

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 210 01
Title:	Visual Concepts
Instructor:	Shiflet,Nicole

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	15
Questionnaires:	14

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	6	6	2	3.71	1433/1644	4.14	4.38	4.32	4.36	3.71
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	7	5	4.21	1028/1644	4.16	4.24	4.28	4.35	4.21
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	7	1	0	0	4	2	3.86	1179/1419	4.26	4.47	4.35	4.42	3.86
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	4	9	4.57	476/1596	4.52	4.26	4.24	4.31	4.57
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	12	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	****/1535	3.68	3.93	4.15	4.20	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	3	3	3	1	3	1	2.64	1480/1510	3.12	3.74	4.13	4.17	2.64
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	3	6	5	4.14	1021/1620	3.82	3.99	4.20	4.25	4.14
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	6	8	4.57	1140/1642	4.37	4.35	4.68	4.67	4.57
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	4	6	3	3.92	1105/1596	4.13	4.10	4.12	4.13	3.92
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	2	6	4	4.17	1220/1534	4.23	4.39	4.48	4.51	4.17
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	3	10	4.77	970/1539	4.71	4.82	4.76	4.80	4.77
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	1	8	4	4.23	1009/1531	4.33	4.34	4.33	4.38	4.23
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	5	7	4.46	805/1530	4.26	4.34	4.35	4.41	4.46
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	1	1	2	9	4.46	423/1409	4.55	4.43	4.08	4.23	4.46
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	4	5	1	3.70	1081/1366	4.19	4.19	4.18	4.24	3.70
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	0	2	3	5	4.30	844/1364	4.48	4.42	4.33	4.39	4.30
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	0	1	4	5	4.40	818/1361	4.71	4.49	4.39	4.48	4.40

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 210 01
Title:	Visual Concepts
Instructor:	Shiflet,Nicole

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	15
Questionnaires:	14

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	4	6	0	1	1	2	0	3.25	933/1019	4.12	4.16	4.09	4.14	3.25

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	6	0.00-0.99 1	A 6	Required for Majors 10	Graduate 0
28-55	2	1.00-1.99 0	B 7		Major 8
56-83	1	2.00-2.99 0	C 0	General 0	Under-grad 14
84-150	1	3.00-3.49 1	D 0		Non-major 6
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 1	F 0	Electives 3	
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 1	
			? 1		

**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 210 02
Title:	Visual Concepts
Instructor:	Vasilos,Alexis

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	16
Questionnaires:	13

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	2	4	7	4.38	835/1644	4.14	4.38	4.32	4.36	4.38
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	3	8	4.46	700/1644	4.16	4.24	4.28	4.35	4.46
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	4	7	4.38	800/1419	4.26	4.47	4.35	4.42	4.38
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	1	10	4.62	423/1596	4.52	4.26	4.24	4.31	4.62
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	2	1	2	2	6	0	3.18	1440/1535	3.68	3.93	4.15	4.20	3.18
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	1	1	5	5	0	3.17	1422/1510	3.12	3.74	4.13	4.17	3.17
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	3	1	4	5	3.85	1280/1620	3.82	3.99	4.20	4.25	3.85
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	9	4	4.31	1369/1642	4.37	4.35	4.68	4.67	4.31
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	1	8	2	4.09	911/1596	4.13	4.10	4.12	4.13	4.09
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	1	5	6	4.42	1016/1534	4.23	4.39	4.48	4.51	4.42
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	3	9	4.75	990/1539	4.71	4.82	4.76	4.80	4.75
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	1	6	5	4.33	916/1531	4.33	4.34	4.33	4.38	4.33
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	5	6	4.42	869/1530	4.26	4.34	4.35	4.41	4.42
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	1	0	0	3	3	5	4.18	693/1409	4.55	4.43	4.08	4.23	4.18
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	3	8	4.73	313/1366	4.19	4.19	4.18	4.24	4.73
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	1	2	8	4.64	535/1364	4.48	4.42	4.33	4.39	4.64
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	0	3	8	4.73	478/1361	4.71	4.49	4.39	4.48	4.73
4. Were special techniques successful	2	1	0	0	1	7	2	4.10	539/1019	4.12	4.16	4.09	4.14	4.10

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 210 02
Title:	Visual Concepts
Instructor:	Vasilos,Alexis

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	16
Questionnaires:	13

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	12	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/185	****	****	4.23	4.42	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	12	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/209	****	3.00	4.19	4.45	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	12	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/181	****	****	4.53	4.67	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	12	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/183	****	****	4.46	4.64	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	12	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/172	****	****	4.14	4.50	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	12	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/72	****	5.00	4.53	4.71	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	12	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/71	****	4.75	4.38	4.63	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/68	****	4.86	4.41	4.25	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/71	****	5.00	4.40	4.47	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	12	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/73	****	3.97	4.09	3.99	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/48	****	****	4.16	4.81	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	12	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/45	****	****	4.19	4.58	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	12	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/30	****	****	4.57	4.57	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	12	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/27	****	****	4.25	5.00	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	12	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/25	****	****	4.35	5.00	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/51	****	5.00	4.03	5.00	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	12	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/31	****	****	4.18	5.00	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	12	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/36	****	5.00	4.33	****	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 210 02
Title:	Visual Concepts
Instructor:	Vasilos,Alexis

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	16
Questionnaires:	13

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	12	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/19	****	****	4.17	****	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	12	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/14	****	****	4.17	****	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	1	0.00-0.99 0	A 4	Required for Majors 7	Graduate 0 Major 4
28-55	1	1.00-1.99 0	B 6		
56-83	3	2.00-2.99 1	C 2	General 0	Under-grad 13 Non-major 9
84-150	0	3.00-3.49 2	D 0		
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 0	F 0	Electives 2	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 0	
			? 0		

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 210 03
Title:	Visual Concepts
Instructor:	Gardner,Symmes

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	14
Questionnaires:	11

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	2	8	4.64	523/1644	4.14	4.38	4.32	4.36	4.64
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	3	8	4.73	346/1644	4.16	4.24	4.28	4.35	4.73
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	5	6	4.55	596/1419	4.26	4.47	4.35	4.42	4.55
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	9	4.82	197/1596	4.52	4.26	4.24	4.31	4.82
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	1	4	5	4.18	802/1535	3.68	3.93	4.15	4.20	4.18
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	2	0	3	2	4	3.55	1242/1510	3.12	3.74	4.13	4.17	3.55
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	4	2	5	4.09	1064/1620	3.82	3.99	4.20	4.25	4.09
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	7	4	4.36	1319/1642	4.37	4.35	4.68	4.67	4.36
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	206/1596	4.13	4.10	4.12	4.13	4.71
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	1	0	1	7	4.56	831/1534	4.23	4.39	4.48	4.51	4.56
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	1	9	4.90	609/1539	4.71	4.82	4.76	4.80	4.90
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	1	0	1	8	4.60	565/1531	4.33	4.34	4.33	4.38	4.60
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	1	0	0	8	4.30	965/1530	4.26	4.34	4.35	4.41	4.30
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	3	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1409	4.55	4.43	4.08	4.23	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	0	2	3	4.60	424/1366	4.19	4.19	4.18	4.24	4.60
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	6	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1364	4.48	4.42	4.33	4.39	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	6	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1361	4.71	4.49	4.39	4.48	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	6	2	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1019	4.12	4.16	4.09	4.14	5.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 210 03
Title:	Visual Concepts
Instructor:	Gardner,Symmes

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	14
Questionnaires:	11

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	10	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/209	****	3.00	4.19	4.45	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	10	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/181	****	****	4.53	4.67	****
Seminar														
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	10	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/71	****	5.00	4.40	4.47	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	10	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/73	****	3.97	4.09	3.99	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	10	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/48	****	****	4.16	4.81	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	10	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/45	****	****	4.19	4.58	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	10	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	****	****	4.57	4.57	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	10	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/27	****	****	4.25	5.00	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	10	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/51	****	5.00	4.03	5.00	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	10	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	****	****	4.18	5.00	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 210 03
Title:	Visual Concepts
Instructor:	Gardner,Symmes

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	14
Questionnaires:	11

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	10	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/36	****	5.00	4.33	****	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	1	0.00-0.99 0	A 10	Required for Majors 5	Graduate 0
28-55	1	1.00-1.99 0	B 0		
56-83	2	2.00-2.99 0	C 0	General 1	Under-grad 11
84-150	0	3.00-3.49 1	D 0		Non-major 9
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 3	F 0	Electives 2	
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 2	
			? 1		

**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 210 04
Title:	Visual Concepts
Instructor:	Kachadourian,Ga

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	18
Questionnaires:	17

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	1	2	2	6	6	3.82	1372/1644	4.14	4.38	4.32	4.36	3.82
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	2	2	7	2	4	3.24	1558/1644	4.16	4.24	4.28	4.35	3.24
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	14	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/1419	4.26	4.47	4.35	4.42	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	1	0	0	4	6	5	4.07	1097/1596	4.52	4.26	4.24	4.31	4.07
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	14	1	0	1	1	0	2.67	****/1535	3.68	3.93	4.15	4.20	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	12	0	1	3	0	1	3.20	1513/1620	3.82	3.99	4.20	4.25	3.20
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	12	4	4.25	1400/1642	4.37	4.35	4.68	4.67	4.25
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	1	0	0	6	5	3	3.79	1218/1596	4.13	4.10	4.12	4.13	3.79
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	2	4	3	5	3.79	1395/1534	4.23	4.39	4.48	4.51	3.79
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	2	4	8	4.43	1353/1539	4.71	4.82	4.76	4.80	4.43
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	0	4	4	6	4.14	1086/1531	4.33	4.34	4.33	4.38	4.14
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	1	4	6	4	3.87	1252/1530	4.26	4.34	4.35	4.41	3.87
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	1	0	0	1	4	8	4.54	355/1409	4.55	4.43	4.08	4.23	4.54
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	3	0	3	1	8	3.73	1060/1366	4.19	4.19	4.18	4.24	3.73
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	2	1	1	1	9	4.00	1014/1364	4.48	4.42	4.33	4.39	4.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	1	2	11	4.71	490/1361	4.71	4.49	4.39	4.48	4.71
4. Were special techniques successful	3	10	0	1	0	3	0	3.50	****/1019	4.12	4.16	4.09	4.14	****
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	14	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/185	****	****	4.23	4.42	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 210 04
Title:	Visual Concepts
Instructor:	Kachadourian,Ga

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	18
Questionnaires:	17

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	14	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/209	****	3.00	4.19	4.45	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	14	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/181	****	****	4.53	4.67	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	14	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/183	****	****	4.46	4.64	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	14	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/172	****	****	4.14	4.50	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	13	1	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/72	****	5.00	4.53	4.71	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	13	2	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/71	****	4.75	4.38	4.63	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	13	3	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/68	****	4.86	4.41	4.25	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	13	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	****/71	****	5.00	4.40	4.47	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	13	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	****/73	****	3.97	4.09	3.99	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	15	0	0	0	2	0	0	3.00	****/48	****	****	4.16	4.81	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	15	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/45	****	****	4.19	4.58	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	15	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	****	****	4.57	4.57	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	15	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/27	****	****	4.25	5.00	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	15	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/25	****	****	4.35	5.00	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	14	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/51	****	5.00	4.03	5.00	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	14	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	****	****	4.18	5.00	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	14	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/36	****	5.00	4.33	****	****
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	14	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/19	****	****	4.17	****	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	14	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/14	****	****	4.17	****	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

9. Were there enough proctors for all the students	17	2	0	0	0	0	1	3.00	17/17	100%	100%	7.17	100%	100%
--	----	---	---	---	---	---	---	------	-------	------	------	------	------	------

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned		Cum. GPA		Expected Grades		Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	7	0.00-0.99	1	A	16	Required for Majors	16	Graduate	0	Major	6
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	B	0						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	C	0	General	0	Under-grad	17	Non-major	11
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 211 01
Title:	Visual Concepts II/Cam
Instructor:	Silberg,Steven

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	16
Questionnaires:	14

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	3	11	4.79	316/1644	4.54	4.38	4.32	4.36	4.79
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	12	4.86	186/1644	4.58	4.24	4.28	4.35	4.86
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	3	0	0	0	4	7	4.64	502/1419	4.42	4.47	4.35	4.42	4.64
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	12	4.86	160/1596	4.54	4.26	4.24	4.31	4.86
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	4	2	8	4.29	710/1535	3.75	3.93	4.15	4.20	4.29
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	3	3	8	4.36	603/1510	3.77	3.74	4.13	4.17	4.36
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	4	9	4.57	436/1620	4.54	3.99	4.20	4.25	4.57
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	4	10	4.71	973/1642	4.53	4.35	4.68	4.67	4.71
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	3	10	4.77	171/1596	4.41	4.10	4.12	4.13	4.77
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	0	12	5.00	1/1534	4.64	4.39	4.48	4.51	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	12	5.00	1/1539	4.92	4.82	4.76	4.80	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	3	9	4.75	348/1531	4.57	4.34	4.33	4.38	4.75
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	2	10	4.83	309/1530	4.54	4.34	4.35	4.41	4.83
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	1	0	0	0	7	4	4.36	523/1409	4.69	4.43	4.08	4.23	4.36
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	2	0	10	4.67	368/1366	4.38	4.19	4.18	4.24	4.67
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	193/1364	4.76	4.42	4.33	4.39	4.92
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	0	2	10	4.83	340/1361	4.82	4.49	4.39	4.48	4.83

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 211 01
Title:	Visual Concepts II/Cam
Instructor:	Silberg,Steven

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	16
Questionnaires:	14

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	3	4	0	1	3	1	2	3.57	816/1019	3.93	4.16	4.09	4.14	3.57

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	7	0.00-0.99 0	A 9	Required for Majors 13	Graduate 0
28-55	2	1.00-1.99 0	B 4		Major 14
56-83	0	2.00-2.99 0	C 0	General 0	Under-grad 14
84-150	0	3.00-3.49 0	D 0		Non-major 0
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 1	F 0	Electives 0	
			P 0		
			I 0		
			? 1		

**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 211 02
Title:	Visual Concepts II/Cam
Instructor:	Silberg,Steven

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	14
Questionnaires:	13

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	4	9	4.69	441/1644	4.54	4.38	4.32	4.36	4.69
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	4	8	4.54	595/1644	4.58	4.24	4.28	4.35	4.54
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	6	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	217/1419	4.42	4.47	4.35	4.42	4.86
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	2	10	4.69	315/1596	4.54	4.26	4.24	4.31	4.69
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	2	1	1	2	7	3.85	1111/1535	3.75	3.93	4.15	4.20	3.85
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	1	3	2	6	3.85	1080/1510	3.77	3.74	4.13	4.17	3.85
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	2	3	8	4.46	590/1620	4.54	3.99	4.20	4.25	4.46
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	2	11	4.85	756/1642	4.53	4.35	4.68	4.67	4.85
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	4	5	3	3.92	1122/1596	4.41	4.10	4.12	4.13	3.92
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	2	11	4.85	362/1534	4.64	4.39	4.48	4.51	4.85
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	1	12	4.92	487/1539	4.92	4.82	4.76	4.80	4.92
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	3	10	4.77	333/1531	4.57	4.34	4.33	4.38	4.77
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	3	9	4.62	631/1530	4.54	4.34	4.35	4.41	4.62
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	5.00	1/1409	4.69	4.43	4.08	4.23	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	1	1	5	4	4.09	836/1366	4.38	4.19	4.18	4.24	4.09
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	0	2	9	4.82	329/1364	4.76	4.42	4.33	4.39	4.82
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	0	0	11	5.00	1/1361	4.82	4.49	4.39	4.48	5.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 211 02
Title:	Visual Concepts II/Cam
Instructor:	Silberg,Steven

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	14
Questionnaires:	13

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	2	5	0	1	0	2	3	4.17	492/1019	3.93	4.16	4.09	4.14	4.17

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	1 A 6	Required for Majors	10 Graduate 0 Major 9
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0 B 5		
56-83	5	2.00-2.99	1 C 1	General	0 Under-grad 13 Non-major 4
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0 D 0		
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	6 F 0	Electives	1 **** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant
			P 0		
			I 0	Other	1
			? 1		

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 211 03
Title:	Visual Concepts II/Cam
Instructor:	Seley,Alexandra

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	15
Questionnaires:	15

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	1	2	3	9	4.33	889/1644	4.54	4.38	4.32	4.36	4.33
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	3	10	4.53	595/1644	4.58	4.24	4.28	4.35	4.53
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	10	0	0	1	0	4	4.60	543/1419	4.42	4.47	4.35	4.42	4.60
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	5	9	4.53	528/1596	4.54	4.26	4.24	4.31	4.53
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	2	1	2	4	4	2	3.31	1405/1535	3.75	3.93	4.15	4.20	3.31
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	9	0	1	1	1	2	3.80	1113/1510	3.77	3.74	4.13	4.17	3.80
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	4	10	4.60	397/1620	4.54	3.99	4.20	4.25	4.60
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	4	10	1	3.80	1610/1642	4.53	4.35	4.68	4.67	3.80
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	2	5	8	4.40	515/1596	4.41	4.10	4.12	4.13	4.40
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	1	6	6	4.38	1047/1534	4.64	4.39	4.48	4.51	4.38
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	2	11	4.85	780/1539	4.92	4.82	4.76	4.80	4.85
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	0	1	4	7	4.50	724/1531	4.57	4.34	4.33	4.38	4.50
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	2	3	8	4.46	805/1530	4.54	4.34	4.35	4.41	4.46
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	0	0	1	2	10	4.69	219/1409	4.69	4.43	4.08	4.23	4.69
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	1	1	7	4.67	368/1366	4.38	4.19	4.18	4.24	4.67
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	6	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1364	4.76	4.42	4.33	4.39	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	6	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1361	4.82	4.49	4.39	4.48	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	6	6	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	****/1019	3.93	4.16	4.09	4.14	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 211 03
Title:	Visual Concepts II/Cam
Instructor:	Seley,Alexandra

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	15
Questionnaires:	15

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	14	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/209	****	3.00	4.19	4.45	****
Seminar														
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	14	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/71	****	5.00	4.40	4.47	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	14	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/73	****	3.97	4.09	3.99	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	14	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/48	****	****	4.16	4.81	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	14	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/45	****	****	4.19	4.58	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	14	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/30	****	****	4.57	4.57	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	14	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/27	****	****	4.25	5.00	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	14	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/25	****	****	4.35	5.00	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	14	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/51	****	5.00	4.03	5.00	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 211 03
Title:	Visual Concepts II/Cam
Instructor:	Seley,Alexandra

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	15
Questionnaires:	15

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	14	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/36	****	5.00	4.33	****	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	4	0.00-0.99 0	A 9	Required for Majors 11	Graduate 0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 3		
56-83	1	2.00-2.99 1	C 0	General 0	Under-grad 15
84-150	1	3.00-3.49 1	D 0		Non-major 7
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 3	F 0	Electives 0	
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 1	
			? 2		

**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 211 04
Title:	Visual Concepts II/Cam
Instructor:	Keniston,Charlo

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	15
Questionnaires:	13

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	3	10	4.77	344/1644	4.54	4.38	4.32	4.36	4.77
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	3	10	4.77	288/1644	4.58	4.24	4.28	4.35	4.77
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	1	1	10	4.75	337/1419	4.42	4.47	4.35	4.42	4.75
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	2	10	4.69	315/1596	4.54	4.26	4.24	4.31	4.69
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	4	8	4.54	417/1535	3.75	3.93	4.15	4.20	4.54
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	1	2	2	7	4.00	921/1510	3.77	3.74	4.13	4.17	4.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	3	10	4.77	211/1620	4.54	3.99	4.20	4.25	4.77
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	4	8	4.67	1038/1642	4.53	4.35	4.68	4.67	4.67
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	0	3	7	4.70	213/1596	4.41	4.10	4.12	4.13	4.70
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	2	10	4.83	381/1534	4.64	4.39	4.48	4.51	4.83
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	548/1539	4.92	4.82	4.76	4.80	4.92
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	2	10	4.83	241/1531	4.57	4.34	4.33	4.38	4.83
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	2	3	7	4.42	869/1530	4.54	4.34	4.35	4.41	4.42
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	0	2	10	4.83	134/1409	4.69	4.43	4.08	4.23	4.83
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	0	3	5	4.63	405/1366	4.38	4.19	4.18	4.24	4.63
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	5	0	0	0	1	1	6	4.63	546/1364	4.76	4.42	4.33	4.39	4.63
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	5	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	441/1361	4.82	4.49	4.39	4.48	4.75

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 211 04
Title:	Visual Concepts II/Cam
Instructor:	Keniston,Charlo

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	15
Questionnaires:	13

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	5	1	0	1	0	2	4	4.29	412/1019	3.93	4.16	4.09	4.14	4.29

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	1	0.00-0.99 0	A 5	Required for Majors 8	Graduate 0
28-55	1	1.00-1.99 0	B 4		Major 3
56-83	1	2.00-2.99 2	C 0	General 0	Under-grad 13
84-150	2	3.00-3.49 1	D 0		Non-major 10
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 0	F 0	Electives 1	
			P 0		
			I 0		
			? 3		

**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 211 05
Title:	Visual Concepts II/Cam
Instructor:	Gentis,Mieke

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	16
Questionnaires:	14

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	1	3	3	7	4.14	1096/1644	4.54	4.38	4.32	4.36	4.14
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	4	3	7	4.21	1028/1644	4.58	4.24	4.28	4.35	4.21
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	2	1	2	4	1	3	3.27	1356/1419	4.42	4.47	4.35	4.42	3.27
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	1	2	1	3	7	3.93	1197/1596	4.54	4.26	4.24	4.31	3.93
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	4	2	3	3	2	2.79	1503/1535	3.75	3.93	4.15	4.20	2.79
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	2	4	1	3	1	3	2.83	1465/1510	3.77	3.74	4.13	4.17	2.83
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	3	4	7	4.29	849/1620	4.54	3.99	4.20	4.25	4.29
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	5	9	4.64	1063/1642	4.53	4.35	4.68	4.67	4.64
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	2	5	5	4.25	705/1596	4.41	4.10	4.12	4.13	4.25
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	2	0	6	6	4.14	1234/1534	4.64	4.39	4.48	4.51	4.14
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	1	13	4.93	487/1539	4.92	4.82	4.76	4.80	4.93
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	2	2	4	6	4.00	1163/1531	4.57	4.34	4.33	4.38	4.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	2	5	7	4.36	923/1530	4.54	4.34	4.35	4.41	4.36
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	1	0	0	2	2	9	4.54	355/1409	4.69	4.43	4.08	4.23	4.54
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	1	1	1	5	4	3.83	992/1366	4.38	4.19	4.18	4.24	3.83
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	2	3	7	4.42	745/1364	4.76	4.42	4.33	4.39	4.42
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	2	2	8	4.50	703/1361	4.82	4.49	4.39	4.48	4.50
4. Were special techniques successful	2	5	0	0	3	3	1	3.71	765/1019	3.93	4.16	4.09	4.14	3.71

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 211 05
Title:	Visual Concepts II/Cam
Instructor:	Gentis,Mieke

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	16
Questionnaires:	14

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	12	1	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/185	****	****	4.23	4.42	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	12	0	0	1	1	0	0	2.50	****/209	****	3.00	4.19	4.45	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	12	1	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/181	****	****	4.53	4.67	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	12	1	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/183	****	****	4.46	4.64	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	12	1	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/172	****	****	4.14	4.50	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	12	0	0	0	2	0	0	3.00	****/72	****	5.00	4.53	4.71	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	12	1	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/71	****	4.75	4.38	4.63	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	12	1	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/68	****	4.86	4.41	4.25	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	2	0	0	3.00	****/71	****	5.00	4.40	4.47	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	12	0	0	1	0	1	0	3.00	****/73	****	3.97	4.09	3.99	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	11	0	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	****/48	****	****	4.16	4.81	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	11	0	0	0	2	1	0	3.33	****/45	****	****	4.19	4.58	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	11	2	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/30	****	****	4.57	4.57	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	11	1	0	0	2	0	0	3.00	****/27	****	****	4.25	5.00	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	11	1	0	0	2	0	0	3.00	****/25	****	****	4.35	5.00	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/51	****	5.00	4.03	5.00	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	12	1	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/31	****	****	4.18	5.00	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	12	0	0	1	1	0	0	2.50	****/36	****	5.00	4.33	****	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 211 05
Title:	Visual Concepts II/Cam
Instructor:	Gentis,Mieke

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	16
Questionnaires:	14

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	12	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/19	****	****	4.17	****	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	2	0.00-0.99 0	A 10	Required for Majors 13	Graduate 0
28-55	1	1.00-1.99 0	B 2		Major 11
56-83	5	2.00-2.99 0	C 0	General 1	Under-grad 14
84-150	0	3.00-3.49 1	D 0		Non-major 3
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 1	F 0	Electives 0	
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 0	
			? 1		

**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 212 01
Title:	Visual Concepts III/3D
Instructor:	Bradley,Stephen

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	13
Questionnaires:	9

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	1	1	0	5	2	3.67	1457/1644	3.94	4.38	4.32	4.36	3.67
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	1	2	2	3	1	3.11	1580/1644	3.94	4.24	4.28	4.35	3.11
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	6	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	862/1419	4.29	4.47	4.35	4.42	4.33
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	1	0	3	3	2	3.56	1410/1596	4.13	4.26	4.24	4.31	3.56
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	4	2	0	2	0	1	2.60	1516/1535	3.25	3.93	4.15	4.20	2.60
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	2	3	2	1	3.00	1441/1510	3.26	3.74	4.13	4.17	3.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	1	3	2	2	1	2.89	1557/1620	3.88	3.99	4.20	4.25	2.89
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	6	3	4.33	1344/1642	4.56	4.35	4.68	4.67	4.33
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	1	0	4	1	2	3.38	1444/1596	3.96	4.10	4.12	4.13	3.38
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	1	2	3	3	3.89	1358/1534	4.35	4.39	4.48	4.51	3.89
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	3	6	4.67	1136/1539	4.67	4.82	4.76	4.80	4.67
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	1	0	6	1	1	3.11	1461/1531	4.23	4.34	4.33	4.38	3.11
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	1	3	3	1	3.22	1451/1530	4.09	4.34	4.35	4.41	3.22
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	1	0	2	4	2	3.67	1089/1409	4.33	4.43	4.08	4.23	3.67
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	1	2	2	2	3.38	1194/1366	4.03	4.19	4.18	4.24	3.38
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	3	5	4.63	546/1364	4.44	4.42	4.33	4.39	4.63
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	1	0	1	3	3	3.88	1107/1361	4.43	4.49	4.39	4.48	3.88
4. Were special techniques successful	1	3	0	0	2	1	2	4.00	559/1019	4.25	4.16	4.09	4.14	4.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 212 01
Title:	Visual Concepts III/3D
Instructor:	Bradley,Stephen

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	13
Questionnaires:	9

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/72	****	5.00	4.53	4.71	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/71	****	4.75	4.38	4.63	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/68	****	4.86	4.41	4.25	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/71	****	5.00	4.40	4.47	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/73	****	3.97	4.09	3.99	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/48	****	****	4.16	4.81	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/45	****	****	4.19	4.58	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	****	****	4.57	4.57	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/27	****	****	4.25	5.00	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/25	****	****	4.35	5.00	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA		Expected Grades		Reasons		Type		Majors		
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	3	Required for Majors	8	Graduate	0	Major	4
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	B	3						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	C	2	General	0	Under-grad	9	Non-major	5
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 212 02
Title:	Visual Concepts III/3D
Instructor:	Shiflet,Nicole

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	12
Questionnaires:	11

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	1	0	1	5	4	4.00	1218/1644	3.94	4.38	4.32	4.36	4.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	1	1	0	3	6	4.09	1156/1644	3.94	4.24	4.28	4.35	4.09
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	9	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/1419	4.29	4.47	4.35	4.42	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	1	0	0	3	6	4.30	859/1596	4.13	4.26	4.24	4.31	4.30
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	5	0	0	3	2	1	3.67	1235/1535	3.25	3.93	4.15	4.20	3.67
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	5	0	0	3	1	2	3.83	1088/1510	3.26	3.74	4.13	4.17	3.83
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	3	0	7	4.18	985/1620	3.88	3.99	4.20	4.25	4.18
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	5	6	4.55	1167/1642	4.56	4.35	4.68	4.67	4.55
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	1	7	3	4.18	795/1596	3.96	4.10	4.12	4.13	4.18
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	3	8	4.73	576/1534	4.35	4.39	4.48	4.51	4.73
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	1	0	2	8	4.55	1264/1539	4.67	4.82	4.76	4.80	4.55
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	1	3	7	4.55	660/1531	4.23	4.34	4.33	4.38	4.55
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	1	1	0	0	2	7	4.40	882/1530	4.09	4.34	4.35	4.41	4.40
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	1	1	9	4.73	199/1409	4.33	4.43	4.08	4.23	4.73
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	0	1	3	5	4.10	834/1366	4.03	4.19	4.18	4.24	4.10
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	1	2	2	5	4.10	962/1364	4.44	4.42	4.33	4.39	4.10
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	3	0	7	4.40	818/1361	4.43	4.49	4.39	4.48	4.40

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 212 02
Title:	Visual Concepts III/3D
Instructor:	Shiflet,Nicole

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	12
Questionnaires:	11

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	1	6	0	0	1	2	1	4.00	559/1019	4.25	4.16	4.09	4.14	4.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	0	0.00-0.99 0	A 5	Required for Majors 11	Graduate 0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 6		Major 10
56-83	1	2.00-2.99 1	C 0	General 0	Under-grad 11
84-150	0	3.00-3.49 1	D 0		Non-major 1
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 1	F 0	Electives 0	
			P 0		
			I 0		
			? 0		

**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 212 03
Title:	Visual Concepts III/3D
Instructor:	Shiflet,Nicole

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	16
Questionnaires:	11

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	1	1	2	2	5	3.82	1379/1644	3.94	4.38	4.32	4.36	3.82
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	1	0	0	5	5	4.18	1060/1644	3.94	4.24	4.28	4.35	4.18
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	4	5	4.27	890/1596	4.13	4.26	4.24	4.31	4.27
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	4	1	2	2	2	0	2.71	1509/1535	3.25	3.93	4.15	4.20	2.71
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	5	2	2	0	2	0	2.33	1499/1510	3.26	3.74	4.13	4.17	2.33
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	2	3	6	4.36	739/1620	3.88	3.99	4.20	4.25	4.36
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	5.00	1/1642	4.56	4.35	4.68	4.67	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	1	0	0	1	7	2	4.10	905/1596	3.96	4.10	4.12	4.13	4.10
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	1	1	8	4.70	611/1534	4.35	4.39	4.48	4.51	4.70
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	3	6	4.67	1136/1539	4.67	4.82	4.76	4.80	4.67
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	3	7	4.70	421/1531	4.23	4.34	4.33	4.38	4.70
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	1	2	2	5	4.10	1113/1530	4.09	4.34	4.35	4.41	4.10
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	2	2	6	4.40	486/1409	4.33	4.43	4.08	4.23	4.40
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	1	1	2	3	4.00	862/1366	4.03	4.19	4.18	4.24	4.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	0	2	0	5	4.43	734/1364	4.44	4.42	4.33	4.39	4.43
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	0	1	0	6	4.71	490/1361	4.43	4.49	4.39	4.48	4.71

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 212 03
Title:	Visual Concepts III/3D
Instructor:	Shiflet,Nicole

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	16
Questionnaires:	11

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	4	2	0	0	1	3	1	4.00	559/1019	4.25	4.16	4.09	4.14	4.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	0	0.00-0.99 0	A 7	Required for Majors 10	Graduate 0
28-55	2	1.00-1.99 0	B 3		
56-83	3	2.00-2.99 0	C 0	General 0	Under-grad 11
84-150	0	3.00-3.49 2	D 0		Non-major 1
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 4	F 0	Electives 0	
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 0	
			? 0		

**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 212 04
Title:	Visual Concepts III/3D
Instructor:	Palmer,Beverly

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	12
Questionnaires:	11

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	2	4	5	4.27	953/1644	3.94	4.38	4.32	4.36	4.27
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	3	6	4.36	856/1644	3.94	4.24	4.28	4.35	4.36
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	7	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	942/1419	4.29	4.47	4.35	4.42	4.25
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	2	2	6	4.40	717/1596	4.13	4.26	4.24	4.31	4.40
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	8	0	0	0	3	0	4.00	970/1535	3.25	3.93	4.15	4.20	4.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	3	0	1	1	4	2	3.88	1056/1510	3.26	3.74	4.13	4.17	3.88
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	1	5	4	4.09	1064/1620	3.88	3.99	4.20	4.25	4.09
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	7	4	4.36	1319/1642	4.56	4.35	4.68	4.67	4.36
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	1	7	3	4.18	795/1596	3.96	4.10	4.12	4.13	4.18
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	1	0	1	4	5	4.09	1264/1534	4.35	4.39	4.48	4.51	4.09
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	2	9	4.82	865/1539	4.67	4.82	4.76	4.80	4.82
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	1	3	7	4.55	660/1531	4.23	4.34	4.33	4.38	4.55
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	2	8	4.64	606/1530	4.09	4.34	4.35	4.41	4.64
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	1	3	7	4.55	347/1409	4.33	4.43	4.08	4.23	4.55
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	3	5	4.63	405/1366	4.03	4.19	4.18	4.24	4.63
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	0	0	3	5	4.63	546/1364	4.44	4.42	4.33	4.39	4.63
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	441/1361	4.43	4.49	4.39	4.48	4.75
4. Were special techniques successful	3	3	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1019	4.25	4.16	4.09	4.14	5.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 212 04
Title:	Visual Concepts III/3D
Instructor:	Palmer,Beverly

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	12
Questionnaires:	11

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	8	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/185	****	****	4.23	4.42	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	8	0	1	0	1	0	1	3.00	196/209	3.00	3.00	4.19	4.45	3.00
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	9	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/181	****	****	4.53	4.67	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	9	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/183	****	****	4.46	4.64	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	9	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/172	****	****	4.14	4.50	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	9	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/72	****	5.00	4.53	4.71	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	9	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/71	****	4.75	4.38	4.63	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	9	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/68	****	4.86	4.41	4.25	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	9	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/71	****	5.00	4.40	4.47	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	9	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/73	****	3.97	4.09	3.99	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	9	0	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	****/48	****	****	4.16	4.81	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	9	0	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	****/45	****	****	4.19	4.58	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	9	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	****	****	4.57	4.57	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	9	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/27	****	****	4.25	5.00	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	9	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/25	****	****	4.35	5.00	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	9	0	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	****/51	****	5.00	4.03	5.00	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	9	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	****	****	4.18	5.00	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	9	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/36	****	5.00	4.33	****	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 212 04
Title:	Visual Concepts III/3D
Instructor:	Palmer,Beverly

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	12
Questionnaires:	11

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	9	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/19	****	****	4.17	****	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	9	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/14	****	****	4.17	****	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	1	0.00-0.99 0	A 5	Required for Majors 10	Graduate 0 Major 7
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 4		
56-83	2	2.00-2.99 0	C 0	General 0	Under-grad 11 Non-major 4
84-150	0	3.00-3.49 0	D 0		
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 4	F 0	Electives 0	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 0	
			? 2		

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 213 01
Title:	Visual Concepts IV/4D
Instructor:	Moren,Lisa

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	12
Questionnaires:	12

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	3	9	4.75	358/1644	4.53	4.38	4.32	4.36	4.75
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	3	9	4.75	302/1644	4.67	4.24	4.28	4.35	4.75
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	10	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1419	4.87	4.47	4.35	4.42	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	3	8	4.73	283/1596	4.65	4.26	4.24	4.31	4.73
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	7	1	0	3	1	0	2.80	1502/1535	3.83	3.93	4.15	4.20	2.80
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	9	0	1	1	1	0	3.00	1441/1510	3.54	3.74	4.13	4.17	3.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	2	4	6	4.33	779/1620	4.35	3.99	4.20	4.25	4.33
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	10	2	4.17	1456/1642	4.34	4.35	4.68	4.67	4.17
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	2	6	1	3.89	1151/1596	4.02	4.10	4.12	4.13	3.89
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	2	10	4.83	381/1534	4.56	4.39	4.48	4.51	4.83
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	2	10	4.83	808/1539	4.78	4.82	4.76	4.80	4.83
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	1	4	7	4.50	724/1531	4.46	4.34	4.33	4.38	4.50
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	3	8	4.58	666/1530	4.55	4.34	4.35	4.41	4.58
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	1	0	1	0	10	4.50	381/1409	4.65	4.43	4.08	4.23	4.50
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	2	0	1	6	4.22	742/1366	4.37	4.19	4.18	4.24	4.22
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	0	1	3	5	4.44	713/1364	4.65	4.42	4.33	4.39	4.44
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1361	4.86	4.49	4.39	4.48	5.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 213 01
Title:	Visual Concepts IV/4D
Instructor:	Moren,Lisa

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	12
Questionnaires:	12

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	3	3	0	0	2	2	2	4.00	559/1019	4.16	4.16	4.09	4.14	4.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	0	0.00-0.99 0	A 5	Required for Majors 10	Graduate 0
28-55	2	1.00-1.99 0	B 5		Major 11
56-83	0	2.00-2.99 0	C 0	General 0	Under-grad 12
84-150	2	3.00-3.49 3	D 0		Non-major 1
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 0	F 0	Electives 0	
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 1	
			? 2		

**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 213 02
Title:	Visual Concepts IV/4D
Instructor:	Anchor,Kristen

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	12
Questionnaires:	10

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	2	0	8	4.60	564/1644	4.53	4.38	4.32	4.36	4.60
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	8	4.80	230/1644	4.67	4.24	4.28	4.35	4.80
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	7	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1419	4.87	4.47	4.35	4.42	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	3	7	4.70	302/1596	4.65	4.26	4.24	4.31	4.70
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	7	0	1	0	0	2	4.00	970/1535	3.83	3.93	4.15	4.20	4.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	6	0	2	0	0	2	3.50	1261/1510	3.54	3.74	4.13	4.17	3.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	1	9	4.90	88/1620	4.35	3.99	4.20	4.25	4.90
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	2	8	4.80	840/1642	4.34	4.35	4.68	4.67	4.80
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	1	6	3	4.20	768/1596	4.02	4.10	4.12	4.13	4.20
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	1	9	4.90	248/1534	4.56	4.39	4.48	4.51	4.90
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	1	1	8	4.70	1086/1539	4.78	4.82	4.76	4.80	4.70
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	2	8	4.80	275/1531	4.46	4.34	4.33	4.38	4.80
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	2	8	4.80	356/1530	4.55	4.34	4.35	4.41	4.80
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	1	9	4.90	97/1409	4.65	4.43	4.08	4.23	4.90
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	1	0	6	4.71	322/1366	4.37	4.19	4.18	4.24	4.71
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1364	4.65	4.42	4.33	4.39	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1361	4.86	4.49	4.39	4.48	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	3	3	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	431/1019	4.16	4.16	4.09	4.14	4.25

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 213 02
Title:	Visual Concepts IV/4D
Instructor:	Anchor, Kristen

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	12
Questionnaires:	10

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	9	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/209	****	3.00	4.19	4.45	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	9	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/48	****	****	4.16	4.81	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	9	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/45	****	****	4.19	4.58	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	9	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/51	****	5.00	4.03	5.00	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	7	Required for Majors	10	Graduate	0	Major	10
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	3						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	C	0	General	0	Under-grad	10	Non-major	0
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	3	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 213 03
Title:	Visual Concepts IV/4D
Instructor:	Zeltzman,Domini

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	11
Questionnaires:	10

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	2	1	3	4	3.90	1312/1644	4.53	4.38	4.32	4.36	3.90
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	1	6	2	4.11	1138/1644	4.67	4.24	4.28	4.35	4.11
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	5	0	0	0	2	3	4.60	543/1419	4.87	4.47	4.35	4.42	4.60
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	0	4	5	4.30	859/1596	4.65	4.26	4.24	4.31	4.30
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	5	0	0	1	3	1	4.00	970/1535	3.83	3.93	4.15	4.20	4.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	5	0	1	0	1	1	3.67	1182/1510	3.54	3.74	4.13	4.17	3.67
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	2	4	3	3.90	1241/1620	4.35	3.99	4.20	4.25	3.90
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	1	7	2	4.10	1494/1642	4.34	4.35	4.68	4.67	4.10
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	1	2	6	0	3.56	1363/1596	4.02	4.10	4.12	4.13	3.56
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	3	6	1	3.80	1389/1534	4.56	4.39	4.48	4.51	3.80
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	1	2	7	4.60	1213/1539	4.78	4.82	4.76	4.80	4.60
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	1	2	6	1	3.70	1336/1531	4.46	4.34	4.33	4.38	3.70
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	2	5	3	4.10	1113/1530	4.55	4.34	4.35	4.41	4.10
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	1	6	3	4.20	675/1409	4.65	4.43	4.08	4.23	4.20
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	2	0	4	3	3.89	956/1366	4.37	4.19	4.18	4.24	3.89
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	2	2	5	4.33	817/1364	4.65	4.42	4.33	4.39	4.33
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	2	1	6	4.44	772/1361	4.86	4.49	4.39	4.48	4.44
4. Were special techniques successful	2	4	0	0	0	4	0	4.00	559/1019	4.16	4.16	4.09	4.14	4.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 213 03
Title:	Visual Concepts IV/4D
Instructor:	Zeltzman,Domini

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	11
Questionnaires:	10

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	9	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/72	****	5.00	4.53	4.71	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/71	****	4.75	4.38	4.63	****
Field Work														
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/45	****	****	4.19	4.58	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	****	****	4.57	4.57	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	9	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/27	****	****	4.25	5.00	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/51	****	5.00	4.03	5.00	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	****	****	4.18	5.00	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	A	6	Required for Majors	10	Graduate	0	Major	5
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	4						
56-83	3	2.00-2.99	0	C	0	General	0	Under-grad	10	Non-major	5
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	0				
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 213 04
Title:	Visual Concepts IV/4D
Instructor:	Silberg,Steven

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	9
Questionnaires:	7

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	231/1644	4.53	4.38	4.32	4.36	4.86
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1644	4.67	4.24	4.28	4.35	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	5	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1419	4.87	4.47	4.35	4.42	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	160/1596	4.65	4.26	4.24	4.31	4.86
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	3	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	442/1535	3.83	3.93	4.15	4.20	4.50
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	3	0	3	4.00	921/1510	3.54	3.74	4.13	4.17	4.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	3	3	4.29	849/1620	4.35	3.99	4.20	4.25	4.29
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	5	2	4.29	1381/1642	4.34	4.35	4.68	4.67	4.29
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	4	3	4.43	488/1596	4.02	4.10	4.12	4.13	4.43
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	593/1534	4.56	4.39	4.48	4.51	4.71
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1539	4.78	4.82	4.76	4.80	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	218/1531	4.46	4.34	4.33	4.38	4.86
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	0	6	4.71	502/1530	4.55	4.34	4.35	4.41	4.71
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1409	4.65	4.43	4.08	4.23	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	368/1366	4.37	4.19	4.18	4.24	4.67
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	303/1364	4.65	4.42	4.33	4.39	4.83
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1361	4.86	4.49	4.39	4.48	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	1	1	0	0	1	1	3	4.40	339/1019	4.16	4.16	4.09	4.14	4.40

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 213 04
Title:	Visual Concepts IV/4D
Instructor:	Silberg,Steven

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	9
Questionnaires:	7

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/48	****	****	4.16	4.81	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	6	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/45	****	****	4.19	4.58	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	****	****	4.57	4.57	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/27	****	****	4.25	5.00	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/25	****	****	4.35	5.00	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	7	Required for Majors	7	Graduate	0	Major	7
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	B	0						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	2	C	0	General	0	Under-grad	7	Non-major	0
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	0				
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 214 01
Title:	Drawing I
Instructor:	Nohe,Timothy

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	16
Questionnaires:	9

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1644	4.60	4.38	4.32	4.36	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1644	4.54	4.24	4.28	4.35	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	7	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1419	4.50	4.47	4.35	4.42	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	160/1596	4.30	4.26	4.24	4.31	4.86
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	5	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	442/1535	4.50	3.93	4.15	4.20	4.50
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	6	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	278/1510	4.67	3.74	4.13	4.17	4.67
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	0	1	2	5	4.50	527/1620	4.24	3.99	4.20	4.25	4.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	673/1642	4.46	4.35	4.68	4.67	4.89
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	1	0	0	3	5	4.22	742/1596	4.32	4.10	4.12	4.13	4.22
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1534	4.68	4.39	4.48	4.51	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1539	4.97	4.82	4.76	4.80	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1531	4.63	4.34	4.33	4.38	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	1	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	309/1530	4.70	4.34	4.35	4.41	4.83
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	205/1409	4.45	4.43	4.08	4.23	4.71
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	368/1366	4.10	4.19	4.18	4.24	4.67
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	6	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1364	4.48	4.42	4.33	4.39	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	6	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1361	4.53	4.49	4.39	4.48	5.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 214 01
Title:	Drawing I
Instructor:	Nohe, Timothy

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	16
Questionnaires:	9

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	6	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1019	4.81	4.16	4.09	4.14	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	2	0.00-0.99 0	A 8	Required for Majors 6	Graduate 0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 1		Major 3
56-83	1	2.00-2.99 2	C 0	General 1	Under-grad 9
84-150	3	3.00-3.49 1	D 0		Non-major 6
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 2	F 0	Electives 1	
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 1	
			? 0		

**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 214 02
Title:	Drawing I
Instructor:	Cheng,Cindy

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	15
Questionnaires:	11

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	1	1	2	0	7	4.00	1218/1644	4.60	4.38	4.32	4.36	4.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	2	1	1	7	4.18	1060/1644	4.54	4.24	4.28	4.35	4.18
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	7	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	462/1419	4.50	4.47	4.35	4.42	4.67
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	2	0	2	0	7	3.91	1215/1596	4.30	4.26	4.24	4.31	3.91
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	9	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	****/1535	4.50	3.93	4.15	4.20	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	10	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1510	4.67	3.74	4.13	4.17	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	1	0	2	2	6	4.09	1064/1620	4.24	3.99	4.20	4.25	4.09
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	3	6	4.67	1038/1642	4.46	4.35	4.68	4.67	4.67
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	1	1	4	4	4.10	905/1596	4.32	4.10	4.12	4.13	4.10
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	1	1	7	4.67	675/1534	4.68	4.39	4.48	4.51	4.67
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	666/1539	4.97	4.82	4.76	4.80	4.89
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	1	0	1	7	4.56	644/1531	4.63	4.34	4.33	4.38	4.56
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	1	0	0	2	6	4.33	940/1530	4.70	4.34	4.35	4.41	4.33
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	0	0	2	3	4	4.22	655/1409	4.45	4.43	4.08	4.23	4.22
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	5	0	1	0	1	1	3	3.83	992/1366	4.10	4.19	4.18	4.24	3.83
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	5	0	1	0	0	1	4	4.17	930/1364	4.48	4.42	4.33	4.39	4.17
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	5	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	549/1361	4.53	4.49	4.39	4.48	4.67

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 214 02
Title:	Drawing I
Instructor:	Cheng,Cindy

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	15
Questionnaires:	11

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	5	2	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	145/1019	4.81	4.16	4.09	4.14	4.75

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	A	9	Required for Majors	5	Graduate	0	Major	5
28-55	4	1.00-1.99	0	B	0						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	C	0	General	4	Under-grad	11	Non-major	6
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	5	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 214 03
Title:	Drawing I
Instructor:	Kissack,Lyle

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	12
Questionnaires:	10

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1644	4.60	4.38	4.32	4.36	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	8	4.80	230/1644	4.54	4.24	4.28	4.35	4.80
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	8	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1419	4.50	4.47	4.35	4.42	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	1	2	6	4.56	502/1596	4.30	4.26	4.24	4.31	4.56
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	9	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1535	4.50	3.93	4.15	4.20	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	9	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1510	4.67	3.74	4.13	4.17	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	2	0	0	3	3	2	3.88	1260/1620	4.24	3.99	4.20	4.25	3.88
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	7	3	4.30	1369/1642	4.46	4.35	4.68	4.67	4.30
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	1	2	7	4.60	297/1596	4.32	4.10	4.12	4.13	4.60
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	490/1534	4.68	4.39	4.48	4.51	4.78
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1539	4.97	4.82	4.76	4.80	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	318/1531	4.63	4.34	4.33	4.38	4.78
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1530	4.70	4.34	4.35	4.41	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	2	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	124/1409	4.45	4.43	4.08	4.23	4.86
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	6	0	1	0	0	1	2	3.75	1047/1366	4.10	4.19	4.18	4.24	3.75
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	5	0	0	0	1	0	4	4.60	570/1364	4.48	4.42	4.33	4.39	4.60
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	5	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	380/1361	4.53	4.49	4.39	4.48	4.80

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 214 03
Title:	Drawing I
Instructor:	Kissack,Lyle

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	12
Questionnaires:	10

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	5	1	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1019	4.81	4.16	4.09	4.14	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	1	0.00-0.99 0	A 3	Required for Majors 5	Graduate 0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 6		Major 6
56-83	1	2.00-2.99 2	C 0	General 1	Under-grad 10
84-150	1	3.00-3.49 2	D 0		Non-major 4
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 0	F 0	Electives 1	
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 3	
			? 0		

**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 214 04
Title:	Drawing I
Instructor:	Kissack,Lyle

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	13
Questionnaires:	12

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	5	6	4.42	801/1644	4.60	4.38	4.32	4.36	4.42
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	10	2	4.17	1082/1644	4.54	4.24	4.28	4.35	4.17
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	8	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	862/1419	4.50	4.47	4.35	4.42	4.33
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	2	1	0	2	2	4	3.89	1225/1596	4.30	4.26	4.24	4.31	3.89
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	10	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1535	4.50	3.93	4.15	4.20	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	9	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/1510	4.67	3.74	4.13	4.17	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	3	5	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	527/1620	4.24	3.99	4.20	4.25	4.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	11	0	4.00	1528/1642	4.46	4.35	4.68	4.67	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	1	0	0	1	3	4	4.38	553/1596	4.32	4.10	4.12	4.13	4.38
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	1	1	3	6	4.27	1140/1534	4.68	4.39	4.48	4.51	4.27
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	5.00	1/1539	4.97	4.82	4.76	4.80	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	2	5	4	4.18	1053/1531	4.63	4.34	4.33	4.38	4.18
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	4	7	4.64	606/1530	4.70	4.34	4.35	4.41	4.64
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	4	1	0	0	3	3	4.00	825/1409	4.45	4.43	4.08	4.23	4.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	1	3	2	4.17	787/1366	4.10	4.19	4.18	4.24	4.17
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	6	0	1	0	0	1	4	4.17	930/1364	4.48	4.42	4.33	4.39	4.17
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	6	0	1	1	0	1	3	3.67	1192/1361	4.53	4.49	4.39	4.48	3.67
4. Were special techniques successful	6	3	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	186/1019	4.81	4.16	4.09	4.14	4.67

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 214 04
Title:	Drawing I
Instructor:	Kissack,Lyle

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	13
Questionnaires:	12

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	11	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/51	****	5.00	4.03	5.00	****
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	11	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/19	****	****	4.17	****	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	1	A	7	Required for Majors	5	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	5						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	1	C	0	General	0	Under-grad	12	Non-major	10
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	3				
				?	0						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 215 01
Title:	Intro To Art & Media
Instructor:	Durant,Mark R

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	133
Questionnaires:	100

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	1	0	2	6	13	32	46	4.15	1085/1644	4.15	4.38	4.32	4.36	4.15
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	3	5	11	31	49	4.19	1049/1644	4.19	4.24	4.28	4.35	4.19
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	3	69	0	1	3	9	15	4.36	837/1419	4.36	4.47	4.35	4.42	4.36
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	18	3	5	10	24	39	4.12	1053/1596	4.12	4.26	4.24	4.31	4.12
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	19	0	4	14	20	41	4.24	745/1535	4.24	3.93	4.15	4.20	4.24
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	3	3	5	6	19	16	48	4.02	911/1510	4.02	3.74	4.13	4.17	4.02
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	6	1	2	4	13	26	48	4.23	938/1620	4.23	3.99	4.20	4.25	4.23
8. How many times was class cancelled	3	1	0	0	0	42	54	4.56	1149/1642	4.56	4.35	4.68	4.67	4.56
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	18	3	1	0	10	29	39	4.33	616/1596	4.33	4.10	4.12	4.13	4.33
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	1	0	2	14	82	4.78	490/1534	4.78	4.39	4.48	4.51	4.78
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	1	0	8	90	4.89	666/1539	4.89	4.82	4.76	4.80	4.89
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	2	25	72	4.71	421/1531	4.71	4.34	4.33	4.38	4.71
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	3	1	10	15	70	4.49	767/1530	4.49	4.34	4.35	4.41	4.49
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	2	2	1	1	16	77	4.70	211/1409	4.70	4.43	4.08	4.23	4.70
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	32	0	3	3	18	21	23	3.85	978/1366	3.85	4.19	4.18	4.24	3.85
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	32	0	2	3	6	18	39	4.31	844/1364	4.31	4.42	4.33	4.39	4.31
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	32	0	0	0	3	13	52	4.72	478/1361	4.72	4.49	4.39	4.48	4.72
4. Were special techniques successful	32	53	2	2	4	3	4	3.33	****/1019	****	4.16	4.09	4.14	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 215 01
Title:	Intro To Art & Media
Instructor:	Durant,Mark R

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	133
Questionnaires:	100

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	96	2	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	****/185	****	****	4.23	4.42	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	97	0	0	0	2	1	0	3.33	****/209	****	3.00	4.19	4.45	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	97	1	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	****/181	****	****	4.53	4.67	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	97	1	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/183	****	****	4.46	4.64	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	97	2	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/172	****	****	4.14	4.50	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	94	1	0	0	2	0	3	4.20	****/72	****	5.00	4.53	4.71	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	96	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/71	****	4.75	4.38	4.63	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	96	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/68	****	4.86	4.41	4.25	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	96	1	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/71	****	5.00	4.40	4.47	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	96	2	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/73	****	3.97	4.09	3.99	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	98	0	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	****/48	****	****	4.16	4.81	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	98	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/45	****	****	4.19	4.58	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	98	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	****	****	4.57	4.57	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	98	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/27	****	****	4.25	5.00	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	98	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/25	****	****	4.35	5.00	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	98	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/51	****	5.00	4.03	5.00	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	98	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/31	****	****	4.18	5.00	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	98	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/36	****	5.00	4.33	****	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 215 01
Title:	Intro To Art & Media
Instructor:	Durant,Mark R

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	133
Questionnaires:	100

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	98	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/19	****	****	4.17	****	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	98	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/14	****	****	4.17	****	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned		Cum. GPA		Expected Grades		Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	15	0.00-0.99	2	A	77	Required for Majors	65	Graduate	0	Major	47
28-55	11	1.00-1.99	0	B	12						
56-83	9	2.00-2.99	2	C	0	General	19	Under-grad	100	Non-major	53
84-150	6	3.00-3.49	10	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	10	F	0	Electives	3	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	9						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 216 01
Title:	Studies in Vis. Culture
Instructor:	Jacob,Preminda

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	48
Questionnaires:	33

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	1	2	7	12	11	3.91	1312/1644	3.91	4.38	4.32	4.36	3.91
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	1	1	12	8	11	3.82	1354/1644	3.82	4.24	4.28	4.35	3.82
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	1	2	5	11	14	4.06	1068/1419	4.06	4.47	4.35	4.42	4.06
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	2	0	6	16	7	3.84	1252/1596	3.84	4.26	4.24	4.31	3.84
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	4	7	21	4.45	510/1535	4.45	3.93	4.15	4.20	4.45
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	2	3	7	11	9	3.69	1171/1510	3.69	3.74	4.13	4.17	3.69
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	9	10	13	4.06	1087/1620	4.06	3.99	4.20	4.25	4.06
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	4	29	4.88	694/1642	4.88	4.35	4.68	4.67	4.88
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	6	0	0	3	8	10	6	3.70	1278/1596	3.70	4.10	4.12	4.13	3.70
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	1	2	5	9	16	4.12	1247/1534	4.12	4.39	4.48	4.51	4.12
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	3	30	4.91	609/1539	4.91	4.82	4.76	4.80	4.91
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	1	0	6	13	13	4.12	1102/1531	4.12	4.34	4.33	4.38	4.12
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	3	7	11	12	3.97	1191/1530	3.97	4.34	4.35	4.41	3.97
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	2	1	1	5	11	13	4.10	771/1409	4.10	4.43	4.08	4.23	4.10
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	10	0	3	0	5	8	7	3.70	1085/1366	3.70	4.19	4.18	4.24	3.70
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	10	0	1	2	2	8	10	4.04	993/1364	4.04	4.42	4.33	4.39	4.04
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	10	0	0	1	5	5	12	4.22	952/1361	4.22	4.49	4.39	4.48	4.22

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 216 01
Title:	Studies in Vis. Culture
Instructor:	Jacob, Preminda

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	48
Questionnaires:	33

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	10	1	2	2	4	9	5	3.59	809/1019	3.59	4.16	4.09	4.14	3.59

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	11	Required for Majors	31	Graduate	0	Major	22
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	1	B	7						
56-83	4	2.00-2.99	0	C	11	General	0	Under-grad	33	Non-major	11
84-150	9	3.00-3.49	3	D	1						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	9	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	3						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 220 01
Title:	Art History I
Instructor:	Ottesen,Bodil B

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	74
Questionnaires:	39

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	4	6	5	14	10	3.51	1518/1644	3.51	4.38	4.32	4.36	3.51
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	8	2	14	6	9	3.15	1573/1644	3.15	4.24	4.28	4.35	3.15
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	6	2	13	7	11	3.38	1334/1419	3.38	4.47	4.35	4.42	3.38
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	4	4	6	8	9	8	3.31	1496/1596	3.31	4.26	4.24	4.31	3.31
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	3	2	5	9	19	4.03	953/1535	4.03	3.93	4.15	4.20	4.03
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	3	7	2	10	10	6	3.17	1420/1510	3.17	3.74	4.13	4.17	3.17
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	2	6	11	10	10	3.51	1425/1620	3.51	3.99	4.20	4.25	3.51
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	1	0	14	24	4.56	1149/1642	4.56	4.35	4.68	4.67	4.56
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	5	0	3	2	12	17	0	3.26	1479/1596	3.26	4.10	4.12	4.13	3.26
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	1	7	4	14	13	3.79	1392/1534	3.79	4.39	4.48	4.51	3.79
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	3	7	29	4.67	1136/1539	4.67	4.82	4.76	4.80	4.67
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	2	3	8	16	9	3.71	1331/1531	3.71	4.34	4.33	4.38	3.71
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	4	1	7	12	15	3.85	1261/1530	3.85	4.34	4.35	4.41	3.85
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	5	4	2	10	7	11	3.56	1147/1409	3.56	4.43	4.08	4.23	3.56
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	14	0	7	5	5	6	2	2.64	1331/1366	2.64	4.19	4.18	4.24	2.64
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	14	0	4	6	5	6	4	3.00	1297/1364	3.00	4.42	4.33	4.39	3.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	14	0	0	4	10	7	4	3.44	1258/1361	3.44	4.49	4.39	4.48	3.44
4. Were special techniques successful	13	9	3	4	6	3	1	2.71	997/1019	2.71	4.16	4.09	4.14	2.71

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 220 01
Title:	Art History I
Instructor:	Ottesen,Bodil B

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	74
Questionnaires:	39

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	37	1	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/185	****	****	4.23	4.42	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	38	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/209	****	3.00	4.19	4.45	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	38	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/181	****	****	4.53	4.67	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	38	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/183	****	****	4.46	4.64	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	38	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/172	****	****	4.14	4.50	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	35	1	1	0	1	1	0	2.67	****/72	****	5.00	4.53	4.71	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	36	0	0	1	1	0	1	3.33	****/71	****	4.75	4.38	4.63	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	36	0	1	1	0	0	1	2.67	****/68	****	4.86	4.41	4.25	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	36	0	1	1	0	1	0	2.33	****/71	****	5.00	4.40	4.47	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	36	0	0	2	0	1	0	2.67	****/73	****	3.97	4.09	3.99	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	38	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/48	****	****	4.16	4.81	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	38	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/45	****	****	4.19	4.58	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	38	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/30	****	****	4.57	4.57	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	38	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/27	****	****	4.25	5.00	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	38	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/25	****	****	4.35	5.00	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	38	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/51	****	5.00	4.03	5.00	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	38	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/31	****	****	4.18	5.00	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	38	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/36	****	5.00	4.33	****	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 220 01
Title:	Art History I
Instructor:	Ottesen,Bodil B

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	74
Questionnaires:	39

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	38	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/19	****	****	4.17	****	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	38	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/14	****	****	4.17	****	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	6	0.00-0.99 0	A 4	Required for Majors 9	Graduate 0 Major 8
28-55	1	1.00-1.99 0	B 17		
56-83	3	2.00-2.99 3	C 11	General 22	Under-grad 39 Non-major 31
84-150	1	3.00-3.49 5	D 3		
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 1	F 0	Electives 5	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 1	
			? 3		

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 221 01
Title:	Art History II
Instructor:	Ottesen,Bodil B

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	70
Questionnaires:	49

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	3	0	4	1	11	15	15	3.78	1397/1644	3.78	4.38	4.32	4.36	3.78
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	3	0	5	8	10	9	14	3.41	1526/1644	3.41	4.24	4.28	4.35	3.41
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	4	0	5	6	5	14	15	3.62	1260/1419	3.62	4.47	4.35	4.42	3.62
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	4	6	3	8	12	8	8	3.26	1509/1596	3.26	4.26	4.24	4.31	3.26
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	2	9	15	20	4.15	834/1535	4.15	3.93	4.15	4.20	4.15
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	3	3	4	7	8	13	11	3.47	1293/1510	3.47	3.74	4.13	4.17	3.47
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	3	0	3	9	8	8	18	3.63	1377/1620	3.63	3.99	4.20	4.25	3.63
8. How many times was class cancelled	3	0	0	0	2	23	21	4.41	1277/1642	4.41	4.35	4.68	4.67	4.41
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	6	2	2	3	11	21	4	3.54	1373/1596	3.54	4.10	4.12	4.13	3.54
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	2	2	11	31	4.54	843/1534	4.54	4.39	4.48	4.51	4.54
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	1	2	43	4.91	548/1539	4.91	4.82	4.76	4.80	4.91
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	1	6	7	13	19	3.93	1221/1531	3.93	4.34	4.33	4.38	3.93
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	2	2	5	12	25	4.22	1036/1530	4.22	4.34	4.35	4.41	4.22
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	6	5	0	1	12	22	4.15	720/1409	4.15	4.43	4.08	4.23	4.15
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	12	0	10	5	11	6	5	2.76	1319/1366	2.76	4.19	4.18	4.24	2.76
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	12	0	11	5	14	1	6	2.62	1339/1364	2.62	4.42	4.33	4.39	2.62
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	11	0	10	1	14	4	9	3.03	1307/1361	3.03	4.49	4.39	4.48	3.03
4. Were special techniques successful	11	31	3	2	0	0	2	2.43	****/1019	****	4.16	4.09	4.14	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 221 01
Title:	Art History II
Instructor:	Ottesen,Bodil B

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	70
Questionnaires:	49

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	45	0	4	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/209	****	3.00	4.19	4.45	****
Seminar														
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	45	1	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	****/71	****	4.75	4.38	4.63	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	45	1	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	****/68	****	4.86	4.41	4.25	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	45	3	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/73	****	3.97	4.09	3.99	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	43	0	6	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/48	****	****	4.16	4.81	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	47	0	1	0	0	1	0	2.50	****/45	****	****	4.19	4.58	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	45	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/30	****	****	4.57	4.57	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	45	3	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/27	****	****	4.25	5.00	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	45	3	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/25	****	****	4.35	5.00	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	43	0	4	2	0	0	0	1.33	****/51	****	5.00	4.03	5.00	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	44	4	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/31	****	****	4.18	5.00	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 221 01
Title:	Art History II
Instructor:	Ottesen,Bodil B

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	70
Questionnaires:	49

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	44	4	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/36	****	5.00	4.33	****	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	7	0.00-0.99	1 A 3	Required for Majors	9
28-55	3	1.00-1.99	0 B 20		Graduate
56-83	6	2.00-2.99	2 C 17	General	28
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	7 D 1		Under-grad
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3 F 0	Electives	4
			P 0		
			I 0	Other	3
			? 7		

**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 305 01
Title:	Moving Images I
Instructor:	Worden,Frederic

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	14
Questionnaires:	13

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	1	0	0	0	3	3	6	4.25	975/1644	4.25	4.38	4.32	4.31	4.25
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	1	1	5	5	4.17	1082/1644	4.17	4.24	4.28	4.25	4.17
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	2	0	1	2	2	5	4.10	1054/1419	4.10	4.47	4.35	4.31	4.10
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	3	4	5	4.17	1008/1596	4.17	4.26	4.24	4.25	4.17
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	3	0	1	1	1	6	4.33	658/1535	4.33	3.93	4.15	4.14	4.33
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	7	0	1	0	0	4	4.40	538/1510	4.40	3.74	4.13	4.16	4.40
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	2	0	1	1	3	5	4.20	968/1620	4.20	3.99	4.20	4.18	4.20
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	7	5	4.42	1277/1642	4.42	4.35	4.68	4.65	4.42
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	2	6	3	4.09	911/1596	4.09	4.10	4.12	4.09	4.09
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	5	4	3	3.83	1377/1534	3.83	4.39	4.48	4.44	3.83
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	12	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.82	4.76	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	3	4	5	4.17	1069/1531	4.17	4.34	4.33	4.30	4.17
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	3	3	6	4.25	1004/1530	4.25	4.34	4.35	4.32	4.25
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	0	0	1	4	6	4.45	433/1409	4.45	4.43	4.08	4.09	4.45
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	2	3	5	4.30	685/1366	4.30	4.19	4.18	4.22	4.30
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	1	0	2	7	4.50	649/1364	4.50	4.42	4.33	4.37	4.50
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	0	3	7	4.70	503/1361	4.70	4.49	4.39	4.39	4.70
4. Were special techniques successful	3	4	0	0	2	1	3	4.17	492/1019	4.17	4.16	4.09	4.04	4.17

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 305 01
Title:	Moving Images I
Instructor:	Worden, Frederic

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	14
Questionnaires:	13

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/72	****	5.00	4.53	4.68	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/71	****	4.75	4.38	4.61	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/68	****	4.86	4.41	4.59	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/71	****	5.00	4.40	4.51	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/73	****	3.97	4.09	4.57	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	A	7	Required for Majors	7	Graduate	0	Major	9
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	4						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	C	0	General	0	Under-grad	13	Non-major	4
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	2				
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	2						

**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 314 01
Title:	Drawing II
Instructor:	Kachadourian,Ga

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	14
Questionnaires:	13

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	1	0	2	3	7	4.15	1085/1644	4.15	4.38	4.32	4.31	4.15
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	1	0	1	5	6	4.15	1094/1644	4.15	4.24	4.28	4.25	4.15
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	10	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1419	****	4.47	4.35	4.31	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	5	7	4.46	627/1596	4.46	4.26	4.24	4.25	4.46
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	9	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	225/1535	4.75	3.93	4.15	4.14	4.75
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	11	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/1510	****	3.74	4.13	4.16	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	4	0	1	3	1	4	3.89	1254/1620	3.89	3.99	4.20	4.18	3.89
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	2	11	0	3.85	1603/1642	3.85	4.35	4.68	4.65	3.85
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	1	0	2	7	3	3.85	1177/1596	3.85	4.10	4.12	4.09	3.85
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	2	5	4	4.18	1207/1534	4.18	4.39	4.48	4.44	4.18
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	2	2	7	4.45	1332/1539	4.45	4.82	4.76	4.74	4.45
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	5	3	3	3.82	1287/1531	3.82	4.34	4.33	4.30	3.82
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	1	4	3	3	3.73	1304/1530	3.73	4.34	4.35	4.32	3.73
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	3	1	0	0	2	4	4.14	729/1409	4.14	4.43	4.08	4.09	4.14
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	1	1	6	4.63	405/1366	4.63	4.19	4.18	4.22	4.63
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	5	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	398/1364	4.75	4.42	4.33	4.37	4.75
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	5	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	286/1361	4.88	4.49	4.39	4.39	4.88

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 314 01
Title:	Drawing II
Instructor:	Kachadourian,Ga

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	14
Questionnaires:	13

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	5	1	0	1	1	1	4	4.14	508/1019	4.14	4.16	4.09	4.04	4.14

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	0	0.00-0.99 0	A 11	Required for Majors 7	Graduate 0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 1		Major 10
56-83	1	2.00-2.99 1	C 0	General 0	Under-grad 13
84-150	2	3.00-3.49 2	D 0		Non-major 3
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 2	F 0	Electives 4	
			P 0		
			I 0		
			? 0		

**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 315 01
Title:	Moving Images II
Instructor:	Grabill,Vincent

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	9
Questionnaires:	9

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	1	0	2	1	5	4.00	1218/1644	4.00	4.38	4.32	4.31	4.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	2	1	1	5	4.00	1210/1644	4.00	4.24	4.28	4.25	4.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	3	4	4.22	968/1419	4.22	4.47	4.35	4.31	4.22
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	2	1	2	4	3.89	1225/1596	3.89	4.26	4.24	4.25	3.89
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	5	0	0	0	3	1	4.25	737/1535	4.25	3.93	4.15	4.14	4.25
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	3	0	2	0	3	1	3.50	1261/1510	3.50	3.74	4.13	4.16	3.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	2	2	1	4	3.78	1319/1620	3.78	3.99	4.20	4.18	3.78
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	884/1642	4.78	4.35	4.68	4.65	4.78
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	3	4	0	3.57	1353/1596	3.57	4.10	4.12	4.09	3.57
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	2	3	4	4.22	1178/1534	4.22	4.39	4.48	4.44	4.22
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	666/1539	4.89	4.82	4.76	4.74	4.89
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	1	2	6	4.56	644/1531	4.56	4.34	4.33	4.30	4.56
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	2	1	1	5	4.00	1163/1530	4.00	4.34	4.35	4.32	4.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	1	1	6	4.63	279/1409	4.63	4.43	4.08	4.09	4.63
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	0	1	2	4	4.00	862/1366	4.00	4.19	4.18	4.22	4.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	253/1364	4.88	4.42	4.33	4.37	4.88
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	1	2	4	4.43	795/1361	4.43	4.49	4.39	4.39	4.43

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 315 01
Title:	Moving Images II
Instructor:	Grabill,Vincent

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	9
Questionnaires:	9

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	2	4	0	1	1	0	1	3.33	911/1019	3.33	4.16	4.09	4.04	3.33

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	A	2	Required for Majors	6	Graduate	0	Major	4
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	4						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	0	C	0	General	0	Under-grad	9	Non-major	5
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	3						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 320 01
Title:	Intro To Printmaking
Instructor:	Chan,Irene

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	11
Questionnaires:	8

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	358/1644	4.75	4.38	4.32	4.31	4.75
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	1	4	2	4.14	1105/1644	4.14	4.24	4.28	4.25	4.14
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	3	2	1	0	2.67	1405/1419	2.67	4.47	4.35	4.31	2.67
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	2	2	3	3.88	1231/1596	3.88	4.26	4.24	4.25	3.88
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	1	1	0	4	4.17	823/1535	4.17	3.93	4.15	4.14	4.17
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	1	1	1	3	4.00	921/1510	4.00	3.74	4.13	4.16	4.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	3.50	1429/1620	3.50	3.99	4.20	4.18	3.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	1	2	4	1	3.63	1621/1642	3.63	4.35	4.68	4.65	3.63
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	2	6	0	3.75	1240/1596	3.75	4.10	4.12	4.09	3.75
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	2	5	1	3.88	1362/1534	3.88	4.39	4.48	4.44	3.88
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	1	1	6	4.63	1187/1539	4.63	4.82	4.76	4.74	4.63
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	1	1	3	2	3.86	1269/1531	3.86	4.34	4.33	4.30	3.86
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	1	4	2	3.88	1248/1530	3.88	4.34	4.35	4.32	3.88
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	2	0	0	1	3	1	4.00	825/1409	4.00	4.43	4.08	4.09	4.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	1	2	4	4.13	818/1366	4.13	4.19	4.18	4.22	4.13
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	2	3	0	3	3.50	1221/1364	3.50	4.42	4.33	4.37	3.50
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	2	2	4	4.25	929/1361	4.25	4.49	4.39	4.39	4.25

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 320 01
Title:	Intro To Printmaking
Instructor:	Chan,Irene

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	11
Questionnaires:	8

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	0	4	0	1	1	0	2	3.75	748/1019	3.75	4.16	4.09	4.04	3.75

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	0	0.00-0.99 0	A 4	Required for Majors 2	Graduate 0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 4		
56-83	0	2.00-2.99 0	C 0	General 3	Under-grad 8
84-150	3	3.00-3.49 1	D 0		Non-major 4
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 2	F 0	Electives 2	
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 0	
			? 0		

**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 323 01
Title:	Modernism (1880-1960)
Instructor:	Jacob,Preminda

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	51
Questionnaires:	31

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	1	0	0	1	4	14	11	4.17	1073/1644	4.17	4.38	4.32	4.31	4.17
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	1	4	11	14	4.27	978/1644	4.27	4.24	4.28	4.25	4.27
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	4	7	19	4.50	632/1419	4.50	4.47	4.35	4.31	4.50
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	2	0	1	9	5	13	4.07	1091/1596	4.07	4.26	4.24	4.25	4.07
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	2	5	10	13	4.13	855/1535	4.13	3.93	4.15	4.14	4.13
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	4	8	9	9	3.77	1132/1510	3.77	3.74	4.13	4.16	3.77
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	3	4	10	13	4.10	1057/1620	4.10	3.99	4.20	4.18	4.10
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	1	0	0	29	4.90	632/1642	4.90	4.35	4.68	4.65	4.90
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	6	0	0	1	5	11	8	4.04	944/1596	4.04	4.10	4.12	4.09	4.04
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	3	6	21	4.60	772/1534	4.60	4.39	4.48	4.44	4.60
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	1	2	27	4.87	723/1539	4.87	4.82	4.76	4.74	4.87
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	2	9	19	4.57	628/1531	4.57	4.34	4.33	4.30	4.57
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	3	6	21	4.60	644/1530	4.60	4.34	4.35	4.32	4.60
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	1	0	3	9	16	4.34	541/1409	4.34	4.43	4.08	4.09	4.34
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	10	0	1	0	4	11	5	3.90	942/1366	3.90	4.19	4.18	4.22	3.90
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	11	0	0	0	2	11	7	4.25	877/1364	4.25	4.42	4.33	4.37	4.25
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	11	0	0	0	1	7	12	4.55	661/1361	4.55	4.49	4.39	4.39	4.55
4. Were special techniques successful	11	4	0	0	5	5	6	4.06	547/1019	4.06	4.16	4.09	4.04	4.06

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 323 01
Title:	Modernism (1880-1960)
Instructor:	Jacob,Preminda

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	51
Questionnaires:	31

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	30	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/209	****	3.00	4.19	4.18	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	30	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/181	****	****	4.53	4.49	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	30	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/72	****	5.00	4.53	4.68	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	30	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/71	****	4.75	4.38	4.61	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	30	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/68	****	4.86	4.41	4.59	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	30	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/71	****	5.00	4.40	4.51	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	30	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/48	****	****	4.16	4.95	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	30	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/45	****	****	4.19	4.95	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	30	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/30	****	****	4.57	4.93	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	30	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/27	****	****	4.25	4.90	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	30	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/51	****	5.00	4.03	4.75	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	30	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/31	****	****	4.18	4.80	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	30	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/36	****	5.00	4.33	4.83	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 323 01
Title:	Modernism (1880-1960)
Instructor:	Jacob,Preminda

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	51
Questionnaires:	31

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	30	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/19	****	****	4.17	4.20	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	1	0.00-0.99 0	A 14	Required for Majors 27	Graduate 0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 11		Major 20
56-83	1	2.00-2.99 0	C 4	General 1	Under-grad 31
84-150	11	3.00-3.49 8	D 0		Non-major 11
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 13	F 0	Electives 0	
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 1	
			? 2		

**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 324 01
Title:	History Of Film To 1965
Instructor:	Sturgeon,John

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	60
Questionnaires:	32

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	1	8	9	14	4.13	1119/1644	4.13	4.38	4.32	4.31	4.13
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	5	5	13	9	3.81	1354/1644	3.81	4.24	4.28	4.25	3.81
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	1	5	10	9	7	3.50	1303/1419	3.50	4.47	4.35	4.31	3.50
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	14	0	3	4	5	6	3.78	1290/1596	3.78	4.26	4.24	4.25	3.78
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	2	0	7	8	14	4.03	945/1535	4.03	3.93	4.15	4.14	4.03
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	16	2	0	5	2	6	3.67	1182/1510	3.67	3.74	4.13	4.16	3.67
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	1	3	3	5	19	4.23	938/1620	4.23	3.99	4.20	4.18	4.23
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	1	0	0	0	6	25	4.81	840/1642	4.81	4.35	4.68	4.65	4.81
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	1	2	2	7	14	2	3.44	1415/1596	3.44	4.10	4.12	4.09	3.44
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	2	0	2	12	16	4.25	1155/1534	4.25	4.39	4.48	4.44	4.25
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	2	1	29	4.84	780/1539	4.84	4.82	4.76	4.74	4.84
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	1	5	10	16	4.28	962/1531	4.28	4.34	4.33	4.30	4.28
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	1	3	0	5	8	15	4.03	1148/1530	4.03	4.34	4.35	4.32	4.03
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	1	1	0	1	4	24	4.67	245/1409	4.67	4.43	4.08	4.09	4.67
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	12	0	4	2	5	4	5	3.20	1246/1366	3.20	4.19	4.18	4.22	3.20
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	13	0	4	2	8	1	4	2.95	1309/1364	2.95	4.42	4.33	4.37	2.95
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	12	0	3	2	9	1	5	3.15	1299/1361	3.15	4.49	4.39	4.39	3.15

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 324 01
Title:	History Of Film To 1965
Instructor:	Sturgeon,John

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	60
Questionnaires:	32

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	12	13	1	3	0	0	3	3.14	****/1019	****	4.16	4.09	4.04	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	3	0.00-0.99	2	A	7	Required for Majors	10	Graduate	1	Major	12
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	B	13						
56-83	4	2.00-2.99	2	C	5	General	6	Under-grad	31	Non-major	20
84-150	5	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	1	3.50-4.00	6	F	0	Electives	10				
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	5						

**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 326 01
Title:	Hist. Of Photography I
Instructor:	Beck,Thomas E

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	20
Questionnaires:	19

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	2	7	3	7	3.79	1397/1644	3.79	4.38	4.32	4.31	3.79
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	3	2	7	7	3.95	1267/1644	3.95	4.24	4.28	4.25	3.95
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	4	1	2	12	4.16	1019/1419	4.16	4.47	4.35	4.31	4.16
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	2	1	4	10	4.29	869/1596	4.29	4.26	4.24	4.25	4.29
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	3	5	3	8	3.84	1111/1535	3.84	3.93	4.15	4.14	3.84
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	4	3	4	8	3.84	1080/1510	3.84	3.74	4.13	4.16	3.84
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	3	4	3	9	3.95	1198/1620	3.95	3.99	4.20	4.18	3.95
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	1	0	0	0	0	18	5.00	1/1642	5.00	4.35	4.68	4.65	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	6	0	0	1	4	6	2	3.69	1284/1596	3.69	4.10	4.12	4.09	3.69
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	1	3	1	13	4.44	974/1534	4.44	4.39	4.48	4.44	4.44
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	1	0	1	16	4.78	951/1539	4.78	4.82	4.76	4.74	4.78
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	2	3	7	6	3.94	1213/1531	3.94	4.34	4.33	4.30	3.94
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	1	2	2	4	2	7	3.59	1355/1530	3.59	4.34	4.35	4.32	3.59
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	1	2	0	6	9	4.11	756/1409	4.11	4.43	4.08	4.09	4.11
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	11	0	3	2	0	2	1	2.50	1340/1366	2.50	4.19	4.18	4.22	2.50
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	11	0	0	1	1	1	5	4.25	877/1364	4.25	4.42	4.33	4.37	4.25
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	11	0	0	2	1	3	2	3.63	1208/1361	3.63	4.49	4.39	4.39	3.63
4. Were special techniques successful	11	4	1	1	0	2	0	2.75	****/1019	****	4.16	4.09	4.04	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 326 01
Title:	Hist. Of Photography I
Instructor:	Beck,Thomas E

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	20
Questionnaires:	19

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	18	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/185	****	****	4.23	4.16	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	18	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/209	****	3.00	4.19	4.18	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	18	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/181	****	****	4.53	4.49	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	18	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/183	****	****	4.46	4.38	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	18	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/172	****	****	4.14	4.07	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	18	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/72	****	5.00	4.53	4.68	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	18	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/71	****	4.75	4.38	4.61	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	18	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/68	****	4.86	4.41	4.59	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	18	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/71	****	5.00	4.40	4.51	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	18	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/73	****	3.97	4.09	4.57	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	18	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/48	****	****	4.16	4.95	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	18	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/45	****	****	4.19	4.95	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	18	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/30	****	****	4.57	4.93	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	18	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/27	****	****	4.25	4.90	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	18	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/25	****	****	4.35	4.90	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	18	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/51	****	5.00	4.03	4.75	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	18	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/31	****	****	4.18	4.80	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	18	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/36	****	5.00	4.33	4.83	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 326 01
Title:	Hist. Of Photography I
Instructor:	Beck,Thomas E

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	20
Questionnaires:	19

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	18	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/19	****	****	4.17	4.20	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	18	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/14	****	****	4.17	4.60	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	10	Required for Majors	6	Graduate	0	Major	7
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	B	6						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	C	1	General	4	Under-grad	19	Non-major	12
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	3	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	5	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	2						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 329 01
Title:	Art History - Vis. Cultu
Instructor:	Jacob,Preminda

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	16
Questionnaires:	13

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	1	2	4	6	4.15	1085/1644	4.47	4.38	4.32	4.31	4.15
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	2	1	6	3	1	3.00	1589/1644	3.78	4.24	4.28	4.25	3.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	1	0	0	2	7	2	4.00	1090/1419	4.38	4.47	4.35	4.31	4.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	1	0	1	2	7	1	3.73	1327/1596	4.09	4.26	4.24	4.25	3.73
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	2	6	4	4.00	970/1535	4.55	3.93	4.15	4.14	4.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	2	3	4	3	3.46	1293/1510	4.03	3.74	4.13	4.16	3.46
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	1	2	4	4	2	3.31	1496/1620	3.84	3.99	4.20	4.18	3.31
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	5.00	1/1642	4.58	4.35	4.68	4.65	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	1	1	0	1	7	1	3.70	1278/1596	4.32	4.10	4.12	4.09	3.70
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	1	5	3	3	3.67	1427/1534	4.36	4.39	4.48	4.44	3.67
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	1	2	10	4.69	1098/1539	4.90	4.82	4.76	4.74	4.69
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	1	0	5	5	1	3.42	1410/1531	4.20	4.34	4.33	4.30	3.42
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	2	5	3	2	3.23	1449/1530	4.30	4.34	4.35	4.32	3.23
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	1	1	6	4	4.08	777/1409	4.51	4.43	4.08	4.09	4.08
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	3	7	4.70	332/1366	4.66	4.19	4.18	4.22	4.70
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	0	0	3	7	4.70	454/1364	4.72	4.42	4.33	4.37	4.70
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	0	3	7	4.70	503/1361	4.83	4.49	4.39	4.39	4.70
4. Were special techniques successful	3	2	1	0	1	6	0	3.50	842/1019	4.00	4.16	4.09	4.04	3.50

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 329 01
Title:	Art History - Vis. Cultu
Instructor:	Jacob, Preminda

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	16
Questionnaires:	13

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	11	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/72	****	5.00	4.53	4.68	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	11	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/71	****	4.75	4.38	4.61	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	11	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/71	****	5.00	4.40	4.51	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	11	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/73	****	3.97	4.09	4.57	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	11	0	1	0	0	1	0	2.50	****/48	****	****	4.16	4.95	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	11	0	1	0	0	1	0	2.50	****/45	****	****	4.19	4.95	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	11	0	1	0	1	0	0	2.00	****/30	****	****	4.57	4.93	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	11	0	1	0	1	0	0	2.00	****/27	****	****	4.25	4.90	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	11	1	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/25	****	****	4.35	4.90	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned		Cum. GPA		Expected Grades		Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	9	Required for Majors	12	Graduate	0	Major	11
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	2						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	2	C	1	General	0	Under-grad	13	Non-major	2
84-150	5	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	5	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 329 02
Title:	Art History - Vis. Cultu
Instructor:	Durant,Mark R

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	13
Questionnaires:	11

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	3	8	4.73	400/1644	4.47	4.38	4.32	4.31	4.73
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	7	3	4.18	1060/1644	3.78	4.24	4.28	4.25	4.18
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	3	1	5	4.22	942/1596	4.09	4.26	4.24	4.25	4.22
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	1	9	4.73	246/1535	4.55	3.93	4.15	4.14	4.73
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	2	3	6	4.36	590/1510	4.03	3.74	4.13	4.16	4.36
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	2	1	1	1	2	4	3.78	1319/1620	3.84	3.99	4.20	4.18	3.78
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	3	8	4.73	958/1642	4.58	4.35	4.68	4.65	4.73
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	5	5	4.50	382/1596	4.32	4.10	4.12	4.09	4.50
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	3	8	4.73	576/1534	4.36	4.39	4.48	4.44	4.73
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	5.00	1/1539	4.90	4.82	4.76	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	4	7	4.64	521/1531	4.20	4.34	4.33	4.30	4.64
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	2	9	4.82	340/1530	4.30	4.34	4.35	4.32	4.82
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	2	9	4.82	145/1409	4.51	4.43	4.08	4.09	4.82
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	1	2	5	4.50	492/1366	4.66	4.19	4.18	4.22	4.50
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	0	0	3	5	4.63	546/1364	4.72	4.42	4.33	4.37	4.63
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	286/1361	4.83	4.49	4.39	4.39	4.88

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 329 02
Title:	Art History - Vis. Cultu
Instructor:	Durant,Mark R

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	13
Questionnaires:	11

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	3	7	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/1019	4.00	4.16	4.09	4.04	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	2	0.00-0.99 0	A 9	Required for Majors 8	Graduate 0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 2		
56-83	1	2.00-2.99 1	C 0	General 2	Under-grad 11
84-150	2	3.00-3.49 3	D 0		Non-major 0
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 1	F 0	Electives 1	
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 0	
			? 0		

**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 329 03
Title:	Art History - Vis. Cultu
Instructor:	Mahoney,James W

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	18
Questionnaires:	13

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	6	7	4.54	650/1644	4.47	4.38	4.32	4.31	4.54
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	3	5	5	4.15	1094/1644	3.78	4.24	4.28	4.25	4.15
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	9	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	337/1419	4.38	4.47	4.35	4.31	4.75
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	4	1	0	0	2	6	4.33	816/1596	4.09	4.26	4.24	4.25	4.33
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	12	4.92	84/1535	4.55	3.93	4.15	4.14	4.92
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	1	0	6	5	4.25	727/1510	4.03	3.74	4.13	4.16	4.25
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	6	0	0	0	4	3	4.43	653/1620	3.84	3.99	4.20	4.18	4.43
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	13	0	4.00	1528/1642	4.58	4.35	4.68	4.65	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	3	9	4.75	178/1596	4.32	4.10	4.12	4.09	4.75
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	1	2	10	4.69	627/1534	4.36	4.39	4.48	4.44	4.69
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	5.00	1/1539	4.90	4.82	4.76	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	6	7	4.54	676/1531	4.20	4.34	4.33	4.30	4.54
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	2	11	4.85	294/1530	4.30	4.34	4.35	4.32	4.85
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	1	0	2	10	4.62	287/1409	4.51	4.43	4.08	4.09	4.62
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	1	11	4.77	275/1366	4.66	4.19	4.18	4.22	4.77
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	1	0	12	4.85	291/1364	4.72	4.42	4.33	4.37	4.85
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	1	12	4.92	197/1361	4.83	4.49	4.39	4.39	4.92
4. Were special techniques successful	1	8	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	262/1019	4.00	4.16	4.09	4.04	4.50

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 329 03
Title:	Art History - Vis. Cultu
Instructor:	Mahoney,James W

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	18
Questionnaires:	13

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/71	****	4.75	4.38	4.61	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/68	****	4.86	4.41	4.59	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/71	****	5.00	4.40	4.51	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/73	****	3.97	4.09	4.57	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	A	11	Required for Majors	11	Graduate	0	Major	12
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	2						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	C	0	General	1	Under-grad	13	Non-major	1
84-150	4	3.00-3.49	3	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 331 01
Title:	Graph Des I: Image, Sign
Instructor:	Re,Margaret A

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	14
Questionnaires:	11

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	1	0	0	2	8	4.45	751/1644	4.73	4.38	4.32	4.31	4.45
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	1	0	0	2	8	4.45	717/1644	4.73	4.24	4.28	4.25	4.45
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	10	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1419	5.00	4.47	4.35	4.31	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	1	0	2	7	4.50	567/1596	4.69	4.26	4.24	4.25	4.50
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	8	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	300/1535	4.33	3.93	4.15	4.14	4.67
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	10	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1510	****	3.74	4.13	4.16	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	1	3	2	2	3	3.27	1501/1620	3.45	3.99	4.20	4.18	3.27
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	9	2	4.18	1444/1642	4.28	4.35	4.68	4.65	4.18
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	1	0	0	5	4	4.10	905/1596	4.48	4.10	4.12	4.09	4.10
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	1	0	2	3	5	4.00	1296/1534	4.38	4.39	4.48	4.44	4.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	1	0	1	9	4.64	1174/1539	4.76	4.82	4.76	4.74	4.64
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	1	0	1	2	7	4.27	971/1531	4.51	4.34	4.33	4.30	4.27
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	0	1	2	7	4.27	988/1530	4.51	4.34	4.35	4.32	4.27
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	2	0	0	2	2	5	4.33	551/1409	4.35	4.43	4.08	4.09	4.33
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	191/1366	4.79	4.19	4.18	4.22	4.86
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	0	1	1	5	4.57	593/1364	4.79	4.42	4.33	4.37	4.57
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	313/1361	4.86	4.49	4.39	4.39	4.86
4. Were special techniques successful	4	1	0	0	0	3	3	4.50	262/1019	4.25	4.16	4.09	4.04	4.50

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 331 01
Title:	Graph Des I: Image, Sign
Instructor:	Re,Margaret A

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	14
Questionnaires:	11

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	10	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/48	****	****	4.16	4.95	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	10	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/45	****	****	4.19	4.95	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	10	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/25	****	****	4.35	4.90	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	10	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/51	****	5.00	4.03	4.75	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	10	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/36	****	5.00	4.33	4.83	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors					
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	A	7	Required for Majors	9	Graduate	0	Major	10
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	B	2						
56-83	5	2.00-2.99	C	0	General	0	Under-grad	11	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
			P	0						
			I	0	Other	0				
			?	2						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 331 02
Title:	Graph Des I: Image, Sign
Instructor:	Re,Margaret A

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	14
Questionnaires:	8

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1644	4.73	4.38	4.32	4.31	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1644	4.73	4.24	4.28	4.25	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	4	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1419	5.00	4.47	4.35	4.31	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	141/1596	4.69	4.26	4.24	4.25	4.88
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	6	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	970/1535	4.33	3.93	4.15	4.14	4.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	1	0	2	3	2	3.63	1381/1620	3.45	3.99	4.20	4.18	3.63
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	5	3	4.38	1310/1642	4.28	4.35	4.68	4.65	4.38
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	119/1596	4.48	4.10	4.12	4.09	4.86
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	1	0	7	4.75	525/1534	4.38	4.39	4.48	4.44	4.75
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	694/1539	4.76	4.82	4.76	4.74	4.88
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	348/1531	4.51	4.34	4.33	4.30	4.75
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	437/1530	4.51	4.34	4.35	4.32	4.75
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	2	1	5	4.38	513/1409	4.35	4.43	4.08	4.09	4.38
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	322/1366	4.79	4.19	4.18	4.22	4.71
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1364	4.79	4.42	4.33	4.37	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	313/1361	4.86	4.49	4.39	4.39	4.86

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 331 02
Title:	Graph Des I: Image, Sign
Instructor:	Re,Margaret A

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	14
Questionnaires:	8

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	1	3	0	0	2	0	2	4.00	559/1019	4.25	4.16	4.09	4.04	4.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	0	0.00-0.99 0	A 2	Required for Majors 7	Graduate 0 Major 7
28-55	2	1.00-1.99 0	B 6		
56-83	2	2.00-2.99 0	C 0	General 0	Under-grad 8 Non-major 1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49 1	D 0		
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 4	F 0	Electives 0	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 0	
			? 0		

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 332 01
Title:	Design & Tech I: Print
Instructor:	Rosenberg,Ari

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	12
Questionnaires:	10

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	2	1	7	4.50	688/1644	4.71	4.38	4.32	4.31	4.50
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	0	1	8	4.60	508/1644	4.76	4.24	4.28	4.25	4.60
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	7	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	462/1419	4.83	4.47	4.35	4.31	4.67
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	1	3	4	4.38	759/1596	4.44	4.26	4.24	4.25	4.38
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	7	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1535	5.00	3.93	4.15	4.14	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	7	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/1510	****	3.74	4.13	4.16	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	2	3	1	3	3.56	1409/1620	3.69	3.99	4.20	4.18	3.56
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	1	7	1	4.00	1528/1642	4.14	4.35	4.68	4.65	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	1	5	2	4.13	877/1596	4.24	4.10	4.12	4.09	4.13
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	1	1	8	4.70	611/1534	4.77	4.39	4.48	4.44	4.70
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	1	0	9	4.80	894/1539	4.86	4.82	4.76	4.74	4.80
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	1	0	0	1	8	4.50	724/1531	4.67	4.34	4.33	4.30	4.50
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	0	0	1	8	4.50	755/1530	4.75	4.34	4.35	4.32	4.50
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	2	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	113/1409	4.85	4.43	4.08	4.09	4.88
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	0	2	2	4	3.89	956/1366	4.00	4.19	4.18	4.22	3.89
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	1	0	2	2	4	3.89	1095/1364	3.82	4.42	4.33	4.37	3.89
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	1	0	2	2	4	3.89	1103/1361	4.01	4.49	4.39	4.39	3.89
4. Were special techniques successful	1	5	0	0	0	3	1	4.25	431/1019	3.50	4.16	4.09	4.04	4.25

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 332 01
Title:	Design & Tech I: Print
Instructor:	Rosenberg,Ari

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	12
Questionnaires:	10

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/185	****	****	4.23	4.16	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/209	****	3.00	4.19	4.18	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/181	****	****	4.53	4.49	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/183	****	****	4.46	4.38	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	9	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/172	****	****	4.14	4.07	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/72	****	5.00	4.53	4.68	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/71	****	4.75	4.38	4.61	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/68	****	4.86	4.41	4.59	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/71	****	5.00	4.40	4.51	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/73	****	3.97	4.09	4.57	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/48	****	****	4.16	4.95	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/45	****	****	4.19	4.95	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	9	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/30	****	****	4.57	4.93	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	9	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/27	****	****	4.25	4.90	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	9	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/25	****	****	4.35	4.90	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/51	****	5.00	4.03	4.75	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	****	****	4.18	4.80	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/36	****	5.00	4.33	4.83	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 332 01
Title:	Design & Tech I: Print
Instructor:	Rosenberg,Ari

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	12
Questionnaires:	10

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/19	****	****	4.17	4.20	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/14	****	****	4.17	4.60	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	1 A 7	Required for Majors	7 Graduate 0 Major 8
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0 B 1		
56-83	3	2.00-2.99	0 C 0	General	0 Under-grad 10 Non-major 2
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1 D 0		
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2 F 0	Electives	0
			P 0		
			I 0	Other	0
			? 2		

**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 332 02
Title:	Design & Tech I: Print
Instructor:	Rosenberg,Ari

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	14
Questionnaires:	12

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	157/1644	4.71	4.38	4.32	4.31	4.92
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	128/1644	4.76	4.24	4.28	4.25	4.92
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	8	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1419	4.83	4.47	4.35	4.31	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	4	0	1	0	1	6	4.50	567/1596	4.44	4.26	4.24	4.25	4.50
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	9	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1535	5.00	3.93	4.15	4.14	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	10	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1510	****	3.74	4.13	4.16	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	1	1	2	3	5	3.83	1286/1620	3.69	3.99	4.20	4.18	3.83
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	8	3	4.27	1387/1642	4.14	4.35	4.68	4.65	4.27
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	7	4	4.36	565/1596	4.24	4.10	4.12	4.09	4.36
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	1	0	11	4.83	381/1534	4.77	4.39	4.48	4.44	4.83
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	548/1539	4.86	4.82	4.76	4.74	4.92
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	2	10	4.83	241/1531	4.67	4.34	4.33	4.30	4.83
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	5.00	1/1530	4.75	4.34	4.35	4.32	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	1	0	0	1	0	10	4.82	145/1409	4.85	4.43	4.08	4.09	4.82
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	1	2	1	5	4.11	826/1366	4.00	4.19	4.18	4.22	4.11
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	1	0	2	2	3	3.75	1142/1364	3.82	4.42	4.33	4.37	3.75
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	1	1	2	4	4.13	994/1361	4.01	4.49	4.39	4.39	4.13

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 332 02
Title:	Design & Tech I: Print
Instructor:	Rosenberg,Ari

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	14
Questionnaires:	12

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	4	4	1	1	1	0	1	2.75	995/1019	3.50	4.16	4.09	4.04	2.75

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	0	0.00-0.99 0	A 2	Required for Majors 9	Graduate 0
28-55	1	1.00-1.99 0	B 6		Major 11
56-83	0	2.00-2.99 0	C 0	General 0	Under-grad 12
84-150	0	3.00-3.49 0	D 0		Non-major 1
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 2	F 0	Electives 0	
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 0	
			? 4		

**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 334 01
Title:	Graphic Design IV
Instructor:	Abraham,Guenet

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	14
Questionnaires:	11

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	1	3	3	3	1	3.00	1603/1644	3.69	4.38	4.32	4.31	3.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	3	5	2	1	0	2.09	1641/1644	2.81	4.24	4.28	4.25	2.09
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	9	2	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/1419	****	4.47	4.35	4.31	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	4	1	2	1	2	2.60	1574/1596	3.38	4.26	4.24	4.25	2.60
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	9	2	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/1535	****	3.93	4.15	4.14	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	10	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/1510	****	3.74	4.13	4.16	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	6	3	1	0	1	1.82	1615/1620	2.62	3.99	4.20	4.18	1.82
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	3	1	5	2	0	2.55	1641/1642	2.93	4.35	4.68	4.65	2.55
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	2	3	3	1	1	2.60	1573/1596	2.97	4.10	4.12	4.09	2.60
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	4	1	4	0	1	2.30	1529/1534	2.96	4.39	4.48	4.44	2.30
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	2	0	1	4	3	3.60	1521/1539	4.18	4.82	4.76	4.74	3.60
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	4	2	3	1	0	2.10	1524/1531	2.74	4.34	4.33	4.30	2.10
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	2	3	1	3	1	0	2.25	1518/1530	2.86	4.34	4.35	4.32	2.25
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	2	4	1	1	1	1	2.25	1394/1409	2.85	4.43	4.08	4.09	2.25
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	5	0	1	3	0	1	1	2.67	1329/1366	3.40	4.19	4.18	4.22	2.67
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	5	0	2	2	0	2	0	2.33	1350/1364	3.10	4.42	4.33	4.37	2.33

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 334 01
Title:	Graphic Design IV
Instructor:	Abraham,Guenet

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	14
Questionnaires:	11

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	5	0	2	2	0	2	0	2.33	1351/1361	3.10	4.49	4.39	4.39	2.33

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	0	0.00-0.99 0	A 3	Required for Majors 7	Graduate 0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 3		
56-83	0	2.00-2.99 1	C 3	General 0	Under-grad 11
84-150	4	3.00-3.49 3	D 0		Non-major 2
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 2	F 0	Electives 0	
			P 0		
			I 0		
			? 2		

**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 334 02
Title:	Graphic Design IV
Instructor:	Abraham,Guenet

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	14
Questionnaires:	13

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	2	4	7	4.38	835/1644	3.69	4.38	4.32	4.31	4.38
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	2	6	1	4	3.54	1490/1644	2.81	4.24	4.28	4.25	3.54
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	1	1	5	5	4.17	1008/1596	3.38	4.26	4.24	4.25	4.17
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	12	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/1535	****	3.93	4.15	4.14	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	10	0	1	2	0	0	2.67	****/1510	****	3.74	4.13	4.16	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	1	0	6	3	2	3.42	1468/1620	2.62	3.99	4.20	4.18	3.42
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	3	4	5	1	3.31	1634/1642	2.93	4.35	4.68	4.65	3.31
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	1	7	3	1	3.33	1458/1596	2.97	4.10	4.12	4.09	3.33
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	2	1	2	3	5	3.62	1437/1534	2.96	4.39	4.48	4.44	3.62
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	1	1	11	4.77	970/1539	4.18	4.82	4.76	4.74	4.77
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	1	3	2	4	3	3.38	1415/1531	2.74	4.34	4.33	4.30	3.38
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	3	4	3	3	3.46	1394/1530	2.86	4.34	4.35	4.32	3.46
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	4	1	1	3	1	3	3.44	1193/1409	2.85	4.43	4.08	4.09	3.44
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	1	1	2	4	4.13	818/1366	3.40	4.19	4.18	4.22	4.13
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	5	0	0	2	1	1	4	3.88	1098/1364	3.10	4.42	4.33	4.37	3.88
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	5	0	1	0	1	3	3	3.88	1107/1361	3.10	4.49	4.39	4.39	3.88

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 334 02
Title:	Graphic Design IV
Instructor:	Abraham,Guenet

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	14
Questionnaires:	13

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	6	3	0	0	0	3	1	4.25	431/1019	4.25	4.16	4.09	4.04	4.25

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	5	Required for Majors	12	Graduate	0	Major	12
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	6						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	C	0	General	0	Under-grad	13	Non-major	1
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 336 01
Title:	Design & Tech II: Screen
Instructor:	Rozanc,Gary

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	18
Questionnaires:	15

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	2	13	4.87	220/1644	4.78	4.38	4.32	4.31	4.87
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	5	9	4.53	595/1644	4.57	4.24	4.28	4.25	4.53
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	3	0	0	0	6	6	4.50	632/1419	4.75	4.47	4.35	4.31	4.50
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	5	0	0	2	2	6	4.40	717/1596	4.45	4.26	4.24	4.25	4.40
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	9	0	0	1	2	3	4.33	658/1535	4.17	3.93	4.15	4.14	4.33
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	9	0	1	2	1	2	3.67	1182/1510	3.58	3.74	4.13	4.16	3.67
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	1	8	5	4.13	1030/1620	4.30	3.99	4.20	4.18	4.13
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	12	3	4.20	1432/1642	4.31	4.35	4.68	4.65	4.20
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	1	6	6	4.38	540/1596	4.42	4.10	4.12	4.09	4.38
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	2	1	11	4.64	707/1534	4.55	4.39	4.48	4.44	4.64
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	1	13	4.93	487/1539	4.89	4.82	4.76	4.74	4.93
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	3	1	10	4.50	724/1531	4.44	4.34	4.33	4.30	4.50
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	1	13	4.93	161/1530	4.89	4.34	4.35	4.32	4.93
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	1	0	0	0	1	12	4.92	77/1409	4.88	4.43	4.08	4.09	4.92
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	1	3	7	4.55	464/1366	4.27	4.19	4.18	4.22	4.55
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	1	0	2	1	7	4.18	920/1364	4.22	4.42	4.33	4.37	4.18
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	0	2	2	7	4.45	760/1361	4.27	4.49	4.39	4.39	4.45

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 336 01
Title:	Design & Tech II: Screen
Instructor:	Rozanc,Gary

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	18
Questionnaires:	15

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	4	8	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/1019	****	4.16	4.09	4.04	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	0	0.00-0.99 0	A 8	Required for Majors 13	Graduate 0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 4		Major 10
56-83	1	2.00-2.99 0	C 0	General 0	Under-grad 15
84-150	3	3.00-3.49 0	D 0		Non-major 5
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 3	F 0	Electives 0	
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 0	
			? 2		

**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 336 02
Title:	Design & Tech II: Screen
Instructor:	Rozanc,Gary

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	15
Questionnaires:	13

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	2	10	4.69	441/1644	4.78	4.38	4.32	4.31	4.69
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	5	8	4.62	494/1644	4.57	4.24	4.28	4.25	4.62
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	8	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1419	4.75	4.47	4.35	4.31	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	3	0	0	2	1	7	4.50	567/1596	4.45	4.26	4.24	4.25	4.50
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	8	0	0	2	1	2	4.00	970/1535	4.17	3.93	4.15	4.14	4.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	9	1	0	0	2	1	3.50	1261/1510	3.58	3.74	4.13	4.16	3.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	2	3	8	4.46	590/1620	4.30	3.99	4.20	4.18	4.46
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	7	5	4.42	1277/1642	4.31	4.35	4.68	4.65	4.42
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	1	4	6	4.45	448/1596	4.42	4.10	4.12	4.09	4.45
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	2	3	8	4.46	946/1534	4.55	4.39	4.48	4.44	4.46
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	1	0	12	4.85	780/1539	4.89	4.82	4.76	4.74	4.85
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	2	4	7	4.38	870/1531	4.44	4.34	4.33	4.30	4.38
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	2	11	4.85	294/1530	4.89	4.34	4.35	4.32	4.85
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	1	0	0	1	0	11	4.83	134/1409	4.88	4.43	4.08	4.09	4.83
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	1	3	3	5	4.00	862/1366	4.27	4.19	4.18	4.22	4.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	3	3	6	4.25	877/1364	4.22	4.42	4.33	4.37	4.25
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	1	1	6	4	4.08	1010/1361	4.27	4.49	4.39	4.39	4.08

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 336 02
Title:	Design & Tech II: Screen
Instructor:	Rozanc,Gary

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	15
Questionnaires:	13

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	1	9	1	0	2	0	0	2.33	****/1019	****	4.16	4.09	4.04	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	1	0.00-0.99 0	A 11	Required for Majors 10	Graduate 0
28-55	1	1.00-1.99 0	B 1		Major 9
56-83	3	2.00-2.99 1	C 0	General 0	Under-grad 13
84-150	2	3.00-3.49 5	D 0		Non-major 4
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 4	F 0	Electives 1	
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 0	
			? 1		

**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 337 01
Title:	Typography II
Instructor:	Bell,Kathryn L

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	16
Questionnaires:	15

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	5	9	4.53	650/1644	4.61	4.38	4.32	4.31	4.53
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	5	8	4.40	802/1644	4.58	4.24	4.28	4.25	4.40
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	13	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	****/1419	****	4.47	4.35	4.31	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	1	2	10	4.69	315/1596	4.73	4.26	4.24	4.25	4.69
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	13	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/1535	****	3.93	4.15	4.14	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	13	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/1510	****	3.74	4.13	4.16	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	1	0	0	1	5	7	4.46	590/1620	4.44	3.99	4.20	4.18	4.46
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	13	2	4.13	1475/1642	4.40	4.35	4.68	4.65	4.13
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	1	5	7	4.46	435/1596	4.48	4.10	4.12	4.09	4.46
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	3	3	9	4.40	1030/1534	4.62	4.39	4.48	4.44	4.40
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	2	13	4.87	723/1539	4.93	4.82	4.76	4.74	4.87
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	1	4	10	4.60	565/1531	4.76	4.34	4.33	4.30	4.60
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	2	3	10	4.53	721/1530	4.73	4.34	4.35	4.32	4.53
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	1	1	0	0	5	8	4.36	532/1409	4.45	4.43	4.08	4.09	4.36
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	1	3	9	4.62	414/1366	4.59	4.19	4.18	4.22	4.62
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	1	1	11	4.77	386/1364	4.74	4.42	4.33	4.37	4.77
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	1	1	11	4.77	429/1361	4.74	4.49	4.39	4.39	4.77

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 337 01
Title:	Typography II
Instructor:	Bell, Kathryn L

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	16
Questionnaires:	15

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	2	0	0	0	1	6	6	4.38	351/1019	4.38	4.16	4.09	4.04	4.38

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	0	0.00-0.99 0	A 9	Required for Majors 15	Graduate 0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 4		Major 14
56-83	1	2.00-2.99 4	C 1	General 0	Under-grad 15
84-150	6	3.00-3.49 1	D 0		Non-major 1
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 4	F 0	Electives 0	
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 0	
			? 0		

**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 337 02
Title:	Typography II
Instructor:	Bell,Kathryn L

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	16
Questionnaires:	13

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	4	9	4.69	441/1644	4.61	4.38	4.32	4.31	4.69
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	3	10	4.77	288/1644	4.58	4.24	4.28	4.25	4.77
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	10	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/1419	****	4.47	4.35	4.31	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	1	11	4.77	245/1596	4.73	4.26	4.24	4.25	4.77
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	11	2	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/1510	****	3.74	4.13	4.16	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	1	0	0	3	8	4.42	669/1620	4.44	3.99	4.20	4.18	4.42
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	4	8	4.67	1038/1642	4.40	4.35	4.68	4.65	4.67
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	1	4	7	4.50	382/1596	4.48	4.10	4.12	4.09	4.50
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	2	10	4.83	381/1534	4.62	4.39	4.48	4.44	4.83
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	12	5.00	1/1539	4.93	4.82	4.76	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	145/1531	4.76	4.34	4.33	4.30	4.92
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	181/1530	4.73	4.34	4.35	4.32	4.92
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	1	0	0	2	1	8	4.55	347/1409	4.45	4.43	4.08	4.09	4.55
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	1	1	5	4.57	444/1366	4.59	4.19	4.18	4.22	4.57
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	6	0	0	0	1	0	6	4.71	442/1364	4.74	4.42	4.33	4.37	4.71
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	6	0	0	0	1	0	6	4.71	490/1361	4.74	4.49	4.39	4.39	4.71

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 337 02
Title:	Typography II
Instructor:	Bell, Kathryn L

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	16
Questionnaires:	13

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	6	5	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/1019	4.38	4.16	4.09	4.04	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	1	0.00-0.99 0	A 6	Required for Majors 12	Graduate 0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 4		Major 11
56-83	0	2.00-2.99 0	C 0	General 0	Under-grad 13
84-150	2	3.00-3.49 3	D 0		Non-major 2
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 2	F 0	Electives 0	
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 0	
			? 2		

**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 338 01
Title:	Motion Design
Instructor:	Bell,Kathryn L

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	14
Questionnaires:	14

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	5.00	1/1644	5.00	4.38	4.32	4.31	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	1	12	4.79	259/1644	4.79	4.24	4.28	4.25	4.79
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	12	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1419	****	4.47	4.35	4.31	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	2	11	4.85	169/1596	4.85	4.26	4.24	4.25	4.85
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	12	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	****/1535	****	3.93	4.15	4.14	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	12	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1510	****	3.74	4.13	4.16	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	1	0	4	3	6	3.93	1219/1620	3.93	3.99	4.20	4.18	3.93
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	9	5	4.36	1327/1642	4.36	4.35	4.68	4.65	4.36
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	1	3	9	4.62	288/1596	4.62	4.10	4.12	4.09	4.62
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	2	12	4.86	343/1534	4.86	4.39	4.48	4.44	4.86
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.82	4.76	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	2	12	4.86	218/1531	4.86	4.34	4.33	4.30	4.86
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	13	4.93	161/1530	4.93	4.34	4.35	4.32	4.93
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	5.00	1/1409	5.00	4.43	4.08	4.09	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	3	7	4.70	332/1366	4.70	4.19	4.18	4.22	4.70
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	0	0	2	8	4.80	342/1364	4.80	4.42	4.33	4.37	4.80
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	0	0	1	9	4.90	247/1361	4.90	4.49	4.39	4.39	4.90

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 338 01
Title:	Motion Design
Instructor:	Bell,Kathryn L

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	14
Questionnaires:	14

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	4	3	0	1	1	0	5	4.29	412/1019	4.29	4.16	4.09	4.04	4.29

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	8	Required for Majors	11	Graduate	0	Major	14
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	3						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	2	C	1	General	1	Under-grad	14	Non-major	0
84-150	6	3.00-3.49	3	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 341 01
Title:	Intro To Animation
Instructor:	Cook,Cathy

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	12
Questionnaires:	9

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	197/1644	4.84	4.38	4.32	4.31	4.89
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	1	7	4.67	428/1644	4.73	4.24	4.28	4.25	4.67
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	5	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1419	5.00	4.47	4.35	4.31	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	3	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1596	4.75	4.26	4.24	4.25	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	1	1	1	5	3.89	1082/1535	3.67	3.93	4.15	4.14	3.89
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	6	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1510	5.00	3.74	4.13	4.16	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	3	0	5	4.00	1134/1620	4.22	3.99	4.20	4.18	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	1	0	0	0	4	4	4.50	1203/1642	4.45	4.35	4.68	4.65	4.50
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	1	0	0	0	3	4	4.57	322/1596	4.40	4.10	4.12	4.09	4.57
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	1	2	6	4.56	831/1534	4.48	4.39	4.48	4.44	4.56
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	1	1	7	4.67	1136/1539	4.78	4.82	4.76	4.74	4.67
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	1	2	6	4.56	644/1531	4.68	4.34	4.33	4.30	4.56
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	2	6	4.56	699/1530	4.63	4.34	4.35	4.32	4.56
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	1	0	0	1	1	6	4.63	279/1409	4.61	4.43	4.08	4.09	4.63
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	2	0	5	4.43	581/1366	4.41	4.19	4.18	4.22	4.43
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	2	0	5	4.43	734/1364	4.51	4.42	4.33	4.37	4.43
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	1	1	0	5	4.29	911/1361	4.54	4.49	4.39	4.39	4.29

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 341 01
Title:	Intro To Animation
Instructor:	Cook,Cathy

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	12
Questionnaires:	9

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	2	4	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1019	4.88	4.16	4.09	4.04	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	1	0.00-0.99 0	A 5	Required for Majors 7	Graduate 0
28-55	2	1.00-1.99 0	B 2		Major 8
56-83	0	2.00-2.99 0	C 0	General 0	Under-grad 9
84-150	0	3.00-3.49 0	D 0		Non-major 1
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 3	F 0	Electives 0	
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 0	
			? 2		

**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 341 02
Title:	Intro To Animation
Instructor:	Cook,Cathy

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	11
Questionnaires:	10

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	2	8	4.80	288/1644	4.84	4.38	4.32	4.31	4.80
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	0	9	4.80	230/1644	4.73	4.24	4.28	4.25	4.80
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	9	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1419	5.00	4.47	4.35	4.31	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	1	2	5	4.50	567/1596	4.75	4.26	4.24	4.25	4.50
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	1	0	4	2	2	3.44	1356/1535	3.67	3.93	4.15	4.14	3.44
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	8	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/1510	5.00	3.74	4.13	4.16	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	0	2	1	6	4.44	621/1620	4.22	3.99	4.20	4.18	4.44
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	6	4	4.40	1286/1642	4.45	4.35	4.68	4.65	4.40
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	1	0	0	3	5	4.22	742/1596	4.40	4.10	4.12	4.09	4.22
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	2	2	6	4.40	1030/1534	4.48	4.39	4.48	4.44	4.40
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	1	9	4.90	609/1539	4.78	4.82	4.76	4.74	4.90
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	2	8	4.80	275/1531	4.68	4.34	4.33	4.30	4.80
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	1	8	4.70	519/1530	4.63	4.34	4.35	4.32	4.70
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	1	2	7	4.60	296/1409	4.61	4.43	4.08	4.09	4.60
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	1	1	3	4.40	604/1366	4.41	4.19	4.18	4.22	4.40
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	5	0	0	0	1	0	4	4.60	570/1364	4.51	4.42	4.33	4.37	4.60
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	5	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	380/1361	4.54	4.49	4.39	4.39	4.80

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 341 02
Title:	Intro To Animation
Instructor:	Cook,Cathy

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	11
Questionnaires:	10

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	5	1	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	145/1019	4.88	4.16	4.09	4.04	4.75

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	6	Required for Majors	7	Graduate	0	Major	5
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	B	2						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	C	0	General	1	Under-grad	10	Non-major	5
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	1						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 342 01
Title:	Film/Video Theory & Crit
Instructor:	Worden,Frederic

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	15
Questionnaires:	12

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	3	2	4	3	0	2.58	1634/1644	2.58	4.38	4.32	4.31	2.58
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	4	1	3	4	0	2.58	1623/1644	2.58	4.24	4.28	4.25	2.58
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	1	3	3	4	1	3.08	1382/1419	3.08	4.47	4.35	4.31	3.08
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	2	2	5	2	1	2.83	1561/1596	2.83	4.26	4.24	4.25	2.83
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	2	0	3	7	0	3.25	1420/1535	3.25	3.93	4.15	4.14	3.25
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	3	2	3	4	0	2.67	1477/1510	2.67	3.74	4.13	4.16	2.67
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	3	2	4	2	1	2.67	1580/1620	2.67	3.99	4.20	4.18	2.67
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	1	10	1	4.00	1528/1642	4.00	4.35	4.68	4.65	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	5	0	3	2	1	1	0	2.00	1587/1596	2.00	4.10	4.12	4.09	2.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	3	2	3	2	1	2.64	1522/1534	2.64	4.39	4.48	4.44	2.64
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	2	4	5	4.27	1433/1539	4.27	4.82	4.76	4.74	4.27
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	2	3	4	1	1	2.64	1506/1531	2.64	4.34	4.33	4.30	2.64
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	4	0	4	2	1	2.64	1503/1530	2.64	4.34	4.35	4.32	2.64
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	1	3	3	3	1	3.00	1316/1409	3.00	4.43	4.08	4.09	3.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	2	0	2	4.00	862/1366	4.00	4.19	4.18	4.22	4.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	8	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	398/1364	4.75	4.42	4.33	4.37	4.75
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	8	0	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	703/1361	4.50	4.49	4.39	4.39	4.50

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 342 01
Title:	Film/Video Theory & Crit
Instructor:	Worden,Frederic

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	15
Questionnaires:	12

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	8	3	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1019	****	4.16	4.09	4.04	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	3	Required for Majors	9	Graduate	0	Major	11
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	8						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	C	1	General	0	Under-grad	12	Non-major	1
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	0				
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 346 01
Title:	Moving Images III: HD Ci
Instructor:	Sturgeon,John

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	13
Questionnaires:	8

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	2	0	3	2	1	3.00	1603/1644	3.00	4.38	4.32	4.31	3.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	1	3	0	2	2	3.13	1578/1644	3.13	4.24	4.28	4.25	3.13
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	7	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/1419	****	4.47	4.35	4.31	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	1	1	3	0	2	3.14	1527/1596	3.14	4.26	4.24	4.25	3.14
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	6	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/1535	****	3.93	4.15	4.14	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	6	1	1	0	0	0	1.50	1509/1510	1.50	3.74	4.13	4.16	1.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	1	2	0	1	3	3.43	1464/1620	3.43	3.99	4.20	4.18	3.43
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	1	5	2	4.13	1481/1642	4.13	4.35	4.68	4.65	4.13
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	1	5	1	1	3.25	1482/1596	3.25	4.10	4.12	4.09	3.25
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	1	1	3	3	4.00	1296/1534	4.00	4.39	4.48	4.44	4.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	3	5	4.63	1187/1539	4.63	4.82	4.76	4.74	4.63
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	1	3	1	3	3.75	1314/1531	3.75	4.34	4.33	4.30	3.75
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	2	1	0	4	1	3.13	1461/1530	3.13	4.34	4.35	4.32	3.13
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	1	0	0	1	6	4.38	513/1409	4.38	4.43	4.08	4.09	4.38
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	660/1366	4.33	4.19	4.18	4.22	4.33
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	5	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	500/1364	4.67	4.42	4.33	4.37	4.67

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 346 01
Title:	Moving Images III: HD Ci
Instructor:	Sturgeon,John

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	13
Questionnaires:	8

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	5	0	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	875/1361	4.33	4.49	4.39	4.39	4.33

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	0	0.00-0.99 0	A 7	Required for Majors 8	Graduate 0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 1		Major 6
56-83	2	2.00-2.99 0	C 0	General 0	Under-grad 8
84-150	2	3.00-3.49 1	D 0		Non-major 2
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 5	F 0	Electives 0	
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 0	
			? 0		

**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 347 01
Title:	Writing For Media Arts
Instructor:	Pawloski,Robert

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	17
Questionnaires:	11

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	5.00	1/1644	5.00	4.38	4.32	4.31	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	5.00	1/1644	5.00	4.24	4.28	4.25	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	5	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	236/1419	4.83	4.47	4.35	4.31	4.83
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	10	4.91	113/1596	4.45	4.26	4.24	4.25	4.91
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	2	9	4.82	182/1535	3.91	3.93	4.15	4.14	4.82
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	5.00	1/1510	5.00	3.74	4.13	4.16	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	1	10	4.91	88/1620	4.45	3.99	4.20	4.18	4.91
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	5	6	4.55	1167/1642	4.77	4.35	4.68	4.65	4.55
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	2	9	4.82	139/1596	4.91	4.10	4.12	4.09	4.82
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	1	10	4.91	248/1534	4.45	4.39	4.48	4.44	4.91
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.82	4.76	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	1	9	4.90	162/1531	4.95	4.34	4.33	4.30	4.90
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	5.00	1/1530	5.00	4.34	4.35	4.32	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	2	9	4.82	145/1409	4.91	4.43	4.08	4.09	4.82
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	368/1366	4.67	4.19	4.18	4.22	4.67
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	5	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	303/1364	4.83	4.42	4.33	4.37	4.83
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	5	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1361	5.00	4.49	4.39	4.39	5.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 347 01
Title:	Writing For Media Arts
Instructor:	Pawloski,Robert

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	17
Questionnaires:	11

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	5	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	186/1019	4.67	4.16	4.09	4.04	4.67

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	0	0.00-0.99 0	A 6	Required for Majors 10	Graduate 0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 2		
56-83	0	2.00-2.99 1	C 0	General 0	Under-grad 11
84-150	4	3.00-3.49 2	D 0		Non-major 1
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 2	F 0	Electives 0	
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 0	
			? 2		

**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 347 02
Title:	Writing For Media Arts
Instructor:	Pawloski,Robert

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	15
Questionnaires:	1

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1644	5.00	4.38	4.32	4.31	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1644	5.00	4.24	4.28	4.25	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1129/1596	4.45	4.26	4.24	4.25	4.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1469/1535	3.91	3.93	4.15	4.14	3.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1510	5.00	3.74	4.13	4.16	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1134/1620	4.45	3.99	4.20	4.18	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1642	4.77	4.35	4.68	4.65	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1596	4.91	4.10	4.12	4.09	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1296/1534	4.45	4.39	4.48	4.44	4.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.82	4.76	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1531	4.95	4.34	4.33	4.30	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1530	5.00	4.34	4.35	4.32	5.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 347 02
Title:	Writing For Media Arts
Instructor:	Pawloski,Robert

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	15
Questionnaires:	1

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Lecture														
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1409	4.91	4.43	4.08	4.09	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	C	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 360 01
Title:	Mixed Media Book Arts
Instructor:	Chan,Irene

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	12
Questionnaires:	8

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	208/1644	4.88	4.38	4.32	4.31	4.88
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	0	7	4.75	302/1644	4.75	4.24	4.28	4.25	4.75
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	5	0	0	2	0	1	3.67	1244/1419	3.67	4.47	4.35	4.31	3.67
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	1	1	1	4	4.14	1030/1596	4.14	4.26	4.24	4.25	4.14
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	1	1	1	2	2	3.43	1366/1535	3.43	3.93	4.15	4.14	3.43
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	3	1	2	1	1	2.50	1488/1510	2.50	3.74	4.13	4.16	2.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	0	3	4	4.25	894/1620	4.25	3.99	4.20	4.18	4.25
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	1	5	2	4.13	1481/1642	4.13	4.35	4.68	4.65	4.13
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	1	0	5	2	4.00	971/1596	4.00	4.10	4.12	4.09	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	1	2	5	4.50	891/1534	4.50	4.39	4.48	4.44	4.50
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.82	4.76	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	3	5	4.63	536/1531	4.63	4.34	4.33	4.30	4.63
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	0	7	4.75	437/1530	4.75	4.34	4.35	4.32	4.75
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	6	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	825/1409	4.00	4.43	4.08	4.09	4.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	2	1	3	4.17	787/1366	4.17	4.19	4.18	4.22	4.17
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	500/1364	4.67	4.42	4.33	4.37	4.67
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	1	0	2	3	4.17	976/1361	4.17	4.49	4.39	4.39	4.17

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 360 01
Title:	Mixed Media Book Arts
Instructor:	Chan,Irene

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	12
Questionnaires:	8

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	2	3	1	0	0	0	2	3.67	784/1019	3.67	4.16	4.09	4.04	3.67

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	1	0.00-0.99 0	A 4	Required for Majors 7	Graduate 0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 3		Major 6
56-83	1	2.00-2.99 1	C 1	General 0	Under-grad 8
84-150	0	3.00-3.49 2	D 0		Non-major 2
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 1	F 0	Electives 1	
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 0	
			? 0		

**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 361 01
Title:	Digital Darkroom
Instructor:	Thompson,Calla

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	16
Questionnaires:	11

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	3	8	4.73	400/1644	4.73	4.38	4.32	4.31	4.73
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	10	4.91	143/1644	4.91	4.24	4.28	4.25	4.91
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	10	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1419	****	4.47	4.35	4.31	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	3	8	4.73	283/1596	4.73	4.26	4.24	4.25	4.73
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	4	3	4	4.00	970/1535	4.00	3.93	4.15	4.14	4.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	4	4	3	3.91	1032/1510	3.91	3.74	4.13	4.16	3.91
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	2	3	6	4.36	739/1620	4.36	3.99	4.20	4.18	4.36
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	7	4	4.36	1319/1642	4.36	4.35	4.68	4.65	4.36
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	6	4	4.40	515/1596	4.40	4.10	4.12	4.09	4.40
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	286/1534	4.89	4.39	4.48	4.44	4.89
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.82	4.76	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	184/1531	4.89	4.34	4.33	4.30	4.89
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	1	3	5	4.44	831/1530	4.44	4.34	4.35	4.32	4.44
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1409	5.00	4.43	4.08	4.09	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	1	0	2	4	4.29	699/1366	4.29	4.19	4.18	4.22	4.29
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1364	5.00	4.42	4.33	4.37	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1361	5.00	4.49	4.39	4.39	5.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 361 01
Title:	Digital Darkroom
Instructor:	Thompson,Calla

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	16
Questionnaires:	11

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	4	3	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	262/1019	4.50	4.16	4.09	4.04	4.50

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	8	Required for Majors	7	Graduate	0	Major	8
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	3						
56-83	3	2.00-2.99	0	C	0	General	1	Under-grad	11	Non-major	3
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	3	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	3	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 362 01
Title:	Black & White Photogrphy
Instructor:	Silberg,Steven

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	11
Questionnaires:	9

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	330/1644	4.78	4.38	4.32	4.31	4.78
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	3	6	4.67	428/1644	4.67	4.24	4.28	4.25	4.67
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	6	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	462/1419	4.67	4.47	4.35	4.31	4.67
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	0	8	4.78	235/1596	4.78	4.26	4.24	4.25	4.78
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	5	4	4.44	523/1535	4.44	3.93	4.15	4.14	4.44
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	7	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/1510	****	3.74	4.13	4.16	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	4	5	4.56	462/1620	4.56	3.99	4.20	4.18	4.56
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	7	2	4.22	1419/1642	4.22	4.35	4.68	4.65	4.22
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	0	3	4	4.57	322/1596	4.57	4.10	4.12	4.09	4.57
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	3	6	4.67	675/1534	4.67	4.39	4.48	4.44	4.67
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.82	4.76	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	318/1531	4.78	4.34	4.33	4.30	4.78
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	232/1530	4.89	4.34	4.35	4.32	4.89
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	2	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	124/1409	4.86	4.43	4.08	4.09	4.86
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	492/1366	4.50	4.19	4.18	4.22	4.50
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	5	0	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	649/1364	4.50	4.42	4.33	4.37	4.50
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	380/1361	4.80	4.49	4.39	4.39	4.80
4. Were special techniques successful	5	1	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	186/1019	4.67	4.16	4.09	4.04	4.67

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 362 01
Title:	Black & White Photography
Instructor:	Silberg, Steven

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	11
Questionnaires:	9

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/48	****	****	4.16	4.95	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/45	****	****	4.19	4.95	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	****	****	4.57	4.93	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/27	****	****	4.25	4.90	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/25	****	****	4.35	4.90	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/51	****	5.00	4.03	4.75	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	****	****	4.18	4.80	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/36	****	5.00	4.33	4.83	****
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/19	****	****	4.17	4.20	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/14	****	****	4.17	4.60	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA		Expected Grades		Reasons		Type		Majors		
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	6	Required for Majors	8	Graduate	0	Major	9
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	B	1						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	0	C	1	General	0	Under-grad	9	Non-major	0
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 364 01
Title:	Studio Photography
Instructor:	Peregoy,Christo

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	13
Questionnaires:	10

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	9	4.90	175/1644	4.90	4.38	4.32	4.31	4.90
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	4	6	4.60	508/1644	4.60	4.24	4.28	4.25	4.60
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	2	0	0	1	0	6	4.71	394/1419	4.71	4.47	4.35	4.31	4.71
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	2	1	6	4.44	657/1596	4.44	4.26	4.24	4.25	4.44
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	7	2	4.10	888/1535	4.10	3.93	4.15	4.14	4.10
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	7	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1510	****	3.74	4.13	4.16	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	1	2	6	4.56	462/1620	4.56	3.99	4.20	4.18	4.56
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1642	5.00	4.35	4.68	4.65	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	4	5	4.56	339/1596	4.56	4.10	4.12	4.09	4.56
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	4	6	4.60	772/1534	4.60	4.39	4.48	4.44	4.60
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	1	9	4.90	609/1539	4.90	4.82	4.76	4.74	4.90
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	5	5	4.50	724/1531	4.50	4.34	4.33	4.30	4.50
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	2	8	4.80	356/1530	4.80	4.34	4.35	4.32	4.80
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	1	9	4.90	97/1409	4.90	4.43	4.08	4.09	4.90
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	4	5	4.40	604/1366	4.40	4.19	4.18	4.22	4.40
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	1	2	7	4.60	570/1364	4.60	4.42	4.33	4.37	4.60
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	1	3	6	4.50	703/1361	4.50	4.49	4.39	4.39	4.50

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 364 01
Title:	Studio Photography
Instructor:	Peregoy,Christo

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	13
Questionnaires:	10

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	0	9	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/1019	****	4.16	4.09	4.04	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	0	0.00-0.99 0	A 9	Graduate 0	Major 9
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 1		
56-83	0	2.00-2.99 0	C 0	General 0	Under-grad 10
84-150	4	3.00-3.49 1	D 0		Non-major 1
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 5	F 0	Electives 1	
			P 0		
			I 0		
			? 0		

**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 367 01
Title:	Alternative Processes
Instructor:	Peregoy,Christo

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	10
Questionnaires:	10

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	1	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1644	5.00	4.38	4.32	4.31	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1644	5.00	4.24	4.28	4.25	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	7	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1419	****	4.47	4.35	4.31	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	1	2	6	4.56	502/1596	4.56	4.26	4.24	4.25	4.56
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	3	0	0	1	2	3	4.33	658/1535	4.33	3.93	4.15	4.14	4.33
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	2	0	0	2	1	4	4.29	691/1510	4.29	3.74	4.13	4.16	4.29
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	0	3	6	4.67	331/1620	4.67	3.99	4.20	4.18	4.67
8. How many times was class cancelled	3	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1642	5.00	4.35	4.68	4.65	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	1	0	0	1	1	5	4.57	322/1596	4.57	4.10	4.12	4.09	4.57
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	3	6	4.67	675/1534	4.67	4.39	4.48	4.44	4.67
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.82	4.76	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	318/1531	4.78	4.34	4.33	4.30	4.78
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	404/1530	4.78	4.34	4.35	4.32	4.78
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	1	0	8	4.78	169/1409	4.78	4.43	4.08	4.09	4.78
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	191/1366	4.86	4.19	4.18	4.22	4.86
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	278/1364	4.86	4.42	4.33	4.37	4.86
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	313/1361	4.86	4.49	4.39	4.39	4.86

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 367 01
Title:	Alternative Processes
Instructor:	Peregoy,Christo

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	10
Questionnaires:	10

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	3	2	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1019	5.00	4.16	4.09	4.04	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	8	Required for Majors	8	Graduate	0	Major	9
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	B	1						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	2	C	0	General	0	Under-grad	10	Non-major	1
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 369 01
Title:	Topics In Photography
Instructor:	Cazabon,Lynn

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	12
Questionnaires:	9

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	3	3	3	4.00	1218/1644	4.50	4.38	4.32	4.31	4.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	4	2	3	3.89	1316/1644	4.44	4.24	4.28	4.25	3.89
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	6	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	862/1419	4.58	4.47	4.35	4.31	4.33
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	1	0	1	2	3	3.86	1242/1596	4.35	4.26	4.24	4.25	3.86
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	2	1	1	2	2	1	3.14	1450/1535	3.99	3.93	4.15	4.14	3.14
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	3	3	0	0	2	1	2.67	1477/1510	3.75	3.74	4.13	4.16	2.67
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	2	1	6	4.44	621/1620	4.60	3.99	4.20	4.18	4.44
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	1	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	694/1642	4.52	4.35	4.68	4.65	4.88
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	0	0	1	3	1	4.00	971/1596	4.41	4.10	4.12	4.09	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	1	3	5	4.44	974/1534	4.68	4.39	4.48	4.44	4.44
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	1	1	7	4.67	1136/1539	4.79	4.82	4.76	4.74	4.67
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	2	3	4	4.22	1018/1531	4.57	4.34	4.33	4.30	4.22
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	0	4	4	4.22	1028/1530	4.57	4.34	4.35	4.32	4.22
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	1	4	4	4.33	551/1409	4.63	4.43	4.08	4.09	4.33
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	0	1	3	3	3.88	963/1366	4.38	4.19	4.18	4.22	3.88
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	1	0	0	2	5	4.25	877/1364	4.63	4.42	4.33	4.37	4.25
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	2	1	5	4.38	842/1361	4.69	4.49	4.39	4.39	4.38

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 369 01
Title:	Topics In Photography
Instructor:	Cazabon,Lynn

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	12
Questionnaires:	9

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	1	5	1	0	1	0	1	3.00	961/1019	3.86	4.16	4.09	4.04	3.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	0	0.00-0.99 0	A 3	Required for Majors 8	Graduate 0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 4		Major 9
56-83	1	2.00-2.99 1	C 1	General 0	Under-grad 9
84-150	1	3.00-3.49 1	D 0		Non-major 0
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 1	F 0	Electives 0	
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 0	
			? 1		

**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 369 02
Title:	Topics In Photography
Instructor:	Thompson,Calla

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	15
Questionnaires:	12

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	5.00	1/1644	4.50	4.38	4.32	4.31	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	5.00	1/1644	4.44	4.24	4.28	4.25	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	6	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	236/1419	4.58	4.47	4.35	4.31	4.83
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	10	4.83	178/1596	4.35	4.26	4.24	4.25	4.83
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	2	10	4.83	165/1535	3.99	3.93	4.15	4.14	4.83
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	2	10	4.83	131/1510	3.75	3.74	4.13	4.16	4.83
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	1	10	4.75	224/1620	4.60	3.99	4.20	4.18	4.75
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	1	8	3	4.17	1456/1642	4.52	4.35	4.68	4.65	4.17
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	2	9	4.82	139/1596	4.41	4.10	4.12	4.09	4.82
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	223/1534	4.68	4.39	4.48	4.44	4.92
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	548/1539	4.79	4.82	4.76	4.74	4.92
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	145/1531	4.57	4.34	4.33	4.30	4.92
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	1	10	4.91	202/1530	4.57	4.34	4.35	4.32	4.91
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	87/1409	4.63	4.43	4.08	4.09	4.92
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	163/1366	4.38	4.19	4.18	4.22	4.89
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1364	4.63	4.42	4.33	4.37	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1361	4.69	4.49	4.39	4.39	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	3	2	0	0	1	0	6	4.71	161/1019	3.86	4.16	4.09	4.04	4.71

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 369 02
Title:	Topics In Photography
Instructor:	Thompson,Calla

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	15
Questionnaires:	12

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/209	****	3.00	4.19	4.18	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/181	****	****	4.53	4.49	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/183	****	****	4.46	4.38	****
Seminar														
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/68	****	4.86	4.41	4.59	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/71	****	5.00	4.40	4.51	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/73	****	3.97	4.09	4.57	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	11	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/48	****	****	4.16	4.95	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/25	****	****	4.35	4.90	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/51	****	5.00	4.03	4.75	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 369 02
Title:	Topics In Photography
Instructor:	Thompson,Calla

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	15
Questionnaires:	12

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/14	****	****	4.17	4.60	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	1 A 7	Required for Majors	10 Graduate 0 Major 11
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0 B 4		
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1 C 0	General	0 Under-grad 12 Non-major 1
84-150	4	3.00-3.49	1 D 0		
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3 F 0	Electives	1 **** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant
			P 0		
			I 0	Other	0
			? 1		

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 375 01
Title:	Photo/Dig Proc In Print
Instructor:	Custen,Calvin R

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	11
Questionnaires:	6

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1644	5.00	4.38	4.32	4.31	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1644	5.00	4.24	4.28	4.25	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	4	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1419	5.00	4.47	4.35	4.31	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	0	5	4.67	356/1596	4.67	4.26	4.24	4.25	4.67
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	5	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1535	****	3.93	4.15	4.14	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	3	2	4.17	1003/1620	4.17	3.99	4.20	4.18	4.17
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	4	2	4.33	1344/1642	4.33	4.35	4.68	4.65	4.33
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1596	5.00	4.10	4.12	4.09	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1534	5.00	4.39	4.48	4.44	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.82	4.76	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1531	5.00	4.34	4.33	4.30	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1530	5.00	4.34	4.35	4.32	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	2	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	381/1409	4.50	4.43	4.08	4.09	4.50
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	237/1366	4.80	4.19	4.18	4.22	4.80
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	342/1364	4.80	4.42	4.33	4.37	4.80
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1361	5.00	4.49	4.39	4.39	5.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 375 01
Title:	Photo/Dig Proc In Print
Instructor:	Custen,Calvin R

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	11
Questionnaires:	6

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	1	3	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	961/1019	3.00	4.16	4.09	4.04	3.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	0	0.00-0.99 0	A 5	Required for Majors 4	Graduate 0 Major 6
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 0		
56-83	0	2.00-2.99 1	C 0	General 0	Under-grad 6 Non-major 0
84-150	3	3.00-3.49 1	D 0		
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 3	F 0	Electives 1	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 0	
			? 1		

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 380 01
Title:	Hist & Theory Of Games
Instructor:	McDonald,David

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	35
Questionnaires:	21

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	1	1	9	10	4.33	889/1644	4.33	4.38	4.32	4.31	4.33
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	3	3	7	8	3.95	1258/1644	3.95	4.24	4.28	4.25	3.95
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	4	6	11	4.33	862/1419	4.33	4.47	4.35	4.31	4.33
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	3	0	0	2	9	7	4.28	890/1596	4.28	4.26	4.24	4.25	4.28
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	3	0	7	7	4	3.43	1366/1535	3.43	3.93	4.15	4.14	3.43
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	2	8	8	2	3.38	1350/1510	3.38	3.74	4.13	4.16	3.38
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	2	3	5	11	4.19	976/1620	4.19	3.99	4.20	4.18	4.19
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	4	13	4	4.00	1528/1642	4.00	4.35	4.68	4.65	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	1	0	1	5	5	7	4.00	971/1596	4.00	4.10	4.12	4.09	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	1	1	7	10	4.37	1064/1534	4.37	4.39	4.48	4.44	4.37
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	1	18	4.95	365/1539	4.95	4.82	4.76	4.74	4.95
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	1	1	4	13	4.53	692/1531	4.53	4.34	4.33	4.30	4.53
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	2	17	4.89	217/1530	4.89	4.34	4.35	4.32	4.89
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	1	0	0	1	3	14	4.72	199/1409	4.72	4.43	4.08	4.09	4.72
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	14	0	0	0	3	2	2	3.86	978/1366	3.86	4.19	4.18	4.22	3.86
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	15	0	0	0	3	1	2	3.83	1112/1364	3.83	4.42	4.33	4.37	3.83
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	15	0	0	0	1	2	3	4.33	875/1361	4.33	4.49	4.39	4.39	4.33
4. Were special techniques successful	15	2	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	****/1019	****	4.16	4.09	4.04	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 380 01
Title:	Hist & Theory Of Games
Instructor:	McDonald,David

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	35
Questionnaires:	21

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	20	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/185	****	****	4.23	4.16	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	20	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/209	****	3.00	4.19	4.18	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	20	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/181	****	****	4.53	4.49	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	20	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/183	****	****	4.46	4.38	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	20	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/172	****	****	4.14	4.07	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	20	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/72	****	5.00	4.53	4.68	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	20	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/71	****	4.75	4.38	4.61	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	20	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/68	****	4.86	4.41	4.59	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	20	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/71	****	5.00	4.40	4.51	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	20	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/73	****	3.97	4.09	4.57	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	20	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/48	****	****	4.16	4.95	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	20	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/45	****	****	4.19	4.95	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	20	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	****	****	4.57	4.93	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	20	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/27	****	****	4.25	4.90	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	20	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/25	****	****	4.35	4.90	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	20	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/51	****	5.00	4.03	4.75	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	20	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/31	****	****	4.18	4.80	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	20	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/36	****	5.00	4.33	4.83	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 380 01
Title:	Hist & Theory Of Games
Instructor:	McDonald,David

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	35
Questionnaires:	21

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	20	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/19	****	****	4.17	4.20	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	20	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/14	****	****	4.17	4.60	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	A	9	Required for Majors	14	Graduate	0	Major	9
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	B	9						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	2	C	1	General	1	Under-grad	21	Non-major	12
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	3	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	5	F	0	Electives	5	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 382 01
Title:	Intro Interactive Media
Instructor:	Smith,Ryan

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	12
Questionnaires:	9

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	330/1644	4.75	4.38	4.32	4.31	4.78
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1644	4.93	4.24	4.28	4.25	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	198/1419	4.94	4.47	4.35	4.31	4.88
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	4	5	4.56	502/1596	4.78	4.26	4.24	4.25	4.56
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	7	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	****/1535	2.50	3.93	4.15	4.14	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	4	1	0	0	1	2	3.75	1137/1510	3.88	3.74	4.13	4.16	3.75
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	0	1	2	5	4.50	527/1620	4.54	3.99	4.20	4.18	4.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	6	3	4.33	1344/1642	4.31	4.35	4.68	4.65	4.33
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	1	4	4	4.33	603/1596	4.37	4.10	4.12	4.09	4.33
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1534	5.00	4.39	4.48	4.44	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1539	4.86	4.82	4.76	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	1	0	7	4.75	348/1531	4.80	4.34	4.33	4.30	4.75
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1530	4.93	4.34	4.35	4.32	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	113/1409	4.79	4.43	4.08	4.09	4.88
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	5	0	1	0	0	1	2	3.75	1047/1366	4.04	4.19	4.18	4.22	3.75
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	0	1	0	4	4.60	570/1364	4.72	4.42	4.33	4.37	4.60
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	5	0	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	703/1361	4.67	4.49	4.39	4.39	4.50

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 382 01
Title:	Intro Interactive Media
Instructor:	Smith,Ryan

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	12
Questionnaires:	9

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	4	2	1	0	0	0	2	3.67	784/1019	3.63	4.16	4.09	4.04	3.67

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	1	0.00-0.99 0	A 4	Required for Majors 3	Graduate 0
28-55	2	1.00-1.99 0	B 4		Major 8
56-83	1	2.00-2.99 0	C 0	General 1	Under-grad 9
84-150	0	3.00-3.49 2	D 0		Non-major 1
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 3	F 0	Electives 4	
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 1	
			? 1		

**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 382 02
Title:	Intro Interactive Media
Instructor:	Smith,Ryan

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	8
Questionnaires:	7

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	0	6	4.71	414/1644	4.75	4.38	4.32	4.31	4.71
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	186/1644	4.93	4.24	4.28	4.25	4.86
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1419	4.94	4.47	4.35	4.31	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1596	4.78	4.26	4.24	4.25	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	5	1	0	0	1	0	2.50	1518/1535	2.50	3.93	4.15	4.14	2.50
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	0	2	1	2	4.00	921/1510	3.88	3.74	4.13	4.16	4.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	4.57	436/1620	4.54	3.99	4.20	4.18	4.57
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	5	2	4.29	1381/1642	4.31	4.35	4.68	4.65	4.29
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	1	1	3	4.40	515/1596	4.37	4.10	4.12	4.09	4.40
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1534	5.00	4.39	4.48	4.44	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	1066/1539	4.86	4.82	4.76	4.74	4.71
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	218/1531	4.80	4.34	4.33	4.30	4.86
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	279/1530	4.93	4.34	4.35	4.32	4.86
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	205/1409	4.79	4.43	4.08	4.09	4.71
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	2	3	4.33	660/1366	4.04	4.19	4.18	4.22	4.33
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	303/1364	4.72	4.42	4.33	4.37	4.83
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	340/1361	4.67	4.49	4.39	4.39	4.83
4. Were special techniques successful	1	1	0	1	1	2	1	3.60	806/1019	3.63	4.16	4.09	4.04	3.60

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 382 02
Title:	Intro Interactive Media
Instructor:	Smith,Ryan

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	8
Questionnaires:	7

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	6	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/209	****	3.00	4.19	4.18	****
Seminar														
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/71	****	4.75	4.38	4.61	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/68	****	4.86	4.41	4.59	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/71	****	5.00	4.40	4.51	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/73	****	3.97	4.09	4.57	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/48	****	****	4.16	4.95	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/45	****	****	4.19	4.95	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	3	Required for Majors	5	Graduate	0	Major	7
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	2						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	C	0	General	0	Under-grad	7	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	2						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 383 01
Title:	Sound Design
Instructor:	Valiente,Christ

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	16
Questionnaires:	15

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	3	12	4.80	288/1644	4.80	4.38	4.32	4.31	4.80
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	5	9	4.53	595/1644	4.53	4.24	4.28	4.25	4.53
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	3	4	7	4.29	917/1419	4.29	4.47	4.35	4.31	4.29
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	1	0	0	5	8	4.36	788/1596	4.36	4.26	4.24	4.25	4.36
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	3	0	3	2	2	5	3.75	1176/1535	3.75	3.93	4.15	4.14	3.75
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	9	1	0	0	0	5	4.33	629/1510	4.33	3.74	4.13	4.16	4.33
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	1	1	0	4	8	4.21	953/1620	4.21	3.99	4.20	4.18	4.21
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	8	7	4.47	1236/1642	4.47	4.35	4.68	4.65	4.47
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	3	6	4	4.08	924/1596	4.08	4.10	4.12	4.09	4.08
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	1	6	7	4.43	1002/1534	4.43	4.39	4.48	4.44	4.43
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	1	13	4.93	487/1539	4.93	4.82	4.76	4.74	4.93
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	0	0	3	9	4.75	348/1531	4.75	4.34	4.33	4.30	4.75
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	4	10	4.71	502/1530	4.71	4.34	4.35	4.32	4.71
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	1	0	1	1	11	4.50	381/1409	4.50	4.43	4.08	4.09	4.50
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	265/1366	4.78	4.19	4.18	4.22	4.78
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	6	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	240/1364	4.89	4.42	4.33	4.37	4.89
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	6	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	273/1361	4.89	4.49	4.39	4.39	4.89
4. Were special techniques successful	6	3	1	0	0	1	4	4.17	492/1019	4.17	4.16	4.09	4.04	4.17

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 383 01
Title:	Sound Design
Instructor:	Valiente,Christ

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	16
Questionnaires:	15

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	14	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/209	****	3.00	4.19	4.18	****
Seminar														
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	13	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/71	****	5.00	4.40	4.51	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	13	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/73	****	3.97	4.09	4.57	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	13	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/48	****	****	4.16	4.95	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	13	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/45	****	****	4.19	4.95	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	13	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/30	****	****	4.57	4.93	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	13	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/27	****	****	4.25	4.90	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	13	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/51	****	5.00	4.03	4.75	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 383 01
Title:	Sound Design
Instructor:	Valiente,Christ

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	16
Questionnaires:	15

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	13	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/36	****	5.00	4.33	4.83	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	10	Required for Majors	12	Graduate	0	Major	10
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	4						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	2	C	1	General	1	Under-grad	15	Non-major	5
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	4	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 384 01
Title:	Intro 3D Animation
Instructor:	Smallwood, Eric

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	12
Questionnaires:	9

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	1	0	1	7	4.56	626/1644	4.56	4.38	4.32	4.31	4.56
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	1	2	5	4.22	1018/1644	4.22	4.24	4.28	4.25	4.22
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	6	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	462/1419	4.67	4.47	4.35	4.31	4.67
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	1	0	0	2	6	4.33	816/1596	4.33	4.26	4.24	4.25	4.33
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	6	0	0	2	0	1	3.67	1235/1535	3.67	3.93	4.15	4.14	3.67
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	6	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/1510	****	3.74	4.13	4.16	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	1	1	2	2	3	3.56	1409/1620	3.56	3.99	4.20	4.18	3.56
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	9	0	4.00	1528/1642	4.00	4.35	4.68	4.65	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	1	1	2	4	4.13	877/1596	4.13	4.10	4.12	4.09	4.13
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	1	1	7	4.67	675/1534	4.67	4.39	4.48	4.44	4.67
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.82	4.76	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	1	0	2	0	6	4.11	1110/1531	4.11	4.34	4.33	4.30	4.11
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	0	1	1	6	4.22	1028/1530	4.22	4.34	4.35	4.32	4.22
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	1	1	7	4.67	245/1409	4.67	4.43	4.08	4.09	4.67
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	1	0	3	0	3	3.57	1132/1366	3.57	4.19	4.18	4.22	3.57
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	1	1	1	4	4.14	941/1364	4.14	4.42	4.33	4.37	4.14
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	1	2	3	4.33	875/1361	4.33	4.49	4.39	4.39	4.33

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 384 01
Title:	Intro 3D Animation
Instructor:	Smallwood, Eric

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	12
Questionnaires:	9

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	3	3	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1019	5.00	4.16	4.09	4.04	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	2	Required for Majors	7	Graduate	0	Major	8
28-55	3	1.00-1.99	0	B	7						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	C	0	General	0	Under-grad	9	Non-major	1
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 387 01
Title:	Expression Time & Motion
Instructor:	Valiente,Christ

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	14
Questionnaires:	13

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	2	1	2	4	4	3.54	1510/1644	3.54	4.38	4.32	4.31	3.54
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	1	3	1	2	6	3.69	1415/1644	3.69	4.24	4.28	4.25	3.69
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	3	0	3	2	1	4	3.60	1269/1419	3.60	4.47	4.35	4.31	3.60
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	1	1	1	5	5	3.92	1197/1596	3.92	4.26	4.24	4.25	3.92
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	2	1	3	5	2	3.31	1405/1535	3.31	3.93	4.15	4.14	3.31
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	9	1	1	0	1	1	3.00	1441/1510	3.00	3.74	4.13	4.16	3.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	2	1	0	4	5	3.75	1328/1620	3.75	3.99	4.20	4.18	3.75
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	10	3	4.23	1413/1642	4.23	4.35	4.68	4.65	4.23
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	3	1	4	4	3.75	1240/1596	3.75	4.10	4.12	4.09	3.75
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	4	1	0	7	3.83	1377/1534	3.83	4.39	4.48	4.44	3.83
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	1	2	1	8	4.33	1407/1539	4.33	4.82	4.76	4.74	4.33
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	1	4	1	6	4.00	1163/1531	4.00	4.34	4.33	4.30	4.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	3	2	0	7	3.92	1227/1530	3.92	4.34	4.35	4.32	3.92
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	1	2	2	7	4.25	627/1409	4.25	4.43	4.08	4.09	4.25
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	1	1	2	3	4.00	862/1366	4.00	4.19	4.18	4.22	4.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	6	0	1	0	0	1	5	4.29	857/1364	4.29	4.42	4.33	4.37	4.29
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	6	0	0	1	1	1	4	4.14	985/1361	4.14	4.49	4.39	4.39	4.14

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 387 01
Title:	Expression Time & Motion
Instructor:	Valiente,Christ

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	14
Questionnaires:	13

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	6	2	0	0	1	0	4	4.60	216/1019	4.60	4.16	4.09	4.04	4.60

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	1	0.00-0.99 0	A 7	Required for Majors 12	Graduate 0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 4		Major 10
56-83	1	2.00-2.99 1	C 1	General 0	Under-grad 13
84-150	2	3.00-3.49 3	D 0		Non-major 3
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 5	F 0	Electives 0	
			P 0		
			I 0		
			? 1		

**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 424 01
Title:	Topics in Contemporary A
Instructor:	Smalls,James

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	13
Questionnaires:	12

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	2	9	4.67	482/1644	4.67	4.38	4.32	4.47	4.67
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	0	3	8	4.50	633/1644	4.50	4.24	4.28	4.35	4.50
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	10	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1419	****	4.47	4.35	4.48	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	3	1	7	4.17	1008/1596	4.17	4.26	4.24	4.34	4.17
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	0	2	9	4.58	377/1535	4.58	3.93	4.15	4.26	4.58
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	1	3	7	4.33	629/1510	4.33	3.74	4.13	4.29	4.33
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	3	2	7	4.33	779/1620	4.33	3.99	4.20	4.25	4.33
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	8	4	4.33	1344/1642	4.33	4.35	4.68	4.67	4.33
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	3	1	5	4.22	742/1596	4.22	4.10	4.12	4.20	4.22
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	1	0	0	8	4.67	675/1534	4.67	4.39	4.48	4.54	4.67
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	666/1539	4.89	4.82	4.76	4.81	4.89
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	0	2	0	7	4.56	644/1531	4.56	4.34	4.33	4.38	4.56
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	2	0	7	4.56	699/1530	4.56	4.34	4.35	4.41	4.56
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	0	1	0	0	3	5	4.22	655/1409	4.22	4.43	4.08	4.15	4.22
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	2	1	6	4.44	559/1366	4.44	4.19	4.18	4.37	4.44
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	240/1364	4.89	4.42	4.33	4.52	4.89
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	273/1361	4.89	4.49	4.39	4.59	4.89
4. Were special techniques successful	3	5	1	0	1	0	2	3.50	842/1019	3.50	4.16	4.09	4.32	3.50

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 424 01
Title:	Topics in Contemporary A
Instructor:	Smalls,James

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	13
Questionnaires:	12

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	9	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/72	5.00	5.00	4.53	4.71	5.00
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	9	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	30/71	4.67	4.75	4.38	4.66	4.67
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/68	5.00	4.86	4.41	4.74	5.00
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/71	5.00	5.00	4.40	4.50	5.00
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	9	0	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	35/73	4.33	3.97	4.09	4.32	4.33

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	4	Required for Majors	10	Graduate	0	Major	11
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	5						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	3	C	1	General	1	Under-grad	12	Non-major	1
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	1	D	1						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 425 01
Title:	Writing - Artists / Cura
Instructor:	Spitz, Ellen H

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	17
Questionnaires:	13

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	2	5	6	4.31	922/1644	4.31	4.38	4.32	4.47	4.31
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	1	1	3	2	6	3.85	1338/1644	3.85	4.24	4.28	4.35	3.85
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	9	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1419	5.00	4.47	4.35	4.48	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	1	0	0	6	6	4.23	931/1596	4.23	4.26	4.24	4.34	4.23
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	2	10	4.69	270/1535	4.69	3.93	4.15	4.26	4.69
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	1	1	4	6	4.25	727/1510	4.25	3.74	4.13	4.29	4.25
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	2	1	4	1	3	2	3.09	1529/1620	3.09	3.99	4.20	4.25	3.09
8. How many times was class cancelled	3	0	0	0	0	1	9	4.90	632/1642	4.90	4.35	4.68	4.67	4.90
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	1	8	4	4.23	730/1596	4.23	4.10	4.12	4.20	4.23
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	1	2	9	4.67	675/1534	4.67	4.39	4.48	4.54	4.67
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	12	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.82	4.76	4.81	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	1	4	7	4.50	724/1531	4.50	4.34	4.33	4.38	4.50
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	3	8	4.58	666/1530	4.58	4.34	4.35	4.41	4.58
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	1	0	0	3	2	6	4.27	607/1409	4.27	4.43	4.08	4.15	4.27
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	1	0	8	4.78	265/1366	4.78	4.19	4.18	4.37	4.78
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	240/1364	4.89	4.42	4.33	4.52	4.89
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	0	1	3	5	4.44	772/1361	4.44	4.49	4.39	4.59	4.44
4. Were special techniques successful	4	2	0	2	0	2	3	3.86	693/1019	3.86	4.16	4.09	4.32	3.86

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 425 01
Title:	Writing - Artists / Cura
Instructor:	Spitz, Ellen H

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	17
Questionnaires:	13

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	11	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/72	****	5.00	4.53	4.71	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	11	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	****/71	****	5.00	4.40	4.50	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	11	0	1	0	1	0	0	2.00	****/73	****	3.97	4.09	4.32	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	9	Required for Majors	11	Graduate	1	Major	5
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	3						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	C	0	General	0	Under-grad	12	Non-major	8
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	1	3.50-4.00	6	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 427 01
Title:	Museum Practice
Instructor:	Abbott,Sandra L

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	12
Questionnaires:	11

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	2	8	4.64	523/1644	4.64	4.38	4.32	4.47	4.64
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	2	0	4	5	4.09	1156/1644	4.09	4.24	4.28	4.35	4.09
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	9	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1419	****	4.47	4.35	4.48	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	1	0	0	4	5	4.20	963/1596	4.20	4.26	4.24	4.34	4.20
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	2	1	7	4.27	719/1535	4.27	3.93	4.15	4.26	4.27
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	2	4	5	4.27	703/1510	4.27	3.74	4.13	4.29	4.27
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	1	1	2	1	6	3.91	1241/1620	3.91	3.99	4.20	4.25	3.91
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	2	9	4.82	819/1642	4.82	4.35	4.68	4.67	4.82
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	1	4	1	3	3.67	1302/1596	3.67	4.10	4.12	4.20	3.67
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	1	1	3	4	4.11	1254/1534	4.11	4.39	4.48	4.54	4.11
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	951/1539	4.78	4.82	4.76	4.81	4.78
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	1	1	2	5	4.22	1018/1531	4.22	4.34	4.33	4.38	4.22
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	2	1	6	4.44	831/1530	4.44	4.34	4.35	4.41	4.44
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	1	0	1	2	1	4	4.00	825/1409	4.00	4.43	4.08	4.15	4.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	237/1366	4.80	4.19	4.18	4.37	4.80
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	6	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	342/1364	4.80	4.42	4.33	4.52	4.80
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	6	0	0	0	1	0	4	4.60	619/1361	4.60	4.49	4.39	4.59	4.60
4. Were special techniques successful	6	2	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1019	5.00	4.16	4.09	4.32	5.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 427 01
Title:	Museum Practice
Instructor:	Abbott,Sandra L

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	12
Questionnaires:	11

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	10	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/72	****	5.00	4.53	4.71	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	10	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/71	****	4.75	4.38	4.66	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	10	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/68	****	4.86	4.41	4.74	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	10	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/73	****	3.97	4.09	4.32	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	5	Required for Majors	4	Graduate	1	Major	6
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	5						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	C	1	General	1	Under-grad	10	Non-major	5
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	1	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	5	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	0						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 430 01
Title:	Typography III
Instructor:	Abraham,Guenet

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	13
Questionnaires:	12

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	2	9	4.67	482/1644	4.33	4.38	4.32	4.47	4.67
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	1	0	2	2	7	4.17	1082/1644	3.93	4.24	4.28	4.35	4.17
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	8	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1419	4.88	4.47	4.35	4.48	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	1	0	1	1	9	4.42	702/1596	4.29	4.26	4.24	4.34	4.42
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	5	1	0	1	1	2	3.60	1270/1535	3.80	3.93	4.15	4.26	3.60
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	1	0	0	3	0	6	4.33	629/1510	4.09	3.74	4.13	4.29	4.33
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	0	2	3	3	2	3.50	1429/1620	3.58	3.99	4.20	4.25	3.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	2	0	2	4	2	3.40	1630/1642	3.43	4.35	4.68	4.67	3.40
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	3	3	5	4.18	795/1596	4.09	4.10	4.12	4.20	4.18
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	3	0	6	4.33	1090/1534	4.17	4.39	4.48	4.54	4.33
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1539	4.85	4.82	4.76	4.81	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	1	1	3	4	4.11	1110/1531	4.06	4.34	4.33	4.38	4.11
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	2	1	6	4.44	831/1530	4.32	4.34	4.35	4.41	4.44
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	5	2	0	0	2	1	2	4.00	825/1409	4.11	4.43	4.08	4.15	4.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	2	0	5	4.43	581/1366	4.43	4.19	4.18	4.37	4.43
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	5	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	442/1364	4.50	4.42	4.33	4.52	4.71
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	5	0	0	0	0	3	4	4.57	644/1361	4.43	4.49	4.39	4.59	4.57

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 430 01
Title:	Typography III
Instructor:	Abraham,Guenet

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	13
Questionnaires:	12

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	5	5	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1019	3.40	4.16	4.09	4.32	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	4	Required for Majors	11	Graduate	0	Major	10
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	B	5						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	C	0	General	0	Under-grad	12	Non-major	2
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	1	D	1						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	2						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 430 02
Title:	Typography III
Instructor:	Cordova Chacon,

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	14
Questionnaires:	13

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	1	3	4	5	4.00	1218/1644	4.33	4.38	4.32	4.47	4.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	4	1	3	5	3.69	1415/1644	3.93	4.24	4.28	4.35	3.69
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	9	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	337/1419	4.88	4.47	4.35	4.48	4.75
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	1	1	0	4	7	4.15	1019/1596	4.29	4.26	4.24	4.34	4.15
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	4	0	1	2	2	4	4.00	970/1535	3.80	3.93	4.15	4.26	4.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	2	3	3	5	3.85	1080/1510	4.09	3.74	4.13	4.29	3.85
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	1	1	4	1	5	3.67	1366/1620	3.58	3.99	4.20	4.25	3.67
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	4	0	1	2	6	3.46	1628/1642	3.43	4.35	4.68	4.67	3.46
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	1	0	1	2	4	4	4.00	971/1596	4.09	4.10	4.12	4.20	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	1	0	2	2	5	4.00	1296/1534	4.17	4.39	4.48	4.54	4.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	1	1	8	4.70	1086/1539	4.85	4.82	4.76	4.81	4.70
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	2	1	2	5	4.00	1163/1531	4.06	4.34	4.33	4.38	4.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	3	2	5	4.20	1044/1530	4.32	4.34	4.35	4.41	4.20
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	1	1	0	0	3	5	4.22	655/1409	4.11	4.43	4.08	4.15	4.22
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	1	2	4	4.43	581/1366	4.43	4.19	4.18	4.37	4.43
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	6	0	0	1	1	0	5	4.29	857/1364	4.50	4.42	4.33	4.52	4.29
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	6	0	0	1	0	2	4	4.29	911/1361	4.43	4.49	4.39	4.59	4.29

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 430 02
Title:	Typography III
Instructor:	Cordova Chacon,

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	14
Questionnaires:	13

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	6	2	2	0	0	0	3	3.40	888/1019	3.40	4.16	4.09	4.32	3.40

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	11	Required for Majors	13	Graduate	0	Major	13
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	2						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	C	0	General	0	Under-grad	13	Non-major	0
84-150	8	3.00-3.49	4	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	6	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 431 01
Title:	Graphic Design III: Hum
Instructor:	Stuckey,Wesley

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	11
Questionnaires:	11

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	1	0	2	8	4.55	638/1644	4.77	4.38	4.32	4.47	4.55
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	4	5	4.27	968/1644	4.24	4.24	4.28	4.35	4.27
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	7	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1419	5.00	4.47	4.35	4.48	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	1	0	1	3	4	4.00	1129/1596	4.10	4.26	4.24	4.34	4.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	1	0	2	3	4	3.90	1241/1620	3.85	3.99	4.20	4.25	3.90
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	9	2	4.18	1444/1642	4.19	4.35	4.68	4.67	4.18
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	1	1	0	6	2	3.70	1278/1596	3.95	4.10	4.12	4.20	3.70
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	1	1	1	5	4.25	1155/1534	4.46	4.39	4.48	4.54	4.25
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	1	0	7	4.75	990/1539	4.88	4.82	4.76	4.81	4.75
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	1	0	1	1	5	4.13	1102/1531	4.23	4.34	4.33	4.38	4.13
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	1	1	0	6	4.38	906/1530	4.02	4.34	4.35	4.41	4.38
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	1	1	0	0	2	4	4.14	729/1409	4.57	4.43	4.08	4.15	4.14
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	1	2	3	4.33	660/1366	4.33	4.19	4.18	4.37	4.33
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	5	0	1	0	1	1	3	3.83	1112/1364	3.83	4.42	4.33	4.52	3.83
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	5	0	0	1	1	1	3	4.00	1034/1361	4.00	4.49	4.39	4.59	4.00
4. Were special techniques successful	6	2	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	186/1019	4.67	4.16	4.09	4.32	4.67
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	10	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/209	****	3.00	4.19	4.27	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 431 01
Title:	Graphic Design III: Hum
Instructor:	Stuckey,Wesley

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	11
Questionnaires:	11

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	10	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/48	****	****	4.16	4.39	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	10	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/45	****	****	4.19	4.23	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	10	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/51	****	5.00	4.03	3.67	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	7	Required for Majors	10	Graduate	0	Major	10
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	2						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	C	0	General	0	Under-grad	11	Non-major	1
84-150	4	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	2						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 431 02
Title:	Graphic Design III: Hum
Instructor:	Stuckey,Wesley

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	17
Questionnaires:	5

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1644	4.77	4.38	4.32	4.47	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	4	1	4.20	1038/1644	4.24	4.24	4.28	4.35	4.20
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	4	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/1419	5.00	4.47	4.35	4.48	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	2	2	4.20	963/1596	4.10	4.26	4.24	4.34	4.20
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	4	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/1535	****	3.93	4.15	4.26	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	4	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/1510	****	3.74	4.13	4.29	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	2	2	1	3.80	1306/1620	3.85	3.99	4.20	4.25	3.80
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	4	1	4.20	1432/1642	4.19	4.35	4.68	4.67	4.20
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	4	1	4.20	768/1596	3.95	4.10	4.12	4.20	4.20
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	675/1534	4.46	4.39	4.48	4.54	4.67
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1539	4.88	4.82	4.76	4.81	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	916/1531	4.23	4.34	4.33	4.38	4.33
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	1	0	1	1	3.67	1326/1530	4.02	4.34	4.35	4.41	3.67

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 431 02
Title:	Graphic Design III: Hum
Instructor:	Stuckey,Wesley

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	17
Questionnaires:	5

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Lecture														
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1409	4.57	4.43	4.08	4.15	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	4	Required for Majors	5	Graduate	0	Major	4
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	C	0	General	0	Under-grad	5	Non-major	1
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 434 01
Title:	Advanced Interface Desig
Instructor:	Cordova Chacon,

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	19
Questionnaires:	13

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	3	2	4	1	3	2.92	1622/1644	2.92	4.38	4.32	4.47	2.92
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	3	4	2	3	1	2.62	1622/1644	2.62	4.24	4.28	4.35	2.62
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	11	1	0	0	1	0	2.50	****/1419	****	4.47	4.35	4.48	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	2	2	2	4	1	1	2.70	1569/1596	2.70	4.26	4.24	4.34	2.70
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	12	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/1535	****	3.93	4.15	4.26	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	11	1	0	1	0	0	2.00	****/1510	****	3.74	4.13	4.29	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	2	3	3	4	1	0	2.27	1602/1620	2.27	3.99	4.20	4.25	2.27
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	6	3	1	2	1	2.15	1642/1642	2.15	4.35	4.68	4.67	2.15
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	1	2	1	5	4	0	2.92	1547/1596	2.92	4.10	4.12	4.20	2.92
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	2	1	4	3	1	3.00	1498/1534	3.00	4.39	4.48	4.54	3.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	2	0	1	3	5	3.82	1508/1539	3.82	4.82	4.76	4.81	3.82
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	4	0	2	3	2	2.91	1487/1531	2.91	4.34	4.33	4.38	2.91
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	4	2	3	1	1	2.36	1514/1530	2.36	4.34	4.35	4.41	2.36
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	1	2	2	2	2	2	3.00	1316/1409	3.00	4.43	4.08	4.15	3.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	7	0	4	0	1	0	1	2.00	1353/1366	2.00	4.19	4.18	4.37	2.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	7	0	2	1	1	0	2	2.83	1324/1364	2.83	4.42	4.33	4.52	2.83
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	7	0	2	0	1	1	2	3.17	1298/1361	3.17	4.49	4.39	4.59	3.17
4. Were special techniques successful	7	5	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/1019	****	4.16	4.09	4.32	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 434 01
Title:	Advanced Interface Desig
Instructor:	Cordova Chacon,

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	19
Questionnaires:	13

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	11	0	2	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/209	****	3.00	4.19	4.27	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	12	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/48	****	****	4.16	4.39	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	12	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/45	****	****	4.19	4.23	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	12	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/51	****	5.00	4.03	3.67	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	A	5	Required for Majors	13	Graduate	0	Major	12
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	6						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	C	1	General	0	Under-grad	13	Non-major	1
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 435 01
Title:	Topics In Film/Video
Instructor:	Worden,Frederic

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	7
Questionnaires:	7

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	1	4	1	1	0	2.29	1639/1644	2.29	4.38	4.32	4.47	2.29
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	1	4	1	1	0	2.29	1636/1644	2.29	4.24	4.28	4.35	2.29
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	6	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/1419	****	4.47	4.35	4.48	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	3	0	0	2	0	2.20	1588/1596	2.20	4.26	4.24	4.34	2.20
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	3	3	0	0	1	0	1.75	1531/1535	1.75	3.93	4.15	4.26	1.75
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	5	1	0	0	1	0	2.50	1488/1510	2.50	3.74	4.13	4.29	2.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	2	2	0	0	2	1	3.00	1534/1620	3.00	3.99	4.20	4.25	3.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	4.00	1528/1642	4.00	4.35	4.68	4.67	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	1	2	2	0	0	2.20	1582/1596	2.20	4.10	4.12	4.20	2.20
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	2	0	2	1	1	2.83	1514/1534	2.83	4.39	4.48	4.54	2.83
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	1136/1539	4.67	4.82	4.76	4.81	4.67
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	1	2	1	1	1	2.83	1492/1531	2.83	4.34	4.33	4.38	2.83
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	3	1	0	1	2.50	1505/1530	2.50	4.34	4.35	4.41	2.50
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	0	0	0	2	0	2	4.00	825/1409	4.00	4.43	4.08	4.15	4.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	5	0	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	1279/1366	3.00	4.19	4.18	4.37	3.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	5	0	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	1221/1364	3.50	4.42	4.33	4.52	3.50
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	5	0	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	1240/1361	3.50	4.49	4.39	4.59	3.50

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 435 01
Title:	Topics In Film/Video
Instructor:	Worden,Frederic

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	7
Questionnaires:	7

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	5	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/1019	****	4.16	4.09	4.32	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	3	Required for Majors	5	Graduate	0	Major	5
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	3						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	C	0	General	0	Under-grad	7	Non-major	2
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 447 01
Title:	Special Fx & Motion Gfx
Instructor:	Smallwood, Eric

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	15
Questionnaires:	13

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	1	11	4.77	344/1644	4.77	4.38	4.32	4.47	4.77
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	0	4	8	4.46	700/1644	4.46	4.24	4.28	4.35	4.46
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	9	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	337/1419	4.75	4.47	4.35	4.48	4.75
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	1	2	9	4.46	627/1596	4.46	4.26	4.24	4.34	4.46
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	10	0	0	2	0	1	3.67	****/1535	****	3.93	4.15	4.26	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	11	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	****/1510	****	3.74	4.13	4.29	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	2	2	6	3	3.77	1324/1620	3.77	3.99	4.20	4.25	3.77
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	13	0	4.00	1528/1642	4.00	4.35	4.68	4.67	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	0	7	4	4.36	565/1596	4.36	4.10	4.12	4.20	4.36
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	6	6	4.50	891/1534	4.50	4.39	4.48	4.54	4.50
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	2	10	4.83	808/1539	4.83	4.82	4.76	4.81	4.83
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	3	5	4	4.08	1127/1531	4.08	4.34	4.33	4.38	4.08
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	2	5	5	4.25	1004/1530	4.25	4.34	4.35	4.41	4.25
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	0	4	8	4.67	245/1409	4.67	4.43	4.08	4.15	4.67
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	1	0	3	1	3.80	1014/1366	3.80	4.19	4.18	4.37	3.80
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	8	0	0	0	1	1	3	4.40	756/1364	4.40	4.42	4.33	4.52	4.40
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	8	0	0	0	0	4	1	4.20	958/1361	4.20	4.49	4.39	4.59	4.20

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 447 01
Title:	Special Fx & Motion Gfx
Instructor:	Smallwood, Eric

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	15
Questionnaires:	13

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	8	3	1	0	1	0	0	2.00	****/1019	****	4.16	4.09	4.32	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	0	0.00-0.99 0	A 5	Required for Majors 10	Graduate 0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 5		
56-83	1	2.00-2.99 1	C 3	General 0	Under-grad 13
84-150	2	3.00-3.49 0	D 0		Non-major 1
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 4	F 0	Electives 1	
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 0	
			? 0		

**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 484 01
Title:	Advncd 3D Cmputr Animatn
Instructor:	Smallwood, Eric

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	17
Questionnaires:	11

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	4	6	4.45	751/1644	4.45	4.38	4.32	4.47	4.45
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	3	3	5	4.18	1060/1644	4.18	4.24	4.28	4.35	4.18
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	9	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1419	****	4.47	4.35	4.48	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	9	4.82	197/1596	4.82	4.26	4.24	4.34	4.82
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	8	1	0	1	0	1	3.00	1469/1535	3.00	3.93	4.15	4.26	3.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	9	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/1510	****	3.74	4.13	4.29	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	1	2	3	2	3	3.36	1482/1620	3.36	3.99	4.20	4.25	3.36
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	9	2	4.18	1444/1642	4.18	4.35	4.68	4.67	4.18
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	3	4	2	3.89	1151/1596	3.89	4.10	4.12	4.20	3.89
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	2	9	4.82	419/1534	4.82	4.39	4.48	4.54	4.82
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	2	9	4.82	865/1539	4.82	4.82	4.76	4.81	4.82
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	1	3	2	5	4.00	1163/1531	4.00	4.34	4.33	4.38	4.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	0	2	1	7	4.18	1057/1530	4.18	4.34	4.35	4.41	4.18
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	1	0	10	4.82	145/1409	4.82	4.43	4.08	4.15	4.82
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	5	0	1	0	0	0	5	4.33	660/1366	4.33	4.19	4.18	4.37	4.33
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	5	0	0	0	1	1	4	4.50	649/1364	4.50	4.42	4.33	4.52	4.50
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	5	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	549/1361	4.67	4.49	4.39	4.59	4.67

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 484 01
Title:	Advncd 3D Cmputr Animatn
Instructor:	Smallwood, Eric

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	17
Questionnaires:	11

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	5	4	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	****/1019	****	4.16	4.09	4.32	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	3	Required for Majors	11	Graduate	0	Major	9
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	2						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	C	4	General	0	Under-grad	11	Non-major	2
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	0				
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 488 01
Title:	Adv Topics:Aim
Instructor:	Bailey,Dan

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	15
Questionnaires:	13

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	2	11	4.85	242/1644	4.85	4.38	4.32	4.47	4.85
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	3	10	4.77	288/1644	4.77	4.24	4.28	4.35	4.77
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	9	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1419	5.00	4.47	4.35	4.48	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	12	4.92	90/1596	4.92	4.26	4.24	4.34	4.92
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	7	1	0	0	0	5	4.33	658/1535	4.33	3.93	4.15	4.26	4.33
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	10	1	0	0	1	1	3.33	****/1510	****	3.74	4.13	4.29	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	1	2	9	4.67	331/1620	4.67	3.99	4.20	4.25	4.67
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	8	4	4.33	1344/1642	4.33	4.35	4.68	4.67	4.33
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	0	4	6	4.60	297/1596	4.60	4.10	4.12	4.20	4.60
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	1	12	4.92	198/1534	4.92	4.39	4.48	4.54	4.92
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.82	4.76	4.81	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	1	0	12	4.85	229/1531	4.85	4.34	4.33	4.38	4.85
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	12	4.92	161/1530	4.92	4.34	4.35	4.41	4.92
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	1	3	9	4.62	287/1409	4.62	4.43	4.08	4.15	4.62
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	1	0	2	7	4.50	492/1366	4.50	4.19	4.18	4.37	4.50
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	1	1	0	8	4.50	649/1364	4.50	4.42	4.33	4.52	4.50
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1361	5.00	4.49	4.39	4.59	5.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 488 01
Title:	Adv Topics:Aim
Instructor:	Bailey,Dan

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	15
Questionnaires:	13

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	3	5	0	0	1	1	3	4.40	339/1019	4.40	4.16	4.09	4.32	4.40

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	1	A	8	Required for Majors	9	Graduate	0	Major	12
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	3						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	C	0	General	0	Under-grad	13	Non-major	1
84-150	4	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	1						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 489 01
Title:	Senior Projects
Instructor:	Sturgeon,John

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	8
Questionnaires:	8

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	1	2	3	2	3.75	1413/1644	3.92	4.38	4.32	4.47	3.75
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	3	2	3	4.00	1210/1644	4.14	4.24	4.28	4.35	4.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	5	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1419	4.83	4.47	4.35	4.48	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	3	3	2	3.88	1231/1596	4.22	4.26	4.24	4.34	3.88
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	5	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	658/1535	3.95	3.93	4.15	4.26	4.33
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	3	0	0	1	2	2	4.20	787/1510	3.73	3.74	4.13	4.29	4.20
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	0	0	3	4	4.57	436/1620	4.51	3.99	4.20	4.25	4.57
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	3	5	4.63	1088/1642	4.56	4.35	4.68	4.67	4.63
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	1	0	0	1	6	0	3.86	1171/1596	3.98	4.10	4.12	4.20	3.86
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	1	3	3	4.29	1132/1534	4.48	4.39	4.48	4.54	4.29
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1539	4.94	4.82	4.76	4.81	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	5	2	4.29	962/1531	4.48	4.34	4.33	4.38	4.29
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	2	3	2	4.00	1163/1530	4.17	4.34	4.35	4.41	4.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	1	2	4	4.43	465/1409	4.38	4.43	4.08	4.15	4.43
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	3	4	4.57	444/1366	4.45	4.19	4.18	4.37	4.57
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	442/1364	4.63	4.42	4.33	4.52	4.71
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	313/1361	4.76	4.49	4.39	4.59	4.86

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 489 01
Title:	Senior Projects
Instructor:	Sturgeon,John

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	8
Questionnaires:	8

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	1	3	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	262/1019	4.38	4.16	4.09	4.32	4.50

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	5	Required for Majors	8	Graduate	0	Major	8
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	2						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	C	0	General	0	Under-grad	8	Non-major	0
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 489 02
Title:	Senior Projects
Instructor:	Dyer, Eric

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	13
Questionnaires:	11

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	3	4	4	4.09	1149/1644	3.92	4.38	4.32	4.47	4.09
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	4	5	4.27	968/1644	4.14	4.24	4.28	4.35	4.27
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	7	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	462/1419	4.83	4.47	4.35	4.48	4.67
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	1	2	6	4.56	502/1596	4.22	4.26	4.24	4.34	4.56
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	4	1	0	3	0	3	3.57	1287/1535	3.95	3.93	4.15	4.26	3.57
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	7	1	0	1	1	1	3.25	1401/1510	3.73	3.74	4.13	4.29	3.25
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	2	2	7	4.45	606/1620	4.51	3.99	4.20	4.25	4.45
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	5	5	4.50	1203/1642	4.56	4.35	4.68	4.67	4.50
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	1	7	2	4.10	905/1596	3.98	4.10	4.12	4.20	4.10
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	3	6	4.67	675/1534	4.48	4.39	4.48	4.54	4.67
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	666/1539	4.94	4.82	4.76	4.81	4.89
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	3	6	4.67	478/1531	4.48	4.34	4.33	4.38	4.67
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	2	2	5	4.33	940/1530	4.17	4.34	4.35	4.41	4.33
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	0	0	1	4	4	4.33	551/1409	4.38	4.43	4.08	4.15	4.33
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	1	4	4	4.33	660/1366	4.45	4.19	4.18	4.37	4.33
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	1	2	6	4.56	609/1364	4.63	4.42	4.33	4.52	4.56
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	0	3	6	4.67	549/1361	4.76	4.49	4.39	4.59	4.67

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 489 02
Title:	Senior Projects
Instructor:	Dyer, Eric

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	13
Questionnaires:	11

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	2	5	0	0	0	3	1	4.25	431/1019	4.38	4.16	4.09	4.32	4.25

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	1	0.00-0.99 0	A 4	Required for Majors 11	Graduate 0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 7		Major 9
56-83	0	2.00-2.99 0	C 0	General 0	Under-grad 11
84-150	2	3.00-3.49 3	D 0		Non-major 2
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 1	F 0	Electives 0	
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 0	
			? 0		

**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 610 01
Title:	Imag. Digital Seminar
Instructor:	Bradley,Stephen

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	7
Questionnaires:	6

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	254/1644	4.83	4.38	4.32	4.42	4.83
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	4	1	4.00	1210/1644	4.00	4.24	4.28	4.32	4.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	3	2	4.17	1008/1596	4.17	4.26	4.24	4.32	4.17
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	5	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1535	****	3.93	4.15	4.25	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	5	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1510	****	3.74	4.13	4.24	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	1	0	2	2	0	3.00	1534/1620	3.00	3.99	4.20	4.29	3.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	777/1642	4.83	4.35	4.68	4.82	4.83
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	5	1	4.17	822/1596	4.17	4.10	4.12	4.20	4.17
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	4	1	4.20	1194/1534	4.20	4.39	4.48	4.52	4.20
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.82	4.76	4.79	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	4	1	4.20	1037/1531	4.20	4.34	4.33	4.34	4.20
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	3	2	4.40	882/1530	4.40	4.34	4.35	4.38	4.40
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1409	5.00	4.43	4.08	4.04	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	368/1366	4.67	4.19	4.18	4.26	4.67
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	1	1	4	4.50	649/1364	4.50	4.42	4.33	4.46	4.50
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	549/1361	4.67	4.49	4.39	4.49	4.67
4. Were special techniques successful	0	2	0	0	1	2	1	4.00	559/1019	4.00	4.16	4.09	4.12	4.00
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	2	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/72	5.00	5.00	4.53	4.53	5.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 610 01
Title:	Imag. Digital Seminar
Instructor:	Bradley,Stephen

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	7
Questionnaires:	6

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	2	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	24/71	4.75	4.75	4.38	4.31	4.75
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	20/68	4.75	4.86	4.41	4.37	4.75
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/71	5.00	5.00	4.40	4.53	5.00
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	2	0	1	1	1	0	1	2.75	68/73	2.75	3.97	4.09	4.09	2.75
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/51	5.00	5.00	4.03	3.66	5.00
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	4	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/36	5.00	5.00	4.33	4.41	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	1	A	4	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	4	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	C	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	6
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	4	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	0						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 620 01
Title:	Hist I&D Arts
Instructor:	Adelman,Rebecca

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	6
Questionnaires:	6

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1644	5.00	4.38	4.32	4.42	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1644	5.00	4.24	4.28	4.32	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	4	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1419	5.00	4.47	4.35	4.45	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	178/1596	4.83	4.26	4.24	4.32	4.83
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1535	5.00	3.93	4.15	4.25	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1510	5.00	3.74	4.13	4.24	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	139/1620	4.83	3.99	4.20	4.29	4.83
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	777/1642	4.83	4.35	4.68	4.82	4.83
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1596	5.00	4.10	4.12	4.20	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1534	5.00	4.39	4.48	4.52	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.82	4.76	4.79	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	3	2	4.40	852/1531	4.40	4.34	4.33	4.34	4.40
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1530	5.00	4.34	4.35	4.38	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	1	3	1	4.00	825/1409	4.00	4.43	4.08	4.04	4.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1366	5.00	4.19	4.18	4.26	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1364	5.00	4.42	4.33	4.46	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1361	5.00	4.49	4.39	4.49	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	0	1	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1019	5.00	4.16	4.09	4.12	5.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 620 01
Title:	Hist I&D Arts
Instructor:	Adelman,Rebecca

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	6
Questionnaires:	6

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/72	5.00	5.00	4.53	4.53	5.00
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	19/71	4.83	4.75	4.38	4.31	4.83
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	17/68	4.83	4.86	4.41	4.37	4.83
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/71	5.00	5.00	4.40	4.53	5.00
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	14/73	4.83	3.97	4.09	4.09	4.83

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	2	A	2	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	3	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	4						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	C	0	General	0	Under-grad	3	Non-major	6
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	3	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	3				
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 640 01
Title:	Imaging & Digital Studio
Instructor:	Moren,Lisa

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	10
Questionnaires:	10

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	2	7	4.60	564/1644	4.60	4.38	4.32	4.42	4.60
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	2	6	4.40	802/1644	4.40	4.24	4.28	4.32	4.40
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	7	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1419	5.00	4.47	4.35	4.45	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	4	5	4.56	502/1596	4.56	4.26	4.24	4.32	4.56
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	2	2	6	4.40	578/1535	4.40	3.93	4.15	4.25	4.40
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	4	6	4.60	330/1510	4.60	3.74	4.13	4.24	4.60
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	1	1	3	2	3	3.50	1429/1620	3.50	3.99	4.20	4.29	3.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1642	5.00	4.35	4.68	4.82	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	0	4	3	4.43	488/1596	4.43	4.10	4.12	4.20	4.43
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	1	1	6	4.63	739/1534	4.63	4.39	4.48	4.52	4.63
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.82	4.76	4.79	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	1	1	6	4.63	536/1531	4.63	4.34	4.33	4.34	4.63
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	2	1	5	4.38	906/1530	4.38	4.34	4.35	4.38	4.38
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	0	0	2	1	5	4.38	513/1409	4.38	4.43	4.08	4.04	4.38
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	163/1366	4.89	4.19	4.18	4.26	4.89
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	375/1364	4.78	4.42	4.33	4.46	4.78
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	1	1	7	4.67	549/1361	4.67	4.49	4.39	4.49	4.67
4. Were special techniques successful	2	1	0	0	1	2	4	4.43	323/1019	4.43	4.16	4.09	4.12	4.43

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ART 640 01
Title:	Imaging & Digital Studio
Instructor:	Moren,Lisa

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	10
Questionnaires:	10

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/72	****	5.00	4.53	4.53	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/71	****	4.75	4.38	4.31	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/68	****	4.86	4.41	4.37	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/71	****	5.00	4.40	4.53	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	9	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/73	****	3.97	4.09	4.09	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27 4	0.00-0.99 2	A 7	Required for Majors	Graduate	Major 0
28-55 0	1.00-1.99 0	B 2			
56-83 0	2.00-2.99 0	C 0	General	Under-grad	Non-major 10
84-150 0	3.00-3.49 0	D 0			
Grad. 4	3.50-4.00 5	F 0	Electives		
		P 0			
		I 0	Other		
		? 0			

**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant