

## Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

<b>Course-Section:</b>	<b>GERM 101 01</b>
<b>Title:</b>	<b>Elementary German I</b>
<b>Instructor:</b>	<b>Sutton,Susanne</b>

**Term - Fall 2011**

<b>Enrollment:</b>	<b>30</b>
<b>Questionnaires:</b>	<b>19</b>

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
<b>General</b>														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	1	0	0	2	2	5	9	4.17	1008/1520	4.35	4.44	4.31	4.14	4.17
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	1	7	10	4.50	584/1520	4.50	4.42	4.27	4.20	4.50
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	1	0	1	6	10	4.33	756/1291	4.54	4.56	4.33	4.24	4.33
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	2	7	9	4.26	789/1483	4.40	4.45	4.23	4.09	4.26
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	1	0	4	4	9	4.11	734/1417	4.20	4.33	4.08	4.02	4.11
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	1	1	8	8	4.11	793/1405	4.22	4.38	4.12	3.96	4.11
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	1	0	1	6	11	4.37	619/1504	4.37	4.28	4.16	4.13	4.37
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	3	15	4.83	733/1519	4.89	4.70	4.70	4.71	4.83
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	3	9	5	4.12	811/1495	4.16	4.21	4.11	4.01	4.12
<b>Lecture</b>														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	1	0	10	8	4.32	1046/1459	4.43	4.55	4.47	4.40	4.32
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	1	2	16	4.79	845/1460	4.79	4.83	4.74	4.68	4.79
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	1	0	1	9	8	4.21	955/1455	4.30	4.43	4.32	4.26	4.21
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	2	5	12	4.53	662/1456	4.51	4.55	4.34	4.26	4.53
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	3	2	7	7	3.95	789/1316	4.10	4.16	4.03	3.91	3.95
<b>Discussion</b>														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	2	1	3	5	6	3.71	965/1243	3.70	4.37	4.17	3.98	3.71
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	2	5	10	4.47	594/1241	4.32	4.58	4.33	4.14	4.47
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	1	7	8	4.44	702/1236	4.41	4.51	4.40	4.19	4.44
4. Were special techniques successful	2	1	0	1	4	5	6	4.00	456/889	4.16	4.20	4.02	3.89	4.00

## Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

<b>Course-Section:</b>	<b>GERM 101 01</b>
<b>Title:</b>	<b>Elementary German I</b>
<b>Instructor:</b>	<b>Sutton,Susanne</b>

**Term - Fall 2011**

<b>Enrollment:</b>	<b>30</b>
<b>Questionnaires:</b>	<b>19</b>

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
<b>Laboratory</b>														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	17	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	****/164	****	****	4.15	4.13	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	17	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	****/165	****	5.00	4.19	4.31	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/160	****	****	4.45	4.49	****

### Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	3	0.00-0.99	1 A 6	Required for Majors	5 Graduate 0 Major 0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0 B 6		
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	2 C 5	General	8 Under-grad 19 Non-major 19
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	2 D 0		
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1 F 0	Electives	2
			P 0		
			I 0	Other	1
			? 2		

\*\*\*\* - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

## Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

<b>Course-Section:</b>	<b>GERM 101 02</b>
<b>Title:</b>	<b>Elementary German I</b>
<b>Instructor:</b>	<b>Sutton,Susanne</b>

**Term - Fall 2011**

<b>Enrollment:</b>	<b>30</b>
<b>Questionnaires:</b>	<b>24</b>

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
<b>General</b>														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	2	7	15	4.54	555/1520	4.35	4.44	4.31	4.14	4.54
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	10	13	4.50	584/1520	4.50	4.42	4.27	4.20	4.50
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	4	19	4.75	290/1291	4.54	4.56	4.33	4.24	4.75
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	0	8	15	4.54	455/1483	4.40	4.45	4.23	4.09	4.54
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	3	0	0	2	11	8	4.29	587/1417	4.20	4.33	4.08	4.02	4.29
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	2	9	12	4.33	575/1405	4.22	4.38	4.12	3.96	4.33
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	4	4	15	4.38	606/1504	4.37	4.28	4.16	4.13	4.38
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	1	0	0	0	1	22	4.96	296/1519	4.89	4.70	4.70	4.71	4.96
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	0	1	1	11	7	4.20	718/1495	4.16	4.21	4.11	4.01	4.20
<b>Lecture</b>														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	1	1	6	16	4.54	784/1459	4.43	4.55	4.47	4.40	4.54
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	1	3	20	4.79	825/1460	4.79	4.83	4.74	4.68	4.79
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	1	2	7	13	4.39	772/1455	4.30	4.43	4.32	4.26	4.39
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	0	2	4	17	4.50	683/1456	4.51	4.55	4.34	4.26	4.50
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	1	1	0	5	3	14	4.26	528/1316	4.10	4.16	4.03	3.91	4.26
<b>Discussion</b>														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	3	7	3	9	3.70	970/1243	3.70	4.37	4.17	3.98	3.70
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	1	4	8	10	4.17	829/1241	4.32	4.58	4.33	4.14	4.17
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	1	3	5	14	4.39	733/1236	4.41	4.51	4.40	4.19	4.39

## Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

<b>Course-Section:</b>	<b>GERM 101 02</b>
<b>Title:</b>	<b>Elementary German I</b>
<b>Instructor:</b>	<b>Sutton,Susanne</b>

**Term - Fall 2011**

<b>Enrollment:</b>	<b>30</b>
<b>Questionnaires:</b>	<b>24</b>

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
<b>Discussion</b>														
4. Were special techniques successful	1	4	1	0	3	3	12	4.32	303/889	4.16	4.20	4.02	3.89	4.32

### Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	5	0.00-0.99	1 A 7	Required for Majors	3 Graduate 0 Major 1
28-55	3	1.00-1.99	0 B 11		
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	0 C 4	General	10 Under-grad 24 Non-major 23
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	2 D 0		
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2 F 0	Electives	4 **** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant
			P 0		
			I 0	Other	5
			? 2		

## Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

<b>Course-Section:</b>	<b>GERM 102 01</b>
<b>Title:</b>	<b>Elementary German II</b>
<b>Instructor:</b>	<b>Wolff,Xenia Y.</b>

**Term - Fall 2011**

<b>Enrollment:</b>	<b>30</b>
<b>Questionnaires:</b>	<b>22</b>

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
<b>General</b>														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	1	0	0	0	2	5	14	4.57	517/1520	4.57	4.44	4.31	4.14	4.57
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	1	2	18	4.81	192/1520	4.81	4.42	4.27	4.20	4.81
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	1	0	4	16	4.67	386/1291	4.67	4.56	4.33	4.24	4.67
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	1	0	3	17	4.71	263/1483	4.71	4.45	4.23	4.09	4.71
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	1	0	0	2	3	15	4.65	238/1417	4.65	4.33	4.08	4.02	4.65
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	1	1	5	14	4.52	364/1405	4.52	4.38	4.12	3.96	4.52
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	1	0	2	18	4.76	182/1504	4.76	4.28	4.16	4.13	4.76
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	1	20	4.95	296/1519	4.95	4.70	4.70	4.71	4.95
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	5	0	0	1	0	12	4	4.12	811/1495	4.12	4.21	4.11	4.01	4.12
<b>Lecture</b>														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	1	1	19	4.86	286/1459	4.86	4.55	4.47	4.40	4.86
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	1	20	4.95	272/1460	4.95	4.83	4.74	4.68	4.95
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	1	2	18	4.81	268/1455	4.81	4.43	4.32	4.26	4.81
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	2	2	17	4.71	439/1456	4.71	4.55	4.34	4.26	4.71
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	3	1	1	2	5	9	4.11	659/1316	4.11	4.16	4.03	3.91	4.11
<b>Discussion</b>														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	14	0	0	0	2	2	4	4.25	624/1243	4.25	4.37	4.17	3.98	4.25
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	14	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	198/1241	4.88	4.58	4.33	4.14	4.88
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	14	0	0	0	3	1	4	4.13	904/1236	4.13	4.51	4.40	4.19	4.13
4. Were special techniques successful	14	1	0	1	1	2	3	4.00	456/889	4.00	4.20	4.02	3.89	4.00

## Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

<b>Course-Section:</b>	<b>GERM 102 01</b>
<b>Title:</b>	<b>Elementary German II</b>
<b>Instructor:</b>	<b>Wolff,Xenia Y.</b>

**Term - Fall 2011**

<b>Enrollment:</b>	<b>30</b>
<b>Questionnaires:</b>	<b>22</b>

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
<b>Laboratory</b>														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	21	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/164	****	****	4.15	4.13	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	21	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/165	****	5.00	4.19	4.31	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	21	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/160	****	****	4.45	4.49	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	21	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/158	****	****	4.36	4.43	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	21	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/150	****	****	4.05	4.26	****
<b>Seminar</b>														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	21	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/67	****	4.89	4.60	4.51	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	21	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/66	****	5.00	4.55	4.36	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	21	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/62	****	4.83	4.54	4.01	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	21	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/68	****	5.00	4.59	4.43	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	21	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/66	****	4.33	4.20	3.90	****
<b>Field Work</b>														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	21	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/32	****	****	4.36	4.08	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	21	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	****	****	4.15	3.67	****
<b>Self Paced</b>														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	21	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/24	****	5.00	4.17	4.37	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	21	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/15	****	5.00	4.17	4.22	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	21	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/22	****	4.00	4.07	3.99	****
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	21	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/15	****	5.00	4.06	4.14	****

## Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

<b>Course-Section:</b>	<b>GERM 102 01</b>
<b>Title:</b>	<b>Elementary German II</b>
<b>Instructor:</b>	<b>Wolff,Xenia Y.</b>

**Term - Fall 2011**

<b>Enrollment:</b>	<b>30</b>
<b>Questionnaires:</b>	<b>22</b>

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
<b>Self Paced</b>														
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	21	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/12	****	****	4.16	3.92	****

### Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	2	A	2	Required for Majors	4	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	3	1.00-1.99	0	B	5						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	1	C	10	General	12	Under-grad	22	Non-major	21
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	4	D	1						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	1	Electives	2	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	3						

## Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

<b>Course-Section:</b>	<b>GERM 201 01</b>
<b>Title:</b>	<b>Intermediate German I</b>
<b>Instructor:</b>	<b>Sutton,Susanne</b>

**Term - Fall 2011**

<b>Enrollment:</b>	<b>22</b>
<b>Questionnaires:</b>	<b>17</b>

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
<b>General</b>														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	1	2	3	4	7	3.82	1265/1520	4.01	4.44	4.31	4.36	3.82
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	2	5	5	5	3.76	1269/1520	4.04	4.42	4.27	4.34	3.76
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	4	2	10	4.24	830/1291	4.35	4.56	4.33	4.44	4.24
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	1	1	3	5	7	3.94	1078/1483	4.25	4.45	4.23	4.28	3.94
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	5	9	3	3.88	947/1417	3.94	4.33	4.08	4.14	3.88
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	1	5	6	4	3.81	1034/1405	3.88	4.38	4.12	4.13	3.81
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	1	3	1	6	6	3.76	1208/1504	4.04	4.28	4.16	4.15	3.76
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	1	0	0	5	10	4.44	1188/1519	4.69	4.70	4.70	4.64	4.44
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	3	0	0	1	5	6	4.42	470/1495	4.21	4.21	4.11	4.16	4.42
<b>Lecture</b>														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	2	4	9	4.47	886/1459	4.41	4.55	4.47	4.52	4.47
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	3	2	11	4.50	1195/1460	4.61	4.83	4.74	4.80	4.50
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	1	5	2	8	4.06	1046/1455	4.14	4.43	4.32	4.39	4.06
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	3	3	1	8	3.93	1147/1456	4.15	4.55	4.34	4.46	3.93
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	1	0	2	3	6	3	3.71	958/1316	3.68	4.16	4.03	4.18	3.71
<b>Discussion</b>														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	1	0	3	3	6	4.00	766/1243	3.77	4.37	4.17	4.22	4.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	0	2	5	6	4.31	734/1241	4.06	4.58	4.33	4.38	4.31
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	1	0	2	3	7	4.15	885/1236	4.12	4.51	4.40	4.45	4.15

## Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

<b>Course-Section:</b>	<b>GERM 201 01</b>
<b>Title:</b>	<b>Intermediate German I</b>
<b>Instructor:</b>	<b>Sutton,Susanne</b>

**Term - Fall 2011**

<b>Enrollment:</b>	<b>22</b>
<b>Questionnaires:</b>	<b>17</b>

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
<b>Discussion</b>														
4. Were special techniques successful	4	5	0	1	1	2	4	4.13	411/889	3.90	4.20	4.02	3.99	4.13

### Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	1 A 3	Required for Majors	2 Graduate 0 Major 0
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0 B 7		
56-83	3	2.00-2.99	3 C 5	General	13 Under-grad 17 Non-major 17
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	3 D 0		
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1 F 0	Electives	0 **** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant
			P 0		
			I 0	Other	1
			? 1		

## Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

<b>Course-Section:</b>	<b>GERM 201 02</b>
<b>Title:</b>	<b>Intermediate German I</b>
<b>Instructor:</b>	<b>Sutton,Susanne</b>

**Term - Fall 2011**

<b>Enrollment:</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>Questionnaires:</b>	<b>16</b>

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
<b>General</b>														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	1	1	0	6	8	4.19	991/1520	4.01	4.44	4.31	4.36	4.19
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	1	6	8	4.31	834/1520	4.04	4.42	4.27	4.34	4.31
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	1	0	0	4	10	4.47	606/1291	4.35	4.56	4.33	4.44	4.47
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	1	2	12	4.56	436/1483	4.25	4.45	4.23	4.28	4.56
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	1	1	2	4	7	4.00	803/1417	3.94	4.33	4.08	4.14	4.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	1	3	4	7	3.94	925/1405	3.88	4.38	4.12	4.13	3.94
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	1	0	1	5	9	4.31	681/1504	4.04	4.28	4.16	4.15	4.31
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	15	4.94	414/1519	4.69	4.70	4.70	4.64	4.94
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	1	0	0	10	3	4.00	891/1495	4.21	4.21	4.11	4.16	4.00
<b>Lecture</b>														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	1	0	6	7	4.36	1011/1459	4.41	4.55	4.47	4.52	4.36
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	1	2	11	4.71	981/1460	4.61	4.83	4.74	4.80	4.71
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	1	0	8	5	4.21	955/1455	4.14	4.43	4.32	4.39	4.21
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	1	0	6	7	4.36	844/1456	4.15	4.55	4.34	4.46	4.36
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	0	1	6	4	3	3.64	997/1316	3.68	4.16	4.03	4.18	3.64
<b>Discussion</b>														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	5	0	1	3	0	3	4	3.55	1044/1243	3.77	4.37	4.17	4.22	3.55
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	5	0	1	0	2	5	3	3.82	1031/1241	4.06	4.58	4.33	4.38	3.82
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	5	0	0	0	2	6	3	4.09	920/1236	4.12	4.51	4.40	4.45	4.09

## Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

<b>Course-Section:</b>	<b>GERM 201 02</b>
<b>Title:</b>	<b>Intermediate German I</b>
<b>Instructor:</b>	<b>Sutton,Susanne</b>

**Term - Fall 2011**

<b>Enrollment:</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>Questionnaires:</b>	<b>16</b>

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
<b>Discussion</b>														
4. Were special techniques successful	5	2	0	1	4	1	3	3.67	653/889	3.90	4.20	4.02	3.99	3.67

### Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned		Cum. GPA		Expected Grades		Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	8	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	B	3						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	1	C	5	General	12	Under-grad	16	Non-major	16
84-150	4	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	6	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	0						

## Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

<b>Course-Section:</b>	<b>GERM 202 01</b>
<b>Title:</b>	<b>Intermediate German II</b>
<b>Instructor:</b>	<b>Wolff,Xenia Y.</b>

**Term - Fall 2011**

<b>Enrollment:</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>Questionnaires:</b>	<b>7</b>

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
<b>General</b>														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1520	5.00	4.44	4.31	4.36	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1520	5.00	4.42	4.27	4.34	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	185/1291	4.86	4.56	4.33	4.44	4.86
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	151/1483	4.83	4.45	4.23	4.28	4.83
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	97/1417	4.86	4.33	4.08	4.14	4.86
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1405	5.00	4.38	4.12	4.13	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	112/1504	4.86	4.28	4.16	4.15	4.86
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1519	5.00	4.70	4.70	4.64	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	0	2	3	4.60	262/1495	4.60	4.21	4.11	4.16	4.60
<b>Lecture</b>														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1459	5.00	4.55	4.47	4.52	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1460	5.00	4.83	4.74	4.80	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1455	5.00	4.43	4.32	4.39	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1456	5.00	4.55	4.34	4.46	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	1	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	198/1316	4.67	4.16	4.03	4.18	4.67
<b>Discussion</b>														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	0	1	1	3	3.83	886/1243	3.83	4.37	4.17	4.22	3.83
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	1	1	0	4	4.17	837/1241	4.17	4.58	4.33	4.38	4.17
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	505/1236	4.67	4.51	4.40	4.45	4.67

## Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

<b>Course-Section:</b>	<b>GERM 202 01</b>
<b>Title:</b>	<b>Intermediate German II</b>
<b>Instructor:</b>	<b>Wolff,Xenia Y.</b>

**Term - Fall 2011**

<b>Enrollment:</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>Questionnaires:</b>	<b>7</b>

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
<b>Discussion</b>														
4. Were special techniques successful	1	3	0	0	2	0	1	3.67	653/889	3.67	4.20	4.02	3.99	3.67

### Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned		Cum. GPA		Expected Grades		Reasons		Type	Majors		
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	A	5	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	1	Major	0
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	B	2						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	C	0	General	1	Under-grad	6	Non-major	7
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	3	D	0						
Grad.	1	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	3	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	0						

## Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

<b>Course-Section:</b>	<b>GERM 301 01</b>
<b>Title:</b>	<b>Advanced German I</b>
<b>Instructor:</b>	<b>May,Brigitte Z</b>

**Term - Fall 2011**

<b>Enrollment:</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>Questionnaires:</b>	<b>6</b>

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
<b>General</b>														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	4.00	1118/1520	4.00	4.44	4.31	4.33	4.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	3	2	1	3.67	1320/1520	3.67	4.42	4.27	4.26	3.67
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	1	0	3	1	3.80	1078/1291	3.80	4.56	4.33	4.32	3.80
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	4	1	4.20	853/1483	4.20	4.45	4.23	4.25	4.20
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	1	0	3	1	3.80	1010/1417	3.80	4.33	4.08	4.07	3.80
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	1	0	2	2	4.00	843/1405	4.00	4.38	4.12	4.13	4.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	1	0	2	1	1	3.20	1409/1504	3.20	4.28	4.16	4.15	3.20
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	852/1519	4.75	4.70	4.70	4.69	4.75
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	2	2	1	3.80	1099/1495	3.80	4.21	4.11	4.07	3.80
<b>Lecture</b>														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	2	1	3	4.17	1156/1459	4.17	4.55	4.47	4.47	4.17
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	727/1460	4.83	4.83	4.74	4.72	4.83
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	1	1	2	2	3.83	1202/1455	3.83	4.43	4.32	4.31	3.83
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	2	0	1	3	3.83	1203/1456	3.83	4.55	4.34	4.32	3.83
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	3	1	0	1	0	1	3.00	1210/1316	3.00	4.16	4.03	4.08	3.00
<b>Discussion</b>														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1243	5.00	4.37	4.17	4.16	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1241	5.00	4.58	4.33	4.34	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1236	5.00	4.51	4.40	4.41	5.00

## Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

<b>Course-Section:</b>	<b>GERM 301 01</b>
<b>Title:</b>	<b>Advanced German I</b>
<b>Instructor:</b>	<b>May,Brigitte Z</b>

**Term - Fall 2011**

<b>Enrollment:</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>Questionnaires:</b>	<b>6</b>

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
<b>Discussion</b>														
4. Were special techniques successful	4	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/889	5.00	4.20	4.02	4.02	5.00

### Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned		Cum. GPA		Expected Grades		Reasons		Type	Majors		
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	2	Required for Majors	5	Graduate	0	Major	4
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	3						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	C	0	General	0	Under-grad	6	Non-major	2
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	0						

## Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

<b>Course-Section:</b>	<b>GERM 303 01</b>
<b>Title:</b>	<b>Topics In German</b>
<b>Instructor:</b>	<b>Larkey,Edward</b>

**Term - Fall 2011**

<b>Enrollment:</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>Questionnaires:</b>	<b>5</b>

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
<b>General</b>														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	1	3	4.40	755/1520	4.40	4.44	4.31	4.33	4.40
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	1	1	2	1	0	2.60	1506/1520	2.60	4.42	4.27	4.26	2.60
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	4	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/1291	****	4.56	4.33	4.32	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	3	0	3.60	1281/1483	3.60	4.45	4.23	4.25	3.60
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	3	1	1	3.60	1139/1417	3.60	4.33	4.08	4.07	3.60
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	2	2	4.20	708/1405	4.20	4.38	4.12	4.13	4.20
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	3	0	1	0	1	0	3.00	1432/1504	3.00	4.28	4.16	4.15	3.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	4.60	1024/1519	4.60	4.70	4.70	4.69	4.60
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	1	2	1	0	3.00	1415/1495	3.00	4.21	4.11	4.07	3.00
<b>Lecture</b>														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	2	2	1	3.80	1324/1459	3.80	4.55	4.47	4.47	3.80
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	1	1	3	4.40	1268/1460	4.40	4.83	4.74	4.72	4.40
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	1	2	2	0	3.20	1377/1455	3.20	4.43	4.32	4.31	3.20
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	2	2	4.20	991/1456	4.20	4.55	4.34	4.32	4.20
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	1	3	1	4.00	729/1316	4.00	4.16	4.03	4.08	4.00
<b>Discussion</b>														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	1060/1243	3.50	4.37	4.17	4.16	3.50
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	1135/1241	3.50	4.58	4.33	4.34	3.50
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	1141/1236	3.50	4.51	4.40	4.41	3.50

## Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

<b>Course-Section:</b>	<b>GERM 303 01</b>
<b>Title:</b>	<b>Topics In German</b>
<b>Instructor:</b>	<b>Larkey,Edward</b>

**Term - Fall 2011**

<b>Enrollment:</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>Questionnaires:</b>	<b>5</b>

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
<b>Discussion</b>														
4. Were special techniques successful	3	0	0	0	2	0	0	3.00	822/889	3.00	4.20	4.02	4.02	3.00

### Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned		Cum. GPA		Expected Grades		Reasons		Type	Majors		
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	2	Required for Majors	4	Graduate	0	Major	4
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	B	1						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	C	1	General	0	Under-grad	5	Non-major	1
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	4	D	1						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

## Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

<b>Course-Section:</b>	<b>GERM 401 01</b>
<b>Title:</b>	<b>Studies In German Lang</b>
<b>Instructor:</b>	<b>May,Brigitte Z</b>

**Term - Fall 2011**

<b>Enrollment:</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>Questionnaires:</b>	<b>5</b>

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
<b>General</b>														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	230/1520	4.80	4.44	4.31	4.44	4.80
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	4.60	443/1520	4.60	4.42	4.27	4.32	4.60
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	232/1291	4.80	4.56	4.33	4.38	4.80
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1483	5.00	4.45	4.23	4.33	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	119/1417	4.80	4.33	4.08	4.12	4.80
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1405	5.00	4.38	4.12	4.25	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	148/1504	4.80	4.28	4.16	4.21	4.80
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	3	2	4.40	1214/1519	4.40	4.70	4.70	4.70	4.40
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	1	0	0	0	4	4.20	718/1495	4.20	4.21	4.11	4.21	4.20
<b>Lecture</b>														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1459	5.00	4.55	4.47	4.54	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	903/1460	4.75	4.83	4.74	4.78	4.75
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1455	5.00	4.43	4.32	4.37	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1456	5.00	4.55	4.34	4.41	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	145/1316	4.75	4.16	4.03	4.12	4.75
<b>Discussion</b>														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1243	5.00	4.37	4.17	4.42	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1241	5.00	4.58	4.33	4.56	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1236	5.00	4.51	4.40	4.64	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	2	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/889	5.00	4.20	4.02	4.26	5.00

## Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

<b>Course-Section:</b>	<b>GERM 401 01</b>
<b>Title:</b>	<b>Studies In German Lang</b>
<b>Instructor:</b>	<b>May,Brigitte Z</b>

**Term - Fall 2011**

<b>Enrollment:</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>Questionnaires:</b>	<b>5</b>

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
<b>Seminar</b>														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	2	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/67	5.00	4.89	4.60	4.59	5.00
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	2	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/66	5.00	5.00	4.55	4.60	5.00
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/62	5.00	4.83	4.54	4.60	5.00
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/68	5.00	5.00	4.59	4.56	5.00
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	2	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	21/66	4.67	4.33	4.20	4.19	4.67

### Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	5	Required for Majors	5	Graduate	0	Major	4
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	B	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	C	0	General	0	Under-grad	5	Non-major	1
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						