

Course-Section: DANC 110 0101
 Title BEGIN MOD DANC TECH I
 Instructor: MARTINELL, NICO
 Enrollment: 26
 Questionnaires: 19

University of Maryland
 Baltimore County
 Spring 2009

Page 486
 JUL 2, 2009
 Job IRBR3029

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

Questions	Frequencies								Instructor		Course	Dept	UMBC	Level	Sect
	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	
General															
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	5	13	4.63	457/1576	4.63	4.47	4.30	4.11	4.63	
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	4	15	4.79	244/1576	4.79	4.21	4.27	4.18	4.79	
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	12	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	209/1342	4.86	4.56	4.32	4.19	4.86	
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	7	11	4.61	385/1520	4.61	4.29	4.25	4.09	4.61	
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	18	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/1465	****	4.19	4.12	4.02	****	
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	2	1	3	7	5	3.67	1142/1434	3.67	3.70	4.14	3.94	3.67	
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	8	11	4.58	445/1547	4.58	3.86	4.19	4.10	4.58	
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	9	10	4.53	1063/1574	4.53	4.67	4.64	4.59	4.53	
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	1	6	9	4.50	395/1554	4.50	4.16	4.10	4.01	4.50	
Lecture															
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	13	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	355/1488	4.83	4.34	4.47	4.41	4.83	
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	12	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1493	5.00	4.61	4.73	4.65	5.00	
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	12	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	393/1486	4.71	4.18	4.32	4.26	4.71	
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	0	4	3	4.43	789/1489	4.43	4.26	4.32	4.22	4.43	
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	13	5	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1277	****	4.48	4.03	3.91	****	
Discussion															
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	15	0	1	0	2	1	0	2.75	****/1279	****	4.06	4.17	3.96	****	
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	15	0	1	0	1	0	2	3.50	****/1270	****	4.59	4.35	4.09	****	
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	15	0	1	0	1	0	2	3.50	****/1269	****	4.62	4.35	4.09	****	
4. Were special techniques successful	15	0	0	1	1	1	1	3.50	****/ 878	****	3.84	4.05	3.91	****	

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	0	0.00-0.99 0	A 11	Required for Majors 8	Graduate 0 Major 0
28-55	1	1.00-1.99 0	B 5		
56-83	5	2.00-2.99 2	C 0	General 7	Under-grad 19 Non-major 19
84-150	5	3.00-3.49 4	D 0		
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 7	F 0	Electives 1	#### - Means there are not enough responses to be significant
			P 1		
			I 0	Other 1	
			? 0		

Course-Section: DANC 201 0101
 Title DANCE HIST I:CULT/CLAS
 Instructor: CLANCY, ADRIENN
 Enrollment: 24
 Questionnaires: 19

University of Maryland
 Baltimore County
 Spring 2009

Page 487
 JUL 2, 2009
 Job IRBR3029

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course Mean	Dept Mean	UMBC Mean	Level Mean	Sect Mean
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank					

General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	1	3	5	4	6	3.58	1420/1576	3.58	4.47	4.30	4.35	3.58
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	2	4	5	8	4.00	1138/1576	4.00	4.21	4.27	4.32	4.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	1	1	3	5	8	4.00	972/1342	4.00	4.56	4.32	4.41	4.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	2	2	5	9	4.17	945/1520	4.17	4.29	4.25	4.26	4.17
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	1	9	8	4.26	637/1465	4.26	4.19	4.12	4.09	4.26
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	1	4	8	5	3.94	953/1434	3.94	3.70	4.14	4.06	3.94
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	2	1	2	5	8	3.89	1159/1547	3.89	3.86	4.19	4.22	3.89
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	1	0	4	14	4.63	957/1574	4.63	4.67	4.64	4.62	4.63
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	1	0	0	8	8	0	3.50	1303/1554	3.50	4.16	4.10	4.05	3.50
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	1	2	4	4	5	3.63	1374/1488	3.63	4.34	4.47	4.44	3.63
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	0	4	12	4.75	908/1493	4.75	4.61	4.73	4.75	4.75
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	1	0	6	4	5	3.75	1253/1486	3.75	4.18	4.32	4.29	3.75
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	1	1	0	8	3	3	3.47	1325/1489	3.47	4.26	4.32	4.31	3.47
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	7	1	0	0	3	4	4	4.09	656/1277	4.09	4.48	4.03	4.01	4.09
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	11	0	1	1	2	2	2	3.38	1115/1279	3.38	4.06	4.17	4.14	3.38
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	11	0	0	0	1	3	4	4.38	756/1270	4.38	4.59	4.35	4.30	4.38
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	11	0	0	0	1	2	5	4.50	644/1269	4.50	4.62	4.35	4.29	4.50
4. Were special techniques successful	11	0	0	0	2	3	3	4.13	435/ 878	4.13	3.84	4.05	3.92	4.13
Laboratory														
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/ 379	****	****	4.20	4.29	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	A	2	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	4
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	1	B	4						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	1	C	4	General	1	Under-grad	19	Non-major	15
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	3	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	#### - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	9				
				?	0						

Course-Section: DANC 201H 0101
 Title DANCE HIST I:CULT/CLAS
 Instructor: CLANCY, ADRIENN
 Enrollment: 7
 Questionnaires: 7

University of Maryland
 Baltimore County
 Spring 2009

Page 488
 JUL 2, 2009
 Job IRBR3029

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course Mean	Dept Mean	UMBC Mean	Level Mean	Sect Mean
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank					
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	1	0	0	1	5	4.29	916/1576	4.29	4.47	4.30	4.35	4.29
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	2	0	2	3	3.86	1264/1576	3.86	4.21	4.27	4.32	3.86
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	0	3	3	4.14	912/1342	4.14	4.56	4.32	4.41	4.14
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	1	3	2	3.86	1199/1520	3.86	4.29	4.25	4.26	3.86
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	2	1	3	3.86	1028/1465	3.86	4.19	4.12	4.09	3.86
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	2	0	1	4	4.00	878/1434	4.00	3.70	4.14	4.06	4.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	1	0	2	2	2	3.57	1316/1547	3.57	3.86	4.19	4.22	3.57
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1574	5.00	4.67	4.64	4.62	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	1	0	3	3	0	3.14	1420/1554	3.14	4.16	4.10	4.05	3.14
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	3	2	2	3.86	1329/1488	3.86	4.34	4.47	4.44	3.86
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	1	1	5	4.57	1150/1493	4.57	4.61	4.73	4.75	4.57
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	1	3	1	2	3.57	1313/1486	3.57	4.18	4.32	4.29	3.57
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	2	3	2	4.00	1118/1489	4.00	4.26	4.32	4.31	4.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	1	0	0	3	1	2	3.83	839/1277	3.83	4.48	4.03	4.01	3.83
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	0	1	3	1	3.50	1064/1279	3.50	4.06	4.17	4.14	3.50
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	1	1	4	4.50	636/1270	4.50	4.59	4.35	4.30	4.50
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	1	3	2	4.17	870/1269	4.17	4.62	4.35	4.29	4.17
4. Were special techniques successful	1	1	0	2	2	1	0	2.80	837/ 878	2.80	3.84	4.05	3.92	2.80

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	A 7	Required for Majors	2
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	B 0		Graduate
56-83	4	2.00-2.99	C 0	General	1
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	D 0		Under-grad
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	F 0	Electives	0
			P 0		
			I 0	Other	4
			? 0		

- Means there are not enough responses to be significant

Course-Section: DANC 216 0101
 Title BALLET II (INTERMEDIAT
 Instructor: DOLID, LAURA
 Enrollment: 19
 Questionnaires: 13

University of Maryland
 Baltimore County
 Spring 2009

Page 489
 JUL 2, 2009
 Job IRBR3029

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

Questions	Frequencies								Instructor		Course	Dept	UMBC	Level	Sect
	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	

General															
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	4	9	4.69	373/1576	4.69	4.47	4.30	4.35	4.69	
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	0	4	8	4.46	668/1576	4.46	4.21	4.27	4.32	4.46	
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	4	0	0	1	3	5	4.44	658/1342	4.44	4.56	4.32	4.41	4.44	
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	4	0	0	2	3	4	4.22	891/1520	4.22	4.29	4.25	4.26	4.22	
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	11	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/1465	****	4.19	4.12	4.09	****	
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	3	2	3	4	3.67	1142/1434	3.67	3.70	4.14	4.06	3.67	
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	3	0	0	3	3	4	4.10	971/1547	4.10	3.86	4.19	4.22	4.10	
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	5	8	4.62	987/1574	4.62	4.67	4.64	4.62	4.62	
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	0	7	4	4.36	584/1554	4.36	4.16	4.10	4.05	4.36	
Lecture															
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	10	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/1488	****	4.34	4.47	4.44	****	
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	10	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/1493	****	4.61	4.73	4.75	****	
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	10	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/1486	****	4.18	4.32	4.29	****	
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	10	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/1489	****	4.26	4.32	4.31	****	
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	10	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1277	****	4.48	4.03	4.01	****	
Discussion															
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	11	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1279	****	4.06	4.17	4.14	****	
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1270	****	4.59	4.35	4.30	****	
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	11	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1269	****	4.62	4.35	4.29	****	
4. Were special techniques successful	11	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/ 878	****	3.84	4.05	3.92	****	

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	2	0.00-0.99 0	A 10	Required for Majors	0
28-55	3	1.00-1.99 0	B 2		Graduate 0
56-83	2	2.00-2.99 1	C 0	General	3
84-150	1	3.00-3.49 2	D 0		Under-grad 13
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 6	F 0	Electives	1
			P 0		#### - Means there are not enough responses to be significant
			I 0	Other	7
			? 0		

Course-Section: DANC 230 0101
 Title IMPROVISATION
 Instructor: CLANCY, ADRIENN
 Enrollment: 17
 Questionnaires: 15

University of Maryland
 Baltimore County
 Spring 2009

Page 490
 JUL 2, 2009
 Job IRBR3029

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

Questions	Frequencies								Instructor		Course	Dept	UMBC	Level	Sect
	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	
General															
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	3	12	4.80	243/1576	4.80	4.47	4.30	4.35	4.80	
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	4	10	4.60	476/1576	4.60	4.21	4.27	4.32	4.60	
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	10	0	0	1	3	4.75	298/1342	4.75	4.56	4.32	4.41	4.75		
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	4	0	0	4	1	6	4.18	929/1520	4.18	4.29	4.25	4.18		
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	12	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/1465	****	4.19	4.12	4.09	****	
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	3	4	7	4.29	647/1434	4.29	3.70	4.14	4.06	4.29	
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	2	0	0	1	6	5	4.33	755/1547	4.33	3.86	4.19	4.22	4.33	
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	1	12	4.92	375/1574	4.92	4.67	4.64	4.62	4.92	
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	1	8	5	4.29	682/1554	4.29	4.16	4.10	4.05	4.29	
Lecture															
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	8	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	589/1488	4.71	4.34	4.47	4.44	4.71	
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	8	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	683/1493	4.86	4.61	4.73	4.75	4.86	
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	8	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	393/1486	4.71	4.18	4.32	4.29	4.71	
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	274/1489	4.83	4.26	4.32	4.31	4.83	
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	9	3	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/1277	****	4.48	4.03	4.01	****	
Discussion															
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	10	0	0	0	0	2	3	4.60	381/1279	4.60	4.06	4.17	4.14	4.60	
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	10	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	355/1270	4.80	4.59	4.35	4.30	4.80	
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	10	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	386/1269	4.80	4.62	4.35	4.29	4.80	
4. Were special techniques successful	10	0	0	0	0	2	3	4.60	187/ 878	4.60	3.84	4.05	3.92	4.60	

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	1	0.00-0.99 0	A 14	Required for Majors 1	Graduate 0 Major 8
28-55	2	1.00-1.99 0	B 0		
56-83	3	2.00-2.99 0	C 0	General 1	Under-grad 15 Non-major 7
84-150	1	3.00-3.49 2	D 0		
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 7	F 0	Electives 1	#### - Means there are not enough responses to be significant
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 11	
			? 0		

Course-Section: DANC 280A 0101
 Title PERFORMANCE PRACTICUM
 Instructor: LACY, SANDRA L
 Enrollment: 3
 Questionnaires: 3

University of Maryland
 Baltimore County
 Spring 2009

Page 491
 JUL 2, 2009
 Job IRBR3029

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course Mean	Dept Mean	UMBC Mean	Level Mean	Sect Mean
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank					

General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1576	5.00	4.47	4.30	4.35	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	851/1576	4.33	4.21	4.27	4.32	4.33
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1520	5.00	4.29	4.25	4.26	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1465	5.00	4.19	4.12	4.09	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	398/1434	4.50	3.70	4.14	4.06	4.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	339/1547	4.67	3.86	4.19	4.22	4.67
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	911/1574	4.67	4.67	4.64	4.62	4.67
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1554	5.00	4.16	4.10	4.05	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors

00-27	0	0.00-0.99	A 3	Required for Majors	0 Graduate 0 Major 3
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	B 0		
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	C 0	General	1 Under-grad 3 Non-major 0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	D 0		
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	F 0	Electives	0
			P 0		
			I 0		
			? 0		
					#### - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

Course-Section: DANC 280B 0101
 Title PERFORMANCE PRACTICUM
 Instructor: LACY, SANDRA L
 Enrollment: 1
 Questionnaires: 1

University of Maryland
 Baltimore County
 Spring 2009

Page 492
 JUL 2, 2009
 Job IRBR3029

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

Questions	Frequencies								Instructor		Course	Dept	UMBC	Level	Sect
	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	

General															
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1576	5.00	4.47	4.30	4.35	5.00	
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1576	5.00	4.21	4.27	4.32	5.00	
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1520	5.00	4.29	4.25	4.26	5.00	
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1434	5.00	3.70	4.14	4.06	5.00	
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1574	5.00	4.67	4.64	4.62	5.00	
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1554	5.00	4.16	4.10	4.05	5.00	

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	1	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	B	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	C	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	#### - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	0						

Course-Section: DANC 290 0101
 Title INDEP STUDIES IN DANCE
 Instructor: LACY, SANDRA L
 Enrollment: 6
 Questionnaires: 6

University of Maryland
 Baltimore County
 Spring 2009

Page 493
 JUL 2, 2009
 Job IRBR3029

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

Questions	Frequencies								Instructor		Course	Dept	UMBC	Level	Sect
	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	
General															
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	219/1576	4.83	4.47	4.30	4.35	4.83	
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	1	0	0	0	5	4.33	851/1576	4.33	4.21	4.27	4.32	4.33	
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	5	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1342	****	4.56	4.32	4.41	****	
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	1	1	3	4.40	683/1520	4.40	4.29	4.25	4.26	4.40	
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	5	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1465	****	4.19	4.12	4.09	****	
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	1	0	4	4.60	323/1434	4.60	3.70	4.14	4.06	4.60	
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	0	1	0	4	4.60	411/1547	4.60	3.86	4.19	4.22	4.60	
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1574	5.00	4.67	4.64	4.62	5.00	
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	160/1554	4.80	4.16	4.10	4.05	4.80	
Lecture															
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1488	****	4.34	4.47	4.44	****	
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1493	****	4.61	4.73	4.75	****	
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1486	****	4.18	4.32	4.29	****	
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1489	****	4.26	4.32	4.31	****	
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1277	****	4.48	4.03	4.01	****	
Discussion															
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1279	****	4.06	4.17	4.14	****	
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1270	****	4.59	4.35	4.30	****	
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1269	****	4.62	4.35	4.29	****	
4. Were special techniques successful	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/ 878	****	3.84	4.05	3.92	****	

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	A 5	Required for Majors	0
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	B 0	Graduate	0
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	C 0	Under-grad	6
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	D 0	Non-major	1
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	F 0	#### - Means there are not enough responses to be significant	
			P 0		
			I 0		
			? 0	Other	4

Course-Section: DANC 301J 0101
 Title INTER/ADV JAZZ WORKSHO
 Instructor: KATRINIC, KAREN
 Enrollment: 14
 Questionnaires: 12

University of Maryland
 Baltimore County
 Spring 2009

Page 494
 JUL 2, 2009
 Job IRBR3029

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

Questions	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Dept	UMBC	Level	Sect		
	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean		
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	2	0	5	5	4.08	1100/1576	4.08	4.47	4.30	4.30	4.08
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	1	7	3	4.00	1138/1576	4.00	4.21	4.27	4.28	4.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	8	1	1	1	1	0	2.50	1512/1520	2.50	4.29	4.25	4.25	2.50
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	5	0	2	2	0	3	3.57	1218/1465	3.57	4.19	4.12	4.09	3.57
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	7	0	2	2	1	0	2.80	1402/1434	2.80	3.70	4.14	4.15	2.80
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	2	0	2	3	2	3	3.60	1303/1547	3.60	3.86	4.19	4.21	3.60
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	2	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1574	5.00	4.67	4.64	4.61	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	1	0	3	3	2	3.56	1285/1554	3.56	4.16	4.10	4.09	3.56
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	9	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	666/1488	4.67	4.34	4.47	4.47	4.67
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	9	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	1053/1493	4.67	4.61	4.73	4.70	4.67
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	9	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	468/1486	4.67	4.18	4.32	4.32	4.67
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	500/1489	4.67	4.26	4.32	4.34	4.67
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	9	2	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/1277	****	4.48	4.03	4.11	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	7	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	7
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	4						
56-83	3	2.00-2.99	0	C	0	General	8	Under-grad	12	Non-major	5
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	1	#### - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	2				
				?	0						

Course-Section: DANC 310 0101
 Title INTERM MODERN DANCE I
 Instructor: FLANIGAN, MEGHA
 Enrollment: 9
 Questionnaires: 7

University of Maryland
 Baltimore County
 Spring 2009

Page 495
 JUL 2, 2009
 Job IRBR3029

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

Questions	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Dept	UMBC	Level	Sect		
	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean		

General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	1	0	5	1	0	2.86	1553/1576	2.86	4.47	4.30	4.30	2.86
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	3	0	2	2	0	2.43	1569/1576	2.43	4.21	4.27	4.28	2.43
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	6	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/1342	****	4.56	4.32	4.30	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	3	1	1	2	0	2.29	1516/1520	2.29	4.29	4.25	4.25	2.29
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	6	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/1465	****	4.19	4.12	4.09	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	3	1	1	2	0	2.29	1429/1434	2.29	3.70	4.14	4.15	2.29
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	5	0	1	1	0	1.71	1543/1547	1.71	3.86	4.19	4.21	1.71
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	567/1574	4.86	4.67	4.64	4.61	4.86
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	2	1	4	0	0	2.29	1540/1554	2.29	4.16	4.10	4.09	2.29

Lecture														
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	5	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	1210/1493	4.50	4.61	4.73	4.70	4.50
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	6	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/1277	****	4.48	4.03	4.11	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	A	2	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	3
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	4						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	C	0	General	1	Under-grad	7	Non-major	4
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	1	#### - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	3				
				?	1						

Course-Section: DANC 316 0101
 Title BALLET III
 Instructor: LACY, SANDRA L
 Enrollment: 17
 Questionnaires: 12

University of Maryland
 Baltimore County
 Spring 2009

Page 496
 JUL 2, 2009
 Job IRBR3029

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

Questions	Frequencies								Instructor		Course	Dept	UMBC	Level	Sect
	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	
General															
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	146/1576	4.92	4.47	4.30	4.30	4.92	
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	3	9	4.75	279/1576	4.75	4.21	4.27	4.28	4.75	
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	8	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	298/1342	4.75	4.56	4.32	4.30	4.75	
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	5	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	291/1520	4.71	4.29	4.25	4.25	4.71	
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	4	1	0	1	4	2	3.75	1093/1434	3.75	3.70	4.14	4.15	3.75	
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	1	0	1	4	5	4.09	978/1547	4.09	3.86	4.19	4.21	4.09	
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	10	2	4.17	1386/1574	4.17	4.67	4.64	4.61	4.17	
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	1	10	4.91	116/1554	4.91	4.16	4.10	4.09	4.91	
Lecture															
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	9	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1488	5.00	4.34	4.47	4.47	5.00	
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	9	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1493	5.00	4.61	4.73	4.70	5.00	
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	9	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	468/1486	4.67	4.18	4.32	4.32	4.67	
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	500/1489	4.67	4.26	4.32	4.34	4.67	
Discussion															
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	10	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1279	****	4.06	4.17	4.20	****	
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	10	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1270	****	4.59	4.35	4.42	****	
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1269	****	4.62	4.35	4.41	****	

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	A	9	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	7
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	B	2						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	1	C	0	General	1	Under-grad	12	Non-major	5
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	3	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	1	#### - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	10				
				?	1						

Course-Section: DANC 320 0101
 Title INTERM MODERN DANCE II
 Instructor: LACY, SANDRA L
 Enrollment: 18
 Questionnaires: 14

University of Maryland
 Baltimore County
 Spring 2009

Page 497
 JUL 2, 2009
 Job IRBR3029

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course Mean	Dept Mean	UMBC Mean	Level Mean	Sect Mean
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank					
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	3	11	4.79	266/1576	4.79	4.47	4.30	4.30	4.79
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	5	8	4.50	608/1576	4.50	4.21	4.27	4.28	4.50
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	11	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/1342	****	4.56	4.32	4.30	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	5	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1520	5.00	4.29	4.25	4.25	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	4	2	0	2	5	1	3.30	1301/1434	3.30	3.70	4.14	4.15	3.30
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	2	1	1	3	3	4	3.67	1276/1547	3.67	3.86	4.19	4.21	3.67
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	13	1	4.07	1434/1574	4.07	4.67	4.64	4.61	4.07
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	3	10	4.77	187/1554	4.77	4.16	4.10	4.09	4.77
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	7	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1488	5.00	4.34	4.47	4.47	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	7	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1493	5.00	4.61	4.73	4.70	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	7	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	221/1486	4.86	4.18	4.32	4.32	4.86
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1489	5.00	4.26	4.32	4.34	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	7	5	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1277	****	4.48	4.03	4.11	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	13	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1279	****	4.06	4.17	4.20	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	13	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/1270	****	4.59	4.35	4.42	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	13	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1269	****	4.62	4.35	4.41	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	A	9	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	10
28-55	6	1.00-1.99	0	B	2						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	C	0	General	1	Under-grad	14	Non-major	4
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	3	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	7	F	0	Electives	0	#### - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	13				
				?	2						

Course-Section: DANC 330 0101
 Title DANCE COMPOSITION I
 Instructor: FLANIGAN, MEGHA
 Enrollment: 12
 Questionnaires: 9

University of Maryland
 Baltimore County
 Spring 2009

Page 498
 JUL 2, 2009
 Job IRBR3029

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

Questions	Frequencies								Instructor		Course	Dept	UMBC	Level	Sect
	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	
General															
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	3	5	4.44	727/1576	4.44	4.47	4.30	4.30	4.44	
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	2	4	1	2	3.33	1463/1576	3.33	4.21	4.27	4.28	3.33	
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	4	3	2	3.78	1246/1520	3.78	4.29	4.25	4.25	3.78	
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	6	0	1	0	1	1	3.67	1166/1465	3.67	4.19	4.12	4.09	3.67	
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	1	1	0	1	3	2	3.71	1117/1434	3.71	3.70	4.14	4.15	3.71	
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	1	0	5	2	0	3.00	1459/1547	3.00	3.86	4.19	4.21	3.00	
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1574	5.00	4.67	4.64	4.61	5.00	
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	3	5	1	3.78	1152/1554	3.78	4.16	4.10	4.09	3.78	
Lecture															
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	4	0	0	0	1	3	1	4.00	1233/1488	4.00	4.34	4.47	4.47	4.00	
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	4	0	0	0	0	3	2	4.40	1286/1493	4.40	4.61	4.73	4.70	4.40	
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	0	0	4	1	0	3.20	1392/1486	3.20	4.18	4.32	4.32	3.20	
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	1	2	1	1	3.40	1345/1489	3.40	4.26	4.32	4.34	3.40	
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	6	2	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/1277	****	4.48	4.03	4.11	****	
Discussion															
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	262/1279	4.75	4.06	4.17	4.20	4.75	
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	6	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	505/1270	4.67	4.59	4.35	4.42	4.67	
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	6	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1269	5.00	4.62	4.35	4.41	5.00	
Laboratory															
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	8	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/ 234	****	****	4.23	4.24	****	
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	8	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/ 229	****	****	4.51	4.48	****	
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	8	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/ 232	****	****	4.29	4.16	****	
Field Work															
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/ 52	****	****	4.48	4.37	****	
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	8	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/ 48	****	****	4.40	3.92	****	
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	8	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/ 326	****	****	4.03	4.23	****	

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	A 7	Required for Majors	0
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	B 2		Graduate 0
56-83	3	2.00-2.99	C 0	General	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	D 0		Under-grad 9
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	F 0	Electives	0
			P 0		#### - Means there are not enough responses to be significant
			I 0	Other	8
			? 0		

Course-Section: DANC 340 0101
 Title DANCE AND TECHNOLOGY
 Instructor: HESS, CAROL A
 Enrollment: 11
 Questionnaires: 9

University of Maryland
 Baltimore County
 Spring 2009

Page 499
 JUL 2, 2009
 Job IRBR3029

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

Questions	Frequencies								Instructor		Course	Dept	UMBC	Level	Sect
	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	

General															
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1576	5.00	4.47	4.30	4.30	5.00	
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	279/1576	4.75	4.21	4.27	4.28	4.75	
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	8	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1342	****	4.56	4.32	4.30	****	
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	4	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	197/1520	4.80	4.29	4.25	4.25	4.80	
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	8	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1465	****	4.19	4.12	4.09	****	
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	8	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1434	****	3.70	4.14	4.15	****	
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	1	3	4	4.11	963/1547	4.11	3.86	4.19	4.21	4.11	
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	4	5	4.56	1041/1574	4.56	4.67	4.64	4.61	4.56	
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	3	5	4.63	298/1554	4.63	4.16	4.10	4.09	4.63	

Lecture															
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	5	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1488	5.00	4.34	4.47	4.47	5.00	
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	5	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1493	5.00	4.61	4.73	4.70	5.00	
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	5	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1486	5.00	4.18	4.32	4.32	5.00	
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1489	5.00	4.26	4.32	4.34	5.00	
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	5	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1277	5.00	4.48	4.03	4.11	5.00	

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	A 6	Required for Majors	0 Graduate 0 Major 9
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	B 0		
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	C 0	General	0 Under-grad 9 Non-major 0
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	D 0		
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	F 0	Electives	0
			P 0		
			I 0	Other	6
			? 0		

- Means there are not enough responses to be significant

Course-Section: DANC 390 0101
 Title DANCE PRODUCTION WKSP
 Instructor: CLANCY, ADRIENN
 Enrollment: 7
 Questionnaires: 3

University of Maryland
 Baltimore County
 Spring 2009

Page 500
 JUL 2, 2009
 Job IRBR3029

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

Questions	Frequencies						Instructor		Course	Dept	UMBC	Level	Sect	
	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	

General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	3.67	1383/1576	3.67	4.47	4.30	4.30	3.67
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	3.33	1463/1576	3.33	4.21	4.27	4.28	3.33
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1520	5.00	4.29	4.25	4.25	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	850/1465	4.00	4.19	4.12	4.09	4.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	878/1434	4.00	3.70	4.14	4.15	4.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	4.00	1041/1547	4.00	3.86	4.19	4.21	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	4.00	1459/1574	4.00	4.67	4.64	4.61	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	3.67	1227/1554	3.67	4.16	4.10	4.09	3.67
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	1233/1488	4.00	4.34	4.47	4.47	4.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	1473/1493	3.50	4.61	4.73	4.70	3.50
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	3.00	1421/1486	3.00	4.18	4.32	4.32	3.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	3.00	1415/1489	3.00	4.26	4.32	4.34	3.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1277	5.00	4.48	4.03	4.11	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	1	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	B	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	C	0	General	0	Under-grad	3	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	#### - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	2				
				?	0						

Course-Section: DANC 400 0101
 Title INDEP STUDIES IN DANCE
 Instructor: LACY, SANDRA L
 Enrollment: 2
 Questionnaires: 2

University of Maryland
 Baltimore County
 Spring 2009

Page 501
 JUL 2, 2009
 Job IRBR3029

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course Mean	Dept Mean	UMBC Mean	Level Mean	Sect Mean
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank					

General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1576	5.00	4.47	4.30	4.46	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	608/1576	4.50	4.21	4.27	4.35	4.50
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1342	5.00	4.56	4.32	4.46	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	511/1520	4.50	4.29	4.25	4.38	4.50
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1465	5.00	4.19	4.12	4.22	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	878/1434	4.00	3.70	4.14	4.30	4.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	1041/1547	4.00	3.86	4.19	4.24	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1574	5.00	4.67	4.64	4.69	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	924/1554	4.00	4.16	4.10	4.24	4.00

Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1452/1488	3.00	4.34	4.47	4.55	3.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1411/1493	4.00	4.61	4.73	4.80	4.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1101/1486	4.00	4.18	4.32	4.41	4.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1118/1489	4.00	4.26	4.32	4.38	4.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors					

00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A 1	Required for Majors 0	Graduate	0	Major	2	
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B 0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	C 0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D 0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F 0	Electives	0	#### - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P 0						
				I 0	Other	1				
				? 0						

Course-Section: DANC 416 0101
 Title ADVANCED BALLET
 Instructor: ODA, MISKO
 Enrollment: 9
 Questionnaires: 5

University of Maryland
 Baltimore County
 Spring 2009

Page 502
 JUL 2, 2009
 Job IRBR3029

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course Mean	Dept Mean	UMBC Mean	Level Mean	Sect Mean
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank					

General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	243/1576	4.80	4.47	4.30	4.46	4.80
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	4.60	476/1576	4.60	4.21	4.27	4.35	4.60
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	3	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	511/1520	4.50	4.29	4.25	4.38	4.50
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	2	0	2	0	1	2.60	1411/1434	2.60	3.70	4.14	4.30	2.60
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	2	0	0	2	3.50	1347/1547	3.50	3.86	4.19	4.24	3.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1574	5.00	4.67	4.64	4.69	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	4.60	316/1554	4.60	4.16	4.10	4.24	4.60
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	1048/1488	4.33	4.34	4.47	4.55	4.33
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	1053/1493	4.67	4.61	4.73	4.80	4.67
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	1101/1486	4.00	4.18	4.32	4.41	4.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	500/1489	4.67	4.26	4.32	4.38	4.67
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/1279	****	4.06	4.17	4.31	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1270	****	4.59	4.35	4.53	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	A 2	Required for Majors	0
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	B 2		Graduate 0
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	C 0	General	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	D 0		Under-grad 5
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	F 0	Electives	0
			P 0		#### - Means there are not enough responses to be significant
			I 0	Other	4
			? 1		

Course-Section: DANC 420 0101
 Title ADV DANCE TECHNIQUE II
 Instructor: CLANCY, ADRIENN
 Enrollment: 10
 Questionnaires: 6

University of Maryland
 Baltimore County
 Spring 2009

Page 503
 JUL 2, 2009
 Job IRBR3029

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

Questions	Frequencies					Instructor		Course Mean	Dept Mean	UMBC Mean	Level Mean	Sect Mean		
	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5						Mean	Rank
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	2	1	3	4.17	1042/1576	4.17	4.47	4.30	4.46	4.17
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	3	2	4.17	1023/1576	4.17	4.21	4.27	4.35	4.17
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	5	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1342	****	4.56	4.32	4.46	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	3	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	339/1520	4.67	4.29	4.25	4.38	4.67
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	2	1	1	0	2	2.83	1399/1434	2.83	3.70	4.14	4.30	2.83
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	2	1	3	4.17	924/1547	4.17	3.86	4.19	4.24	4.17
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	4.00	1459/1574	4.00	4.67	4.64	4.69	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	5	1	4.17	805/1554	4.17	4.16	4.10	4.24	4.17
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	5	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/1488	****	4.34	4.47	4.55	****
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	5	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/1493	****	4.61	4.73	4.80	****
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	5	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/1486	****	4.18	4.32	4.41	****
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/1489	****	4.26	4.32	4.38	****
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	5	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/1277	****	4.48	4.03	4.04	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	A	4	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	4
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	0						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	C	0	General	1	Under-grad	6	Non-major	2
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	0	#### - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	4				
				?	2						