

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	GERM 101 01
Title:	Elementary German I
Instructor:	Sutton,Susanne

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment:	31
Questionnaires:	27

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	1	0	0	0	0	3	23	4.88	187/1542	4.88	4.46	4.33	4.18	4.88
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	1	2	23	4.85	195/1542	4.85	4.49	4.29	4.23	4.85
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	1	3	22	4.81	254/1339	4.81	4.59	4.32	4.14	4.81
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	1	5	20	4.73	275/1498	4.73	4.48	4.26	4.08	4.73
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	2	0	0	1	6	17	4.67	252/1428	4.67	4.39	4.12	3.98	4.67
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	1	0	0	1	8	16	4.60	306/1407	4.60	4.41	4.15	3.92	4.60
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	1	0	1	1	5	18	4.60	408/1521	4.60	4.35	4.20	4.09	4.60
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	1	0	0	0	1	24	4.96	276/1541	4.96	4.68	4.70	4.66	4.96
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	7	1	0	0	0	9	10	4.53	357/1518	4.53	4.31	4.11	4.00	4.53
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	6	19	4.76	435/1472	4.76	4.61	4.46	4.38	4.76
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	1	24	4.96	215/1475	4.96	4.85	4.72	4.63	4.96
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	4	21	4.84	233/1471	4.84	4.49	4.32	4.23	4.84
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	5	20	4.80	311/1470	4.80	4.59	4.33	4.21	4.80
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	1	0	1	0	5	17	4.65	209/1310	4.65	4.22	4.06	3.93	4.65
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	1	7	12	4.55	401/1210	4.55	4.42	4.18	3.91	4.55
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	7	0	0	0	1	2	17	4.80	290/1211	4.80	4.63	4.37	4.15	4.80
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	8	0	2	0	0	6	11	4.26	810/1207	4.26	4.59	4.41	4.12	4.26
4. Were special techniques successful	8	3	0	0	0	2	14	4.88	84/859	4.88	4.28	4.08	3.95	4.88

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	GERM 101 01
Title:	Elementary German I
Instructor:	Sutton,Susanne

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment:	31
Questionnaires:	27

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/207	****	4.50	4.12	3.92	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/210	****	5.00	4.17	4.14	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/202	****	5.00	4.50	4.49	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/202	****	4.50	4.32	4.22	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/199	****	4.50	4.15	4.14	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/69	****	4.50	4.56	4.27	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/73	****	4.75	4.54	4.22	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/67	****	3.75	4.17	3.14	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/32	****	4.75	4.20	5.00	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/35	****	4.75	4.36	5.00	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	****	4.75	4.27	4.84	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/19	****	4.75	4.57	4.84	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	4.75	4.29	4.82	****
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/18	****	4.75	4.25	4.80	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: GERM 101 01	Term - Spring 2012	Enrollment: 31
Title: Elementary German I		Questionnaires: 27
Instructor: Sutton,Susanne		

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/13	****	4.75	4.14	4.77	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades		Reasons			Type	Majors			
00-27	6	0.00-0.99	1	A	9	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	2	B	10						
56-83	3	2.00-2.99	0	C	1	General	11	Under-grad	27	Non-major	27
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	6	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	4	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	2				
				?	6						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	GERM 102 01
Title:	Elementary German II
Instructor:	Sutton,Susanne

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment:	27
Questionnaires:	18

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	5	13	4.72	360/1542	4.52	4.46	4.33	4.18	4.72
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	5	13	4.72	338/1542	4.60	4.49	4.29	4.23	4.72
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	6	12	4.67	414/1339	4.52	4.59	4.32	4.14	4.67
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	6	12	4.67	357/1498	4.46	4.48	4.26	4.08	4.67
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	2	3	12	4.59	318/1428	4.37	4.39	4.12	3.98	4.59
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	1	0	6	10	4.28	662/1407	4.14	4.41	4.15	3.92	4.28
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	1	3	13	4.56	463/1521	4.51	4.35	4.20	4.09	4.56
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	17	4.94	413/1541	4.97	4.68	4.70	4.66	4.94
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	5	0	0	0	3	5	5	4.15	793/1518	4.04	4.31	4.11	4.00	4.15
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	1	3	13	4.71	538/1472	4.44	4.61	4.46	4.38	4.71
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	1	16	4.94	323/1475	4.80	4.85	4.72	4.63	4.94
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	2	4	11	4.53	617/1471	4.26	4.49	4.32	4.23	4.53
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	4	12	4.65	528/1470	4.30	4.59	4.33	4.21	4.65
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	3	5	9	4.35	475/1310	4.31	4.22	4.06	3.93	4.35
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	11	0	0	0	0	5	2	4.29	615/1210	3.81	4.42	4.18	3.91	4.29
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	11	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	242/1211	4.51	4.63	4.37	4.15	4.86
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	11	0	0	0	1	2	4	4.43	703/1207	4.34	4.59	4.41	4.12	4.43

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	GERM 102 01
Title:	Elementary German II
Instructor:	Sutton,Susanne

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment:	27
Questionnaires:	18

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	11	2	0	0	0	2	3	4.60	181/859	4.60	4.28	4.08	3.95	4.60

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	1 A 6	Required for Majors	4 Graduate 0 Major 0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0 B 9		
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	1 C 2	General	11 Under-grad 18 Non-major 18
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	3 D 0		
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3 F 0	Electives	2 **** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant
			P 0		
			I 0	Other	0
			? 1		

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	GERM 102 02
Title:	Elementary German II
Instructor:	Sutton,Susanne

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment:	24
Questionnaires:	19

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	4	5	10	4.32	895/1542	4.52	4.46	4.33	4.18	4.32
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	6	11	4.47	656/1542	4.60	4.49	4.29	4.23	4.47
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	1	7	10	4.37	730/1339	4.52	4.59	4.32	4.14	4.37
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	2	7	9	4.26	843/1498	4.46	4.48	4.26	4.08	4.26
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	1	2	5	10	4.16	736/1428	4.37	4.39	4.12	3.98	4.16
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	1	3	6	8	4.00	874/1407	4.14	4.41	4.15	3.92	4.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	2	6	11	4.47	560/1521	4.51	4.35	4.20	4.09	4.47
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	1	0	0	0	0	18	5.00	1/1541	4.97	4.68	4.70	4.66	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	6	0	0	1	2	7	3	3.92	1029/1518	4.04	4.31	4.11	4.00	3.92
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	1	0	3	5	9	4.17	1148/1472	4.44	4.61	4.46	4.38	4.17
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	1	0	3	14	4.67	1039/1475	4.80	4.85	4.72	4.63	4.67
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	2	3	6	7	4.00	1104/1471	4.26	4.49	4.32	4.23	4.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	3	1	8	6	3.94	1152/1470	4.30	4.59	4.33	4.21	3.94
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	2	1	1	1	2	10	4.27	566/1310	4.31	4.22	4.06	3.93	4.27
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	7	0	2	1	4	1	4	3.33	1073/1210	3.81	4.42	4.18	3.91	3.33
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	7	0	1	0	3	0	8	4.17	851/1211	4.51	4.63	4.37	4.15	4.17
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	7	0	1	0	2	1	8	4.25	815/1207	4.34	4.59	4.41	4.12	4.25
4. Were special techniques successful	7	2	0	0	1	2	7	4.60	181/859	4.60	4.28	4.08	3.95	4.60

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	GERM 102 02
Title:	Elementary German II
Instructor:	Sutton,Susanne

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment:	24
Questionnaires:	19

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/207	****	4.50	4.12	3.92	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/210	****	5.00	4.17	4.14	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/202	****	5.00	4.50	4.49	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/202	****	4.50	4.32	4.22	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/199	****	4.50	4.15	4.14	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/69	****	4.50	4.56	4.27	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/69	****	4.75	4.60	4.28	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/68	****	4.75	4.50	4.15	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/73	****	4.75	4.54	4.22	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/67	****	3.75	4.17	3.14	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/32	****	4.75	4.20	5.00	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/35	****	4.75	4.36	5.00	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/25	****	4.50	4.59	5.00	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/23	****	4.75	4.41	5.00	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/17	****	4.75	4.62	5.00	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	****	4.75	4.27	4.84	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/19	****	4.75	4.57	4.84	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	4.75	4.29	4.82	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	GERM 102 02
Title:	Elementary German II
Instructor:	Sutton,Susanne

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment:	24
Questionnaires:	19

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/18	****	4.75	4.25	4.80	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/13	****	4.75	4.14	4.77	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	1	0.00-0.99 0	A 3	Required for Majors 3	Graduate 0
28-55	1	1.00-1.99 0	B 7		Major 0
56-83	2	2.00-2.99 2	C 6	General 9	Under-grad 19
84-150	2	3.00-3.49 0	D 0		Non-major 19
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 1	F 0	Electives 0	
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 0	
			? 1		

**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	GERM 201 01
Title:	Intermediate German I
Instructor:	Wolff,Xenia Y.

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment:	21
Questionnaires:	14

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	1	1	5	7	4.29	929/1542	4.46	4.46	4.33	4.35	4.29
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	1	1	11	4.57	528/1542	4.60	4.49	4.29	4.29	4.57
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	2	10	4.57	507/1339	4.66	4.59	4.32	4.40	4.57
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	4	8	4.43	660/1498	4.46	4.48	4.26	4.31	4.43
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	2	4	3	5	3.79	1075/1428	3.83	4.39	4.12	4.17	3.79
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	5	8	4.50	405/1407	4.56	4.41	4.15	4.14	4.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	2	2	10	4.57	441/1521	4.72	4.35	4.20	4.22	4.57
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	5.00	1/1541	5.00	4.68	4.70	4.68	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	0	6	5	4.45	433/1518	4.56	4.31	4.11	4.12	4.45
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	1	13	4.93	167/1472	4.96	4.61	4.46	4.53	4.93
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	5.00	1/1475	4.88	4.85	4.72	4.79	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	1	3	10	4.64	488/1471	4.70	4.49	4.32	4.37	4.64
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	3	11	4.79	336/1470	4.77	4.59	4.33	4.40	4.79
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	1	0	3	1	1	8	4.08	722/1310	4.04	4.22	4.06	4.19	4.08
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	2	1	2	2	3	3.30	1085/1210	3.29	4.42	4.18	4.18	3.30
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	2	0	1	2	5	3.80	1025/1211	4.11	4.63	4.37	4.34	3.80
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	2	0	2	2	4	3.60	1075/1207	4.09	4.59	4.41	4.40	3.60

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	GERM 201 01
Title:	Intermediate German I
Instructor:	Wolff,Xenia Y.

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment:	21
Questionnaires:	14

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	4	2	3	1	0	2	2	2.88	826/859	3.31	4.28	4.08	4.07	2.88

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	A	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	4	1.00-1.99	1	B	6						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	2	C	4	General	7	Under-grad	14	Non-major	14
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	2	D	1						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	2						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	GERM 201 02
Title:	Intermediate German I
Instructor:	Wolff,Xenia Y.

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment:	10
Questionnaires:	8

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	3	5	4.63	486/1542	4.46	4.46	4.33	4.35	4.63
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	3	5	4.63	466/1542	4.60	4.49	4.29	4.29	4.63
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	313/1339	4.66	4.59	4.32	4.40	4.75
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	2	5	4.50	549/1498	4.46	4.48	4.26	4.31	4.50
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	0	1	3	3	3.88	1007/1428	3.83	4.39	4.12	4.17	3.88
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	3	5	4.63	288/1407	4.56	4.41	4.15	4.14	4.63
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	124/1521	4.72	4.35	4.20	4.22	4.88
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1541	5.00	4.68	4.70	4.68	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	244/1518	4.56	4.31	4.11	4.12	4.67
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1472	4.96	4.61	4.46	4.53	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	897/1475	4.88	4.85	4.72	4.79	4.75
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	346/1471	4.70	4.49	4.32	4.37	4.75
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	374/1470	4.77	4.59	4.33	4.40	4.75
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	2	0	0	1	3	1	4.00	761/1310	4.04	4.22	4.06	4.19	4.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	1	3	3	0	3.29	1089/1210	3.29	4.42	4.18	4.18	3.29
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	4	3	4.43	661/1211	4.11	4.63	4.37	4.34	4.43
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	1	1	5	4.57	578/1207	4.09	4.59	4.41	4.40	4.57

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	GERM 201 02
Title:	Intermediate German I
Instructor:	Wolff,Xenia Y.

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment:	10
Questionnaires:	8

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	1	3	0	0	1	3	0	3.75	607/859	3.31	4.28	4.08	4.07	3.75

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	1 A 2	Required for Majors	2 Graduate 0 Major 0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0 B 2		
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1 C 2	General	5 Under-grad 8 Non-major 8
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0 D 1		
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0 F 0	Electives	0
			0 P 0		**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant
			0 I 0	Other	0
			0 ? 0		

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	GERM 202 01
Title:	Intermediate German II
Instructor:	May,Brigitte Z

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment:	9
Questionnaires:	7

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	372/1542	4.71	4.46	4.33	4.35	4.71
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	1	2	3	4.00	1122/1542	4.00	4.49	4.29	4.29	4.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	5	2	4.29	801/1339	4.29	4.59	4.32	4.40	4.29
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	298/1498	4.71	4.48	4.26	4.31	4.71
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	4.57	327/1428	4.57	4.39	4.12	4.17	4.57
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	118/1407	4.86	4.41	4.15	4.14	4.86
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	2	1	3	4.17	934/1521	4.17	4.35	4.20	4.22	4.17
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	4.00	1455/1541	4.00	4.68	4.70	4.68	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	3	3	4.50	373/1518	4.50	4.31	4.11	4.12	4.50
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	817/1472	4.50	4.61	4.46	4.53	4.50
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	897/1475	4.75	4.85	4.72	4.79	4.75
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	946/1471	4.25	4.49	4.32	4.37	4.25
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	374/1470	4.75	4.59	4.33	4.40	4.75
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	3	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/1310	****	4.22	4.06	4.19	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	1	2	1	4.00	774/1210	4.00	4.42	4.18	4.18	4.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	796/1211	4.25	4.63	4.37	4.34	4.25
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1207	5.00	4.59	4.41	4.40	5.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	GERM 202 01
Title:	Intermediate German II
Instructor:	May,Brigitte Z

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment:	9
Questionnaires:	7

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	3	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/859	5.00	4.28	4.08	4.07	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	0	0.00-0.99 0	A 3	Required for Majors 4	Graduate 0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 3		
56-83	2	2.00-2.99 0	C 1	General 1	Under-grad 7
84-150	2	3.00-3.49 2	D 0		
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 2	F 0	Electives 1	
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 0	
			? 0		

**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	GERM 302 01
Title:	Advanced German II
Instructor:	Sutton,Susanne

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment:	4
Questionnaires:	4

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1542	5.00	4.46	4.33	4.37	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1542	5.00	4.49	4.29	4.31	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1339	5.00	4.59	4.32	4.36	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.48	4.26	4.32	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	390/1428	4.50	4.39	4.12	4.15	4.50
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1407	5.00	4.41	4.15	4.20	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	231/1521	4.75	4.35	4.20	4.23	4.75
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1541	5.00	4.68	4.70	4.71	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1518	5.00	4.31	4.11	4.13	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	817/1472	4.50	4.61	4.46	4.46	4.50
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1475	5.00	4.85	4.72	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	346/1471	4.75	4.49	4.32	4.33	4.75
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1470	5.00	4.59	4.33	4.35	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	140/1310	4.75	4.22	4.06	4.11	4.75
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1210	5.00	4.42	4.18	4.27	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1211	5.00	4.63	4.37	4.45	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1207	5.00	4.59	4.41	4.51	5.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	GERM 302 01
Title:	Advanced German II
Instructor:	Sutton,Susanne

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment:	4
Questionnaires:	4

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/859	5.00	4.28	4.08	4.13	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	1	0.00-0.99 0	A 2	Required for Majors 3	Graduate 0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 1		
56-83	0	2.00-2.99 1	C 0	General 0	Under-grad 4
84-150	0	3.00-3.49 0	D 0		
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 1	F 0	Electives 0	
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 0	
			? 1		

**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	GERM 312 01
Title:	Intro Germ Lit/Cult II
Instructor:	May,Brigitte Z

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment:	7
Questionnaires:	7

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	1	0	0	0	6	4.43	750/1542	4.43	4.46	4.33	4.37	4.43
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	352/1542	4.71	4.49	4.29	4.31	4.71
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	4.57	507/1339	4.57	4.59	4.32	4.36	4.57
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	1	5	4.57	464/1498	4.57	4.48	4.26	4.32	4.57
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	1	5	4.57	327/1428	4.57	4.39	4.12	4.15	4.57
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	4.57	335/1407	4.57	4.41	4.15	4.20	4.57
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1521	5.00	4.35	4.20	4.23	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	6	1	4.14	1401/1541	4.14	4.68	4.70	4.71	4.14
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1518	5.00	4.31	4.11	4.13	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	1	0	5	4.67	598/1472	4.67	4.61	4.46	4.46	4.67
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	1	0	5	4.67	1039/1475	4.67	4.85	4.72	4.74	4.67
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	1	1	4	4.50	637/1471	4.50	4.49	4.32	4.33	4.50
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	1	4	4.50	692/1470	4.50	4.59	4.33	4.35	4.50
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	99/1310	4.83	4.22	4.06	4.11	4.83
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1210	****	4.42	4.18	4.27	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1211	****	4.63	4.37	4.45	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1207	****	4.59	4.41	4.51	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	GERM 312 01
Title:	Intro Germ Lit/Cult II
Instructor:	May,Brigitte Z

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment:	7
Questionnaires:	7

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/859	****	4.28	4.08	4.13	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned		Cum. GPA		Expected Grades		Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	6	Required for Majors	5	Graduate	0	Major	5
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	B	1						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	C	0	General	0	Under-grad	7	Non-major	2
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	GERM 319 01
Title:	German Translation
Instructor:	Wolff,Xenia Y.

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment:	7
Questionnaires:	5

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1542	5.00	4.46	4.33	4.37	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1542	5.00	4.49	4.29	4.31	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1339	5.00	4.59	4.32	4.36	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.48	4.26	4.32	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	142/1428	4.80	4.39	4.12	4.15	4.80
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	141/1407	4.80	4.41	4.15	4.20	4.80
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	0	4	4.60	408/1521	4.60	4.35	4.20	4.23	4.60
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1541	5.00	4.68	4.70	4.71	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1518	5.00	4.31	4.11	4.13	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1472	5.00	4.61	4.46	4.46	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1475	5.00	4.85	4.72	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1471	5.00	4.49	4.32	4.33	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1470	5.00	4.59	4.33	4.35	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	109/1310	4.80	4.22	4.06	4.11	4.80
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1210	5.00	4.42	4.18	4.27	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1211	5.00	4.63	4.37	4.45	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1207	5.00	4.59	4.41	4.51	5.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	GERM 319 01
Title:	German Translation
Instructor:	Wolff,Xenia Y.

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment:	7
Questionnaires:	5

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	2	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	158/859	4.67	4.28	4.08	4.13	4.67

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned		Cum. GPA		Expected Grades		Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	3	Required for Majors	4	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	3	1.00-1.99	0	B	2						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	C	0	General	1	Under-grad	5	Non-major	3
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	GERM 481 01
Title:	Seminar In German
Instructor:	Larkey,Edward

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment:	9
Questionnaires:	6

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	2	0	2	2	3.67	1387/1542	3.67	4.46	4.33	4.42	3.67
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	1	2	2	3.83	1257/1542	3.83	4.49	4.29	4.33	3.83
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	4	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1339	5.00	4.59	4.32	4.44	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	4.00	1058/1498	4.00	4.48	4.26	4.35	4.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	4.00	851/1428	4.00	4.39	4.12	4.22	4.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	4.00	874/1407	4.00	4.41	4.15	4.30	4.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	2	0	0	0	4	3.67	1257/1521	3.67	4.35	4.20	4.24	3.67
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1541	5.00	4.68	4.70	4.72	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	4.00	920/1518	4.00	4.31	4.11	4.18	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	1	1	4	4.50	817/1472	4.50	4.61	4.46	4.50	4.50
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1475	5.00	4.85	4.72	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	1	3	2	4.17	1015/1471	4.17	4.49	4.32	4.36	4.17
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	0	5	4.67	498/1470	4.67	4.59	4.33	4.38	4.67
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	99/1310	4.83	4.22	4.06	4.09	4.83
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1210	5.00	4.42	4.18	4.34	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1211	5.00	4.63	4.37	4.47	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1207	5.00	4.59	4.41	4.53	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	4	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	216/859	4.50	4.28	4.08	4.19	4.50

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	GERM 481 01
Title:	Seminar In German
Instructor:	Larkey,Edward

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment:	9
Questionnaires:	6

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/69	****	4.50	4.56	4.62	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	0	0.00-0.99 0	A 3	Required for Majors 5	Graduate 0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 1		
56-83	1	2.00-2.99 1	C 2	General 0	Under-grad 6
84-150	3	3.00-3.49 2	D 0		
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 0	F 0	Electives 1	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 0	
			? 0		