

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	RUSS 101 01
Title:	Basic Russian I
Instructor:	Rusinko,Elaine

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment:	27
Questionnaires:	22

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	1	20	4.86	191/1528	4.72	4.38	4.31	4.16	4.86
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	5	16	4.68	340/1527	4.71	4.37	4.28	4.23	4.68
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	3	19	4.86	183/1333	4.73	4.55	4.34	4.26	4.86
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	1	5	15	4.67	313/1495	4.70	4.36	4.25	4.11	4.67
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	3	2	16	4.50	367/1439	4.47	4.38	4.11	3.97	4.50
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	3	0	0	1	6	12	4.58	329/1425	4.51	4.23	4.12	3.93	4.58
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	4	5	13	4.41	586/1508	4.56	4.16	4.18	4.11	4.41
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	10	12	4.55	1027/1526	4.76	4.63	4.66	4.57	4.55
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	1	8	10	4.47	389/1490	4.44	4.13	4.11	4.02	4.47
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	4	18	4.82	368/1428	4.77	4.50	4.49	4.43	4.82
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	3	19	4.86	645/1436	4.83	4.82	4.74	4.70	4.86
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	2	5	15	4.59	517/1427	4.68	4.39	4.32	4.27	4.59
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	4	18	4.82	265/1425	4.76	4.50	4.34	4.31	4.82
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	1	2	6	13	4.41	425/1291	4.42	4.11	4.05	3.97	4.41
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	2	0	3	6	7	3.89	880/1271	4.25	4.36	4.16	3.98	3.89
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	0	3	6	10	4.37	727/1276	4.58	4.62	4.33	4.14	4.37
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	5	0	0	1	2	6	8	4.24	839/1273	4.42	4.55	4.38	4.18	4.24
4. Were special techniques successful	3	3	1	1	0	5	9	4.25	360/922	4.28	4.27	4.02	3.87	4.25

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	RUSS 101 01
Title:	Basic Russian I
Instructor:	Rusinko,Elaine

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment:	27
Questionnaires:	22

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	4	0.00-0.99 2	A 9 Required for Majors 5	Graduate 0	Major 3
28-55	1	1.00-1.99 0	B 7		
56-83	2	2.00-2.99 1	C 2 General 10	Under-grad 22	Non-major 19
84-150	2	3.00-3.49 1	D 0		
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 2	F 0 Electives 4 P 0 I 0 Other 1 ? 1	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant	

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	RUSS 101 02
Title:	Basic Russian I
Instructor:	Rusinko,Elaine

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment:	26
Questionnaires:	17

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	1	0	0	0	2	2	12	4.63	492/1528	4.72	4.38	4.31	4.16	4.63
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	1	3	12	4.69	340/1527	4.71	4.37	4.28	4.23	4.69
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	1	1	1	13	4.63	436/1333	4.73	4.55	4.34	4.26	4.63
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	2	0	0	0	3	11	4.79	197/1495	4.70	4.36	4.25	4.11	4.79
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	0	1	1	13	4.56	322/1439	4.47	4.38	4.11	3.97	4.56
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	1	0	0	2	3	10	4.53	367/1425	4.51	4.23	4.12	3.93	4.53
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	1	5	10	4.56	390/1508	4.56	4.16	4.18	4.11	4.56
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	2	4	10	4.50	1061/1526	4.76	4.63	4.66	4.57	4.50
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	2	5	7	4.36	555/1490	4.44	4.13	4.11	4.02	4.36
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	1	1	0	14	4.69	604/1428	4.77	4.50	4.49	4.43	4.69
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	2	14	4.88	612/1436	4.83	4.82	4.74	4.70	4.88
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	1	2	1	12	4.50	625/1427	4.68	4.39	4.32	4.27	4.50
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	3	3	10	4.44	770/1425	4.76	4.50	4.34	4.31	4.44
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	2	1	0	2	2	9	4.29	518/1291	4.42	4.11	4.05	3.97	4.29
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	4	4	5	4.08	759/1271	4.25	4.36	4.16	3.98	4.08
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	1	2	1	10	4.43	675/1276	4.58	4.62	4.33	4.14	4.43
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	1	0	3	2	7	4.08	920/1273	4.42	4.55	4.38	4.18	4.08
4. Were special techniques successful	3	2	1	0	3	3	5	3.92	542/922	4.28	4.27	4.02	3.87	3.92

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	RUSS 101 02
Title:	Basic Russian I
Instructor:	Rusinko, Elaine

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment:	26
Questionnaires:	17

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	12	0	0	0	1	1	3	4.40	87/198	4.40	4.40	4.16	3.90	4.40
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	12	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	26/208	4.80	4.80	4.27	4.23	4.80
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	12	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/194	5.00	5.00	4.56	4.54	5.00
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	12	0	0	0	1	0	4	4.60	67/194	4.60	4.60	4.37	4.30	4.60
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	12	1	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	****/176	****	****	4.23	4.19	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	1	A	7	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	3
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	B	2						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	C	2	General	4	Under-grad	17	Non-major	14
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	3	D	2						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	6	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	2						
				I	0	Other	3				
				?	0						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	RUSS 101 03
Title:	Basic Russian I
Instructor:	Tararina,Margar

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment:	15
Questionnaires:	14

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	1	0	0	0	0	4	9	4.69	390/1528	4.72	4.38	4.31	4.16	4.69
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	1	3	9	4.62	438/1527	4.71	4.37	4.28	4.23	4.62
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	1	12	4.92	117/1333	4.73	4.55	4.34	4.26	4.92
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	12	4.86	139/1495	4.70	4.36	4.25	4.11	4.86
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	1	0	0	5	7	4.31	605/1439	4.47	4.38	4.11	3.97	4.31
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	0	1	4	7	4.50	396/1425	4.51	4.23	4.12	3.93	4.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	4	9	4.57	380/1508	4.56	4.16	4.18	4.11	4.57
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	5.00	1/1526	4.76	4.63	4.66	4.57	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	1	0	0	0	8	4	4.33	579/1490	4.44	4.13	4.11	4.02	4.33
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	3	10	4.77	459/1428	4.77	4.50	4.49	4.43	4.77
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	13	5.00	1/1436	4.83	4.82	4.74	4.70	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	2	11	4.85	193/1427	4.68	4.39	4.32	4.27	4.85
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	3	10	4.77	335/1425	4.76	4.50	4.34	4.31	4.77
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	1	1	4	7	4.31	504/1291	4.42	4.11	4.05	3.97	4.31
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	4	8	4.54	421/1271	4.25	4.36	4.16	3.98	4.54
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	1	1	11	4.77	339/1276	4.58	4.62	4.33	4.14	4.77
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	1	3	9	4.62	552/1273	4.42	4.55	4.38	4.18	4.62
4. Were special techniques successful	1	1	0	0	3	3	6	4.25	360/922	4.28	4.27	4.02	3.87	4.25

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	RUSS 101 03	Term - Fall 2010	Enrollment:	15
Title:	Basic Russian I		Questionnaires:	14
Instructor:	Tararina,Margar			

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	1	0.00-0.99 0	A 3 Required for Majors	2	Graduate 0 Major 1
28-55	1	1.00-1.99 0	B 4		
56-83	1	2.00-2.99 1	C 0 General	6	Under-grad 14 Non-major 13
84-150	1	3.00-3.49 2	D 0		
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 4	F 0 Electives	4	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant
			P 0		
			I 0 Other	1	
			? 3		

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	RUSS 101 04
Title:	Basic Russian I
Instructor:	Tararina,Margar

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment:	13
Questionnaires:	8

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	1	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	362/1528	4.72	4.38	4.31	4.16	4.71
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	161/1527	4.71	4.37	4.28	4.23	4.86
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	2	5	4.50	564/1333	4.73	4.55	4.34	4.26	4.50
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	1	0	0	1	1	4	4.50	496/1495	4.70	4.36	4.25	4.11	4.50
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	3	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	367/1439	4.47	4.38	4.11	3.97	4.50
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	2	4	4.43	489/1425	4.51	4.23	4.12	3.93	4.43
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	229/1508	4.56	4.16	4.18	4.11	4.71
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1526	4.76	4.63	4.66	4.57	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	0	2	3	4.60	266/1490	4.44	4.13	4.11	4.02	4.60
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	385/1428	4.77	4.50	4.49	4.43	4.80
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	1	0	4	4.60	1114/1436	4.83	4.82	4.74	4.70	4.60
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	230/1427	4.68	4.39	4.32	4.27	4.80
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1425	4.76	4.50	4.34	4.31	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	0	0	1	0	5	4.67	205/1291	4.42	4.11	4.05	3.97	4.67
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	2	0	6	4.50	446/1271	4.25	4.36	4.16	3.98	4.50
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	1	0	7	4.75	348/1276	4.58	4.62	4.33	4.14	4.75
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	1	0	7	4.75	408/1273	4.42	4.55	4.38	4.18	4.75
4. Were special techniques successful	0	1	0	0	1	0	6	4.71	141/922	4.28	4.27	4.02	3.87	4.71

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	RUSS 101 04	Term - Fall 2010		Enrollment:	13
Title:	Basic Russian I			Questionnaires:	8
Instructor:	Tararina,Margar				

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	3	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	B	1						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	0	C	1	General	3	Under-grad	8	Non-major	8
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	1						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	2						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	RUSS 201 01
Title:	Basic Russian III
Instructor:	Zhdanovych,Vira

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment:	28
Questionnaires:	22

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	1	1	3	17	4.64	477/1528	4.68	4.38	4.31	4.34	4.64
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	5	17	4.77	237/1527	4.92	4.37	4.28	4.32	4.77
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	4	18	4.82	228/1333	4.94	4.55	4.34	4.40	4.82
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	7	0	0	1	2	12	4.73	247/1495	4.72	4.36	4.25	4.28	4.73
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	0	2	2	16	4.70	205/1439	4.80	4.38	4.11	4.12	4.70
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	6	0	0	0	2	14	4.88	101/1425	4.73	4.23	4.12	4.11	4.88
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	1	1	19	4.86	119/1508	4.82	4.16	4.18	4.19	4.86
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	15	7	4.32	1231/1526	4.74	4.63	4.66	4.64	4.32
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	1	0	1	1	7	11	4.40	494/1490	4.49	4.13	4.11	4.11	4.40
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	0	1	18	4.95	133/1428	4.98	4.50	4.49	4.48	4.95
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	0	3	16	4.84	709/1436	4.89	4.82	4.74	4.76	4.84
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	0	1	1	17	4.84	193/1427	4.95	4.39	4.32	4.33	4.84
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	1	0	2	16	4.74	378/1425	4.91	4.50	4.34	4.37	4.74
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	4	3	1	2	1	2	9	4.07	695/1291	4.69	4.11	4.05	4.14	4.07
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	7	0	1	1	3	1	9	4.07	762/1271	4.47	4.36	4.16	4.21	4.07
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	7	0	0	0	3	2	10	4.47	633/1276	4.69	4.62	4.33	4.37	4.47
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	7	0	0	0	2	1	12	4.67	507/1273	4.81	4.55	4.38	4.43	4.67
4. Were special techniques successful	7	5	0	0	2	2	6	4.40	272/922	4.57	4.27	4.02	4.11	4.40

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: RUSS 201 01	Term - Fall 2010	Enrollment: 28
Title: Basic Russian III		Questionnaires: 22
Instructor: Zhdanovych,Vira		

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	0	0.00-0.99 0	A 9	Required for Majors 7	Graduate 0
28-55	1	1.00-1.99 0	B 7		Major 6
56-83	1	2.00-2.99 1	C 2	General 6	Under-grad 22
84-150	2	3.00-3.49 1	D 0		Non-major 16
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 6	F 0	Electives 1	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 3	
			? 3		

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	RUSS 201 02
Title:	Basic Russian III
Instructor:	Tararina,Margar

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment:	16
Questionnaires:	12

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	3	0	0	0	2	0	7	4.56	578/1528	4.68	4.38	4.31	4.34	4.56
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	3	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1527	4.92	4.37	4.28	4.32	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	3	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1333	4.94	4.55	4.34	4.40	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	3	1	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	227/1495	4.72	4.36	4.25	4.28	4.75
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	3	2	0	0	1	0	6	4.71	197/1439	4.80	4.38	4.11	4.12	4.71
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	3	2	0	0	1	0	6	4.71	207/1425	4.73	4.23	4.12	4.11	4.71
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	3	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1508	4.82	4.16	4.18	4.19	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	3	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	601/1526	4.74	4.63	4.66	4.64	4.89
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	0	3	6	4.67	221/1490	4.49	4.13	4.11	4.11	4.67
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	5	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1428	4.98	4.50	4.49	4.48	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	6	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	742/1436	4.89	4.82	4.74	4.76	4.83
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	6	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1427	4.95	4.39	4.32	4.33	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1425	4.91	4.50	4.34	4.37	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	6	1	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1291	4.69	4.11	4.05	4.14	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	1	0	1	0	7	4.33	598/1271	4.47	4.36	4.16	4.21	4.33
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	0	1	0	8	4.78	329/1276	4.69	4.62	4.33	4.37	4.78
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	1	0	8	4.78	382/1273	4.81	4.55	4.38	4.43	4.78
4. Were special techniques successful	3	2	0	0	1	0	6	4.71	141/922	4.57	4.27	4.02	4.11	4.71

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	RUSS 201 02
Title:	Basic Russian III
Instructor:	Tararina,Margar

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment:	16
Questionnaires:	12

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/198	****	4.40	4.16	4.41	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/208	****	4.80	4.27	4.30	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/194	****	5.00	4.56	4.57	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/194	****	4.60	4.37	4.43	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/176	****	****	4.23	4.18	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/76	****	4.63	4.51	4.17	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/74	****	4.86	4.31	3.91	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/66	****	4.70	4.27	3.85	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/76	****	4.71	4.27	4.15	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/73	****	3.74	3.94	3.95	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/42	****	****	4.00	3.68	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/41	****	****	4.06	3.81	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	****	****	4.74	4.50	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	11	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/32	****	****	4.20	4.32	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	****	4.34	4.11	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/43	****	****	4.43	3.95	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	****	****	4.53	4.00	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/36	****	****	4.43	3.75	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	RUSS 201 02
Title:	Basic Russian III
Instructor:	Tararina,Margar

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment:	16
Questionnaires:	12

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/21	****	****	4.54	3.63	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/20	****	****	4.45	3.77	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	6	Required for Majors	6	Graduate	0	Major	4
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	B	2						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	C	1	General	3	Under-grad	12	Non-major	8
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	3						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	RUSS 201 03
Title:	Basic Russian III
Instructor:	Tararina,Margar

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment:	12
Questionnaires:	6

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	214/1528	4.68	4.38	4.31	4.34	4.83
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1527	4.92	4.37	4.28	4.32	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1333	4.94	4.55	4.34	4.40	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	313/1495	4.72	4.36	4.25	4.28	4.67
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	1	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1439	4.80	4.38	4.11	4.12	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	0	4	4.60	301/1425	4.73	4.23	4.12	4.11	4.60
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	1	0	4	4.60	352/1508	4.82	4.16	4.18	4.19	4.60
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1526	4.74	4.63	4.66	4.64	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	1	0	0	0	3	2	4.40	494/1490	4.49	4.13	4.11	4.11	4.40
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1428	4.98	4.50	4.49	4.48	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1436	4.89	4.82	4.74	4.76	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1427	4.95	4.39	4.32	4.33	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1425	4.91	4.50	4.34	4.37	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1291	4.69	4.11	4.05	4.14	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1271	4.47	4.36	4.16	4.21	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	268/1276	4.69	4.62	4.33	4.37	4.83
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1273	4.81	4.55	4.38	4.43	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	0	1	0	0	0	2	3	4.60	177/922	4.57	4.27	4.02	4.11	4.60

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	RUSS 201 03
Title:	Basic Russian III
Instructor:	Tararina,Margar

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment:	12
Questionnaires:	6

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	0	0.00-0.99 0	A 1 Required for Majors	1 Graduate	0 Major
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 4		
56-83	0	2.00-2.99 0	C 0 General	3 Under-grad	6 Non-major
84-150	1	3.00-3.49 0	D 0		
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 1	F 0 Electives	1	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant
			P 0		
			I 0 Other	0	
			? 1		

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	RUSS 301 02
Title:	Continuing Russian II
Instructor:	Young,Steven R

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment:	13
Questionnaires:	8

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	1	6	4.63	492/1528	4.63	4.38	4.31	4.34	4.63
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	143/1527	4.88	4.37	4.28	4.27	4.88
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1333	5.00	4.55	4.34	4.34	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	139/1495	4.86	4.36	4.25	4.28	4.86
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	0	0	3	3	4.50	367/1439	4.50	4.38	4.11	4.13	4.50
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	175/1425	4.75	4.23	4.12	4.17	4.75
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	1	0	1	1	5	4.13	946/1508	4.13	4.16	4.18	4.17	4.13
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1526	5.00	4.63	4.66	4.68	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	3	4	4.57	289/1490	4.57	4.13	4.11	4.11	4.57
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	335/1428	4.83	4.50	4.49	4.48	4.83
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1436	5.00	4.82	4.74	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	420/1427	4.67	4.39	4.32	4.31	4.67
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1425	5.00	4.50	4.34	4.34	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1271	5.00	4.36	4.16	4.19	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	5	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1276	5.00	4.62	4.33	4.37	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	5	0	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	776/1273	4.33	4.55	4.38	4.40	4.33
4. Were special techniques successful	5	1	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	218/922	4.50	4.27	4.02	4.02	4.50

Frequency Distribution

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	RUSS 301 02
Title:	Continuing Russian II
Instructor:	Young,Steven R

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment:	13
Questionnaires:	8

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	1	Required for Majors	5	Graduate	0	Major	3
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	C	1	General	0	Under-grad	8	Non-major	5
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	5						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	RUSS 303 01
Title:	Continuing Russ Conv I
Instructor:	Zhdanovych,Vira

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment:	10
Questionnaires:	4

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	2	1	1	3.75	1306/1528	3.75	4.38	4.31	4.34	3.75
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	259/1527	4.75	4.37	4.28	4.27	4.75
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	2	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1047/1495	4.00	4.36	4.25	4.28	4.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1439	5.00	4.38	4.11	4.13	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1425	5.00	4.23	4.12	4.17	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	2	1	1	3.75	1231/1508	3.75	4.16	4.18	4.17	3.75
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1526	5.00	4.63	4.66	4.68	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	579/1490	4.33	4.13	4.11	4.11	4.33
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	637/1428	4.67	4.50	4.49	4.48	4.67
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1436	5.00	4.82	4.74	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	843/1427	4.33	4.39	4.32	4.31	4.33
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1425	5.00	4.50	4.34	4.34	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1291	5.00	4.11	4.05	4.09	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1271	5.00	4.36	4.16	4.19	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1276	5.00	4.62	4.33	4.37	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1273	5.00	4.55	4.38	4.40	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27 0	0.00-0.99 0	A 0	Required for Majors 2	Graduate 0	Major 2

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	RUSS 303 01
Title:	Continuing Russ Conv I
Instructor:	Zhdanovych,Vira

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment:	10
Questionnaires:	4

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	2									
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	1	C	1	General		0	Under-grad	4	Non-major			2
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0									
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives		1	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant					
				P	0									
				I	0	Other		0						
				?	1									

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	RUSS 350 01
Title:	Russian Complem Reading
Instructor:	Zhdanovych,Vira

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment:	10
Questionnaires:	6

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1528	5.00	4.38	4.31	4.34	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	179/1527	4.83	4.37	4.28	4.27	4.83
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1333	5.00	4.55	4.34	4.34	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	177/1495	4.80	4.36	4.25	4.28	4.80
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1439	5.00	4.38	4.11	4.13	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	121/1425	4.83	4.23	4.12	4.17	4.83
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	2	3	4.33	681/1508	4.33	4.16	4.18	4.17	4.33
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	689/1526	4.83	4.63	4.66	4.68	4.83
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	1	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	156/1490	4.75	4.13	4.11	4.11	4.75
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1428	5.00	4.50	4.49	4.48	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1436	5.00	4.82	4.74	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	420/1427	4.67	4.39	4.32	4.31	4.67
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1425	5.00	4.50	4.34	4.34	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1291	****	4.11	4.05	4.09	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1271	5.00	4.36	4.16	4.19	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1276	5.00	4.62	4.33	4.37	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1273	5.00	4.55	4.38	4.40	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	3	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/922	****	4.27	4.02	4.02	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	RUSS 350 01
Title:	Russian Complem Reading
Instructor:	Zhdanovych,Vira

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment:	10
Questionnaires:	6

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	2	Required for Majors	4	Graduate	0	Major	5
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	C	1	General	0	Under-grad	6	Non-major	1
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	2						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	RUSS 401 01	Term - Fall 2010	Enrollment:	10
Title:	Advanced Russian I		Questionnaires:	9
Instructor:	Zhdanovych,Vira			

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	4	5	4.56	578/1528	4.56	4.38	4.31	4.39	4.56
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	4	4	4.33	818/1527	4.33	4.37	4.28	4.30	4.33
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	2	1	5	4.38	731/1333	4.38	4.55	4.34	4.37	4.38
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	117/1495	4.89	4.36	4.25	4.33	4.89
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	153/1439	4.78	4.38	4.11	4.20	4.78
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	96/1425	4.89	4.23	4.12	4.26	4.89
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	3	6	4.67	284/1508	4.67	4.16	4.18	4.24	4.67
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1526	5.00	4.63	4.66	4.71	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	0	3	4	4.57	289/1490	4.57	4.13	4.11	4.19	4.57
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1428	5.00	4.50	4.49	4.54	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1436	5.00	4.82	4.74	4.75	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	202/1427	4.83	4.39	4.32	4.37	4.83
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	1	0	5	4.67	475/1425	4.67	4.50	4.34	4.37	4.67
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	1	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	112/1291	4.80	4.11	4.05	4.10	4.80
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	246/1271	4.75	4.36	4.16	4.33	4.75
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	5	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1276	5.00	4.62	4.33	4.49	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	5	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	637/1273	4.50	4.55	4.38	4.55	4.50
4. Were special techniques successful	5	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/922	****	4.27	4.02	4.23	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	RUSS 401 01
Title:	Advanced Russian I
Instructor:	Zhdanovych,Vira

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment:	10
Questionnaires:	9

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	0	0.00-0.99 0	A 2 Required for Majors	4 Graduate	0 Major
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 5		
56-83	0	2.00-2.99 1	C 1 General	1	Under-grad
84-150	0	3.00-3.49 1	D 0		9 Non-major
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 5	F 0 Electives	2	
			P 0		
			I 0 Other	0	
			? 1		

**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant