

Course Section: HUM 120H 0101
 Title INTRO TO HUMANITIES I
 Instructor: FREYMAN, JAY M (Instr. A)
 Enrollment: 10
 Questionnaires: 9

University of Maryland
 Baltimore County
 Fall 2006

Page 1054
 JAN 18, 2007
 Job IRBR3029

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

Questions	Frequencies								Instructor		Course	Dept	UMBC	Level	Sect
	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	

General															
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	1	7	4.67	389/1669	4.67	4.67	4.23	4.02	4.67	
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	6	0	3	0	2.67	1624/1666	2.67	2.67	4.19	4.11	2.67	
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	3	0	2	0	4	0	3.33	1292/1421	3.33	3.33	4.24	4.11	3.33	
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	0	5	3	4.11	958/1617	4.11	4.11	4.15	3.99	4.11	
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1555	5.00	5.00	4.00	3.92	5.00	
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	1	2	3	2	3.44	1285/1543	3.44	3.44	4.06	3.86	3.44	
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	5	2	1	0	0	1.50	1636/1647	1.50	1.50	4.12	4.06	1.50	
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	965/1668	4.75	4.75	4.67	4.62	4.75	
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	1	0	0	0	4	4	4.50	373/1605	4.19	4.19	4.07	3.96	4.19	
Lecture															
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	7	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/1514	****	****	4.39	4.32	****	
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	7	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1551	4.33	4.33	4.66	4.55	4.33	
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	7	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1503	****	****	4.24	4.17	****	
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/1506	****	****	4.26	4.17	****	
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	7	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/1311	****	****	3.85	3.68	****	
Discussion															
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	5	4	4.44	512/1490	4.44	4.44	4.05	3.85	4.44	
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	1	3	0	5	4.00	1013/1502	4.00	4.00	4.26	4.06	4.00	
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	7	2	0	3.22	1367/1489	3.22	3.22	4.29	4.07	3.22	
4. Were special techniques successful	0	1	1	0	1	4	2	3.75	657/1006	3.75	3.75	4.00	3.81	3.75	

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	1	0.00-0.99 0	A 4	Required for Majors	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 3		Graduate 0
56-83	0	2.00-2.99 0	C 1	General	9
84-150	0	3.00-3.49 0	D 0		Major 9
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 0	F 1	Electives	0
			P 0		#### - Means there are not enough responses to be significant
			I 0	Other	8
			? 0		

Course Section: HUM 120H 0101
 Title INTRO TO HUMANITIES I
 Instructor: SPITZ, ELLEN (Instr. B)
 Enrollment: 10
 Questionnaires: 9

University of Maryland
 Baltimore County
 Fall 2006

Page 1055
 JAN 18, 2007
 Job IRBR3029

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

Questions	Frequencies								Instructor		Course	Dept	UMBC	Level	Sect
	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	

General															
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	1	7	4.67	389/1669	4.67	4.67	4.23	4.02	4.67	
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	6	0	3	0	2.67	1624/1666	2.67	2.67	4.19	4.11	2.67	
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	3	0	2	0	4	0	3.33	1292/1421	3.33	3.33	4.24	4.11	3.33	
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	0	5	3	4.11	958/1617	4.11	4.11	4.15	3.99	4.11	
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1555	5.00	5.00	4.00	3.92	5.00	
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	1	2	3	2	3.44	1285/1543	3.44	3.44	4.06	3.86	3.44	
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	5	2	1	0	0	1.50	1636/1647	1.50	1.50	4.12	4.06	1.50	
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	965/1668	4.75	4.75	4.67	4.62	4.75	
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	1	0	0	3	3	2	3.88	1116/1605	4.19	4.19	4.07	3.96	4.19	
Lecture															
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	7	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/1514	****	****	4.39	4.32	****	
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	6	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	1304/1551	4.33	4.33	4.66	4.55	4.33	
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	7	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1503	****	****	4.24	4.17	****	
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/1506	****	****	4.26	4.17	****	
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	7	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/1311	****	****	3.85	3.68	****	
Discussion															
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	5	4	4.44	512/1490	4.44	4.44	4.05	3.85	4.44	
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	1	3	0	5	4.00	1013/1502	4.00	4.00	4.26	4.06	4.00	
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	7	2	0	3.22	1367/1489	3.22	3.22	4.29	4.07	3.22	
4. Were special techniques successful	0	1	1	0	1	4	2	3.75	657/1006	3.75	3.75	4.00	3.81	3.75	

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	A 4	Required for Majors	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	B 3		Graduate 0
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	C 1	General	9
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	D 0		Major 0
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	F 1	Electives	0
			P 0		#### - Means there are not enough responses to be significant
			I 0	Other	8
			? 0		