Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Report Help

Course-Section: SPCH 100 01			Term	- Fal	<mark> 201</mark> 3	3						Enro	llment:	25
Title: Public Communication											Q	uestion	naires:	15
Instructor: Gardenghi,Sarah														
				Fre	quene	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	1	0	2	1	11	4.40	814/1644	4.40	4.40	4.32	4.16	4.40
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	2	12	4.73	331/1644	4.73	4.73	4.28	4.23	4.73
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	1	0	2	4	7	4.14	1026/1419	4.14	4.14	4.35	4.25	4.14
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	5	9	4.53	528/1596	4.53	4.53	4.24	4.09	4.53
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	5	3	3	4	3.40	1376/1535	3.40	3.40	4.15	4.02	3.40
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	3	0	1	2	2	7	4.25	727/1510	4.25	4.25	4.13	3.91	4.25
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	1	2	1	3	8	4.00	1134/1620	4.00	4.00	4.20	4.13	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	14	4.93	442/1642	4.93	4.93	4.68	4.68	4.93
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	1	0	0	5	5	4.18	795/1596	4.18	4.18	4.12	4.07	4.18
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	1	13	4.93	198/1534	4.93	4.93	4.48	4.45	4.93
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	13	5.00	1/1539	5.00	5.00	4.76	4.72	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	1	1	12	4.79	304/1531	4.79	4.79	4.33	4.30	4.79
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	1	12	4.79	388/1530	4.79	4.79	4.35	4.30	4.79
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	2	2	10	4.57	321/1409	4.57	4.57	4.08	3.97	4.57
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	****/1366	****	****	4.18	3.96	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	12	0	0	0	1	2	0	3.67	****/1364	****	****	4.33	4.10	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	12	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/1361	****	****	4.39	4.17	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Report Help

Course-Section:	SPCH 100 01		Term - Fall 2013									Enro	llment:	25	
Title:	Public Communication											Q	uestion	naires:	15
Instructor:	Gardenghi,Sarah														
					Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Discussion														
4. Were special techniqu	ies successful	12	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/1019	****	****	4.09	3.97	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned		Cum. GPA		Expected Grades		Reasons		Туре		Majors		
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	А	8	Required for Majors	8	Graduate	0	Major	0	
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	4							
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	С	1	General	2	Under-grad	15	Non-major	6	
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	2	D	0							
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses		
				Р	0			to be significant				
				I	0	Other	1					
				?	2							