

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	KORE 101 01
Title:	Elementary Korean I
Instructor:	Krippes, Yeon K.

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment:	26
Questionnaires:	18

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	2	5	10	4.47	674/1528	4.55	4.38	4.31	4.16	4.47
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	0	1	2	7	6	4.13	1025/1527	4.24	4.37	4.28	4.23	4.13
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	1	1	5	10	4.41	690/1333	4.34	4.55	4.34	4.26	4.41
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	1	0	1	0	7	8	4.38	695/1495	4.24	4.36	4.25	4.11	4.38
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	1	0	1	1	7	7	4.25	657/1439	4.31	4.38	4.11	3.97	4.25
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	1	0	1	2	6	7	4.19	746/1425	4.19	4.23	4.12	3.93	4.19
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	3	7	2	5	3.53	1312/1508	3.67	4.16	4.18	4.11	3.53
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	3	14	4.82	706/1526	4.87	4.63	4.66	4.57	4.82
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	4	7	4	4.00	911/1490	3.94	4.13	4.11	4.02	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	4	0	0	0	2	4	8	4.43	942/1428	4.21	4.50	4.49	4.43	4.43
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	4	0	0	0	0	3	11	4.79	870/1436	4.78	4.82	4.74	4.70	4.79
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	0	0	3	5	6	4.21	950/1427	4.11	4.39	4.32	4.27	4.21
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	1	5	8	4.50	667/1425	4.47	4.50	4.34	4.31	4.50
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	4	3	0	1	4	1	5	3.91	836/1291	4.29	4.11	4.05	3.97	3.91
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	14	0	0	1	0	2	1	3.75	****/1271	****	4.36	4.16	3.98	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	14	0	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	****/1276	****	4.62	4.33	4.14	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	14	0	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	****/1273	****	4.55	4.38	4.18	****
4. Were special techniques successful	14	1	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	****/922	****	4.27	4.02	3.87	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	KORE 101 01
Title:	Elementary Korean I
Instructor:	Krippes, Yeon K.

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment:	26
Questionnaires:	18

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	6	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	1	B	4						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	C	3	General	8	Under-grad	18	Non-major	18
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	4						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	KORE 101 02
Title:	Elementary Korean I
Instructor:	Krippes, Yeon K.

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment:	27
Questionnaires:	11

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	2	8	4.64	477/1528	4.55	4.38	4.31	4.16	4.64
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	3	6	4.36	783/1527	4.24	4.37	4.28	4.23	4.36
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	3	2	6	4.27	816/1333	4.34	4.55	4.34	4.26	4.27
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	3	2	4	4.11	992/1495	4.24	4.36	4.25	4.11	4.11
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	3	1	7	4.36	541/1439	4.31	4.38	4.11	3.97	4.36
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	4	0	6	4.20	726/1425	4.19	4.23	4.12	3.93	4.20
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	4	2	4	3.82	1199/1508	3.67	4.16	4.18	4.11	3.82
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	10	4.91	566/1526	4.87	4.63	4.66	4.57	4.91
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	4	1	3	3.88	1067/1490	3.94	4.13	4.11	4.02	3.88
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	1	0	1	3	4	4.00	1202/1428	4.21	4.50	4.49	4.43	4.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	886/1436	4.78	4.82	4.74	4.70	4.78
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	2	0	2	4	4.00	1080/1427	4.11	4.39	4.32	4.27	4.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	2	1	6	4.44	755/1425	4.47	4.50	4.34	4.31	4.44
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	2	0	0	1	0	5	4.67	205/1291	4.29	4.11	4.05	3.97	4.67
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	10	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/1271	****	4.36	4.16	3.98	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	10	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/1276	****	4.62	4.33	4.14	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	10	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/1273	****	4.55	4.38	4.18	****
4. Were special techniques successful	10	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/922	****	4.27	4.02	3.87	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	KORE 101 02
Title:	Elementary Korean I
Instructor:	Krippes, Yeon K.

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment:	27
Questionnaires:	11

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	3	0.00-0.99 0	A 6 Required for Majors	3 Graduate	0 Major
28-55	1	1.00-1.99 0	B 3		
56-83	1	2.00-2.99 1	C 0 General	1	Under-grad 11 Non-major 10
84-150	0	3.00-3.49 0	D 0		
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 1	F 0 Electives	3	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant
			P 0		
			I 0 Other	1	
			? 2		

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	KORE 201 01
Title:	Intermediate Korean I
Instructor:	Krippes, Yeon K.

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment:	25
Questionnaires:	18

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	1	3	2	12	4.39	785/1528	4.39	4.38	4.31	4.34	4.39
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	4	3	11	4.39	760/1527	4.39	4.37	4.28	4.32	4.39
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	2	3	12	4.44	648/1333	4.44	4.55	4.34	4.40	4.44
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	2	4	11	4.39	682/1495	4.39	4.36	4.25	4.28	4.39
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	2	3	13	4.61	283/1439	4.61	4.38	4.11	4.12	4.61
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	4	2	11	4.28	646/1425	4.28	4.23	4.12	4.11	4.28
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	2	3	2	11	4.22	820/1508	4.22	4.16	4.18	4.19	4.22
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	4	14	4.78	783/1526	4.78	4.63	4.66	4.64	4.78
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	5	0	0	1	3	5	4	3.92	1019/1490	3.92	4.13	4.11	4.11	3.92
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	1	3	1	12	4.41	953/1428	4.41	4.50	4.49	4.48	4.41
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	1	2	14	4.76	901/1436	4.76	4.82	4.74	4.76	4.76
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	1	3	1	12	4.41	757/1427	4.41	4.39	4.32	4.33	4.41
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	3	0	14	4.65	502/1425	4.65	4.50	4.34	4.37	4.65
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	3	1	1	3	1	7	3.92	814/1291	3.92	4.11	4.05	4.14	3.92
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	3	0	6	4.33	598/1271	4.33	4.36	4.16	4.21	4.33
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	9	0	0	0	2	0	7	4.56	548/1276	4.56	4.62	4.33	4.37	4.56
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	9	0	0	0	2	1	6	4.44	689/1273	4.44	4.55	4.38	4.43	4.44
4. Were special techniques successful	9	0	0	0	2	0	7	4.56	197/922	4.56	4.27	4.02	4.11	4.56

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	KORE 201 01
Title:	Intermediate Korean I
Instructor:	Krippes, Yeon K.

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment:	25
Questionnaires:	18

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	17	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/198	****	4.40	4.16	4.41	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	17	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/208	****	4.80	4.27	4.30	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	17	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/194	****	5.00	4.56	4.57	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	17	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/194	****	4.60	4.37	4.43	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	17	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/176	****	****	4.23	4.18	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	17	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/76	****	4.63	4.51	4.17	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	17	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/74	****	4.86	4.31	3.91	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	17	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/66	****	4.70	4.27	3.85	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	17	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/76	****	4.71	4.27	4.15	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	17	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/73	****	3.74	3.94	3.95	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	17	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/42	****	****	4.00	3.68	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	17	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/41	****	****	4.06	3.81	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	17	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	****	****	4.74	4.50	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	17	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/32	****	****	4.20	4.32	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	17	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	****	4.34	4.11	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	17	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/43	****	****	4.43	3.95	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	17	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	****	****	4.53	4.00	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	17	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/36	****	****	4.43	3.75	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	KORE 201 01
Title:	Intermediate Korean I
Instructor:	Krippes, Yeon K.

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment:	25
Questionnaires:	18

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	17	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/21	****	****	4.54	3.63	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	17	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/20	****	****	4.45	3.77	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	3	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	B	8						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	2	C	1	General	4	Under-grad	18	Non-major	18
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	4	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	7	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	5						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	KORE 301 01
Title:	Advanced Korean
Instructor:	Yoon,Kyung-Eun

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment:	15
Questionnaires:	14

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	2	11	4.71	362/1528	4.71	4.38	4.31	4.34	4.71
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	2	11	4.71	301/1527	4.71	4.37	4.28	4.27	4.71
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	13	4.93	117/1333	4.93	4.55	4.34	4.34	4.93
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	3	11	4.79	197/1495	4.79	4.36	4.25	4.28	4.79
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	1	11	4.77	161/1439	4.77	4.38	4.11	4.13	4.77
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	2	11	4.85	116/1425	4.85	4.23	4.12	4.17	4.85
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	2	11	4.71	229/1508	4.71	4.16	4.18	4.17	4.71
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	5.00	1/1526	5.00	4.63	4.66	4.68	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	0	3	8	4.73	177/1490	4.73	4.13	4.11	4.11	4.73
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	4	0	0	0	0	1	9	4.90	221/1428	4.90	4.50	4.49	4.48	4.90
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	4	0	0	0	0	1	9	4.90	516/1436	4.90	4.82	4.74	4.74	4.90
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	0	0	0	1	9	4.90	138/1427	4.90	4.39	4.32	4.31	4.90
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	1	9	4.90	163/1425	4.90	4.50	4.34	4.34	4.90
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	5	0	0	0	1	2	6	4.56	290/1291	4.56	4.11	4.05	4.09	4.56
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	10	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1271	5.00	4.36	4.16	4.19	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	10	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1276	5.00	4.62	4.33	4.37	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	10	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1273	5.00	4.55	4.38	4.40	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	10	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/922	5.00	4.27	4.02	4.02	5.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	KORE 301 01
Title:	Advanced Korean
Instructor:	Yoon,Kyung-Eun

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment:	15
Questionnaires:	14

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	A	8	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	B	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	2	C	1	General	4	Under-grad	14	Non-major	14
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	5						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	KORE 309 01
Title:	Business Korean
Instructor:	Yoon,Kyung-Eun

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment:	12
Questionnaires:	8

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	307/1528	4.75	4.38	4.31	4.34	4.75
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1527	5.00	4.37	4.28	4.27	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1333	5.00	4.55	4.34	4.34	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	124/1495	4.88	4.36	4.25	4.28	4.88
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1439	5.00	4.38	4.11	4.13	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	101/1425	4.88	4.23	4.12	4.17	4.88
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1508	5.00	4.16	4.18	4.17	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1526	5.00	4.63	4.66	4.68	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	1	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1490	5.00	4.13	4.11	4.11	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1428	5.00	4.50	4.49	4.48	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1436	5.00	4.82	4.74	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1427	5.00	4.39	4.32	4.31	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1425	5.00	4.50	4.34	4.34	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1291	5.00	4.11	4.05	4.09	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	246/1271	4.75	4.36	4.16	4.19	4.75
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1276	5.00	4.62	4.33	4.37	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	408/1273	4.75	4.55	4.38	4.40	4.75
4. Were special techniques successful	4	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/922	5.00	4.27	4.02	4.02	5.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	KORE 309 01
Title:	Business Korean
Instructor:	Yoon,Kyung-Eun

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment:	12
Questionnaires:	8

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	7	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/42	****	****	4.00	3.20	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/43	****	****	4.43	3.75	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	****	****	4.53	4.75	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/36	****	****	4.43	5.00	****
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/21	****	****	4.54	5.00	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/20	****	****	4.45	5.00	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	1 A 6	Required for Majors	2 Graduate 0 Major 0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0 B 0		
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0 C 0	General	2 Under-grad 8 Non-major 8
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0 D 0		
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0 F 0	Electives	0 **** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant
			0 P 0		
			0 I 0	Other	2
			2 ? 2		

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	KORE 310 01
Title:	Kor in Soc/Cult Context
Instructor:	Yoon,Kyung-Eun

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment:	12
Questionnaires:	6

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1528	5.00	4.38	4.31	4.34	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	179/1527	4.83	4.37	4.28	4.27	4.83
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	210/1333	4.83	4.55	4.34	4.34	4.83
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	154/1495	4.83	4.36	4.25	4.28	4.83
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1439	5.00	4.38	4.11	4.13	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	121/1425	4.83	4.23	4.12	4.17	4.83
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1508	5.00	4.16	4.18	4.17	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1526	5.00	4.63	4.66	4.68	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	156/1490	4.75	4.13	4.11	4.11	4.75
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1428	5.00	4.50	4.49	4.48	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1436	5.00	4.82	4.74	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	420/1427	4.67	4.39	4.32	4.31	4.67
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1425	5.00	4.50	4.34	4.34	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	205/1291	4.67	4.11	4.05	4.09	4.67
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1271	5.00	4.36	4.16	4.19	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1276	5.00	4.62	4.33	4.37	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	947/1273	4.00	4.55	4.38	4.40	4.00
4. Were special techniques successful	4	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	467/922	4.00	4.27	4.02	4.02	4.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: KORE 310 01	Term - Fall 2010	Enrollment: 12
Title: Kor in Soc/Cult Context		Questionnaires: 6
Instructor: Yoon,Kyung-Eun		

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	0	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	4						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	C	0	General	0	Under-grad	6	Non-major	6
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
			0	P	0						
			0	I	0	Other	0				
			2	?	2						