.00	1163/1530	4.00	4.33	4.35	4.38	4.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	1	1	0	2	6	1	3.60	1126/1409	3.60	4.14	4.08	4.04	3.60
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	6	5	4.33	660/1366	4.33	4.20	4.18	4.26	4.33
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	1	6	5	4.33	817/1364	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.46	4.33
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	2	3	7	4.42	806/1361	4.42	4.42	4.39	4.49	4.42
4. Were special techniques successful	0	4	0	1	0	5	2	4.00	559/1019	4.00	3.91	4.09	4.12	4.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: PSYC 655 01

Title: Adv Top A Behav An

Instructor: Trahan, Maranda

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 13

Questionnaires: 12

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/72	****	4.78	4.53	4.53	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/71	****	4.42	4.38	4.31	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/68	****	4.15	4.41	4.37	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/71	****	4.46	4.40	4.53	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/73	****	3.45	4.09	4.09	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	Α	6	Required for Majors	10	Graduate	5	Major	12
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	5						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	7	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	5	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Run Date: 1/30/2014 2:26:56 PM

Course-Section: PSYC 670 1

Title: I/O Psychology

Instructor: Rosen, Theodore

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 15

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	8	6	4.33	889/1644	4.33	4.35	4.32	4.42	4.33
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	2	0	2	5	6	3.87	1327/1644	3.87	4.23	4.28	4.32	3.87
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	3	4	8	4.33	862/1419	4.33	4.31	4.35	4.45	4.33
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	6	8	4.47	627/1596	4.47	4.19	4.24	4.32	4.47
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	2	12	4.86	148/1535	4.86	4.23	4.15	4.25	4.86
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	1	3	4	6	4.07	888/1510	4.07	4.09	4.13	4.24	4.07
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	1	2	2	1	8	3.93	1219/1620	3.93	4.28	4.20	4.29	3.93
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	0	14	5.00	1/1642	5.00	4.70	4.68	4.82	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	2	8	3	4.08	924/1596	4.08	4.04	4.12	4.20	4.08
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	1	2	5	7	4.20	1194/1534	4.20	4.49	4.48	4.52	4.20
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	1	14	4.93	426/1539	4.93	4.78	4.76	4.79	4.93
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	2	3	10	4.53	676/1531	4.53	4.35	4.33	4.34	4.53
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	2	6	6	4.13	1092/1530	4.13	4.33	4.35	4.38	4.13
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	1	0	3	5	6	4.00	825/1409	4.00	4.14	4.08	4.04	4.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1366	5.00	4.20	4.18	4.26	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	8	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1364	5.00	4.33	4.33	4.46	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	8	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1361	5.00	4.42	4.39	4.49	5.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: PSYC 670 1

Title: I/O Psychology

Instructor: Rosen,Theodore

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 15

Questionnaires: 15

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	8	0	0	0	0	4	3	4.43	323/1019	4.43	3.91	4.09	4.12	4.43

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	1	Α	5	Required for Majors	9	Graduate	13	Major	15
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	4						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	13	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	6						

Course-Section: PSYC 671 01

Title: Seminar in Social Psycho

Instructor: Lasson, Elliot D

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 26

·				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	6	0	3	1	5	6	4	3.37	1553/1644	3.37	4.35	4.32	4.42	3.37
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	7	0	1	1	6	4	6	3.72	1400/1644	3.72	4.23	4.28	4.32	3.72
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	6	0	0	1	4	3	11	4.26	934/1419	4.26	4.31	4.35	4.45	4.26
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	6	0	1	3	5	7	3	3.42	1461/1596	3.42	4.19	4.24	4.32	3.42
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	6	0	1	2	3	7	6	3.79	1155/1535	3.79	4.23	4.15	4.25	3.79
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	6	0	1	2	8	4	4	3.42	1325/1510	3.42	4.09	4.13	4.24	3.42
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	6	0	1	2	7	1	8	3.68	1358/1620	3.68	4.28	4.20	4.29	3.68
8. How many times was class cancelled	6	0	0	0	0	6	13	4.68	1013/1642	4.68	4.70	4.68	4.82	4.68
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	8	0	1	2	8	4	2	3.24	1486/1596	3.24	4.04	4.12	4.20	3.24
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	7	0	1	1	4	5	7	3.89	1358/1534	3.89	4.49	4.48	4.52	3.89
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	7	0	1	1	2	5	9	4.11	1471/1539	4.11	4.78	4.76	4.79	4.11
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	7	0	0	2	5	4	7	3.89	1256/1531	3.89	4.35	4.33	4.34	3.89
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	7	0	2	4	5	3	4	3.17	1458/1530	3.17	4.33	4.35	4.38	3.17
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	7	9	2	2	2	1	2	2.89	1350/1409	2.89	4.14	4.08	4.04	2.89
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	11	0	2	1	3	4	4	3.50	1151/1366	3.50	4.20	4.18	4.26	3.50
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	11	0	1	1	2	4	6	3.93	1073/1364	3.93	4.33	4.33	4.46	3.93
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	11	0	1	0	6	1	6	3.79	1146/1361	3.79	4.42	4.39	4.49	3.79
4. Were special techniques successful	11	8	2	2	1	0	1	2.33	****/1019	****	3.91	4.09	4.12	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: PSYC 671 01

Title: Seminar in Social Psycho

Instructor: Lasson, Elliot D

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 26

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	24	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/209	****	4.19	4.19	4.03	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	24	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/72	****	4.78	4.53	4.53	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	24	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/71	****	4.42	4.38	4.31	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	24	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/68	****	4.15	4.41	4.37	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	24	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/71	****	4.46	4.40	4.53	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	24	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/48	****	****	4.16	3.59	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	24	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/51	****	****	4.03	3.66	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	24	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/31	****	****	4.18	3.73	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: PSYC 671 01

Title: Seminar in Social Psycho

Instructor: Lasson, Elliot D

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 26

Questionnaires: 25

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	24	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/36	****	****	4.33	4.41	****

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP.	A	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	9	0.00-0.99	2	Α	15	Required for Majors	14	Graduate	8	Major	19
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	3						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	1	Under-grad	17	Non-major	6
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	8	3.50-4.00	10	F	0	Electives	3	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	7						

Course-Section: PSYC 672 01

Title: Intro to Dat Anlytc Proc

Instructor: Sady, Maegan D

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 18

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	1	0	3	2	11	4.29	932/1644	4.29	4.35	4.32	4.42	4.29
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	4	5	7	4.06	1180/1644	4.03	4.23	4.28	4.32	4.06
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	3	5	5	4	3.59	1275/1419	3.56	4.31	4.35	4.45	3.59
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	1	1	6	3	5	3.63	1381/1596	3.69	4.19	4.24	4.32	3.63
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	4	0	3	7	2	3.19	1440/1535	3.56	4.23	4.15	4.25	3.19
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	6	0	0	2	4	4	4.20	787/1510	4.10	4.09	4.13	4.24	4.20
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	2	4	10	4.50	527/1620	4.63	4.28	4.20	4.29	4.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	2	14	4.88	694/1642	4.88	4.70	4.68	4.82	4.88
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	0	0	3	10	0	3.77	1233/1596	3.76	4.04	4.12	4.20	3.77
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	6	11	4.65	707/1534	4.29	4.49	4.48	4.52	4.65
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	5	12	4.71	1086/1539	4.65	4.78	4.76	4.79	4.71
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	1	5	6	5	3.88	1256/1531	3.97	4.35	4.33	4.34	3.88
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	3	4	10	4.41	869/1530	4.24	4.33	4.35	4.38	4.41
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	2	0	0	1	6	8	4.47	423/1409	4.17	4.14	4.08	4.04	4.47
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	3	3	3	2	3.36	1198/1366	3.65	4.20	4.18	4.26	3.36
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	6	0	0	2	1	4	4	3.91	1088/1364	3.92	4.33	4.33	4.46	3.91
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	6	0	0	2	2	1	6	4.00	1034/1361	3.87	4.42	4.39	4.49	4.00
4. Were special techniques successful	4	9	0	2	1	0	1	3.00	****/1019	****	3.91	4.09	4.12	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: PSYC 672 01

Title: Intro to Dat Anlytc Proc

Instructor: Sady, Maegan D

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 18

Questionnaires: 17

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	16	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/72	****	4.78	4.53	4.53	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	16	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/71	****	4.42	4.38	4.31	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	16	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/71	****	4.46	4.40	4.53	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	16	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/73	****	3.45	4.09	4.09	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	8	0.00-0.99	2	Α	9	Required for Majors	14	Graduate	4	Major	11
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	4						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	13	Non-major	6
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	4	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	4						

Course-Section: PSYC 672 02

Title: Intro to Dat Anlytc Proc

Instructor: Sady, Kayo G

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 19

·				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	1	2	5	9	4.29	932/1644	4.29	4.35	4.32	4.42	4.29
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	1	1	2	6	7	4.00	1210/1644	4.03	4.23	4.28	4.32	4.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	4	4	5	4	3.53	1296/1419	3.56	4.31	4.35	4.45	3.53
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	1	0	5	7	4	3.76	1298/1596	3.69	4.19	4.24	4.32	3.76
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	0	4	6	6	3.94	1028/1535	3.56	4.23	4.15	4.25	3.94
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	2	2	0	0	7	6	4.00	921/1510	4.10	4.09	4.13	4.24	4.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	0	4	13	4.76	211/1620	4.63	4.28	4.20	4.29	4.76
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	2	15	4.88	673/1642	4.88	4.70	4.68	4.82	4.88
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	6	0	0	0	4	7	1	3.75	1240/1596	3.76	4.04	4.12	4.20	3.75
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	1	4	7	5	3.94	1329/1534	4.29	4.49	4.48	4.52	3.94
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	1	5	11	4.59	1230/1539	4.65	4.78	4.76	4.79	4.59
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	4	8	5	4.06	1141/1531	3.97	4.35	4.33	4.34	4.06
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	1	3	7	6	4.06	1138/1530	4.24	4.33	4.35	4.38	4.06
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	1	2	0	3	4	7	3.88	943/1409	4.17	4.14	4.08	4.04	3.88
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	1	0	2	8	4	3.93	918/1366	3.65	4.20	4.18	4.26	3.93
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	1	0	4	4	6	3.93	1065/1364	3.92	4.33	4.33	4.46	3.93
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	2	0	3	5	5	3.73	1165/1361	3.87	4.42	4.39	4.49	3.73
4. Were special techniques successful	3	11	0	0	0	3	1	4.25	****/1019	****	3.91	4.09	4.12	****

Course-Section: PSYC 672 02

Title: Intro to Dat Anlytc Proc

Instructor: Sady, Kayo G

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 19

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	12	3	0	0	1	2	0	3.67	****/185	****	3.86	4.23	4.14	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	13	0	0	0	2	0	3	4.20	126/209	4.20	4.19	4.19	4.03	4.20
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	13	2	1	0	0	1	1	3.33	****/181	****	4.35	4.53	4.35	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	13	2	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	****/183	****	4.18	4.46	4.44	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	13	3	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	****/172	****	4.21	4.14	4.27	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	14	0	0	0	0	3	1	4.25	****/72	****	4.78	4.53	4.53	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	14	1	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	****/71	****	4.42	4.38	4.31	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	14	2	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/68	****	4.15	4.41	4.37	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	14	1	0	0	0	3	0	4.00	****/71	****	4.46	4.40	4.53	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	14	2	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	****/73	****	3.45	4.09	4.09	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	15	0	0	1	0	1	1	3.67	****/48	****	****	4.16	3.59	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	15	0	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	****/45	****	****	4.19	3.89	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	15	1	0	1	1	0	0	2.50	****/30	****	****	4.57	4.11	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	14	0	1	0	0	1	2	3.75	****/51	****	****	4.03	3.66	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	14	1	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	****/31	****	****	4.18	3.73	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	14	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/36	****	****	4.33	4.41	****
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	14	0	0	1	0	1	2	4.00	****/19	****	****	4.17	3.84	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: PSYC 672 02

Title: Intro to Dat Anlytc Proc

Instructor: Sady, Kayo G

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 19
Questionnaires: 18

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	14	1	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/14	****	****	4.17	3.79	****

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	4	0.00-0.99	3	Α	8	Required for Majors	15	Graduate	6	Major	15
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	4						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	12	Non-major	3
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	6	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	5						

Course-Section: PSYC 673 01

Title: Leg,Eth. & Prof Iss. I/O

Instructor: Dunleavy, Eric M

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 18

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	2	0	0	0	1	6	9	4.50	688/1644	4.50	4.35	4.32	4.42	4.50
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	0	3	4	2	7	3.81	1354/1644	3.81	4.23	4.28	4.32	3.81
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	0	2	5	1	2	6	3.31	1348/1419	3.31	4.31	4.35	4.45	3.31
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	0	2	3	3	4	4	3.31	1496/1596	3.31	4.19	4.24	4.32	3.31
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	3	0	1	0	2	5	7	4.13	855/1535	4.13	4.23	4.15	4.25	4.13
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	3	1	1	1	5	1	6	3.71	1156/1510	3.71	4.09	4.13	4.24	3.71
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	3	0	1	2	2	2	8	3.93	1208/1620	3.93	4.28	4.20	4.29	3.93
8. How many times was class cancelled	3	0	0	0	0	2	13	4.87	715/1642	4.87	4.70	4.68	4.82	4.87
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	7	0	0	2	2	4	3	3.73	1263/1596	3.73	4.04	4.12	4.20	3.73
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	1	2	2	4	7	3.88	1362/1534	3.88	4.49	4.48	4.52	3.88
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	1	0	2	13	4.69	1111/1539	4.69	4.78	4.76	4.79	4.69
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	1	2	6	1	6	3.56	1377/1531	3.56	4.35	4.33	4.34	3.56
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	4	0	1	3	8	3.69	1318/1530	3.69	4.33	4.35	4.38	3.69
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	1	4	2	1	2	6	3.27	1268/1409	3.27	4.14	4.08	4.04	3.27
Discussion										_				
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	8	0	1	0	3	1	5	3.90	942/1366	3.90	4.20	4.18	4.26	3.90
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	8	0	0	3	2	0	5	3.70	1162/1364	3.70	4.33	4.33	4.46	3.70
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	8	0	0	0	3	1	6	4.30	900/1361	4.30	4.42	4.39	4.49	4.30

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: PSYC 673 01

Title: Leg,Eth. & Prof Iss. I/O

Instructor: Dunleavy, Eric M

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 18

Questionnaires: 18

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	8	3	0	0	1	2	4	4.43	323/1019	4.43	3.91	4.09	4.12	4.43

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	8	0.00-0.99	0	Α	7	Required for Majors	11	Graduate	1	Major	16
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	4						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	1	Under-grad	17	Non-major	2
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	1	3.50-4.00	8	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	5						

Course-Section: PSYC 715 01

Title: Measurement Of Behavior

Instructor: Sun, Shuyan

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 9

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	3	6	4.67	482/1644	4.67	4.35	4.32	4.42	4.67
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	273/1644	4.78	4.23	4.28	4.32	4.78
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	4	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1419	5.00	4.31	4.35	4.45	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	131/1596	4.89	4.19	4.24	4.32	4.89
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	5	4	4.44	523/1535	4.44	4.23	4.15	4.25	4.44
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	177/1510	4.78	4.09	4.13	4.24	4.78
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	0	0	3	5	4.63	375/1620	4.63	4.28	4.20	4.29	4.63
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1642	5.00	4.70	4.68	4.82	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	3	5	4.63	280/1596	4.63	4.04	4.12	4.20	4.63
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1534	5.00	4.49	4.48	4.52	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.78	4.76	4.79	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	3	6	4.67	478/1531	4.67	4.35	4.33	4.34	4.67
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	3	6	4.67	569/1530	4.67	4.33	4.35	4.38	4.67
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	1	1	0	4	3	3.78	1014/1409	3.78	4.14	4.08	4.04	3.78
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	1	2	3	4.33	660/1366	4.33	4.20	4.18	4.26	4.33
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	500/1364	4.67	4.33	4.33	4.46	4.67

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: PSYC 715 01

Title: Measurement Of Behavior

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 9

Questionnaires: 9

Instructor: Sun, Shuyan

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	549/1361	4.67	4.42	4.39	4.49	4.67

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	7	Required for Majors	4	Graduate	2	Major	0
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	2						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	1	Under-grad	7	Non-major	9
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	2	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	4	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: PSYC 721 01

Title: Assessment

Instructor: Sonnenschein, Su

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 10

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	1	3	4	1	3.56	1503/1644	3.56	4.35	4.32	4.42	3.56
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	6	2	0	3.11	1580/1644	3.11	4.23	4.28	4.32	3.11
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	7	0	1	1	0	0	2.50	****/1419	****	4.31	4.35	4.45	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	1	3	1	3	1	3.00	1540/1596	3.00	4.19	4.24	4.32	3.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	0	4	1	3	3.56	1298/1535	3.56	4.23	4.15	4.25	3.56
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	2	3	3	3.89	1048/1510	3.89	4.09	4.13	4.24	3.89
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	1	4	1	1	2	2.89	1557/1620	2.89	4.28	4.20	4.29	2.89
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	4	5	0	3.56	1625/1642	3.56	4.70	4.68	4.82	3.56
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	1	0	4	4	0	3.22	1489/1596	3.22	4.04	4.12	4.20	3.22
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	1	0	2	3	3	3.78	1398/1534	3.78	4.49	4.48	4.52	3.78
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	4	5	4.56	1255/1539	4.56	4.78	4.76	4.79	4.56
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	2	7	0	3.78	1305/1531	3.78	4.35	4.33	4.34	3.78
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	0	1	5	2	3.78	1288/1530	3.78	4.33	4.35	4.38	3.78
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	4	4	1	3.67	1098/1366	3.67	4.20	4.18	4.26	3.67
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	1	2	4	2	3.78	1134/1364	3.78	4.33	4.33	4.46	3.78
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	5	1	3	3.78	1150/1361	3.78	4.42	4.39	4.49	3.78

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: PSYC 721 01

Title: Assessment

Instructor: Sonnenschein,Su

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 10

Questionnaires: 9

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	0	5	0	1	2	1	0	3.00	961/1019	3.00	3.91	4.09	4.12	3.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	7	Required for Majors	8	Graduate	7	Major	0
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	9
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	7	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: PSYC 742 01

Title: Sem Child Health Psyc

Instructor: Dahlquist,Lynnd

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 8

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	208/1644	4.88	4.35	4.32	4.42	4.88
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	169/1644	4.88	4.23	4.28	4.32	4.88
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	6	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1419	5.00	4.31	4.35	4.45	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	1	0	0	1	5	4.29	879/1596	4.29	4.19	4.24	4.32	4.29
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	225/1535	4.75	4.23	4.15	4.25	4.75
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	5	3	4.38	577/1510	4.38	4.09	4.13	4.24	4.38
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	0	3	4	4.57	436/1620	4.57	4.28	4.20	4.29	4.57
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1642	5.00	4.70	4.68	4.82	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	119/1596	4.86	4.04	4.12	4.20	4.86
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	305/1534	4.88	4.49	4.48	4.52	4.88
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.78	4.76	4.79	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1531	5.00	4.35	4.33	4.34	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	248/1530	4.88	4.33	4.35	4.38	4.88
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	3	5	4.63	279/1409	4.63	4.14	4.08	4.04	4.63
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	172/1366	4.88	4.20	4.18	4.26	4.88
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1364	5.00	4.33	4.33	4.46	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1361	5.00	4.42	4.39	4.49	5.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: PSYC 742 01

Instructor: Dahlquist,Lynnd

Title: Sem Child Health Psyc

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 8

Questionnaires: 8

Frequencies Course UMBC Level Sect Instructor Org Questions NR NA 3 5 Mean Rank Mean Mean Mean Mean Mean **Discussion** 4. Were special techniques successful 5 4.71 161/1019 4.71 3.91 4.09 4.12 4.71 0 2 1 0 0

Credits Earned		Cum. GPA		Expected Grades		Reasons	Туре		Majors		
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	6	Required for Majors	4	Graduate	4	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	4	Non-major	8
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	4	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	3	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: PSYC 781 01

Title: Social Development

Instructor: Schultz, David A

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 11

			Frequencies					Ins	Instructor		Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	2	8	4.80	288/1644	4.80	4.35	4.32	4.42	4.80
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	4	5	4.40	802/1644	4.40	4.23	4.28	4.32	4.40
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	0	3	5	4.63	516/1419	4.63	4.31	4.35	4.45	4.63
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	4	6	4.60	437/1596	4.60	4.19	4.24	4.32	4.60
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	0	9	4.80	191/1535	4.80	4.23	4.15	4.25	4.80
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	2	1	7	4.50	429/1510	4.50	4.09	4.13	4.24	4.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	6	4	4.40	685/1620	4.40	4.28	4.20	4.29	4.40
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1642	5.00	4.70	4.68	4.82	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	1	0	0	5	4	4.10	905/1596	4.10	4.04	4.12	4.20	4.10
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	2	1	7	4.50	891/1534	4.50	4.49	4.48	4.52	4.50
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.78	4.76	4.79	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	4	6	4.60	565/1531	4.60	4.35	4.33	4.34	4.60
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	9	4.90	202/1530	4.90	4.33	4.35	4.38	4.90
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	3	7	4.70	211/1409	4.70	4.14	4.08	4.04	4.70
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	2	7	4.60	424/1366	4.60	4.20	4.18	4.26	4.60
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	2	8	4.80	342/1364	4.80	4.33	4.33	4.46	4.80
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	2	8	4.80	380/1361	4.80	4.42	4.39	4.49	4.80

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: PSYC 781 01

Title: Social Development

Instructor: Schultz, David A

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 11
Questionnaires: 10

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	0	7	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	559/1019	4.00	3.91	4.09	4.12	4.00

Credits Earned		Cum. GPA		Expected Grades		Reasons	Туре		Majors		
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	4	Required for Majors	9	Graduate	9	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	5						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	10
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	9	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						