

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	LAS 120H 01
Title:	Intro to the Arts I
Instructor:	Hamby,Douglas

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	21
Questionnaires:	20

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	1	2	7	10	4.30	922/1644	4.30	4.76	4.32	4.16	4.30
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	3	8	8	4.15	1094/1644	4.15	4.63	4.28	4.23	4.15
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	18	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/1419	****	4.74	4.35	4.25	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	4	0	0	4	5	7	4.19	985/1596	4.19	4.73	4.24	4.09	4.19
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	5	6	8	4.16	834/1535	4.16	4.58	4.15	4.02	4.16
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	3	1	4	7	5	3.50	1261/1510	3.50	4.35	4.13	3.91	3.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	3	3	1	5	6	2	3.18	1517/1620	3.18	4.34	4.20	4.13	3.18
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	1	0	19	4.90	632/1642	4.90	4.56	4.68	4.68	4.90
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	1	0	0	3	13	3	4.00	971/1596	4.00	4.54	4.12	4.07	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	8	0	0	0	3	3	6	4.25	1155/1534	4.25	4.73	4.48	4.45	4.25
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	8	0	0	0	0	2	10	4.83	808/1539	4.83	4.97	4.76	4.72	4.83
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	8	0	0	1	3	2	6	4.08	1127/1531	4.08	4.69	4.33	4.30	4.08
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	4	2	6	4.17	1071/1530	4.17	4.79	4.35	4.30	4.17
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	8	6	1	1	0	1	3	3.67	1089/1409	3.67	4.42	4.08	3.97	3.67
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	1	6	10	4.53	478/1366	4.53	4.78	4.18	3.96	4.53
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	0	2	5	10	4.47	681/1364	4.47	4.82	4.33	4.10	4.47
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	3	1	13	4.59	635/1361	4.59	4.82	4.39	4.17	4.59
4. Were special techniques successful	3	4	0	0	2	7	4	4.15	500/1019	4.15	4.61	4.09	3.97	4.15

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	LAS 120H 01
Title:	Intro to the Arts I
Instructor:	Hamby,Douglas

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	21
Questionnaires:	20

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	17	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/185	****	****	4.23	4.19	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	18	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	****/209	****	****	4.19	4.18	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	18	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/181	****	****	4.53	4.68	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	18	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/183	****	****	4.46	4.50	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	18	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/172	****	****	4.14	4.22	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	0	0	0	0	2	8	10	4.40	53/72	4.40	4.40	4.53	4.35	4.40
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	0	1	0	0	7	2	10	4.16	55/71	4.16	4.16	4.38	4.21	4.16
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	0	4	8	6	4.11	54/68	4.11	4.11	4.41	4.22	4.11
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	2	7	11	4.45	40/71	4.45	4.45	4.40	4.19	4.45
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	0	1	2	3	5	5	4	3.32	61/73	3.32	3.32	4.09	3.85	3.32
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	1	2	15	4.78	22/48	4.78	4.89	4.16	3.97	4.78
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	2	0	2	1	4	5	6	3.67	37/45	3.67	4.33	4.19	3.97	3.67
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	2	7	1	0	1	2	7	4.27	23/30	4.27	4.64	4.57	4.58	4.27
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	2	6	1	0	3	5	3	3.75	21/27	3.75	4.38	4.25	4.37	3.75
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	2	8	0	0	4	2	4	4.00	17/25	4.00	4.50	4.35	4.63	4.00
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	18	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	****/51	****	****	4.03	4.19	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	LAS 120H 01
Title:	Intro to the Arts I
Instructor:	Hamby,Douglas

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	21
Questionnaires:	20

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	18	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/36	****	****	4.33	4.38	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	5	0.00-0.99 0	A 17	Required for Majors 20	Graduate 0
28-55	1	1.00-1.99 0	B 3		Major 6
56-83	0	2.00-2.99 0	C 0	General 0	Under-grad 20
84-150	0	3.00-3.49 0	D 0		Non-major 14
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 0	F 0	Electives 0	
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 0	
			? 0		

**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant