

# Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

<b>Course-Section:</b>	<b>GERM 101 01</b>
<b>Title:</b>	<b>Elementary German I</b>
<b>Instructor:</b>	<b>Sutton,Susanne</b>

**Term - Spring 2013**

<b>Enrollment:</b>	<b>30</b>
<b>Questionnaires:</b>	<b>22</b>

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
<b>General</b>														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	1	20	4.86	207/1560	4.86	4.47	4.35	4.17	4.86
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	2	3	16	4.67	412/1559	4.67	4.42	4.31	4.25	4.67
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	1	2	18	4.68	418/1371	4.68	4.56	4.38	4.27	4.68
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	2	1	4	15	4.45	621/1519	4.45	4.46	4.27	4.13	4.45
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	0	3	5	12	4.45	494/1452	4.45	4.40	4.18	4.04	4.45
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	2	5	14	4.45	493/1430	4.45	4.33	4.16	3.98	4.45
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	2	4	16	4.64	392/1539	4.64	4.38	4.23	4.18	4.64
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	3	19	4.86	550/1560	4.86	4.69	4.64	4.57	4.86
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	0	0	2	8	8	4.33	639/1545	4.33	4.21	4.14	4.07	4.33
<b>Lecture</b>														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	1	6	15	4.64	693/1496	4.64	4.54	4.49	4.43	4.64
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	1	21	4.95	278/1498	4.95	4.83	4.75	4.67	4.95
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	2	6	14	4.55	655/1496	4.55	4.45	4.37	4.31	4.55
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	1	1	4	15	4.57	644/1494	4.57	4.53	4.37	4.28	4.57
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	2	5	14	4.57	292/1352	4.57	4.24	4.12	3.98	4.57
<b>Discussion</b>														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	2	4	8	5	3.84	937/1248	3.84	4.39	4.23	3.95	3.84
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	0	3	6	10	4.37	733/1250	4.37	4.64	4.39	4.13	4.37
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	1	3	6	9	4.21	883/1239	4.21	4.56	4.45	4.18	4.21
4. Were special techniques successful	3	4	0	1	3	5	6	4.07	498/906	4.07	4.35	4.13	3.98	4.07

# Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

<b>Course-Section:</b>	<b>GERM 101 01</b>
<b>Title:</b>	<b>Elementary German I</b>
<b>Instructor:</b>	<b>Sutton,Susanne</b>

**Term - Spring 2013**

<b>Enrollment:</b>	<b>30</b>
<b>Questionnaires:</b>	<b>22</b>

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
<b>Laboratory</b>														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	21	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/206	****	****	4.25	4.15	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	21	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/214	****	****	4.31	4.30	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	21	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/204	****	****	4.52	4.54	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	21	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/207	****	****	4.44	4.50	****
<b>Seminar</b>														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	21	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/64	****	5.00	4.44	4.50	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	21	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/58	****	4.88	4.37	4.32	****

## Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	3	0.00-0.99	0	A	11	Required for Majors	8	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	6						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	2	C	3	General	10	Under-grad	22	Non-major	22
84-150	4	3.00-3.49	3	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	6	F	0	Electives	3				
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	1						

\*\*\*\* - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

# Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

<b>Course-Section:</b>	<b>GERM 102 01</b>
<b>Title:</b>	<b>Elementary German II</b>
<b>Instructor:</b>	<b>Sutton,Susanne</b>

**Term - Spring 2013**

<b>Enrollment:</b>	<b>29</b>
<b>Questionnaires:</b>	<b>24</b>

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
<b>General</b>														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	1	0	0	0	0	3	20	4.87	207/1560	4.82	4.47	4.35	4.17	4.87
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	1	3	19	4.78	260/1559	4.84	4.42	4.31	4.25	4.78
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	4	19	4.83	242/1371	4.80	4.56	4.38	4.27	4.83
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	3	20	4.87	152/1519	4.71	4.46	4.27	4.13	4.87
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	2	1	6	14	4.39	564/1452	4.36	4.40	4.18	4.04	4.39
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	5	4	14	4.39	568/1430	4.20	4.33	4.16	3.98	4.39
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	0	7	16	4.70	307/1539	4.85	4.38	4.23	4.18	4.70
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	3	20	4.87	550/1560	4.88	4.69	4.64	4.57	4.87
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	0	7	14	4.67	255/1545	4.65	4.21	4.14	4.07	4.67
<b>Lecture</b>														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	5	17	4.77	454/1496	4.78	4.54	4.49	4.43	4.77
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	1	21	4.95	278/1498	4.98	4.83	4.75	4.67	4.95
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	0	2	5	14	4.57	621/1496	4.73	4.45	4.37	4.31	4.57
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	1	3	18	4.77	376/1494	4.72	4.53	4.37	4.28	4.77
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	0	0	2	5	15	4.59	274/1352	4.46	4.24	4.12	3.98	4.59
<b>Discussion</b>														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	5	0	1	1	1	4	12	4.32	634/1248	4.44	4.39	4.23	3.95	4.32
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	5	0	1	0	4	2	12	4.26	808/1250	4.46	4.64	4.39	4.13	4.26
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	5	0	0	0	0	3	16	4.84	333/1239	4.42	4.56	4.45	4.18	4.84
4. Were special techniques successful	5	1	0	1	2	7	8	4.22	417/906	4.22	4.35	4.13	3.98	4.22

# Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

<b>Course-Section:</b>	<b>GERM 102 01</b>
<b>Title:</b>	<b>Elementary German II</b>
<b>Instructor:</b>	<b>Sutton,Susanne</b>

**Term - Spring 2013**

<b>Enrollment:</b>	<b>29</b>
<b>Questionnaires:</b>	<b>24</b>

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
<b>Laboratory</b>														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	23	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/206	****	****	4.25	4.15	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	23	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/214	****	****	4.31	4.30	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	23	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/204	****	****	4.52	4.54	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	23	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/207	****	****	4.44	4.50	****
<b>Seminar</b>														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	23	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/64	****	5.00	4.44	4.50	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	23	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/58	****	4.88	4.37	4.32	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	23	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/52	****	5.00	4.41	4.33	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	23	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/66	****	5.00	4.41	4.53	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	23	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/63	****	4.50	4.09	4.17	****
<b>Field Work</b>														
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	23	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/24	****	5.00	4.25	5.00	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	23	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/26	****	5.00	3.89	5.00	****
<b>Self Paced</b>														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	23	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	****	5.00	4.35	4.54	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	23	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/22	****	5.00	4.13	4.42	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	23	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	5.00	4.41	4.61	****
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	23	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/14	****	5.00	4.03	4.38	****

# Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

<b>Course-Section:</b>	<b>GERM 102 01</b>
<b>Title:</b>	<b>Elementary German II</b>
<b>Instructor:</b>	<b>Sutton,Susanne</b>

**Term - Spring 2013**

<b>Enrollment:</b>	<b>29</b>
<b>Questionnaires:</b>	<b>24</b>

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
<b>Self Paced</b>														
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	23	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/10	****	****	3.94	5.00	****

## Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	2	0.00-0.99 0	A 8	Required for Majors 3	Graduate 0 Major 1
28-55	4	1.00-1.99 1	B 12		
56-83	2	2.00-2.99 2	C 2	General 13	Under-grad 24 Non-major 23
84-150	1	3.00-3.49 4	D 0		
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 5	F 0	Electives 3	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 1	
			? 2		

# Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

<b>Course-Section:</b>	<b>GERM 102 02</b>
<b>Title:</b>	<b>Elementary German II</b>
<b>Instructor:</b>	<b>Sutton,Susanne</b>

**Term - Spring 2013**

<b>Enrollment:</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>Questionnaires:</b>	<b>9</b>

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
<b>General</b>														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	313/1560	4.82	4.47	4.35	4.17	4.78
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	143/1559	4.84	4.42	4.31	4.25	4.89
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	301/1371	4.80	4.56	4.38	4.27	4.78
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	2	6	4.56	492/1519	4.71	4.46	4.27	4.13	4.56
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	4	4	4.33	620/1452	4.36	4.40	4.18	4.04	4.33
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	2	2	4	4.00	889/1430	4.20	4.33	4.16	3.98	4.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1539	4.85	4.38	4.23	4.18	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	502/1560	4.88	4.69	4.64	4.57	4.89
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	3	5	4.63	294/1545	4.65	4.21	4.14	4.07	4.63
<b>Lecture</b>														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	454/1496	4.78	4.54	4.49	4.43	4.78
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1498	4.98	4.83	4.75	4.67	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	186/1496	4.73	4.45	4.37	4.31	4.89
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	3	6	4.67	532/1494	4.72	4.53	4.37	4.28	4.67
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	1	4	4	4.33	547/1352	4.46	4.24	4.12	3.98	4.33
<b>Discussion</b>														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	2	6	4.56	434/1248	4.44	4.39	4.23	3.95	4.56
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	1	1	7	4.67	479/1250	4.46	4.64	4.39	4.13	4.67
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	1	0	1	2	4	4.00	971/1239	4.42	4.56	4.45	4.18	4.00

# Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

<b>Course-Section:</b>	<b>GERM 102 02</b>
<b>Title:</b>	<b>Elementary German II</b>
<b>Instructor:</b>	<b>Sutton,Susanne</b>

**Term - Spring 2013**

<b>Enrollment:</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>Questionnaires:</b>	<b>9</b>

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
<b>Discussion</b>														
4. Were special techniques successful	0	0	0	1	1	2	5	4.22	417/906	4.22	4.35	4.13	3.98	4.22

## Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	0	0.00-0.99 0	A 7	Required for Majors 6	Graduate 0
28-55	1	1.00-1.99 0	B 2		
56-83	1	2.00-2.99 0	C 0	General 2	Under-grad 9
84-150	0	3.00-3.49 1	D 0		Non-major 8
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 4	F 0	Electives 1	
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 0	
			? 0		

\*\*\*\* - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

# Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

<b>Course-Section:</b>	<b>GERM 201 01</b>
<b>Title:</b>	<b>Intermediate German I</b>
<b>Instructor:</b>	<b>Wolff,Xenia Y.</b>

**Term - Spring 2013**

<b>Enrollment:</b>	<b>22</b>
<b>Questionnaires:</b>	<b>15</b>

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
<b>General</b>														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	4	10	4.60	542/1560	4.59	4.47	4.35	4.37	4.60
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	2	12	4.73	320/1559	4.70	4.42	4.31	4.33	4.73
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	3	12	4.80	261/1371	4.78	4.56	4.38	4.40	4.80
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	5	10	4.67	356/1519	4.63	4.46	4.27	4.29	4.67
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	4	10	4.60	330/1452	4.59	4.40	4.18	4.22	4.60
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	2	4	8	4.27	691/1430	4.38	4.33	4.16	4.15	4.27
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	1	2	11	4.71	283/1539	4.61	4.38	4.23	4.25	4.71
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	14	4.93	318/1560	4.97	4.69	4.64	4.61	4.93
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	10	4	4.29	700/1545	4.31	4.21	4.14	4.09	4.29
<b>Lecture</b>														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	2	13	4.87	297/1496	4.89	4.54	4.49	4.52	4.87
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	1	0	14	4.87	674/1498	4.93	4.83	4.75	4.78	4.87
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	2	13	4.87	213/1496	4.81	4.45	4.37	4.36	4.87
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	2	13	4.87	247/1494	4.85	4.53	4.37	4.41	4.87
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	2	0	0	5	2	6	4.08	788/1352	3.75	4.24	4.12	4.14	4.08
<b>Discussion</b>														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	2	2	3	6	4.00	822/1248	4.22	4.39	4.23	4.25	4.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	1	3	9	4.62	531/1250	4.75	4.64	4.39	4.40	4.62
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	2	6	5	4.23	872/1239	4.39	4.56	4.45	4.45	4.23



# Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

<b>Course-Section:</b>	<b>GERM 201 01</b>
<b>Title:</b>	<b>Intermediate German I</b>
<b>Instructor:</b>	<b>Wolff,Xenia Y.</b>

**Term - Spring 2013**

<b>Enrollment:</b>	<b>22</b>
<b>Questionnaires:</b>	<b>15</b>

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
<b>Discussion</b>														
4. Were special techniques successful	2	1	0	0	3	6	3	4.00	519/906	4.06	4.35	4.13	4.19	4.00

## Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	A	5	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	6						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	C	2	General	10	Under-grad	15	Non-major	15
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	4	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	2						

# Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

<b>Course-Section:</b>	<b>GERM 201 02</b>
<b>Title:</b>	<b>Intermediate German I</b>
<b>Instructor:</b>	<b>Wolff,Xenia Y.</b>

**Term - Spring 2013**

<b>Enrollment:</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>Questionnaires:</b>	<b>12</b>

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
<b>General</b>														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	3	8	4.58	566/1560	4.59	4.47	4.35	4.37	4.58
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	2	9	4.67	412/1559	4.70	4.42	4.31	4.33	4.67
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	3	9	4.75	328/1371	4.78	4.56	4.38	4.40	4.75
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	3	8	4.58	457/1519	4.63	4.46	4.27	4.29	4.58
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	3	8	4.58	350/1452	4.59	4.40	4.18	4.22	4.58
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	2	2	8	4.50	427/1430	4.38	4.33	4.16	4.15	4.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	2	2	8	4.50	540/1539	4.61	4.38	4.23	4.25	4.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	5.00	1/1560	4.97	4.69	4.64	4.61	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	1	0	0	1	4	4	4.33	639/1545	4.31	4.21	4.14	4.09	4.33
<b>Lecture</b>														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	205/1496	4.89	4.54	4.49	4.52	4.92
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	5.00	1/1498	4.93	4.83	4.75	4.78	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	3	9	4.75	371/1496	4.81	4.45	4.37	4.36	4.75
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	0	11	4.83	289/1494	4.85	4.53	4.37	4.41	4.83
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	3	0	2	2	1	2	3.43	1193/1352	3.75	4.24	4.12	4.14	3.43
<b>Discussion</b>														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	1	3	5	4.44	527/1248	4.22	4.39	4.23	4.25	4.44
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	245/1250	4.75	4.64	4.39	4.40	4.89
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	1	2	6	4.56	646/1239	4.39	4.56	4.45	4.45	4.56

# Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

<b>Course-Section:</b>	<b>GERM 201 02</b>
<b>Title:</b>	<b>Intermediate German I</b>
<b>Instructor:</b>	<b>Wolff,Xenia Y.</b>

**Term - Spring 2013**

<b>Enrollment:</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>Questionnaires:</b>	<b>12</b>

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
<b>Discussion</b>														
4. Were special techniques successful	3	1	0	0	1	5	2	4.13	472/906	4.06	4.35	4.13	4.19	4.13

## Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	1	0.00-0.99 0	A 3	Required for Majors 1	Graduate 0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 4		Major 0
56-83	0	2.00-2.99 0	C 3	General 8	Under-grad 12
84-150	1	3.00-3.49 3	D 1		Non-major 12
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 1	F 0	Electives 2	
			P 0		
			I 0		
			? 1		

\*\*\*\* - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

# Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

<b>Course-Section:</b>	<b>GERM 202 01</b>
<b>Title:</b>	<b>Gateway Adv Germ Studies</b>
<b>Instructor:</b>	<b>Wolff,Xenia Y.</b>

**Term - Spring 2013**

<b>Enrollment:</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>Questionnaires:</b>	<b>9</b>

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
<b>General</b>														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	0	8	4.78	313/1560	4.78	4.47	4.35	4.37	4.78
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	143/1559	4.89	4.42	4.31	4.33	4.89
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	188/1371	4.89	4.56	4.38	4.40	4.89
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	134/1519	4.89	4.46	4.27	4.29	4.89
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	189/1452	4.78	4.40	4.18	4.22	4.78
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	168/1430	4.78	4.33	4.16	4.15	4.78
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	129/1539	4.89	4.38	4.23	4.25	4.89
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1560	5.00	4.69	4.64	4.61	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	111/1545	4.89	4.21	4.14	4.09	4.89
<b>Lecture</b>														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	262/1496	4.89	4.54	4.49	4.52	4.89
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.83	4.75	4.78	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	1	0	8	4.78	340/1496	4.78	4.45	4.37	4.36	4.78
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	0	8	4.78	376/1494	4.78	4.53	4.37	4.41	4.78
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	1	1	0	1	1	5	4.13	754/1352	4.13	4.24	4.12	4.14	4.13
<b>Discussion</b>														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	306/1248	4.71	4.39	4.23	4.25	4.71
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	275/1250	4.86	4.64	4.39	4.40	4.86
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	320/1239	4.86	4.56	4.45	4.45	4.86

# Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

<b>Course-Section:</b>	<b>GERM 202 01</b>
<b>Title:</b>	<b>Gateway Adv Germ Studies</b>
<b>Instructor:</b>	<b>Wolff,Xenia Y.</b>

**Term - Spring 2013**

<b>Enrollment:</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>Questionnaires:</b>	<b>9</b>

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
<b>Discussion</b>														
4. Were special techniques successful	2	1	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	161/906	4.67	4.35	4.13	4.19	4.67

## Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	0	0.00-0.99 0	A 3	Required for Majors 5	Graduate 0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 3		Major 1
56-83	2	2.00-2.99 0	C 2	General 0	Under-grad 9
84-150	1	3.00-3.49 0	D 0		Non-major 8
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 5	F 0	Electives 1	
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 2	
			? 1		

\*\*\*\* - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

# Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

<b>Course-Section:</b>	<b>GERM 302 01</b>
<b>Title:</b>	<b>Advanced German Studies</b>
<b>Instructor:</b>	<b>Larkey,Edward</b>

**Term - Spring 2013**

<b>Enrollment:</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>Questionnaires:</b>	<b>7</b>

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
<b>General</b>														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	1	0	1	3	2	3.71	1404/1560	3.71	4.47	4.35	4.42	3.71
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	1	2	1	3	0	2.86	1539/1559	2.86	4.42	4.31	4.35	2.86
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	2	1	2	2	3.57	1274/1371	3.57	4.56	4.38	4.41	3.57
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	2	0	3	2	3.71	1319/1519	3.71	4.46	4.27	4.33	3.71
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	2	2	3	4.14	825/1452	4.14	4.40	4.18	4.21	4.14
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	2	2	3	4.14	795/1430	4.14	4.33	4.16	4.20	4.14
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	3	0	2	2	0	2.43	1523/1539	2.43	4.38	4.23	4.27	2.43
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1560	5.00	4.69	4.64	4.66	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	2	1	1	2	0	2.50	1518/1545	2.50	4.21	4.14	4.19	2.50
<b>Lecture</b>														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	2	2	2	1	3.29	1460/1496	3.29	4.54	4.49	4.54	3.29
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	704/1498	4.86	4.83	4.75	4.79	4.86
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	2	1	3	1	3.43	1404/1496	3.43	4.45	4.37	4.43	3.43
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	1	2	2	1	3.14	1439/1494	3.14	4.53	4.37	4.43	3.14
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	1	1	1	3	4.00	823/1352	4.00	4.24	4.12	4.23	4.00
<b>Discussion</b>														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	1	1	0	0	2.50	1231/1248	2.50	4.39	4.23	4.33	2.50
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	5	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	945/1250	4.00	4.64	4.39	4.47	4.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	5	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	971/1239	4.00	4.56	4.45	4.53	4.00

# Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

<b>Course-Section:</b>	<b>GERM 302 01</b>
<b>Title:</b>	<b>Advanced German Studies</b>
<b>Instructor:</b>	<b>Larkey,Edward</b>

**Term - Spring 2013**

<b>Enrollment:</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>Questionnaires:</b>	<b>7</b>

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
<b>Discussion</b>														
4. Were special techniques successful	5	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/906	****	4.35	4.13	4.14	****

## Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	2	0.00-0.99 0	A 1	Required for Majors 6	Graduate 0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 4		Major 3
56-83	1	2.00-2.99 0	C 1	General 0	Under-grad 7
84-150	2	3.00-3.49 2	D 0		Non-major 4
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 4	F 0	Electives 0	
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 0	
			? 0		

\*\*\*\* - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

# Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

<b>Course-Section:</b>	<b>GERM 311 01</b>
<b>Title:</b>	<b>Intro Germ Lit/Cult I</b>
<b>Instructor:</b>	<b>May,Brigitte Z</b>

**Term - Spring 2013**

<b>Enrollment:</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>Questionnaires:</b>	<b>9</b>

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
<b>General</b>														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	313/1560	4.78	4.47	4.35	4.42	4.78
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	4	5	4.56	561/1559	4.56	4.42	4.31	4.35	4.56
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	188/1371	4.89	4.56	4.38	4.41	4.89
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	134/1519	4.89	4.46	4.27	4.33	4.89
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	112/1452	4.89	4.40	4.18	4.21	4.89
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	2	6	4.56	375/1430	4.56	4.33	4.16	4.20	4.56
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	1	0	1	3	4	4.00	1077/1539	4.00	4.38	4.23	4.27	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1560	5.00	4.69	4.64	4.66	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	165/1545	4.78	4.21	4.14	4.19	4.78
<b>Lecture</b>														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	1	2	6	4.56	807/1496	4.56	4.54	4.49	4.54	4.56
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	615/1498	4.89	4.83	4.75	4.79	4.89
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	340/1496	4.78	4.45	4.37	4.43	4.78
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	376/1494	4.78	4.53	4.37	4.43	4.78
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	2	2	5	4.33	547/1352	4.33	4.24	4.12	4.23	4.33
<b>Discussion</b>														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	1	1	3	4.40	565/1248	4.40	4.39	4.23	4.33	4.40
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1250	5.00	4.64	4.39	4.47	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	388/1239	4.80	4.56	4.45	4.53	4.80



# Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

<b>Course-Section:</b>	<b>GERM 311 01</b>
<b>Title:</b>	<b>Intro Germ Lit/Cult I</b>
<b>Instructor:</b>	<b>May,Brigitte Z</b>

**Term - Spring 2013**

<b>Enrollment:</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>Questionnaires:</b>	<b>9</b>

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
<b>Discussion</b>														
4. Were special techniques successful	4	0	0	0	1	2	2	4.20	426/906	4.20	4.35	4.13	4.14	4.20

## Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	0	0.00-0.99 0	A 4	Required for Majors 7	Graduate 0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 3		Major 6
56-83	1	2.00-2.99 0	C 2	General 0	Under-grad 9
84-150	0	3.00-3.49 1	D 0		Non-major 3
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 2	F 0	Electives 0	
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 1	
			? 0		

\*\*\*\* - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

# Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

<b>Course-Section:</b>	<b>GERM 319 01</b>
<b>Title:</b>	<b>German Translation</b>
<b>Instructor:</b>	<b>Larkey,Edward</b>

**Term - Spring 2013**

<b>Enrollment:</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>Questionnaires:</b>	<b>1</b>

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
<b>General</b>														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1193/1560	4.00	4.47	4.35	4.42	4.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	1554/1559	2.00	4.42	4.31	4.35	2.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1494/1519	3.00	4.46	4.27	4.33	3.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	948/1452	4.00	4.40	4.18	4.21	4.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1373/1430	3.00	4.33	4.16	4.20	3.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1560	5.00	4.69	4.64	4.66	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	1537/1545	2.00	4.21	4.14	4.19	2.00
<b>Lecture</b>														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1475/1496	3.00	4.54	4.49	4.54	3.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.83	4.75	4.79	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1175/1496	4.00	4.45	4.37	4.43	4.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	1490/1494	2.00	4.53	4.37	4.43	2.00

# Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

<b>Course-Section:</b>	<b>GERM 319 01</b>
<b>Title:</b>	<b>German Translation</b>
<b>Instructor:</b>	<b>Larkey,Edward</b>

**Term - Spring 2013**

<b>Enrollment:</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>Questionnaires:</b>	<b>1</b>

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
<b>Lecture</b>														
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	823/1352	4.00	4.24	4.12	4.23	4.00

## Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	0	0.00-0.99 0	A 0	Required for Majors 1	Graduate 0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 1		
56-83	0	2.00-2.99 0	C 0	General 0	Under-grad 1
84-150	1	3.00-3.49 0	D 0		Non-major 1
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 1	F 0	Electives 0	
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 0	
			? 0		

\*\*\*\* - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

# Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

<b>Course-Section:</b>	<b>GERM 481 01</b>
<b>Title:</b>	<b>Seminar In German</b>
<b>Instructor:</b>	<b>May,Brigitte Z</b>

**Term - Spring 2013**

<b>Enrollment:</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>Questionnaires:</b>	<b>4</b>

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
<b>General</b>														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1560	5.00	4.47	4.35	4.45	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	0	0	3	4.25	942/1559	4.25	4.42	4.31	4.34	4.25
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1371	5.00	4.56	4.38	4.46	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1519	5.00	4.46	4.27	4.33	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1452	5.00	4.40	4.18	4.25	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	185/1430	4.75	4.33	4.16	4.25	4.75
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	0	2	1	3.75	1278/1539	3.75	4.38	4.23	4.21	3.75
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1560	5.00	4.69	4.64	4.68	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	180/1545	4.75	4.21	4.14	4.21	4.75
<b>Lecture</b>														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	871/1496	4.50	4.54	4.49	4.50	4.50
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.83	4.75	4.77	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	990/1496	4.25	4.45	4.37	4.40	4.25
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1494	5.00	4.53	4.37	4.41	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	1	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	547/1352	4.33	4.24	4.12	4.16	4.33
<b>Seminar</b>														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/64	5.00	5.00	4.44	4.65	5.00
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	26/58	4.75	4.88	4.37	4.40	4.75
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/52	5.00	5.00	4.41	4.57	5.00
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/66	5.00	5.00	4.41	4.55	5.00

# Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

<b>Course-Section:</b>	<b>GERM 481 01</b>
<b>Title:</b>	<b>Seminar In German</b>
<b>Instructor:</b>	<b>May,Brigitte Z</b>

**Term - Spring 2013**

<b>Enrollment:</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>Questionnaires:</b>	<b>4</b>

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
<b>Seminar</b>														
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	4.00	35/63	4.00	4.50	4.09	4.18	4.00

## Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades		Reasons		Type	Majors				
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	A	2	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	C	1	General	0	Under-grad	4	Non-major	3
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						