

## Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	MAED 531 01
Title:	Number Num Syst & Oper
Instructor:	Blue,Randi B

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment:	24
Questionnaires:	23

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
<b>General</b>														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	1	0	0	2	5	11	4	3.77	1252/1449	4.56	4.34	4.33	4.41	3.77
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	6	9	7	3.96	1106/1446	4.64	4.31	4.29	4.30	3.96
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	19	0	1	1	1	0	3.00	****/1256	5.00	4.40	4.34	4.30	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	2	2	9	10	4.17	888/1402	4.67	4.28	4.27	4.26	4.17
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	2	11	9	4.22	668/1358	4.69	4.16	4.13	4.18	4.22
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	2	5	11	5	3.83	980/1327	4.55	4.28	4.16	4.29	3.83
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	2	1	8	11	4.27	749/1435	4.75	4.25	4.20	4.23	4.27
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	8	15	4.65	898/1446	4.88	4.79	4.67	4.81	4.65
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	1	5	12	4	3.86	1042/1437	4.17	4.10	4.12	4.17	3.55
<b>Lecture</b>														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	2	6	15	4.57	745/1386	4.72	4.50	4.48	4.47	4.46
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	3	20	4.87	633/1390	4.92	4.77	4.74	4.77	4.80
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	1	12	10	4.39	778/1379	4.74	4.44	4.34	4.34	4.24
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	2	11	9	4.22	934/1379	4.65	4.32	4.36	4.35	3.98
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	5	8	10	4.22	583/1236	4.69	4.02	4.08	3.94	4.17
<b>Discussion</b>														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	3	12	7	4.18	649/1121	4.52	4.39	4.18	4.29	4.18
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	2	6	14	4.55	504/1122	4.56	4.53	4.36	4.44	4.55
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	10	12	4.55	564/1121	4.59	4.58	4.40	4.52	4.55
4. Were special techniques successful	1	0	0	0	4	11	7	4.14	394/790	4.44	4.22	4.06	4.08	4.14

## Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MAED 531 01	Term - Spring 2011	Enrollment: 24
Title: Number Num Syst & Oper		Questionnaires: 23
Instructor: Blue,Randi B		

  

	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														

### Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27    8	0.00-0.99    0	A    17	Required for Majors    20	Graduate    11	Major    0
28-55    0	1.00-1.99    0	B    3			
56-83    0	2.00-2.99    0	C    0	General    0	Under-grad    12	Non-major    23
84-150    0	3.00-3.49    0	D    0			
Grad.    11	3.50-4.00    15	F    0	Electives    0	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant	
		P    0			
		I    0	Other    0		
		?    3			

## Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	MAED 531 01
Title:	Number Num Syst & Oper
Instructor:	Nagle,Kathleen

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment:	24
Questionnaires:	23

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
<b>General</b>														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	1	0	0	2	5	11	4	3.77	1252/1449	4.56	4.34	4.33	4.41	3.77
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	6	9	7	3.96	1106/1446	4.64	4.31	4.29	4.30	3.96
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	19	0	1	1	1	0	3.00	****/1256	5.00	4.40	4.34	4.30	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	2	2	9	10	4.17	888/1402	4.67	4.28	4.27	4.26	4.17
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	2	11	9	4.22	668/1358	4.69	4.16	4.13	4.18	4.22
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	2	5	11	5	3.83	980/1327	4.55	4.28	4.16	4.29	3.83
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	2	1	8	11	4.27	749/1435	4.75	4.25	4.20	4.23	4.27
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	8	15	4.65	898/1446	4.88	4.79	4.67	4.81	4.65
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	17	5	0	3.23	1341/1437	4.17	4.10	4.12	4.17	3.55
<b>Lecture</b>														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	2	2	5	14	4.35	980/1386	4.72	4.50	4.48	4.47	4.46
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	1	4	18	4.74	906/1390	4.92	4.77	4.74	4.77	4.80
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	1	3	12	7	4.09	1026/1379	4.74	4.44	4.34	4.34	4.24
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	2	6	11	4	3.74	1189/1379	4.65	4.32	4.36	4.35	3.98
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	5	10	8	4.13	649/1236	4.69	4.02	4.08	3.94	4.17
<b>Discussion</b>														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	3	12	7	4.18	649/1121	4.52	4.39	4.18	4.29	4.18
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	2	6	14	4.55	504/1122	4.56	4.53	4.36	4.44	4.55
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	10	12	4.55	564/1121	4.59	4.58	4.40	4.52	4.55
4. Were special techniques successful	1	0	0	0	4	11	7	4.14	394/790	4.44	4.22	4.06	4.08	4.14

## Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	MAED 531 01
Title:	Number Num Syst & Oper
Instructor:	Nagle,Kathleen

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment:	24
Questionnaires:	23

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														

### Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned		Cum. GPA		Expected Grades		Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	8	0.00-0.99	0	A	17	Required for Majors	20	Graduate	11	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	3						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	C	0	General	0	Under-grad	12	Non-major	23
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	11	3.50-4.00	15	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	3						

## Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	MAED 531 02
Title:	Number Num Syst & Oper
Instructor:	Schroeder,Gretc

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment:	15
Questionnaires:	15

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
<b>General</b>														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	3	12	4.80	218/1449	4.56	4.34	4.33	4.41	4.80
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	1	13	4.93	75/1446	4.64	4.31	4.29	4.30	4.93
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	13	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/1256	5.00	4.40	4.34	4.30	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	1	12	4.67	339/1402	4.67	4.28	4.27	4.26	4.67
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	4	11	4.73	177/1358	4.69	4.16	4.13	4.18	4.73
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	5	10	4.67	253/1327	4.55	4.28	4.16	4.29	4.67
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	1	14	4.93	59/1435	4.75	4.25	4.20	4.23	4.93
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	5.00	1/1446	4.88	4.79	4.67	4.81	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	6	0	0	0	0	5	4	4.44	427/1437	4.17	4.10	4.12	4.17	4.44
<b>Lecture</b>														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	1	13	4.93	163/1386	4.72	4.50	4.48	4.47	4.93
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	1	14	4.93	372/1390	4.92	4.77	4.74	4.77	4.93
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	1	14	4.93	89/1379	4.74	4.44	4.34	4.34	4.93
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	14	4.93	118/1379	4.65	4.32	4.36	4.35	4.93
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	3	12	4.80	127/1236	4.69	4.02	4.08	3.94	4.80
<b>Discussion</b>														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	1	12	4.79	181/1121	4.52	4.39	4.18	4.29	4.79
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	3	11	4.79	294/1122	4.56	4.53	4.36	4.44	4.79
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	1	13	4.93	169/1121	4.59	4.58	4.40	4.52	4.93
4. Were special techniques successful	1	0	0	0	0	2	12	4.86	77/790	4.44	4.22	4.06	4.08	4.86

## Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	MAED 531 02
Title:	Number Num Syst & Oper
Instructor:	Schroeder,Gretc

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment:	15
Questionnaires:	15

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	13	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/67	****	4.41	4.58	4.67	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	13	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/66	****	4.20	4.36	4.36	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	13	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/64	****	4.13	4.25	4.32	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	13	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/75	****	4.06	4.32	4.37	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	13	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/73	****	3.74	4.00	4.02	****

### Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	A	13	Required for Majors	11	Graduate	10	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	C	0	General	0	Under-grad	5	Non-major	15
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	10	3.50-4.00	9	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	2						

## Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	MAED 531 03
Title:	Number Num Syst & Oper
Instructor:	Schroeder,Gretc

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment:	2
Questionnaires:	2

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
<b>General</b>														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1449	4.56	4.34	4.33	4.41	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1446	4.64	4.31	4.29	4.30	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1256	5.00	4.40	4.34	4.30	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1402	4.67	4.28	4.27	4.26	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1358	4.69	4.16	4.13	4.18	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1327	4.55	4.28	4.16	4.29	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1435	4.75	4.25	4.20	4.23	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1446	4.88	4.79	4.67	4.81	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	364/1437	4.17	4.10	4.12	4.17	4.50
<b>Lecture</b>														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	803/1386	4.72	4.50	4.48	4.47	4.50
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1390	4.92	4.77	4.74	4.77	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1379	4.74	4.44	4.34	4.34	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1379	4.65	4.32	4.36	4.35	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1236	4.69	4.02	4.08	3.94	5.00
<b>Discussion</b>														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1121	4.52	4.39	4.18	4.29	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	537/1122	4.56	4.53	4.36	4.44	4.50
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	591/1121	4.59	4.58	4.40	4.52	4.50
4. Were special techniques successful	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	200/790	4.44	4.22	4.06	4.08	4.50

## Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MAED 531 03	Term - Spring 2011	Enrollment: 2
Title: Number Num Syst & Oper		Questionnaires: 2
Instructor: Schroeder,Gretc		

  

	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														

### Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	0	0.00-0.99 0	A 0 Required for Majors	2	Graduate 1 Major 0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 1		
56-83	0	2.00-2.99 0	C 0 General	0	Under-grad 1 Non-major 2
84-150	0	3.00-3.49 0	D 0		
Grad.	1	3.50-4.00 0	F 0 Electives	0	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant
			P 0		
			I 0 Other	0	
			? 0		



## Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	MAED 531 04
Title:	Number Num Syst & Oper
Instructor:	Schroeder,Gretc

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment:	2
Questionnaires:	1

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
<b>General</b>														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1449	4.56	4.34	4.33	4.41	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1446	4.64	4.31	4.29	4.30	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1256	5.00	4.40	4.34	4.30	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1402	4.67	4.28	4.27	4.26	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1358	4.69	4.16	4.13	4.18	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1327	4.55	4.28	4.16	4.29	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1435	4.75	4.25	4.20	4.23	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1446	4.88	4.79	4.67	4.81	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	868/1437	4.17	4.10	4.12	4.17	4.00
<b>Lecture</b>														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1386	4.72	4.50	4.48	4.47	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1390	4.92	4.77	4.74	4.77	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1379	4.74	4.44	4.34	4.34	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1379	4.65	4.32	4.36	4.35	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1236	4.69	4.02	4.08	3.94	5.00
<b>Discussion</b>														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1121	4.52	4.39	4.18	4.29	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1122	4.56	4.53	4.36	4.44	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1121	4.59	4.58	4.40	4.52	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	425/790	4.44	4.22	4.06	4.08	4.00

## Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MAED 531 04	Term - Spring 2011	Enrollment: 2
Title: Number Num Syst & Oper		Questionnaires: 1
Instructor: Schroeder,Gretc		

  

	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														

### Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	1	0.00-0.99 0	A 1 Required for Majors	1 Graduate	0 Major
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 0		
56-83	0	2.00-2.99 0	C 0 General	0	Under-grad
84-150	0	3.00-3.49 0	D 0		1 Non-major
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 1	F 0 Electives	0	
			P 0		
			I 0 Other	0	
			? 0		

\*\*\*\* - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

## Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	MAED 531 05
Title:	Number Num Syst & Oper
Instructor:	Schroeder,Gretc

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment:	1
Questionnaires:	1

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
<b>General</b>														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1449	4.56	4.34	4.33	4.41	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1446	4.64	4.31	4.29	4.30	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1256	5.00	4.40	4.34	4.30	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1402	4.67	4.28	4.27	4.26	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1358	4.69	4.16	4.13	4.18	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1327	4.55	4.28	4.16	4.29	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1435	4.75	4.25	4.20	4.23	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1446	4.88	4.79	4.67	4.81	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1437	4.17	4.10	4.12	4.17	5.00
<b>Lecture</b>														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1386	4.72	4.50	4.48	4.47	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1390	4.92	4.77	4.74	4.77	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1379	4.74	4.44	4.34	4.34	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1379	4.65	4.32	4.36	4.35	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1236	4.69	4.02	4.08	3.94	5.00
<b>Discussion</b>														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	727/1121	4.52	4.39	4.18	4.29	4.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	857/1122	4.56	4.53	4.36	4.44	4.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	855/1121	4.59	4.58	4.40	4.52	4.00
4. Were special techniques successful	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/790	4.44	4.22	4.06	4.08	5.00

## Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: MAED 531 05	Term - Spring 2011	Enrollment: 1
Title: Number Num Syst & Oper		Questionnaires: 1
Instructor: Schroeder,Gretc		

  

	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														

### Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned		Cum. GPA		Expected Grades		Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	1	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	C	0	General	0	Under-grad	0	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	1	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						