

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ENEE 612 01
Title:	Digital Image Processing
Instructor:	Chang,Chein-i

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	17
Questionnaires:	10

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	3	3	4	4.10	1142/1644	4.10	4.31	4.32	4.42	4.10
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	3	2	4	3.90	1306/1644	3.90	4.31	4.28	4.32	3.90
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	5	0	0	2	0	3	4.20	985/1419	4.20	4.50	4.35	4.45	4.20
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	2	1	2	5	4.00	1129/1596	4.00	4.35	4.24	4.32	4.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	3	0	1	5	3.89	1082/1535	3.89	4.36	4.15	4.25	3.89
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	1	0	0	2	1	5	4.38	577/1510	4.38	4.38	4.13	4.24	4.38
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	2	2	0	6	4.00	1134/1620	4.00	4.30	4.20	4.29	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	3	7	4.70	988/1642	4.70	4.86	4.68	4.82	4.70
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	3	2	4	4.11	891/1596	4.11	4.26	4.12	4.20	4.11
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	2	3	0	5	3.80	1389/1534	3.80	4.40	4.48	4.52	3.80
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	1	1	8	4.70	1086/1539	4.70	4.82	4.76	4.79	4.70
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	1	1	4	4	4.10	1119/1531	4.10	4.31	4.33	4.34	4.10
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	2	1	1	1	5	3.60	1349/1530	3.60	4.14	4.35	4.38	3.60
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	1	2	1	5	4.11	756/1409	4.11	4.23	4.08	4.04	4.11
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	4	1	4	4.00	862/1366	4.00	3.79	4.18	4.26	4.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	1	3	3	2	3.67	1175/1364	3.67	4.07	4.33	4.46	3.67
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	2	3	1	3	3.56	1228/1361	3.56	4.16	4.39	4.49	3.56

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ENEE 612 01
Title:	Digital Image Processing
Instructor:	Chang,Chen-i

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	17
Questionnaires:	10

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	1	5	1	0	1	1	1	3.25	933/1019	3.25	4.19	4.09	4.12	3.25

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	1	0.00-0.99 0	A 3	Required for Majors 3	Graduate 6
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 5		Major 7
56-83	0	2.00-2.99 0	C 0	General 1	Under-grad 4
84-150	0	3.00-3.49 1	D 0		Non-major 3
Grad.	6	3.50-4.00 0	F 0	Electives 6	
			P 0		
			I 0		
			? 2		

**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ENEE 620 01
Title:	Prob Random Proc
Instructor:	Adali,Tulay

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	20
Questionnaires:	15

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	1	0	0	1	3	4	6	4.07	1164/1644	4.07	4.31	4.32	4.42	4.07
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	2	6	6	4.29	958/1644	4.29	4.31	4.28	4.32	4.29
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	2	6	6	4.29	917/1419	4.29	4.50	4.35	4.45	4.29
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	1	8	5	4.29	879/1596	4.29	4.35	4.24	4.32	4.29
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	2	0	0	2	6	4	4.17	823/1535	4.17	4.36	4.15	4.25	4.17
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	1	2	6	5	4.07	888/1510	4.07	4.38	4.13	4.24	4.07
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	1	6	7	4.43	653/1620	4.43	4.30	4.20	4.29	4.43
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	1	5	8	4.50	1203/1642	4.50	4.86	4.68	4.82	4.50
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	0	9	4	4.31	642/1596	4.31	4.26	4.12	4.20	4.31
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	2	2	10	4.57	807/1534	4.57	4.40	4.48	4.52	4.57
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	2	12	4.86	751/1539	4.86	4.82	4.76	4.79	4.86
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	1	4	9	4.57	612/1531	4.57	4.31	4.33	4.34	4.57
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	2	4	8	4.43	856/1530	4.43	4.14	4.35	4.38	4.43
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	5	0	0	4	2	3	3.89	936/1409	3.89	4.23	4.08	4.04	3.89
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	2	6	1	3.89	956/1366	3.89	3.79	4.18	4.26	3.89
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	6	0	0	0	1	4	4	4.33	817/1364	4.33	4.07	4.33	4.46	4.33
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	6	0	0	0	2	1	6	4.44	772/1361	4.44	4.16	4.39	4.49	4.44
4. Were special techniques successful	6	4	1	0	1	1	2	3.60	806/1019	3.60	4.19	4.09	4.12	3.60

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ENEE 620 01
Title:	Prob Random Proc
Instructor:	Adali, Tulay

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	20
Questionnaires:	15

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	14	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/185	****	****	4.23	4.14	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	14	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/209	****	****	4.19	4.03	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	14	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/181	****	****	4.53	4.35	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	14	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/183	****	****	4.46	4.44	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	14	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/172	****	****	4.14	4.27	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	14	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/72	****	****	4.53	4.53	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	14	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/71	****	****	4.38	4.31	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	14	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/68	****	****	4.41	4.37	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	14	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/71	****	****	4.40	4.53	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	14	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/73	****	****	4.09	4.09	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	14	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/48	****	****	4.16	3.59	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	14	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/45	****	****	4.19	3.89	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	14	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	****	****	4.57	4.11	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	14	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/27	****	****	4.25	3.29	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	14	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/51	****	****	4.03	3.66	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	14	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	****	****	4.18	3.73	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	14	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/36	****	****	4.33	4.41	****
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	14	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/19	****	****	4.17	3.84	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ENEE 620 01
Title:	Prob Random Proc
Instructor:	Adali,Tulay

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	20
Questionnaires:	15

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	14	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/14	****	****	4.17	3.79	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	3	0.00-0.99 0	A 5	Required for Majors 9	Graduate 7
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 8		Major 8
56-83	0	2.00-2.99 0	C 1	General 0	Under-grad 8
84-150	0	3.00-3.49 1	D 0		Non-major 7
Grad.	7	3.50-4.00 4	F 0	Electives 4	
			P 0		
			I 0		
			? 1		

**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ENEE 630 01
Title:	Solid State Electronics
Instructor:	Choa,Fow-sen

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	4
Questionnaires:	4

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	688/1644	4.50	4.31	4.32	4.42	4.50
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	4.00	1210/1644	4.00	4.31	4.28	4.32	4.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	337/1419	4.75	4.50	4.35	4.45	4.75
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	3	1	4.25	911/1596	4.25	4.35	4.24	4.32	4.25
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	658/1535	4.33	4.36	4.15	4.25	4.33
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	629/1510	4.33	4.38	4.13	4.24	4.33
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	527/1620	4.50	4.30	4.20	4.29	4.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1642	5.00	4.86	4.68	4.82	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	3.50	1388/1596	3.50	4.26	4.12	4.20	3.50
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	1155/1534	4.25	4.40	4.48	4.52	4.25
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	990/1539	4.75	4.82	4.76	4.79	4.75
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	3.50	1394/1531	3.50	4.31	4.33	4.34	3.50
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	0	0	2	1	3.50	1382/1530	3.50	4.14	4.35	4.38	3.50
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	1	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	825/1409	4.00	4.23	4.08	4.04	4.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1279/1366	3.00	3.79	4.18	4.26	3.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1297/1364	3.00	4.07	4.33	4.46	3.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1308/1361	3.00	4.16	4.39	4.49	3.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ENEE 630 01
Title:	Solid State Electronics
Instructor:	Choa,Fow-sen

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	4
Questionnaires:	4

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	3	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	559/1019	4.00	4.19	4.09	4.12	4.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	A	3	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	3	Major	3
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	C	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	3	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ENEE 663 01
Title:	System Implem Integratio
Instructor:	Martin,Paul B.

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	11
Questionnaires:	11

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	4	6	4.45	751/1644	4.45	4.31	4.32	4.42	4.45
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	4	7	4.64	468/1644	4.64	4.31	4.28	4.32	4.64
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	10	4.91	170/1419	4.91	4.50	4.35	4.45	4.91
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	10	4.91	113/1596	4.91	4.35	4.24	4.32	4.91
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	6	4	4.40	578/1535	4.40	4.36	4.15	4.25	4.40
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	1	0	2	7	4.50	429/1510	4.50	4.38	4.13	4.24	4.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	3	8	4.73	261/1620	4.73	4.30	4.20	4.29	4.73
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	5.00	1/1642	5.00	4.86	4.68	4.82	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	0	7	2	4.22	742/1596	4.22	4.26	4.12	4.20	4.22
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	5.00	1/1534	5.00	4.40	4.48	4.52	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.82	4.76	4.79	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	2	9	4.82	263/1531	4.82	4.31	4.33	4.34	4.82
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	3	8	4.73	486/1530	4.73	4.14	4.35	4.38	4.73
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	0	4	6	4.60	296/1409	4.60	4.23	4.08	4.04	4.60
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	3	6	4.67	368/1366	4.67	3.79	4.18	4.26	4.67
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1364	5.00	4.07	4.33	4.46	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	1	2	6	4.56	661/1361	4.56	4.16	4.39	4.49	4.56

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ENEE 663 01
Title:	System Implem Integratio
Instructor:	Martin,Paul B.

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	11
Questionnaires:	11

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	3	2	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1019	5.00	4.19	4.09	4.12	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	3	0.00-0.99 1	A 11	Required for Majors 11	Graduate 6
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 0		Major 8
56-83	0	2.00-2.99 0	C 0	General 0	Under-grad 5
84-150	0	3.00-3.49 2	D 0		Non-major 3
Grad.	6	3.50-4.00 6	F 0	Electives 0	
			P 0		
			I 0		
			? 0		

**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ENEE 664 01
Title:	Advanced Systems Archite
Instructor:	Taylor,Richard

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	6
Questionnaires:	4

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	688/1644	4.50	4.31	4.32	4.42	4.50
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	633/1644	4.50	4.31	4.28	4.32	4.50
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	3	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1419	5.00	4.50	4.35	4.45	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	254/1596	4.75	4.35	4.24	4.32	4.75
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	300/1535	4.67	4.36	4.15	4.25	4.67
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	278/1510	4.67	4.38	4.13	4.24	4.67
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	779/1620	4.33	4.30	4.20	4.29	4.33
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1642	5.00	4.86	4.68	4.82	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	178/1596	4.75	4.26	4.12	4.20	4.75
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1534	5.00	4.40	4.48	4.52	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.82	4.76	4.79	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	348/1531	4.75	4.31	4.33	4.34	4.75
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1530	5.00	4.14	4.35	4.38	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	627/1409	4.25	4.23	4.08	4.04	4.25
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	492/1366	4.50	3.79	4.18	4.26	4.50
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	398/1364	4.75	4.07	4.33	4.46	4.75
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	441/1361	4.75	4.16	4.39	4.49	4.75

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ENEE 664 01
Title:	Advanced Systems Archite
Instructor:	Taylor,Richard

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	6
Questionnaires:	4

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	262/1019	4.50	4.19	4.09	4.12	4.50

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	0	0.00-0.99 0	A 4	Required for Majors 1	Graduate 2
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 0		
56-83	0	2.00-2.99 0	C 0	General 0	Under-grad 2
84-150	0	3.00-3.49 0	D 0		Non-major 2
Grad.	2	3.50-4.00 2	F 0	Electives 3	
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 0	
			? 0		

**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ENEE 670 01
Title:	Syst Engr Proj
Instructor:	Highland,Freder

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	13
Questionnaires:	13

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	3	0	0	0	1	7	2	4.10	1142/1644	4.10	4.31	4.32	4.42	4.10
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	3	0	0	0	1	6	3	4.20	1038/1644	4.20	4.31	4.28	4.32	4.20
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	3	7	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	****/1419	****	4.50	4.35	4.45	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	3	1	0	1	1	3	4	4.11	1064/1596	4.11	4.35	4.24	4.32	4.11
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	3	8	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/1535	****	4.36	4.15	4.25	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	3	2	0	0	1	4	3	4.25	727/1510	4.25	4.38	4.13	4.24	4.25
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	3	0	0	0	2	3	5	4.30	820/1620	4.30	4.30	4.20	4.29	4.30
8. How many times was class cancelled	3	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1642	5.00	4.86	4.68	4.82	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	8	0	0	0	0	3	2	4.40	515/1596	4.40	4.26	4.12	4.20	4.40
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	6	0	1	0	2	1	3	3.71	1416/1534	3.71	4.40	4.48	4.52	3.71
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	4	0	1	0	0	0	8	4.56	1255/1539	4.56	4.82	4.76	4.79	4.56
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	5	0	0	1	3	1	3	3.75	1314/1531	3.75	4.31	4.33	4.34	3.75
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	5	2	1	1	2	1	1	3.00	1469/1530	3.00	4.14	4.35	4.38	3.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	4	4	0	1	0	2	2	4.00	825/1409	4.00	4.23	4.08	4.04	4.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	1	4	0	2	3.43	1176/1366	3.43	3.79	4.18	4.26	3.43
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	6	0	0	0	2	1	4	4.29	857/1364	4.29	4.07	4.33	4.46	4.29
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	6	0	0	0	1	1	5	4.57	644/1361	4.57	4.16	4.39	4.49	4.57
4. Were special techniques successful	6	4	0	1	0	0	2	4.00	****/1019	****	4.19	4.09	4.12	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ENEE 670 01
Title:	Syst Engr Proj
Instructor:	Highland,Freder

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	13
Questionnaires:	13

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	12	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/209	****	****	4.19	4.03	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	12	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/181	****	****	4.53	4.35	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/51	****	****	4.03	3.66	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	12	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/31	****	****	4.18	3.73	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	12	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/36	****	****	4.33	4.41	****
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	12	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/19	****	****	4.17	3.84	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	4	0.00-0.99	0	A	7	Required for Majors	10	Graduate	3	Major	8
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	B	2						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	C	0	General	0	Under-grad	10	Non-major	5
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	3	3.50-4.00	6	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	4						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ENEE 680 01
Title:	Electromag Theory I
Instructor:	Okusaga,Olukayo

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	7
Questionnaires:	7

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	231/1644	4.86	4.31	4.32	4.42	4.86
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	186/1644	4.86	4.31	4.28	4.32	4.86
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	394/1419	4.71	4.50	4.35	4.45	4.71
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	3	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	567/1596	4.50	4.35	4.24	4.32	4.50
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	300/1535	4.67	4.36	4.15	4.25	4.67
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	278/1510	4.67	4.38	4.13	4.24	4.67
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	4	3	4.43	653/1620	4.43	4.30	4.20	4.29	4.43
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	1038/1642	4.67	4.86	4.68	4.82	4.67
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	3	3	4.50	382/1596	4.50	4.26	4.12	4.20	4.50
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	343/1534	4.86	4.40	4.48	4.52	4.86
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	751/1539	4.86	4.82	4.76	4.79	4.86
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	406/1531	4.71	4.31	4.33	4.34	4.71
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	279/1530	4.86	4.14	4.35	4.38	4.86
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	3	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	181/1409	4.75	4.23	4.08	4.04	4.75
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	1	2	1	4.00	862/1366	4.00	3.79	4.18	4.26	4.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	649/1364	4.50	4.07	4.33	4.46	4.50
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1361	5.00	4.16	4.39	4.49	5.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ENEE 680 01
Title:	Electromag Theory I
Instructor:	Okusaga,Olukayo

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	7
Questionnaires:	7

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	3	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1019	5.00	4.19	4.09	4.12	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	2	0.00-0.99 0	A 4	Required for Majors 5	Graduate 4
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 3		
56-83	0	2.00-2.99 0	C 0	General 0	Under-grad 3
84-150	0	3.00-3.49 0	D 0		Non-major 1
Grad.	4	3.50-4.00 2	F 0	Electives 1	
			P 0		
			I 0		
			? 0		

**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ENEE 691 01
Title:	Special Topics Elec Engr
Instructor:	Menyuk,Curtis R

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	10
Questionnaires:	8

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	1	0	6	1	3.88	1334/1644	3.88	4.31	4.32	4.42	3.88
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	0	4	3	4.13	1127/1644	4.13	4.31	4.28	4.32	4.13
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	3	2	2	3.63	1260/1419	3.63	4.50	4.35	4.45	3.63
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	3	0	0	2	1	2	4.00	1129/1596	4.00	4.35	4.24	4.32	4.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	2	0	0	0	3	2	4.40	578/1535	4.40	4.36	4.15	4.25	4.40
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	4	2	4.14	839/1510	4.14	4.38	4.13	4.24	4.14
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	3	3	1	3.71	1346/1620	3.71	4.30	4.20	4.29	3.71
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1642	5.00	4.86	4.68	4.82	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	6	2	4.25	705/1596	4.25	4.26	4.12	4.20	4.25
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	2	4	2	4.00	1296/1534	4.00	4.40	4.48	4.52	4.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	694/1539	4.88	4.82	4.76	4.79	4.88
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	2	2	4	4.25	990/1531	4.25	4.31	4.33	4.34	4.25
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	2	4	2	4.00	1163/1530	4.00	4.14	4.35	4.38	4.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	3	0	0	2	0	3	4.20	675/1409	4.20	4.23	4.08	4.04	4.20
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	1	0	3	1	0	2.80	1314/1366	2.80	3.79	4.18	4.26	2.80
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	1	0	3	0	1	3.00	1297/1364	3.00	4.07	4.33	4.46	3.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	1	2	1	1	3.40	1270/1361	3.40	4.16	4.39	4.49	3.40

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ENEE 691 01
Title:	Special Topics Elec Engr
Instructor:	Menyuk, Curtis R

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	10
Questionnaires:	8

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	3	3	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	559/1019	4.00	4.19	4.09	4.12	4.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	1	0.00-0.99 0	A 4	Required for Majors 2	Graduate 3
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 3		Major 7
56-83	0	2.00-2.99 0	C 0	General 2	Under-grad 5
84-150	0	3.00-3.49 1	D 0		Non-major 1
Grad.	3	3.50-4.00 3	F 0	Electives 3	
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 0	
			? 0		

**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant